1 X-RAY TOMOGRAPHY APPLIED TO TSUNAMI DEPOSITS: 2 OPTIMIZED IMAGE PROCESSING AND QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS 3 OF PARTICLE SIZE, PARTICLE SHAPE, AND SEDIMENTARY 4 FABRIC IN 3D

25 samples), as examples of complex sedimentary assemblages. A workflow of image processing is proposed to 26 measure 3D parameters of particle size, shape, and orientation using two different software packages (Fiji- ImageJ, and IPSDK) and two different methods of image segmentation. The semi-automated method provides 28 better segmentation quality of the tsunami deposit, as compared with the poor performance of fully-automated image segmentation. We also provide some clues to reduce the computational time. This workflow is applied to two examples of tsunami deposits on the coasts of the Algarve (1755 Lisbon tsunami, southern Portugal). Optimized processing of X-CT images gives access to detailed vertical variations of grain size, grain shape, and componentry (clayey matrix, silicates, and carbonates, including marine bioclasts), as well as information on flow directions inferred from the sedimentary fabric in 3D. High-resolution analysis of the bedforms and vertical grain size grading allows determining the flow conditions during the inundation phase of the tsunami.

1. Introduction

 Since its early development in the 1970s (Hounsfield, 1973), X-ray computed tomography (X-CT) imaging has been extensively used in the field of medical and biological sciences. Being a non-destructive technique, X-CT characterization provides a three-dimensional analysis of any complex object, which eliminates the conditional error that arises with two- dimensional image processing. In earlier days, Flannery et al. (1987) demonstrated the applicability of X-CT to glass beads, sandstone, and coal samples. Since the 1990s X-CT has also increasingly used in Earth Sciences, especially for the structural and textural characterization of rocks and sediments (Duliu; 1999; Mees et al., 2003; Cnudde and Boone, 2013). In sedimentology, X-CT was applied to marine sediments (Renter, 1989; Orsi et al., 1994; Boespflug et al., 1995; Reilly et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2021) and more specifically to turbidites (Goldfinger et al., 2012; Van Daele et al., 2014), but also on lake sediments (Flisch and Becker, 2003), glaciogenic sediments (Tarplee et al., 2011), and glaciolacustrine varves (Bendle et al., 2015). Its application to high-energy event deposits such as storm and tsunami

 deposits is quite recent (Cuven et al., 2013; May et al., 2016; Falvard and Paris, 2017; Falvard et al., 2018; Paris et al., 2020; Biguenet et al., 2022).

 X-CT offers new perspectives on the study of sedimentary deposits, especially on the links between depositional processes, micro-scale structures (discontinuities, faulting, thin subunits, etc.), bedforms (e.g., crude lamination), and texture of the sediment (grain size distribution, grain shape, and sedimentary fabric). Despite recent advances in the processing of X-CT volumetric datasets (e.g. Andrä et al., 2013; Müter et al., 2012; Reyes et al., 2017)**,** works focusing on a quantitative analysis of large-volume (x cm³) X-CT datasets of geological samples are lacking.

 The present study uses absorption-contrast mode X-ray computed tomography (X-CT) to propose an accurate and robust image processing workflow that is suitable for any kind of sedimentary deposit. To achieve that goal, X-CT analyses are performed on tsunami deposits, which represent a challenging case-study because of their complex structure and texture. Indeed, a tsunami deposit typically consists of particles of different sizes (from gravel to clay) and types (silicates, bioclasts, wood, etc.) in a discontinuous fine-grained matrix (typically clay-to-silt sized). The event deposit is often internally organized in subunits and laminated, with erosive contact at the base, and sharp or erosive contacts between each subunit. This spatial organization is combined with vertical variations of the grain size (e.g. Chagué-Goff et al., 2011; Goff et al., 2012; Engel et al., 2021, and references therein). The workflow presented here is focused on the optimization of image segmentation, allowing a reliable quantitative analysis of particle size, particle shape, and particle orientation in 3D.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Tsunami deposit sampling

 Sediment core samples were collected in carbon tubes on two sites on the southern Algarve coast of Portugal (Martinhal and Boca do Rio, Fig. 1), where deposits formed by the 1755 C.E. Lisbon tsunami are preserved in the coastal stratigraphy (e.g., Dawson et al., 1995; Hindson and Andrade, 1999; Hindson et al., 1999; Kortekaas et Dawson, 2007; Cunha et al., 2010; Costa et al., 2012a,b; Font et al., 2013, Vigliotti et al., 2019). The tubes are made up of carbon fibers, which are almost transparent to X-rays, with a maximum length of 100 mm, an outer diameter of 20 mm, and a wall thickness of 1 mm. After the sampling, the core sample is stored under cold-stable conditions. In theory, X-CT can be used over any shape and size of samples, but better results are obtained with tubular or cylindrical samples (Falvard and Paris, 2017; Ketcham and Carlson, 2001).

 At Martinhal, the trench prepared for sampling is located ~250 m from the shoreline (Fig. 1). The lowland is occupied by salt marsh vegetation and it is separated from the sea by a sand barrier consisting of dunes and a beach. The 1755 C.E. tsunami deposit is made of silicate particles (quartz, feldspars), lithogenic carbonates (calcite), biogenic carbonates (marine bioclasts such as abundant bivalve shells, as well as gastropods, foraminifera, and ostracods), mud aggregates, and rip-up clasts from the underlying brown estuarine mud (Hindson et al., 1999; Costa et al., 2012a). The matrix between particles is discontinuous, forming either a simple coating around individual particles or a wider-developed gangue including aggregated clasts. At the sampling location, the deposit has a total thickness of 20 cm and displays different subunits. Elsewhere its thickness varies from a few centimetres to 50 cm. The 10 cm-long core sampled a laminated fine-grained subunit at a depth of 60-70 cm below the surface, just above the basal coarse-sand subunit (Fig. 2), which rests over an erosional contact with the underlying mud.

 The second site, Boca do Rio, is a flat-floored, sediment-infilled valley bounded by steep slopes (Fig. 1). At the coast, a pebble beach covered by a thin veneer of sand and a rock spur together form a barrier that limits inundation by storm surge (Dawson et al., 1995). The valley is periodically impacted by river flooding leaving overflow silty sediment. The sampling site is located in the middle of the valley at 320 m from the shoreline. The 1755 C.E. tsunami deposit is a massive sand-to-gravel unit sandwiched within clayey silt sediments, with a lower erosional contact. The tsunami unit is laterally variable in terms of structure, thickness, and grain size (Hindson and Andrade, 1999). The event deposit contains gravel to boulder-size limestone, occasional sandstone clasts, rip-up clasts of mud entrained from the soft estuarine bottom, and abundant foraminifera, ostracods, and marine shells (Dawson et al., 1995; Hindson et al., 1999). The 17 cm-long core sample (Fig. 2) covers only the upper part of the tsunami deposit, corresponding to subunit B2 in Hindson and Andrade (1999), and 3.5 cm of clayey silt sediment on top (subunit B1 in Hindson and Andrade, 1999). The other subunits within the tsunami deposit were not suitable (too coarse) for our sampling technique.

2.2. X-ray computed tomography

 The raw images were obtained at the Laboratoire 3SR, Grenoble, France, using RX-Solutions, X-ray equipment consisting of a micro-focus X-ray generator, a flat panel detector, and a rotating sample holder (Fig. 2). The X-ray source emits a polychromatic (i.e., photons with a broad spectrum of energies) cone-shaped beam that interacts with the sample placed over a rotating turntable. A flat panel detector detects the photons that have passed through the sample as a two-dimensional projection of X-ray attenuation (which is closely related to the density of the material) of the whole scanned volume at a certain orientation. Different minerals with close values of density (e.g., quartz and feldspars) will have similar contrast and they shall provide similar grey values during image analysis. High-density minerals (e.g.,

 heavy minerals) and low-density materials (e.g., soil, wood) will respectively show light and dark grey values.

 The acquisitions were performed in a classical setup with a rotating sample (360°) and static 127 source and detector. The optimum scan settings for the specimens were determined at 100 kV and 100 μA, with 1 s exposure time per frame. The total acquisition scan was about 8 hours. The tomographic projections were reconstructed with a filtered back-projection algorithm resulting in tomograms with a pixel resolution of 8 µm. This resolution is sufficient to distinguish individual particles from the matrix and each other. The complex nature of a tsunami deposit both in terms of composition and structure requires a relatively high resolution to separate and characterize the different phases, and to characterize fine-grained particles up to the silt size fraction (Figs. 3 and 4). The reconstructions were performed with X-Act commercial software (RX-Solutions). Reconstructed data consists of a stack of 16-bit Tiff image files representing a total number of 9868 and 12981 cross-sections along the core (vertical slices from top to base), respectively for core MA-01 and core BR-01. The distribution of the grey-scale values provides a first-order idea of the different phases of the 139 sample (Fig. 3). The deposit analyzed here consists of four different phases characterized by different degrees of X-ray attenuation: particles of silicates (quartz, feldspars), particles of carbonates (marine bioclasts such as shell fragments and foraminifers, but also lithogenic carbonates such as calcite), clayey matrix (mud clasts, mud aggregates, interstitial mud), and air (pores).

2.3. Image processing

 For this study, we selected two software packages for conducting 3D image processing, filtering, particle segmentation, and quantitative analysis: the free and open-source Fiji distribution of ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012), and the commercial IPSDK (Image Processing Software development Kit) provided by Reactiv'IP company (Reactiv'IP, 2020). Fiji includes a variety of advanced image filtering options or segmentation modules that are required for this work. IPSDK also offers a comprehensive and optimized range of 2D and 3D image processing features and open-source code editing capabilities. It is available both in C 153 + + and Python. For the present work, IPSDK was customized to meet specific challenges related to image processing of complex granular material such as the sedimentary deposits addressed herein. The following system configuration was used for performing the image processing: Intel® Xeon® Gold 5218R CPU @2.10 GHz, 2 Sockets, 40 cores and 80 logical processors, 128GB RAM, and 64-bit Windows 10 Pro OS.

 Depending on the packing and sorting of the sediment, the degree of contrast between the different phases in terms of density, and the complexity of the grains (i.e. individual particles) in terms of shape, different strategies of image filtering, binarization, and segmentation can be 161 considered, as explained below.

2.3.1. Image filtering

 Post-processing started by cropping and scaling the stack of images. Reconstructed X-CT images were then filtered using a variance-weighted anisotropic diffusion 3D filter (Perona and Malik, 1990; Weickert, 1997) or a patch-based bilateral 3D filter (Shimodaira, 2013) to 167 uniformly reduce the effect of noise while preserving the particle edges as sharp as possible. A good balance between noise reduction and conservation of details must be tentatively found. The anisotropic diffusion filter has the advantage of removing noise from the images while preserving the boundaries and enhancing the contrast between the particles. The threshold value of diffusion steps was determined by a parametric study. Each voxel in the X- CT image is diffused unless the difference in the intensity between the voxel and its six face-centered neighboring voxels exceeds a given threshold value. The resulting anisotropic

2.3.2. Image binarization

 The purpose of the binarization is to separate the different phases in the sediment. In the case of an automated segmentation (Fig. 6), the image was binarized using the Otsu algorithm (Otsu, 1979). The Otsu thresholding binarization technique may not work effectively if the sample is heterogeneous and densely packed. The use of the Otsu algorithm on X-CT images may produce over-detection of particle edges because of the partial volume effect, resulting in interface voxels between the particles and pores of lower intensity (low gray values). This partial volume effect can be avoided by using a manual, or local, thresholding method. For the semi-automated approach (Fig. 6), a manual selection of the threshold proved to be a more 186 effective method.

2.3.3. Image segmentation

 Image segmentation aims at partitioning the binary images into individual particles. Among the different methods available (e.g. Guntoro et al., 2019; Khaire and Thakur, 2013), the distance transform marker-based watershed method is commonly used in studying X-CT images of complex granular porous media (Faessel and Jeulin, 2010). A distance map was first acquired from the thresholded image using a conventional morphological watershed method (Jain, 1989). The flooding-based watershed algorithm (Beucher and Lantuejoul, 1979) was then applied to the inverted distance map to define the boundaries of individual particles – that is, to separate the particles from voids. Markers (or *seeds*) are pixels or groups of pixels from which the individual particles "grow" as the flooding progresses. A common choice for the markers is the local minima or maxima of the morphological gradient of the

 image. The required marker was determined automatically by another algorithm termed an extended minima or maxima operator. Over-segmentation of particles with significant differences in shape and size was often observed, leading to more local minima on the distance map (Fonseca et al., 2012). To mitigate such over-segmentation problems, we used the "Dilate Local Extrema 2d/3d" algorithm of IPSDK, and the "Extended Minima or Maxima 2d/3d" algorithm of the MorpholibJ library. MorphoLibJ is a collection of mathematical morphology methods and plugins for Fiji, created at the INRA-IJPB Modeling and Digital Imaging lab (Legland et al., 2016). Explicitly computing the seeds according to the image distance map and then computing a seeded watershed segmentation allowed for an easier control of image segmentation.

 A detailed workflow of both the automated and semi-automated segmentation is provided in Figures 7 and 8. The semi-automated segmentation was done using the morphological segmentation tool of the MorphoLibJ library included with Fiji and using the Advanced Morphology library included with IPSDK. The source codes are available as supplementary material (Suppl. 1, 2, and 3).

2.3.4. Quantitative analysis of the particles

216 Particle (grain) size was inferred through the diameter of the equivalent sphere (d_{eav}) , i.e., the 217 sphere having the same volume as the volume of the particle $(V_{particle})$ that is calculated from 218 the volumetric X-CT data (triangular surface mesh). The particle diameter was thus calculated as follows:

$$
d_{eqv} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{6 \times V_{particle}}{\pi}}
$$

 Vertical variation of the grain size distribution along the core was plotted using the "Grainstat" Python code (developed by Falvard and Paris, 2017). Grainstat calculates the

 median particle size (d50), first and third-size quartiles (d25, d75), maximum particle size, number of particles, kurtosis, skewness, and sorting coefficient at a sub-sampling step size defined by the user. Particles with an equivalent diameter smaller than 10 voxels (~80 microns large) were filtered and ignored in the quantitative analysis, thus focusing on size fractions that were reliably rendered in 3D.

227 For the characterization of the shape of the particles, we calculated the sphericity index, **228** which is a shape descriptor commonly used to quantify the similarity of a given particle to a **229** perfect sphere (Wadell, 1933). The sphericity index, as defined by Wadell (1933) is close to 1 230 for a perfect spherical shape and tends towards 0 for complex or plane-shaped particles. 231 Sphericity was calculated as follows:

$$
Sphericity = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{3}} (6V_{particle})^{\frac{2}{3}}}{A_{particle}}
$$

232 where $V_{particle}$ is the volume of the particle, and $A_{particle}$ corresponds to its surface area.

233

234 *2.3.5. 3D orientation of the particles*

 During the sampling, sediment cores were oriented with respect to the North. Segmented images are analyzed to characterize the orientation of all individual particles using the *Blob3D* program (Ketcham, 2005). The 3D orientation of each particle was inferred from the direction 238 cosine of the three axes of the particle and the calculation of the azimuthal and dip angles. The azimuth of orientation (with values ranging from 0 to 359°) was measured clockwise from the North. The dip angle (0-90°) corresponds to the angle above the horizontal plane. This fabric data was then processed using *Stereo32* program (Copyright © Klaus Röller, Claudia A. Trepmann, Institut für Geologie, Mineralogie und Geophysik, Ruhr Universität) and plotted as stereographic projections (equal angle projection, lower hemisphere). The 244 geometry of the fabric at a given vertical step along the core was inferred from the parameters 245 of the ellipsoid drawn by the three orthogonal eigenvectors. These parameters include the degree of anisotropy, elongation, azimuth, and plunge (i.e., dip of the ellipsoid). The vertical step of analysis was determined based on image resolution, quality, and number of particles (typically thousands or even tens of thousands of particles at each vertical step). Here a vertical step of 2.5 mm was selected for initial analysis, but the data can be reprocessed with 250 larger steps, to facilitate viewing.

-
- **3. Results**
-

3.1. Computation time

255 With the computing system configuration used for this study, segmentation of the entire stack 256 could not be handled by Fiji, whereas IPSDK could easily perform it. IPSDK significantly reduced the computational time of the analysis as compared to the results provided by Fiji, especially for 3D calculations (Table 1). This is due to the ability of IPSDK software to parallelize functions, which allows significant acceleration the speed of image processing (Kornilov et al., 2020). Its framework uses all available CPU resources provided by the hardware by combining an intensive usage of multi-threading and Simple Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) instruction sets.

3.2. Phase distribution and vertical variations of composition

 The tsunami deposits used in the present study are clast-supported, but the low porosity (~8%) 266 of the two samples indicates that a fine-grained matrix is present, which is confirmed by the 267 X-CT images (Figs. 9 & 10). The matrix has a clayey-silt size distribution and it is then referred to as mud for simplicity. This matrix between coarser particles is discontinuous, forming either a simple coating around individual particles or a wider-developed gangue including aggregated clasts. The tsunami deposits are composed dominantly of subangular to subrounded silicate grains (40-45 % of quartz and feldspars), mud (25-35% of muddy matrix, mud clasts, and mud aggregates), lithogenic and biogenic carbonates (12 and 24% for BR-01 and MA-01 respectively, consisting of limestone and individual calcite grains, and fragments of shells and foraminifera), and heavy minerals (less than 1%).

 The distribution of silicate, carbonate clasts (including bioclasts), and muddy matrix in the tsunami deposit, as well as particle size and shape, varies vertically from base to top of the cores. In core MA-01 (Fig. 9), the lower part (4-7 cm depth from the top of the core) of the 278 deposit is particularly rich in carbonates (>20%), including marine bioclasts, whereas the upper part has fewer carbonates (~10%) and more silicates (>45%) and more clayey matrix (25-30%). The lower part (5-8 cm depth) of core BR-01 is characterized by strong variations 281 of both the porosity and the matrix (which are negatively correlated) due to alternating fine-282 grained and coarse-grained lamina (Fig. 10). Then from 5 cm depth to the top of the deposit, the proportion of mud decreases progressively. The thin fine-grained sublayers associated with crude lamination have a high proportion of matrix (and consequently a low porosity). These matrix-supported mud lines are also rich in small-sized marine bioclasts. On the 286 contrary, coarse-grained sublayers are clast-supported (with a higher porosity but lower mud 287 content compared to fine-grained sublayers), even if they locally incorporate mud aggregates.

3.3. Particle size analysis

 Comparative tests on core MA-01 between the results obtained on the particle (grain) size distribution with the two different methods of image segmentation (automated and semi- automated), and the two different software packages (Fiji and IPSDK) are provided as Supplementary material (Suppl. 4 and 5).

 Particle size distribution of the MA-01 tsunami deposit sample ranges from fine to very coarse sand, with no significant differences between the different methods tested (Suppl. 4). The distribution is unimodal and poorly sorted, with a peak ranging from 100 μm to 300 μm (depending on the stack or region of interest considered). The mean equivalent diameters calculated using the semi-automated segmentation are consistently smaller than the ones calculated using automated segmentation. Indeed, semi-automated segmentation slightly overestimates the actual number of particles. This is mostly attributed to digital pixel noise (adding small dots), which can be easily removed by applying a despeckle filter. On the other hand, examination of the connected component labeled images produced with the automated methods (Fig. 7) reveals that many artificial particles are created because the dilated minima process often merges several small seeds, especially when particles have a complex shape.

 Vertical variations of the equivalent particle size are roughly similar for all types of segmentation methods (Suppl. 5), except that (a) the semi-automated method gives smaller mean equivalent diameters (for the reasons mentioned above), and (b) Fiji gives larger mean equivalent diameter values compared to IPSDK. The step size of 500 µm adopted here renders all significant variations of grain size along the cores (Suppl. 6). The particle size distribution of the lower half of core MA-01 is coarser and better sorted compared to its upper half (Suppls. 4 & 5; Fig. 11), which displays more matrix and finer-grained mud-rich sublayers (referred to as mud lines), resulting in stronger variations of particle size and sorting (Fig. 11). Considering a larger step size of analysis (e.g. 5 or 10 mm), MA-01 appears slightly normally-graded from 6 to 3.5 cm depth (Suppl. 6).

 Analysis of the BR-01 core shows subtle vertical variations of grain size (Fig. 12). Overall, the deposit is poorly sorted. The lower part of the core (5-8 cm depth) is characterized by alternating laminae of clast-supported fine-to-medium sand and matrix-supported fine sand. The base of the deposit (7-8 cm depth) is inversely graded, as seen both on the mean and maximum grain size (Fig. 12). The upper part of the deposit (0-5 cm depth) is more homogenous in terms of mean grain size and sorting, except peaks of maximum grain size that are due to the presence of large fragments of bivalve shells.

3.4. Particle shape analysis

 The different phases found in the tsunami deposit are characterized by different shapes. As an example, marine bioclasts display more complex shapes and consequently a lower degree of sphericity compared to silicate grains (Fig. 13). Particle sphericity distribution obtained using IPSDK shows peak values ranging from 0.6 to 0.8 (often centered to 0.8), whereas Fiji gives more flatten distributions, often bimodal, with peaks between 0.4 and 0.8 (Suppl. 7). The segmentation method in Fiji generates a significant number of irrelevant artifacts with odd shapes. In contrast, the distribution obtained with the IPSDK is much less noisy, because the mesh approximating the particle shape is denser compared to Fiji, and thus provides a better estimate of the shape. In Fiji, the mesh is not accurate enough, especially for very small (less than a few hundred voxels in diameter), flat, and complex particles.

 Vertical variations of the sphericity values along core MA-01 (Fig. 14) confirm that there is a significant difference between the two softwares, but also between the two segmentation methods. The difference between automated and semi-automated segmentation in terms of sphericity distribution is due to the presence of complex particles such as bioclasts and mud aggregates, or muddy matrix around particles, which cannot be removed easily with automated segmentation, hence locally resulting in a bimodal sphericity distribution (Suppl. 7). Consequently, the automated segmentation technique seems inappropriate in the upper half of core MA-01, which contains a higher proportion of matrix (Fig. 9). There is also a clear relationship between the mean sphericity of the particles and the abundance of marine shells in the sediment, as seen in the upper third of core MA-01 (Fig. 14).

3.5. Sedimentary fabric inferred from the 3D orientation of the particles

 We can distinguish different types of sedimentary fabric on the stereograms (Figs. 15 and 16): (a) an unimodal fabric with a single azimuth angle (i.e., direction) corresponding to a type-a fabric according to Paris et al. (2020); (b) a bimodal fabric (type-b from Paris et al., 2020); and a dispersed fabric (type-d from Paris et al., 2020). Most of the stereograms display type-b and type-d fabrics, but type-a fabric locally appears in core MA-01, especially in the coarse sand fraction (Fig. 15). The bimodality of type-b stereograms is not always symmetrical, thus giving birth to transitional a-b fabrics. On both cores, the fabric is more dispersed in the very fine and fine sand fractions, compared to the medium and coarse sands. The dip angle of the fabric ranges between 5 and 20°, with a mean value of 12°.

 As for the grain size and grain shape, the fabric shows vertical variations both in terms of anisotropy and azimuth angle, especially in the medium and coarse sand fractions. In core MA-01, the fabric seems better organized in the lower part of the deposit (4.5-7 cm depth in Fig. 15), with bimodal type-b fabric oriented NW-SE to NNW-SSE (well recorded in the medium sand fraction), turning to type-a fabric at 4.5-5 cm depth. In the middle part (1-4.5 cm depth), unimodal type-a or bimodal type-b fabrics are preserved in the very coarse sand fraction, while dispersed fabric dominates the other fractions. At the very top of the deposit, type-b fabric is oriented E-W (0-1 cm depth).

 In core BR-01, the fabric is poorly organized (type-d), except for short intervals of type-a fabric directed NW-NNW at 6.4 cm depth, type-b fabric oriented NW-SE at 3 cm depth, and transitional a-b fabric oriented NW-SE to NNW-SSE at 0.5 cm depth, as recorded in the medium sand fraction (Fig. 16). The results obtained in the coarse sand fraction of BR-01 are not reliable, due to a limited number of particles (<50, compared to thousands of particles for the other size fractions).

4. Discussion

4.1. Segmentation methods

 Separating individual complex-shaped particles in a tsunami deposit in 3D is a major challenge in terms of image processing. Marker-based distance transform watershed segmentation is usually a very efficient algorithm that provides a satisfactory solution and prevents over-segmentation (Beucher and Meyer, 2019), but the challenge itself is the separation of individual particles. The automated segmentation process makes use of a 3D distance transform of the binary image to create a distance map on which a 3D watershed transformation is applied to separate the pore space into distinct particles. This is achieved by identifying watershed basins around each local maxima of the distance transform, resulting in one particle object associated with every local maxima. However, when particles have a rough surface, the application of this technique can break the particles into many small objects due to additional local maxima near the surface of small objects. The main limitation of this partitioning method is the use of spherical structuring elements when identifying watershed basins, which might not cope very well with tortuous interconnected particle clusters or complex-shaped particles.

 The semi-automated segmentation process uses a watershed transformation of the image gradient (such as morphological or Gaussian gradient) constrained by markers (one marker for each object and one for the background). In that case, the correctness of the segmentation depends on the quality of the gradient and the relevance of the markers. Furthermore, to obtain representative boundaries between particles, an appropriate choice of the threshold value of the distance map could be a satisfactory solution to reveal boundaries between large particles and the matrix.

 In terms of particle size distribution, a great discrepancy is found between the automated and semi-automated methods, both for Fiji and IPSDK. The semi-automated segmentation process provides a better separation of particles from the matrix. The two methods provided similar particle size distribution results when tested on the lower half of the MA-01 core (3-7 cm depth), but great discrepancies were found when the methods were applied to the upper half of the core (0-3 cm depth), which is enriched in matrix and mud aggregates. One can observe several touching particles, which are not disconnected in the automated segmentation, while every object is identified in the case of the semi-automated segmentation. Boundaries between objects are often misplaced in the first case, while they are precisely reproduced in the second case. Large particles with a complex shape (e.g. mud aggregates) are often excluded or treated as voids. Indeed, a higher concentration of matrix prevents particle segmentation, hence generating larger or missing objects, especially in the case of automated segmentation.

 One of the main advantages proposed by the semi-automated method is that it better reproduces the convexity of complex-shaped objects. The identified seeds used in the watershed-based methods presented here are derived from the extended maxima of the distance map function. Hence, they tend to describe objects as a union of convex shapes. Automated segmentation algorithm constrained with such markers tends to over-segment concave objects. For instance, a concave-shaped particle is largely over-segmented with the automated segmentation method (images a, b, and c in Fig. 17). Furthermore, two touching particles whose union owns a single maxima in the distance map function are merged as a single seed with automated segmentation method (image d on Fig. 17). As the semi-automated method approach presented here exempts us to use standard markers, objects are correctly segmented whatever their convexity, and even in the presence of a muddy matrix. The comparative segmentation results show that the semi-automated segmentation technique successfully overcomes major challenges posed by the large diversity and complexity of the tsunami deposits studied here. Given the ability of the technique to deal with particles with a complex morphology, it could be readily used to segment other kinds of complex granular materials.

4.2. Influence of the segmentation method on the particle size distribution

 There exists a difference in the level of agreement among particle size distribution measurements estimated using different segmentation methods. The automated segmentation method underestimates the smallest fractions and overestimates the number of complex- shaped large particles (e.g., fragments of bivalve shells), especially in regions enriched in muddy matrix and mud aggregates. In our case, the lack of phase contrast between individual particles and the matrix aggravates this drawback. Therefore, the particle size distribution is artificially skewed to the coarse side (Suppl. 4).

 With semi-automated segmentation many small objects, which could not be distinguished using automated segmentation, are preserved. The semi-automated segmentation process can produce both (a) large-size seeds for large and complex particles, and (b) small-size seeds for very fine particles. With the automated segmentation, the smallest particles are not detected due to seeds or markers limitations. The semi-automated segmentation also makes use of spherical structuring elements when performing watershed to produce watershed lines to remove the unwanted matrix, while the automated segmentation methods cannot always remove the matrix around the particles.

4.3. Influence of the segmentation method on the particle shape

 Characterization of the particle shape is also influenced by the choice of the segmentation method. Although particles are mostly sub-angular, some of the particles are far from being perfect spheres (Fig. 13). The automated segmentation method often fails to accurately reproduce complex-shaped particles, thus giving less reliable values of sphericity, compared to the semi-automated method (Suppl. 7). The two methods are in agreement if there is a very low proportion of matrix in the deposit, but as for the particle size, the results are less reliable when the matrix is abundant, as well as complex-shaped particles (e.g., bioclasts). The lack of agreement among the estimation of particle shape can thus be attributed to the way each segmentation method handles interconnected particle clusters as well as matrix-supported horizons or lamina. For the tsunami deposits studied here, only the methods based on semi-automated segmentation produce consistent results on particle shape.

4.4. Implication for the interpretation of tsunami deposits at a microtextural scale

 Tsunami deposits are complex because they result from the mixing of different sources of sediments both from nearshore and onshore zones, thus including particles of different sizes and shape, as well as varied lithologies. In terms of shape, the most challenging particles to characterize in 3D are the marine bioclasts. Fragments of shells may be very thin and they often have a high aspect ratio (e.g., bivalve shells). Corals and tests of gastropods and foraminifers are characterized by complex geometries, making them particularly difficult to segment in 3D. Another source of complexity may come from the lack of contrast between the two phases that are in contact. For instance, some particles and the matrix around particles may have a similar coefficient of attenuation of X-rays (e.g. silicate sand in a silicate mud).

 Compared to the other techniques commonly used for the characterization of tsunami deposits, X-ray tomography is far more than a 3D tool (Paris, 2020). Indeed, it gives access to much information that is not always visible to the eye or using conventional techniques, such as:

- a hidden or poorly-defined structure of the deposit (e.g., units apparently massive may be structured in subunits, which may change the interpretation);
- poorly-defined bedforms (e.g. crude lamination whose identification is crucial in terms of flow dynamics);
- structures related to bioturbation that may cast doubt on the detailed interpretation of some parts of the deposit;
- small-size marine bioclasts whose identification confirms the marine nature of the deposit, especially if coarse bioclasts are absent;
- the presence and proportion of a matrix in the sedimentary assemblage and its significance in terms of flow dynamics and sediment sources;
- and the sedimentary fabric (i.e. the spatial arrangement of the particles in 3D), which provides information on the flow dynamics, current orientation, and particle concentration.

 All these features should be described not only qualitatively but also quantitatively, which requires an appropriate and efficient segmentation workflow (assuming that the scanning parameters are already optimized). Once segmentation is achieved, image analysis provides statistics and graphs on all particles or a single phase (e.g. bioclasts or heavy minerals). Typical plots that can be produced include size metrics (mean, maximum size, sorting, skewness, etc.), shape metrics (sphericity, convexity, etc.), phase distribution (e.g. silicate clasts, matrix, bioclasts, etc.) plotted as a function of depth along the core. As an example, optimized segmentation and quantitative analysis of the core sample provide an in-depth characterization of the 1755 tsunami in Martinhal and Boca do Rio. First, X-ray imagery confirms that at these sampling sites, the event-deposits are well-preserved and not bioturbated. A macroscopic examination of the deposits would conclude that they are clast- supported, but in fact, the deposits are not clast-supported all along the core. The proportion of the matrix varies with depth (Figs. 9 and 10) and some mud-rich subunits are matrix-supported (e.g., medium sand mixed with clayey silt at 6-7 cm depth on MA-01).

4.4.1. Martinhal (MA-01)

 Two different parts can be distinguished in the MA-01 tsunami deposit. The lower part (3.5-7 cm depth) is quite homogenous in terms of composition, particle size, and particle shape (Figs. 9 & 12). It is poorly sorted, with clast size ranging from silt to very coarse sand. The upper part (0-3.5 cm depth) is slightly finer and better sorted. In terms of phase distribution, the upper part has a higher proportion of silicates, but a lower proportion of carbonates compared to the lower part (Fig. 9). Lower values of sphericity in the upper part of the deposits (Suppl. 7) are consistent with a higher abundance of marine shells, compared to the lower part (Fig. 14). There is a decorrelation between the proportion of carbonate and the number of shells. Indeed, the carbonates are either lithogenic (calcite from the local Upper Jurassic limestones) or biogenic (i.e. marine bioclasts), but the distinction between the two types of carbonates cannot be inferred from the density itself (i.e. gray values in Fig. 9). In the upper part of the deposit (0-3 cm depth), alternating fine and medium sand subunits create a crude lamination. The fine sand subunits are enriched in marine bioclasts and clayey-silt matrix, and they may correspond to a kind of poorly-defined mudlines, which is a common feature in tsunami deposits (e.g., May et al., 2016; Falvard and Paris, 2017).

 Kortekaas and Dawson (2007) described the Martinhal tsunami deposits as normally graded, with up to 3-4 fining upward sequences, depending on the sites. An in-depth analysis of the vertical variation of particle size from base to top of the deposit analyzed here shows no progressive vertical grading over the entire sequence, although the lower part is indeed coarser than the upper one (Fig 11). The normal grading detected between 3.5 and 6 cm depth is very slight, with a mean grain size turning from 200 um at 6 cm depth, to 170 um at 3.5 cm depth (Suppl. 6)., the overall trend of vertical grading of a tsunami deposit, which is often a fining upward trend, is superimposed on subunit-scale variations of grading, whatever inverse or normal, as highlighted by earlier studies using X-ray tomography on tsunami deposits (e.g., Falvard and Paris, 2017).

 The sedimentary fabric of MA-01 is better organized in the lower part (4.5-7 cm depth in Fig. 15) compared to the upper part (0-4.5 cm depth). The type-b bimodal fabric recorded in the medium and coarse sand fractions of the lower part is oriented NW-SE, which is consistent with a flow coming from the SE after crossing a coastline that is oriented SW-NE (Fig. 1). This fabric is thus parallel to the flow. In the upper part of the deposit, the fabric is rather chaotic, dispersed in all the sand size fractions, except for type a/b fabric in the very coarse sands (Fig. 15). Variations of the angle of fabric as recorded in the coarsest fractions may indicate an effect of wave resonance in the lowland basin.

4.4.2. Boca do Rio (BR-01)

 The core sample BR-01 analyzed here corresponds to the upper part of the tsunami deposit, referred to as subunit B2 in Hindson and Andrade (1999), Font et al. (2013), and Vigliotti et al. (2019). X-CT analysis provides additional details on the internal structure and grain size variations of this specific subunit. First, the base of B2 (7-8 cm depth) displays a clear inverse grading associated with an upward decrease of the sorting (Fig. 12). Although normal grading is the dominant type of vertical grading (if any) found in tsunami deposits, inverse grading has been often reported at the base of tsunami deposits or individual tsunami subunits (e.g., Paris et al., 2007; Choowong et al., 2008; Moore et al., 2011; Jaffe et al., 2012; Falvard and Paris, 2017, Moreira et al., 2017).

 X-CT analysis also demonstrates that the B2 subunit is not as massive and homogenous as what can be seen at the trench scale. There are two distinct parts. The lower part (5-8 cm depth) consists of alternating lamina of (a) clast-supported fine-to-medium sand, and (b) matrix-supported mud-rich fine sand, giving the deposit a crudely-laminated texture. A similar lamination associated with small-scale variations of grain size was also described on other tsunami deposits, and interpreted as upper-plane beds formed by successive pulses of sediment supply (Falvard and Paris, 2017; Paris et al., 2020). This laminated lower part is characterized by a dispersed fabric (type-d), except for a very short interval of type-a fabric directed NNE (at 6.4 cm depth in Fig. 16). This is concordant with the poorly-defined NE flow direction inferred from the analysis of the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) by Vigliotti et al. (2019). The dominant oblate shape of the ellipsoids of AMS (shape parameter T > 0 in Vigliotti et al., 2019) is also in agreement with a dispersed fabric.

 Disperse fabric continues up to 3.5 cm depth in the upper part of the deposit (Fig. 16). The conditions to produce a dispersed fabric are still unclear, but this could correspond to a hyper- concentrated flow in which the primary fabric could not be preserved at the time of deposition (Rees, 1983), for reasons related to the depositional rate or the grain size distribution (Paris et al., 2020). A low depositional rate associated with a lower sediment concentration allows for more time for the grains to rearrange their orientation during settling, especially if there is no matrix or fine-size fraction between the coarse grains.

 In the massive upper part of the deposit (0-3.5 cm depth), the fabric is slightly better organized, especially in the medium sand fraction, where the transitional a-b fabric indicates an NW-SE to NNW-SSE orientation. This is concordant with the NNW-SSE orientation of the valley. The slight asymmetry of the fabric towards the NW-NNW rather points to a flow that is still directed landward.

4.5. Advantages and limitations of the proposed workflow

 In sedimentology, most studies using X-CT images do not fully exploit their strong potential because the analysis remains essentially qualitative. As an example, Reilly et al. (2017) designed a user-friendly suite of Matlab tools (SedCT) to analyze X-CT images of sediment cores. The density of the sediment is estimated from the attenuation coefficient expressed in Hounsfield units. Similarly, Yan et al. (2021) developed a Matlab application (Core-CT) allowing the user to identify boundaries of density contrast and to run 3D geometrical measurements of these structural boundaries. The approach was applied to marine and lake sediment cores, and coral cores.

 Quantitative analysis of X-CT images at the grain scale remains quite rare. Cnudde et al. (2011) used the Morpho+ software (Vlassenbroeck et al., 2007) to segment a sandstone sample and to provide its grain size distribution (equivalent diameter in % volume), and the sphericity distribution. They worked at two different resolutions: 7.4 µm on a partial volume of 343 mm³ (53103 grains analyzed), and 0.7 µm on a 0.57 mm³ subsample (710 grains). In comparison, we have here analyzed a volume of 23,000 mm³ at a resolution of 8 µm, thus providing shape and size statistics for ~40,000 grains. This ability to process a large volume in a single piece is mainly linked to the optimization of calculation methods (Table 1).

 However, the quality of the segmentation (and therefore the reliability of the results) depends mostly on the workflow defined by the user. In some cases such as heterogeneous sediments rich in bioclasts, this workflow can be complex. Kong and Fonseca (2017) used an adaptative watershed method to segment a shell-rich sand, to improve the understanding of its mechanical properties. Their method is quite close to the one we use for automatic segmentation, the limits of which we have demonstrated above.

 The quality of the semi-automatic segmentation method that we propose here makes it possible to analyze any type of sediment at the grain scale. If the samples are spatially oriented, the dynamics of transport and deposition can be understood through the analysis of the sedimentary fabric. Van Daele et al. (2014) inferred the flow direction of turbidites from the description of folds in slumps, climbing ripples, asymmetrical convolution, and imbricated clasts in turbidites. However these features are not always visible at the core scale. Our method makes it possible to study the sedimentary fabric at the grain scale and different scales: whole core, regions of interest, subsections defined at a regular step, etc.

 X-ray tomography should not be presented as a unique method replacing conventional methods such as laser diffraction granulometry, or optical and electron microscopy. Previous studies conducted by Falvard and Paris (2017) and Paris et al. (2020) have already carried out comparisons between these different methods, thus proving their complementarity. Even if the calculation times necessary for image segmentation are less and less limiting, X-CT does not yet reach the resolution of a scanning electron microscope (e.g., for the identification of small-size foraminifers and diatoms). Another limitation is that compared to a laser particle size analyzer, the grain size distribution estimated by X-CT is limited by the resolution and therefore by the scanned volume. To obtain a reliable total grain size distribution, several scans will have to be carried out at different resolutions, the finest particle size fractions (e.g., silts) being taken into account only on small-volume subsamples.

5. Conclusions

 Recent developments in X-CT open the possibility of imaging and characterizing geological samples at a resolution that was unimaginable a couple of decades ago. The tsunami deposits selected for this study are a case-studies of complex sedimentary deposits whose image processing is particularly difficult and potentially time-consuming. The X-CT is a feasible approach to characterize the structure and texture of sedimentary deposits, including multi phase deposits with complex-shaped particles such as tsunami deposits, storm deposits, or turbidites. The semi-automated workflow of image segmentation proposed here appears to be far more performant and reliable than a fully automated segmentation.

 X-CT gives access to a level of detail hitherto unequaled on the internal structure, the vertical variations of grain size, the distribution of marine bioclasts, and the sedimentary fabric as an indicator of the flow direction in a tsunami deposit.

 This study lays the groundwork for further studies focused on the practical development of standardized image segmentation methodologies for sedimentary deposits and other complex geological samples. With some modifications from one case to another, the method of segmentation presented here applies to most of the sedimentary deposits or rocks. Further studies could focus on improved segmentation between particles and a matrix with a similar chemical composition (i.e. implying poor contrast between the particles and the matrix).

Acknowledgments

 The authors are grateful to Edward Ando (3SR laboratory, Grenoble), Laurence Audin (IsTerre, Grenoble), and Warren Aim (Réactiv'IP). This work was financed by the Région Auvergne Rhône-Alpes, Pack Ambition Recherche project CT-SCAM (*Caractérisation des dépôts de Tsunami : Structure, Composition et fAbrique à l'échelle Micromorphologique*), and IRICE project CarMa (Caractérisation, imagerie et analyse des Matériaux naturels et synthétiques du centimètre au nanomètre). This is Laboratory of Excellence ClerVolc contribution n° 640.

- **Data availability**
- Name of the code: SegGeol.
- Contact: raphael.paris@uca.fr
- Code languages: Python (IPSDK), Java (Fiji).
- Environment/software required: any Python environment (e.g. Spyder IDE, PyCharm) with
- IPSDK installed, or Fiji-ImageJ (Java language).
- The source codes are available as supplementary material.
-
- **References**
- Andrä, H., Combaret, N., Dvorkin, J., Glatt, E., Han, J., Kabel, M., Keehm, Y., Krzikalla, F.,
- Lee, M., Madonna, C., Marsh, M., Mukerji, T., Saenger, E.H., Sain, R., Saxena, N.,
- Ricker, S., Wiegmann, A., Zhan, X., 2013. Digital rock physics benchmarks-Part I:
- Imaging and segmentation. Computers and Geosciences. 50, 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.09.005
- Bendle, J.M., Palmer, A.P., Carr, S.J., 2015. A comparison of micro-CT and thin section analysis of Lateglacial glaciolacustrine varves from Glen Roy, Scotland. Quaternary Science Reviews 114, 61–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.02.008
- Beucher, S., Lantuejoul, C., 1979. Use of Watersheds in Contour Detection. In: International
- Workshop on Image Processing: Real-Time Edge and Motion Detection/Estimation. Rennes, France, pp. 12–21.
- Beucher, S., Meyer, F., 2019. The Morphological Approach to Segmentation: The Watershed Transformation, in: Mathematical Morphology in Image Processing. pp. 433–481. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781482277234-12
- Biguenet, M., Chaumillon, E., Sabatier, P., Paris, R., Vacher, P., Feuillet, N., 2022. Discriminating between tsunamis and tropical cyclones in the sedimentary record using X-ray tomography. Marine Geology 106864.
- Boespflug, X., Long, B.F.N., Occhietti, S., 1995. CAT-scan in marine stratigraphy: a quantitative approach. Marine Geology 122, 281–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025- 3227(94)00129-9
- Chagué-Goff, C., Schneider, J.-L., Goff, J.R, Dominey-Howes, D., Strotz, L., 2011. Expanding the proxy toolkit to help identify past events — Lessons from the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami and the 2009 South Pacific Tsunami. Earth-Science Reviews 107, 107-122.
- Choowong, M., Murakoshi, N., Hisada, K.-I., Charoentitirat, T., Charusiri, P., Phantuwongraj, S., Wongkok, P., 2008. Flow Conditions of the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami in Thailand, Inferred from Capping Bedforms and Sedimentary Structures. Terra Nova 20, 141-149.
- Cnudde, V., Boone, M., Dewanckele, J., Dierick, M., Van Hoorebeke, L., Jacobs, P., 2011. 3D characterization of sandstone by means of X-ray computed tomography. Geosphere 7, 54–61. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00563.1
- Cnudde, V., Boone, M., 2013. High-resolution X-ray computed tomography in geosciences: A review of the current technology and applications. Earth-Science Reviews. 123, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.04.003
- Costa, P.J.M., Leroy, S.A.G., Dinis, J.L., Dawson, A.G., Kortekaas, S., 2012a. Recent high- energy marine events in the sediments of Lagoa de óbidos and Martinhal (Portugal): Recognition, age and likely causes. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 12, 1367–1380. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-1367-2012
- Costa, P., Andrade, C., Dawson, A.G., Mahaney, W.C., Freitas, M.C., Paris, R., Taborda, R.,
- 2012b. Microtextural characteristics of quartz grains transported and deposited by
- tsunamis and storms. Sedimentary Geology 275-276, 55-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.07.013
- Cunha, P., Buylaert, J., Murray, A., Andrade, C. Freitas, M.C., Fatela, F., Munhá, J., Martins,
- A., Sugisaki, S., 2010. Optical dating of clastic deposits generated by an extreme marine coastal flood: the 1755 tsunami deposits in the Algarve (Portugal). Quaternary
- Geochronology 5 (2-3), 329-335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2009.09.004
- Cuven, S., Paris, R., Falvard, S., Miot-Noirault, E., Benbakkar, M., Schneider, J.L., Billy, I.,
- 2013. High-resolution analysis of a tsunami deposit: Case-study from the 1755 Lisbon tsunami in southwestern Spain. Marine Geology 337, 98–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2013.02.002
- Dawson, A.G., Hindson, R., Andrade, C., Freitas, C., Parish, R., Baterman, R., 1995. Tsunami sedimentation associated with the Lisbon earthquake of 1 November AD 1755: Boca do Rio, Algarve, Portugal. The Holocene 5 (2), 209-215.
- Duliu, O.G., 1999. Computer axial tomography in geosciences: An overview. Earth Science Reviews 48, 265–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(99)00056-2
- Engel, M., Pilarczyk, J., May, S.M., Brill, D., Garrett, E., 2021. Geological records of tsunamis and other extreme waves. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 816 p.
- Faessel, M., Jeulin, D., 2010. Segmentation of 3D microtomographic images of granular materials with the stochastic watershed. Journal of Microscopy 239, 17–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.2009.03349.x
- Falvard, S., Paris, R., 2017. X-ray tomography of tsunami deposits: Towards a new depositional model of tsunami deposits. Sedimentology 64, 453–477. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12310
- Falvard, S., Paris, R., Belousova, M., Belousov, A., Giachetti, T., Cuven, S., 2018. Scenario of the 1996 volcanic tsunamis in Karymskoye Lake, Kamchatka, inferred from X-ray tomography of heavy minerals in tsunami deposits. Marine Geology 396, 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.04.011
- Flannery, B.P., Deckman, H.W., Roberge, W.G., D'Amico, K.L., 1987. Three-Dimensional X-Ray Microtomography. Science 237, 1439–1444. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.237.4821.1439
- Flisch, A., Becker, A., 2003. Industrial X-ray computed tomography studies of lake sediment drill cores. Geological Society Special Publications 215, 205–212. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.215.01.19
- Fonseca, J., O'Sullivan, C., Coop, M.R., Lee, P.D., 2012. Non-invasive characterization of particle morphology of natural sands. Soils and Foundations. 52, 712–722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2012.07.011
- Font, E., Veiga-Pires, C., Pozo, M., Nave, S., Costas, S., Muñoz, F.R., Abad, M., Simões, N.,
- Duarte, S., Rodríguez-Vidal, J., 2013. Benchmarks and sediment source(s) of the 1755 Lisbon tsunami deposit at Boca do Rio Estuary. Marine Geology 343, 1-14.
- Goff, J.R., Chagué-Goff, C., Nichol, S., Jaffe, B., Dominey-Howes, D., 2012. Progress in palaeotsunami research. Sedimentary Geology 243–244, 70-88.
- Goldfinger, C., Nelson, C.H., Morey, A.E., Johnson, J.E., Patton, J.R., Karabanov, E.B.,
- Gutierrez-Pastor, J., Eriksson, A.T., Gracia, E., Dunhill, G., Enkin, R.J., Dallimore, A.,
- Vallier, T., 2012. Turbidite Event History Methods and Implications for Holocene
- Paleoseismicity of the Cascadia Subduction Zone: U.S. Geological Survey Professional
- Paper 1661–F, 170 p, http://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/pp1661/f.
- Guntoro, P.I., Ghorbani, Y., Koch, P.H., Rosenkranz, J., 2019. X-ray microcomputed tomography (µct) for mineral characterization: A review of data analysis methods. Minerals 9, 20–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/min9030183
- Hindson, R., Andrade, C., 1999. Sedimentation and hydrodynamic processes associated with the tsunami generated by the 1755 Lisbon earthquake. Quaternary International 56, 27- 38.
- Hindson, R., Andrade, C., Parish, R., 1999. A microfaunal and sedimentary record of environmental change within the late Holocene sediments of Boca do Rio (Algarve-Portugal). Geologie en Mijnbouw 77, 311-321.
- Hounsfield, G.N., 1973. Computerized transverse axial scanning (tomography): Part 1. Description of system. British Journal of Radiology 46, 1016–1022. https://doi.org/10.1259/0007-1285-46-552-1016
- Jaffe, B.E., Goto, K., Sugawara, D., Richmond, B.M., Fujino, S., Nishimura, Y., 2012. Flow speed estimated by inverse modeling of sandy tsunami deposits: results from the 11 March 2011 tsunami on the coastal plain near the Sendai Airport, Honshu, Japan. Sedimentary Geology 282, 90–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.09.002
- Jain, A.K., 1989. Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing, Prentice Hall Inc.
- Ketcham, R.A., 2005. Three-dimensional grain fabric measurements using high-resolution X-
- ray computed tomography. Journal of Structural Geology 27, 1217-1228.
- Ketcham, R.A., Carlson, W.D., 2001. Acquisition, optimization and interpretation of X-ray computed tomography imagery: applications to the geosciences. Computers and Geosciences 27, 381–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-3004(00)00116-3
- Khaire., P.A., Thakur, N. V., 2013. An overview of image segmentation algorithms. International Journal of Image Processing and Vision Science 150–156. https://doi.org/10.47893/IJIPVS.2013.1028
- Kong, D., Fonseca, J., 2017. Quantification of the morphology of shelly carbonate sands using 3D images. Géotechnique 68 (3), 249-261. https://doi.org/10.1680/jgeot.16.P.278
- Kornilov, A., Safonov, I., Yakimchuk, I., 2020. Image Processing Speed and Memory footprint Benchmark. https://www.linkedin.com/posts/reactiv%27ip_ipsdk-benchmark-

schlumeberger-moscow-research-activity-6780147937830805504-gixz/

- Kortekaas, S., Dawson, A.G., 2007. Distinguishing tsunami and storm deposits: An example from Martinhal, SW Portugal. Sedimentary Geology 200, 208–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2007.01.004
- Legland, D., Arganda-Carreras, I., Andrey, P., 2016. MorphoLibJ: Integrated library and plugins for mathematical morphology with ImageJ. Bioinformatics 32, 3532–3534. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw413
- May, S.M., Falvard, S., Norpoth, M., Pint, A., Brill, D., Engel, M., Scheffers, A., Dierick, M., Paris, R., Squire, P., Brückner, H., 2016. A mid-Holocene candidate tsunami deposit from the NW Cape (Western Australia). Sedimentary Geology 332, 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2015.11.010
- Mees, F., Swennen, R., Van Geet, M., Jacobs, P., 2003. Applications of X-ray computed tomograpy in the geosciences. Geological Society Special Publications 215, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2003.215.01.01
- Moore, A., Goff, J., McAdoo, B.G., Fritz, H.M., Gusman, A., Kalligeris, N., Kalsum, K., Susanto, A., Suteja, D., Synolakis, C.E., 2011. Sedimentary deposits from the 17 July
- 2006 Western Java tsunami, Indonesia: use of grain size analyses to assess tsunami flow depth, speed, and traction carpet characteristics. Pure and Applied Geophysics 167, 1951-1961.
- Moreira, S., Costa, P.J.M., Andrade, C., Lira, C.P., Freitas, M.C., Oliveira, M.A., Reichart, G-
- J., 2017. High resolution geochemical and grain-size analysis of the AD 1755 tsunami deposit: insights into the inland extent and inundation phases. Marine Geology 390, 94- 105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.04.007.
- Müter, D., Pedersen, S., Sørensen, H.O., Feidenhans'l, R., Stipp, S.L.S., 2012. Improved segmentation of X-ray tomography data from porous rocks using a dual filtering approach. Computers and Geosciences 49, 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.06.024
- Orsi, T.H., Edwards, C.M., Anderson, A.L., 1994. X-ray computed tomography: a nondestructive method for quantitative analysis of sediment cores. Journal of Sedimentary Research 64, 690–693. https://doi.org/10.1306/d4267e74-2b26-11d7- 8648000102c1865d
- Otsu, N., 1979. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics 9, 62–66. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310076
- Paris, R., 2020. X-ray tomography applied to tsunami deposits. In: Engel, M., Pilarczyk, J., May, S.M., Brill, D., Garrett, E. (Eds.), Geological records of tsunamis and other extreme waves. Elsevier, 365-380.
- Paris, R., Lavigne, F., Wassmer, P., Sartohadi, J., 2007. Coastal sedimentation associated with the December 26, 2004 in Lhok Nga, west Banda Aceh (Sumatra, Indonesia). Marine Geology 238, 93-106.
- Paris, R., Falvard, S., Chagué, C., Goff, J., Etienne, S., Doumalin, P., 2020. Sedimentary fabric characterized by X-ray tomography: A case-study from tsunami deposits on the Marquesas Islands, French Polynesia. Sedimentology 67, 1207–1229. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12582
- Perona, P., Malik, J., 1990. Scale-space and edge detection using anisotropic diffusion. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 12, 629–639. https://doi.org/10.1109/34.56205
- Reactiv'IP, 2020. IPSDK Smart Image Processing. https://www.reactivip.com/fr/traitement-dimages/
- Rees, A.I., 1983. Experiments on the production of transverse grain alignment in a sheared dispersion. Sedimentology 30 (3), 437-448.
- 813 Reilly, B.T., Stoner, J.S., Wiest, J., 2017. SedCT: MATLAB[™] tools for standardized and quantitative processing of sediment core computed tomography (CT) data collected using a medical CT scanner. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 18, 3231-3240.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC006884
- Renter, J.A.M., 1989. Applications of computerized tomography in sedimentology. Marine Geotechnology 8, 201–211. https://doi.org/10.1080/10641198909379868
- Reyes, F., Lin, Q., Udoudo, O., Dodds, C., Lee, P.D., Neethling, S.J., 2017. Calibrated X-ray
- micro-tomography for mineral ore quantification. Minerals Engineering 110, 122–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2017.04.015
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., Cardona, A., 2012. Fiji: An open-source
- platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Shimodaira, H., 2013. Patch-based bilateral filter: algorithms and performance. 20th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIP.2013.6738179
- Tarplee, M.F.V., van der Meer, J.J.M., Davis, G.R., 2011. The 3D microscopic "signature" of 831 strain within glacial sediments revealed using X-ray computed microtomography. Quaternary Science Reviews 30, 3501–3532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.05.016
- Van Daele, M., Cnudde, V., Duyck, P., Pino, M., Urrutia, R., De Batist, M., 2014. 835 Multidirectional, synchronously-triggered seismo-turbidites and debrites revealed by X- ray computed tomography (CT). Sedimentology 61, 861–880. https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12070
- Vigliotti, L., Andrade, C., Freitas, M.C., Capotondi, L., Gallerani, A., Belluci, L., 2019. Paleomagnetic, rock magnetic and geochemical study of the 1755 tsunami deposit at Boca do Rio (Algarve, Portugal). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 514, 550-566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.10.030
- Vlassenbroek, J., Dierick, M., Masschaele, B., Cnudde, V., Van Hoorebeke, L., Jacobs, P., 2007. Software tools for quantification of X-ray microtomography at the UGCT. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 580, 442–445. https://doi:10.1016/j.nima.2007.05.073
- Wadell, H., 1933. Sphericity and Roundness of Rock Particles. Journal of Geology 41, 310– 331. https://doi.org/10.1086/624040

- X-ray computed tomography (CT). Computers and Geosciences 156, 104871. https://doi.org/101016/j.cageo.2021.104871
-
-
-

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.1 – Location maps of the study areas on the southern Algarve coast of Portugal.

 Fig. 2 – On the left: cross-sectional views of the 1755 C.E. Lisbon tsunami sampled in Martinhal (MA-01 core) and Boca do Rio (BR-01 core). On the right: X-CT scan setup of a tsunami sediment core at Laboratoire 3SR, Grenoble, France.

 Fig. 3 - Typical workflow of X-CT image analysis. The distribution of grey values is used to select threshold values for separating the four different classes: pores, mud (clayey matrix, and mud clasts), silicates (quartz and feldspar particles), and carbonates (lithogenic carbonates such as calcite, and biogenic carbonates such as marine shells and foraminifers).

 Fig. 4 - Three-dimensional views of the MA-01 core of tsunami deposit, obtained from X-CT. A: general view of the core (2 cm large, 10 cm long); B: closer-view on the upper part of the core, showing crude lamination; C: closer-view of the lower part of the core, which is coarser- grained compared to the upper part; D: matrix-supported clay-rich lamina; E: same as D, with carbonate clasts in red, silicate clasts in orange-yellow, the clayey matrix in light blue, and pores-void in dark blue; F: closer-view on the clast-supported, coarse-grained facies of the lower part of the core, with abundant marine bioclasts (shell fragments, broken tests of foraminifera).

Fig. 5 - Sensitivity analysis of the different steps used in the anisotropic diffusion filter.

 Fig. 6 – Image segmentation workflows. Left: automated segmentation. Right: semi-automated segmentation.

 Fig. 7 - Automated segmentation workflow. (a) Original X-CT image; (b) Noise reduction using an anisotropic diffusion 3D filter; (c) Conversion to an 8-bit binary image (Otsu threshold); (d) Distance map computed from (c); (e) Inversion of (d); (f) Extended Minima 3d operation from MorpholibJ library (Fiji) or Dilate Local Extrema 3d algorithm (Advanced Morphology library in IPSDK) on the distance map image (d) to identify all the local minima (seeds); (g) Conversion of local minima image (f) into a label image (seeds are assigned a specific label); (h) Seeded-based watershed segmentation from (e) and (g); (i) Watershed basins (h) multiplied or combined with a binary thresholded image (c) to get the final 32-bit labeled connected component image.

 Fig. 8 - Semi-automated segmentation workflow. (a) Original X-CT image; (b) Noise reduction using an anisotropic diffusion 3D filter; (c) Conversion of (b) to 8-bit binary image using manual thresholding; (d) Edge detection (morphological gradient); (e) Top hat transform algorithm to extract small elements; (f) Inversion of (e); (g) Distance map computed from (f) and later binarized into two different sets of seeds: (h) large seeds (manual adjusting, and erosion by one pixel to avoid overlaps), and (i) small seeds (Extended Minima 3d operation of Fiji, or Dilate Local Extrema 3d algorithm of IPSDK); (j) Combination of (h) and (i) using OR logical operator; (k) Labelled seeds image; (l) Inversion of distance map (g); (m) Seed-based watershed segmentation algorithm from (l) and (k); (n) Inversed watershed lines; (o) Combination of (m) with (c) using AND logical operator; (p) Despeckle filter (Fiji) or Remove Small Shape 3D filter (IPSDK); (q) Final labeled connected component (i.e. particle) image (p).

Suppl. 3 - Python code of the semi-automated segmentation method for Fiji.

 Suppl. 4 - Kernel density distribution (KDE) plots of equivalent particle diameter for core MA-01. KDE represents the statistical data using a continuous probability density curve in one or more dimensions. Numbers in subtitles refer to the X-CT image numbers from the base (image n° 0) to the top of the tsunami deposit (image n° 8747).

 Suppl. 5 – Vertical variations of the equivalent mean and maximum Diameters obtained with the two software (Fiji and IPSDK) and the two different segmentation methods (automated and semi-automated) for core MA-01.

 Suppl. 6 - Mean and max particle size vs depth along core MA-01, at four different step sizes.

 Suppl. 7 - Kernel density distribution plots of sphericity index for core MA-01. Numbers in subtitles refer to the X-CT image numbers from the base (image n° 0) to the top of the tsunami deposit (image n° 8747).