

# Commentary: harnessing the first peptidic modulator of the estrogen receptor GPER

Rosamaria Lappano, Marcello Maggiolini, Christophe Mallet, Yves Jacquot

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Rosamaria Lappano, Marcello Maggiolini, Christophe Mallet, Yves Jacquot. Commentary: harnessing the first peptidic modulator of the estrogen receptor GPER. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 2024, 15, pp.1413058. 10.3389/fphar.2024.1413058. hal-04871148

# HAL Id: hal-04871148 https://uca.hal.science/hal-04871148v1

Submitted on 7 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Monica Baiula, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY
Peter Evans,
Babraham Institute (BBSRC), United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE

RECEIVED 06 April 2024 ACCEPTED 17 April 2024 PUBLISHED 01 May 2024

#### CITATION

Lappano R, Maggiolini M, Mallet C and Jacquot Y (2024), Commentary: harnessing the first peptidic modulator of the estrogen receptor GPER.

Front. Pharmacol. 15:1413058. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1413058

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lappano, Maggiolini, Mallet and Jacquot. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms

# Commentary: harnessing the first peptidic modulator of the estrogen receptor GPER

Rosamaria Lappano<sup>1</sup>, Marcello Maggiolini<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Mallet<sup>2,3</sup> and Yves Jacquot<sup>4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), NEURO-DOL Basics and Clinical Pharmacology of Pain, Université Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, ANALGESIA Institute, Clermont-Ferrand, France, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy of Paris, Cibles Thérapeutiques et Conception de Médicaments (CiTCoM), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) UMR 8038, INSERM U1268, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France

KEYWORDS

peptide, modulator, GPER, nociception, breast cancer cells

#### 1 Introduction

According to the pioneering work of Thomas et al., the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER, a class A (rhodopsin-like) GPCR, interacts with estradiol (Thomas et al., 2005). This membrane protein is involved in a panel of pathophysiological actions including cardiovascular and digestive diseases (DeLeon et al., 2020; Groban et al., 2020), immune response (Notas et al., 2021), metabolic disorders (Sharma et al., 2018), neuroprotection (Pemberton et al., 2022) and cancer such as triple negative breast cancer (Zhang et al., 2024). Therefore, GPER has generated increasing attention in the scientific community and the synthesis of GPER modulators could open promising perspectives for the treatment of various diseases.

Recently, we have read with great interest the article published by E. Prossnitz and M. Barton entitled "The G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER in health and disease: an update" (Prossnitz and Barton, 2023). Although interesting, we consider that this article would benefit from our contribution to this fascinating field regarding the discovery of the first peptidic GPER modulator named ERα17p.

## 2 From the discovery to the anti-proliferative, antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory actions of $ER\alpha17p$

The discovery of the peptide ER $\alpha$ 17p and the assessment of its action through GPER is issued from European collaborations comprising research teams from Belgium, France, Greece and Italy. ER $\alpha$ 17p corresponds to the residues 295-311 (hinge/AF2 region) and 123-139 of the human estrogen receptor  $\alpha$  (ER $\alpha$ ) and its isoform ER $\alpha$ 36, respectively (primary sequence: PLMIKRSKKNSLALSLT).

In ER $\alpha$ - and GPER-positive ELT3 rat leiomyoma cells cultured under steroid-deprived conditions, ER $\alpha$ 17p prompted proliferative activity through ER $\alpha$ , GPER, G $\alpha$ <sub>i</sub>, EGFR, ERK1/2 and the translocation of  $\beta$ -arrestin. This effect being abolished by the GPER antagonist G-15 and a GPER siRNA, thus it occurred in a GPER-dependent manner (Leiber et al., 2015). In serum-cultured ER $\alpha$ -negative and GPER-positive MDA-MB-231 and SKBr3 human breast cancer cells, ER $\alpha$ 17p was responsible for membrane-initiated molecular events leading to apoptosis *in vitro* and *in vivo* and, in the case of MDA-MB-231 cells, to the inhibition of migration (Kampa et al., 2011; Pelekanou et al., 2011). In similar conditions, ER $\alpha$ 17p displayed anti-proliferative effects that were rescued by the selective GPER

Lappano et al. 10.3389/fphar.2024.1413058

antagonist G-36, suggesting an inverse agonist action (Lappano et al., 2019). In MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cells, which were engineered to knock out GPER expression by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology, ERα17p failed to show antiproliferative effects, in contrast to that observed in wild type MDA-MB-231 cells (Jouffre et al., 2023). The abovementioned responses were initiated at the cell membrane (Kampa et al., 2011; Leiber et al., 2015; Pelekanou et al., 2011; Lappano et al., 2019). In addition, we have shown that in the presence of ERα17p, GPER becomes inactive and is degraded through the proteasome system, then resulting in a decrease of pEGFR, pERK1/2 and c-fos levels (Lappano et al., 2019). Accordingly, ERα17p decreases by about 50% the size of triple negative breast tumors xenografted in BalbC-/- nude mice, at the dose of 1.5 mg/kg body weight, three times per week during 4 weeks (Pelekanou et al., 2011).

In addition to these antitumor actions, the peptide  $ER\alpha17p$  has demonstrated GPER-dependent anti-nociceptive effects at the supraspinal level and anti-inflammatory activities, from 2.5 mg/kg, in inflammation animal models (Mallet et al., 2021; Jouffre et al., 2023).

Supporting previous data, a specific GPER antibody concomitantly used with a fluorescein-labeled version of ERa17p revealed superimposed fluorescence signals in SKBr3, therefore indicating a physical interaction between ERa17p and GPER (Lappano et al., 2019). In this regard, it is worth noting that ERa17p shares structural analogies with PBX1, a pyrrolobenzoxazinone acting as a GPER antagonist (Maggiolini et al., 2015; Lappano et al., 2019). Moreover, docking studies showed that ERa17p interacts in the low micromolar range through its N-terminal PLMI motif with the same extracellular GPER pocket, and more specifically through hydrogen and hydrophobic contacts with the residues Gln-138, Pro-192 and Ala-209, as displayed by other ligands (Lappano et al., 2019; Kampa et al., 2023). These observations suggested that the PLMI motif could play an important role in driving the action of ERa17p (Leiber et al., 2015; Lappano et al., 2019; Jouffre et al., 2023). Accordingly, the PLMI peptide displays similar effects as ERa17p. These results highlight also that the 295-311 and 123-139 sequences or, at least, their PLMI motif, may participate to the physical interaction of ERa and ERa36, respectively, with GPER (Acramel and Jacquot, 2022).

#### 3 Conclusion

We believe that the interesting and timely issues raised by the article of E. Prossnitz and M. Barton would benefit from the

References

Acramel, A., and Jacquot, Y. (2022). Deciphering of a putative GPER recognition domain in ER $\alpha$  and ER $\alpha$ 36. Front. Endocrinol. 13, 943343. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022. 943343

DeLeon, C., Wang, D. Q. H., and Arnatt, C. K. (2020). G protein-coupled estrogen receptor, GPER1, offers a novel target for the treatment of digestive diseases. *Front. Endocrinol.* 11, 578536. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.578536

Evans, P. D. (2019). Aldosterone, STX and amyloid- $\beta$ 1-42 peptides modulate GPER (GPR30) signalling in an embryonic mouse hippocampal cell line (mHippoE-18). *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 496, 110537. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2019.110537

Groban, L., Tran, Q. K., Ferrario, C. M., Sun, X., Cheng, C. P., Kitzman, D. W., et al. (2020). Female heart health: is GPER the missing link? *Front. Endocrinol.* 10, 919. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00919

addition of recent findings, in particular with respect to the list of GPER modulators including ER $\alpha$ 17p and PLMI, as well as others, namely aldosterone, the diphenylacrylamide derivative STX and, possibly, the amyloid  $\beta$ 1-42 peptide (Evans, 2019), and related physiological roles resulting from membrane-initiated signaling. A comprehensive review recapitulating the aforementioned data has been recently published (Kampa et al., 2023). Overall, we would like to highlight the relevance of considering this peptide in the list of GPER modulators.

#### **Author contributions**

RL: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. MM: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. CM: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. YJ: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

## **Funding**

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Jouffre, B., Acramel, A., Belnou, M., Santolla, M. F., Talia, M., Lappano, R., et al. (2023). Identification of a human estrogen receptor α tetrapeptidic fragment with dual antiproliferative and anti-nociceptive action. *Sci. Rep.* 13, 1326–3796. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-28062-9

Kampa, M., Lappano, R., Grande, F., Rizzuti, B., Maggiolini, M., Castanas, E., et al. (2023). Promising perspectives of the antiproliferative GPER inverse agonist  $ER\alpha17p$  in breast cancer. *Cells* 12, 653. doi:10.3390/cells12040653

Kampa, M., Pelekanou, V., Gallo, D., Notas, G., Troullinaki, M., Pediaditakis, I., et al. (2011). ER $\alpha$ 17p, an ER $\alpha$  P<sub>295</sub>-T<sub>311</sub> fragment, modifies the migration of breast cancer cells, through actin cytoskeleton rearrangements. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 112, 3786–3796. doi:10.1002/jcb.23309

Lappano et al. 10.3389/fphar.2024.1413058

Lappano, R., Mallet, C., Rizzuti, B., Grande, F., Galli, G. R., Byrne, C., et al. (2019). The peptide ERα17p is a GPER inverse agonist that exerts antiproliferative effects in breast cancer cells. *Cells* 8, 590. doi:10.3390/cells8060590

Leiber, D., Burlina, F., Byrne, C., Robin, P., Piesse, C., Gonzalez, L., et al. (2015). The sequence Pro295-Thr311 of the hinge region of œstrogen receptor  $\alpha$  is involved in ERK1/2 activation via GPR30 in leiomyoma cells. *Biochem. J.* 472, 97–109. doi:10.1042/BJ20150744

Maggiolini, M., Santolla, M. F., Avino, S., Aiello, F., Rosano, C., Garofalo, A., et al. (2015). Identification of two benzopyrroloxazines acting as selective GPER antagonists in breast cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Future Med. Chem.* 7, 437–448. doi:10.4155/fmc.15.3

Mallet, C., Boudieu, L., Lamoine, S., Coudert, C., Jacquot, Y., and Eschalier, A. (2021). The antitumor peptide ER $\alpha$ 17p exerts anti-hyperalgesic and anti-inflammatory actions through GPER in mice. *Front. Endocrinol.* 12, 578250. doi:10.3389/fendo.2021.578250

Notas, G., Kampa, M., and Castanas, E. (2021). G protein-coupled estrogen receptor in immune cells and its role in immune-related diseases. *Front. Endocrinol.* 11, 579420. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.579420

Pelekanou, V., Kampa, M., Gallo, D., Notas, G., Troullinaki, M., Duvillier, H., et al. (2011). The estrogen receptor alpha-derived peptide  $ER\alpha17p$  ( $P_{295}$ - $T_{311}$ ) exerts pro-

apoptotic actions in breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, independently from their ER $\alpha$  status. Mol. Oncol. 5, 36–47. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2010.11.001

Pemberton, K., Rosato, M., Dedert, C., DeLeon, C., Arnatt, C., and Xu, F. (2022). Differential effects of the G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) on rat embryonic (E18) hippocampal and cortical neurons. *eNeuro* 9 *ENEURO* 9, 0475–21.2022. doi:10.1523/eneuro.0475-21.2022

Prossnitz, E. R., and Barton, M. (2023). The G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor GPER in health and disease: an update. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 19, 407–424. doi:10.1038/s41574-023-00822-7

Sharma, G., Mauvais-Jarvis, F., and Prossnitz, E. R. (2018). Roles of G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPER in metabolic regulation. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 176, 31–37. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2017.02.012

Thomas, P., Pang, Y., Filardi, E. J., and Dong, J. (2005). Identity of an estrogen membrane receptor coupled to a G protein in human breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* 146, 624–632. doi:10.1210/en.2004-1064

Zhang, D., Chen, H., Wang, J., Ji, J., Imam, M., Zhang, Z., et al. (2024). Current progress and prospects for G protein-coupled estrogen receptor in triple-negative breast cancer. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 12, 1338448. doi:10.3389/fcell.2024.1338448