

Monitoring Lava Flows

Oryaëlle Chevrel, Andrew Harris

▶ To cite this version:

Oryaëlle Chevrel, Andrew Harris. Monitoring Lava Flows. Modern Volcano Monitoring, In press. hal-04160974

HAL Id: hal-04160974 https://uca.hal.science/hal-04160974v1

Submitted on 13 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. ^aUniversité Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, 63000

1 Monitoring Lava Flows

2

3

Oryaëlle Chevrel^{*a,b,c*} and Andrew Harris^{*a*}

4	Clermont-Ferrand, France.		
5	^b Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise, Institut de physique du globe de Paris,		
6	97418, La Plaine des Cafres, France		
7	^c Université Paris Cité, Institut de physique du globe de Paris, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France		
8			
9			
10	Table of co	ntent	
11	Abstract		
12	1. Introduction		3
13	1.1. Pr	reamble	3
14	1.2. Ty	ype of lava flows and associated hazard	4
15	1.2.1.	Lava flow types	4
16	1.2.2.	Associated hazard and risks	5
17	1.3. Sp	patial coverage, time scales and definitions	6
18	8 1.3.1. Spatial coverage, time scales		6
19	1.3.2.	Definition of source terms	7
20	2. Parame	eters to be measured	9
21	2.1. M	apping spatial extent and dimensions	9
22	2.2. La	ava temperature (core and surface)	12
23	2.2.1.	Thermocouples (core temperature)	12
24	2.2.2.	A first idea of the surface temperature	14
25	2.2.3.	Thermal infrared thermometer (surface temperature)	14
26	2.2.4.	Thermal camera (surface temperature)	17
27	2.2.5.	A short note on temperature retrievals from satellite-based sensors	20
28	2.3. La	ava surface velocity	21
29	2.4. Sa	ampling	21
30	2.5. La	ava flow morphology / typology	23
31	3. First O	rder derivatives	25
32	3.1. Bu	uilding DEMs and volume estimation	25
33	3.2. V	elocity profile and spreading rate	26
34	3.2.1.	Velocity profile	26
35	3.2.2.	Spreading rate	28

36	3	.3. He	at Loss and Cooling rate	
37	3	.4. Sa	mple chemical composition and texture	
38	4.	Second	order derivatives	
39	4	.1. Vo	lumetric discharge rate (volume flux)	
40		4.1.1.	Instantaneous effusion rate from lava velocity	
41		4.1.2.	TADR from volume, heat budget and flow area	
42		4.1.3.	Conversion to length	
43	4	.2. Rh	eological properties	
44		4.2.1.	Rheology from lava sample characteristics	
45		4.2.2.	Rheology of a lava flow	
46	5.	Hazard	product: Lava flow modelling	
47	6.	Lava flo	ow monitoring: The future	
48	7.	Referen	ces	
49 50				

51 Abstract

52

53 During a volcanic effusive crisis, the active lava flow(s) need(s) to be monitored to best 54 anticipate the possible affected area. A number of measurements needs to be made on site either 55 from ground or from the air and by satellite imagery. These measurements need to be made 56 through established protocols so derivative parameters can be calculated and extracted to 57 contribute to forecasting and reduce possible impacts of lava flows. Measurements and 58 reporting parameters include lava flow setting, morphological description, dimensions 59 (thickness, length, width, underlying slope), temperature (core and surface), and sampling. First 60 order derivative are topographical changes, estimation of emitted lava volume, lava flow 61 velocity (channel and front), cooling rate, petrology and lava flow type characteristics. Second order derivative then involve volumetric discharge rate estimation, heat budget models and 62 63 rheological constrains. These are essential parameters that are part of the monitoring duties and 64 feed lava flow hazard projection and numerical modelling. In this chapter, we review the 65 measurements that are typically made and the subsequent derivatives that all contribute to 66 monitoring activities during an effusive event.

67

68 **1. Introduction**

69

1.1. Preamble

70 As a member of an agency, such as a volcano observatory, charged with monitoring and 71 surveillance during an effusive crisis you will have to focus on making observations and 72 measurements necessary to meet the reporting requirements of the agency. There is a lot to do, 73 and you will usually be understaffed and overworked. Worse, the lava flow may be in a remote 74 area, you will unlikely have helicopter support and will have to walk many kilometers over 75 difficult terrain carrying all that you need. To reach the lava, unlike when monitoring air fall or 76 a geophysical signal, you have to go to it and directly approach the source so as to collect 77 samples or measure the interior temperature. On return to the office you will need to work up 78 and process the data you have collected, enter them into a data base, use them for numerical 79 modelling and prepare a written or oral report. These can be daily, weekly and/or monthly for 80 both internal and external use, as well as press-release, depending on the eruption location, 81 threat and duration.

There will be a protocol in place for the measurements that need to be made as well as the format and content of reporting duties. Thus, all you have time to carry out are the routine measurements as there may be no time to set up experiments for scientific research paper. In

- this chapter, we review the measurements that are typically made to contribute to monitoring activities during an effusive event. These fall into three linked categories which will provide information for reporting. They are (**Fig. 1**):
- 88

1. The measurements that need to be made in the field;

- 892. First order derivatives which are made from data collected in the field or via90 remote sensing; and
- 91 3. Second order derivatives that use the first order product to carry out calculations.

92 A fourth level of modelling can contribute to forecasting and will feed back into the reporting

93 (Fig. 1). The objective of the chapter is to describe how to carry out these measurements,

94 calculations and modelling.



Figure 1: Flow chart tracking the series of measurements and calculation of derived parameters that are typically
made to contribute to monitoring activities during an effusive event.

98

95

99

1.2. Type of lava flows and associated hazard

100

1.2.1. Lava flow types

101 Lava flows are gravity currents propagating downslope under their own weight, slowed by their 102 own viscosity and core or crust yield strength, which increase with cooling and crystallization. 103 Their velocity, morphology, shape, width and length mainly depend on the effusion rate (i.e., 104 lava volume flux leaving the vent), the total volume emitted, topography and the thermo-105 rheological properties of the lava. Typically, the higher the rate of effusion and the volume, the 106 longer the flow, and the lower the viscosity and the steeper the slope, the faster the flow. 107 Advance stops when the supply of lava stops (volume-limited flow) or when cooling is such 108 that the flow viscosity reaches a limit that prevents further motion (cooling-limited flow). 109 The different types of lava flows are distinguished according to their composition, their

110 morphology and their dynamics. Silica-poor lava flows (e.g., basaltic flows) are the hottest and

4

111 most fluid and can reach speeds of several km/h. Flow types are generally pāhoehoe, with a 112 smooth, coherent surface, and 'a'ā with a surface comprising a layer of clinker. Flows can be 113 channel or tube-fed, and can extend from a few to a few tens of kilometers. The formation of 114 tubes insulates the lava, reducing cooling rates and allowing the lava to extend greater distances, 115 where the longest lava tubes on Earth are more than 100 km long. More siliceous compositions 116 (andesite, dacite and rhyolite) are colder, highly viscous and their advance rate does not exceed 117 a few m/day. Their thickness is generally several tens of meters and their surface is often made 118 of angular blocks which can reach sizes of the order of a meter. Silicic lava flows can, though, 119 extend several kilometers, where flow front collapses can depressurize the flow interior to feed 120 pyroclastic flows of the block-and-ash type.

- 121
- 122

1.2.2. Associated hazard and risks

Lava flows represent one of the most frequent volcanic hazards on a global scale, however, they rarely pose a safety risk to populations (Harris, 2015). Deadliest examples are those of the Nyiragongo eruptions in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) in 1977, 2002 and 2021. These eruptions involved extremely fluid lava flows that moved to high velocities and reached the city of Goma, 10 km to the south of the source vents, in just a few hours. These eruptions each accounted for several tens of fatalities, from direct contact with lava, collapse of buildings, and explosion of fuel tanks.

130 Even if these human losses due to lava flows are minimal compared to those of other volcanic 131 phenomena (they represent only 0.2% of the total deaths linked to volcanic hazards – (Harris, 132 2015)), they nonetheless destroy, burn and bury all infrastructure and vegetation in their path, 133 having lasting effects on local economies. The 2018 effusive eruption of Kīlauea in Hawaii 134 which lasted only 4 months (Neal et al. 2019) caused no casualties, but destroyed more than 135 700 houses and caused losses estimated at over \$800 million (Meredith et al. 2022). The 2021-136 2022 eruption of La Palma (Canaries), buried ca. 1200 ha of land including agricultural land 137 and villages, destroying more than 1600 houses and involved displacement of more than 7000 138 people (Cabildo de la Palma: https://riesgovolcanico-lapalma.hub.arcgis.com).

When the lava comes into contact with water, the instantaneous thermal expansion of the water causes littoral explosions, while persistent entry of lava into the ocean can build benches. Benches are unstable, and often collapse so that ocean entry sites are extremely dangerous for visitors. Vaporization of sea water at ocean entries also feeds persistent gas plumes named lazes. Lazes and gas plumes associated with emission of lava at the vent, that could create a volcanic smog (called vog), are loaded with fine particles, as well as harmful gases and aerosols

145 (HCl, CO₂, NO₂ and SO₂; (Hansell and Oppenheimer 2004). At source, they are also extremely 146 hot so that inhalation of the gas can be fatal due to extreme lung damage. Wet and dry acid 147 deposition can also damage infrastructure, through corrosion, as well as crops, forest and habitat (Blong 1984; Schmidt 2015). 148 149 Flow front collapses at silicic lava flows can represent a significant hazard due to the associated 150 block and ash flow (Harris et al. 2002; Pallister et al. 2019). A flow front collapse at Santiaguito 151 (Guatemala) in 1922 resulted in thousands of casualties (Rose 1987). Locations immediately 152 down slope of an active silicic lava flow are thus extremely dangerous places to live and work.

- 153
- 154

1.3. Spatial coverage, time scales and definitions

155

1.3.1. Spatial coverage, time scales

156 Lava flow monitoring can be carried out from three locations: ground, air and space. Each 157 vantage point allows differing spatial coverage and time scales of change to be tracked, 158 increasing from local and rapid for the ground observer to synoptic and regular from satellites. 159 Ground-based surveys include rapid, real-time measurements usually focusing around the key 160 elements of the flow field: the vent, the channel or tube, and flow front. Ground-based surveys 161 are usually carried out on foot, and have increasingly been supported by surveys by unmanned 162 aerial systems or vehicles (UAS-UAV, see review from James et al., 2020). UAS surveys cover 163 a few m² to hundreds of m² and are performed from heights of typically ≤ 120 m. This allows 164 greater coverage, is faster than walking the edge of a flow unit, and allows access to zones that 165 are impossible to approach on foot. However, like measurements made on foot, UAS surveys 166 cover only a restricted time slot of a few minutes.

Airborne surveys are measurements performed from helicopter or any aircraft and cover area
of few km² to 10's km². These operations are performed from higher altitudes, between 300
and 1500 m, than via UAS and may be ran over several hours.

170 From space, satellite acquisition includes geostationary as well as polar orbiting satellites allow

171 synoptic coverage at wavebands spanning the ultraviolet, through the visible to the infrared and

172 radar. However, only the latter is not affected by cloud cover.

173 Effective monitoring of lava flows combines observations from each of these three locations.

174 Observations are complementary, and ideally data acquired from all three locations are

175 combined to ensure a full coverage of the advancing lava flow. For example, while the ground-

176 based observation can be used to ground truth a point satellite-sensor-derived image, the image

177 can be used to extend knowledge beyond the isolated points visited on the ground.

179

1.3.2. Definition of source terms

A key source term for lava flow monitoring is the volumetric discharge rate (in m³/s). This has
three definitions depending on the temporal scale (Fig. 2a; see also Harris et al., 2007) over
which the flux is measured:

- *Instantaneous effusion rate (IER):* This is the volume flux measured at any single point
 in time. It is primarily measured in the field at the master channel or tube using the
 cross-sectional area (in m²) of the flow multiplied by the flow velocity (in m/s).
- 1862. Time-averaged discharge rate (TADR): This is the volume flux averaged over some187period of time. This is the value typically obtained from satellite infrared data or from188DEM subtraction during the eruption. In this latter case, the volume of the lava flow189field on day n is subtracted from the volume of day n+i. The residual volume is then190divided by the time between the two measurements to give the time-averaged flux191during the i days between the two volume measurements.
- *Mean output rate (MOR)*: This is the total volume erupted during the entire eruption, or
 eruptive phase (usually obtained by DEM difference pre and post eruption), divided by
 the duration of the eruption.

As we move through these measurements, the discharge rate curve becomes increasingly smoothed and we lose the detail of peaks and troughs (**Fig. 2a**). The absolute value of the peak fluxes also becomes reduced, which is something to bear in mind when applying predictive models that relate volume flux to flow runout.

The flux measurement will also be influenced by where in the system the measurement is made (**Fig. 2b**). The *total* volume flux can only be measured at the master channel or tube exiting the vent and through which all of the volume passes. In a bifurcating system, after the first branch a *local* flux will be measured which will be less than the total flux as the volume is beginning to be partitioned between different distributaries. Finally, a *point* flux will be obtained at a flow front lobe when many lobes are active (**Fig. 2b**).

Accepted manuscript for the book **Modern Volcano Monitoring** edited by: Zack Spica and Corentin Caudron Book series Advances in Volcanology



207

Figure 2: Discharge rate definition over a) time and b) in space.

208 We need also to distinguish between bulk and dense rock equivalent (DRE) volume fluxes. 209 The bulk measurement includes all fluid and bubbles, as well as cracks in solid lava and spaces 210 between clasts in an 'ā'a flow. In contrast, the DRE only consider the solid phase and does not 211 include bubbles. This can be quite an issue as at the vent bubble contents can be very high and 212 usually decreases toward flow front. Worse, bubble contents can vary with time during an 213 eruption. Thus, volume flux measurements should always be taken, or reported, in tandem with 214 a measure of the bubble content. As a result of all inherent sources of error, the uncertainty on 215 a volume flux measurement is often at least 50 %. Thus, numbers (below) 100 should be 216 reported to the nearest decimal place; above100 to the nearest round number; and above 500 to 217 the nearest ten, etc.

218 Finally, the spatial position of flow velocity also needs to be well defined because it changes 219 down system depending slope, flow depth and rheology. Fundamentally, channel velocities 220 measured at any given point down system will be higher than the flow front advance rate, with 221 the latter measurement being fundamental for hazard assessment. Velocity will also vary 222 between the flow surface and base, and from bank to bank. Velocity across and within a channel 223 may present a gradient from zero at the sides and base to a maximum at the channel center and 224 surface. Thus, the spatial point at which the velocity measurement is made must be noted so 225 that it can be related to the maximum and mean velocity (see later), both in terms of position 226 across a channel, as well as down system.

2. Parameters to be measured

2.1. Mapping spatial extent and dimensions

229 Monitoring lava flows starts with acquiring the geographic coordinates of the eruption site. This 230 requires location on the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) for the ends of the eruptive 231 fissures and thus also their length and strike, or point a location of single vent. Then, the flow 232 front position is next most important point to locate, and hence the flow length at time t. The 233 evolving length should then be tracked. Ideally flow outline and thickness should be obtained 234 too, and terrain and topography updated so as to allow the trajectory of any new flow to be 235 assessed. As the eruption goes on, repeat surveys ensure an effective monitoring.

236 Fissure or vent location can be obtained by hiking to the eruption site with handheld GNSS. 237 However, lava flows often occur in remote places, and it is often difficult, or impossible to get 238 close to the vent (in particular due to the ongoing eruption). In this case, airborne survey is the 239 most efficient manner to get rapidly to the eruption site and acquire the required coordinates 240 with a handheld GNSS. Since the 1980's, reconnaissance overflights have been mainly operated 241 using helicopters. Satellite images are also consulted to detect the associate hotspot and may 242 rapidly provide localization of the lava flow extent although at low resolution (1–4 km/pixel), 243 or more precisely if there is an overpass by a satellite with higher (30-60 m/pixel) spatial 244 resolution (see chapter Monitoring Heat). Final options for an approximate location of the 245 eruptive vent are localization of the tremor sources and use of webcam networks.

246 During an eruption, the extent of an expanding flow can be tracked in multiple ways, depending 247 on the flow setting and dimensions, and on observatory funds where some techniques are 248 cheaper than others. Ground-based mapping can either be done by walking the flow limits with 249 handheld differential GNSS, using webcam networks or by UAS. UAS-derived photographs 250 can be stitched together to construct georeferenced orthomosaics from which the outline of the 251 flow can be drawn. Additionally, these photographs can be used for photogrammetry applying 252 structure from motion (SfM) technique to reconstruct the 3D topography and produce an 253 updated DEM. Over the past decade, this technique has become common. As mentioned above, 254 UAS-based survey is ideal for areas of less than 1 km², to map parts of the flow, local features, 255 vent areas, breakouts and flow fronts. However, flow-field-wide orthomosaics and DEMs 256 exceeding a few km squares need airborne surveys to be carried out by ultralight aircrafts or 257 helicopters (Fig. 3).



Figure 3: a) Location of the eruptive site of the 31 January – 27 February 2017 eruption of Piton de la
Fournaise volcano, La Réunion. b-f) evolution of the flow area, thickness (in m) and corresponding volume
(in Mm³) has obtained from repeat photogrammetric campaigns. Figure modified from Derrien (2019).

262

Moving to the airborne perspective, in Hawaii, helicopter overflights were carried out every week, and sometimes daily, during the last recent effusive crises. Images acquired by a handheld thermal camera from an airborne survey were used for mapping (Patrick et al. 2017). Airborne Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) surveys can also be conducted to allow millimeter topographic precision, though coverage is usually local and costs are high. At Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion), lava flow field evolution is usually mapped via ultralight aircraft surveys, which have a more reasonable price (**Fig. 3**).

270 Satellite imagery is the most efficient way to track the evolution of lava flow fields and to map 271 entire lava flow fields with a single image. Satellite thermal and visible imagery (see chapter 272 Monitoring Heat) have spatial resolutions of 30 m (TM, ETM+, ALI, ASTER) to a meter 273 (Planet Labs) and to sub-meter (e.g., GeoEye and WorldView satellites). In case of cloud 274 coverage that hinders thermal and visible imagery to be exploited, satellites equipped with radar 275 sensors are used to monitor ground surface displacement through Interferometry Synthetic 276 Aperture Radar (InSAR) (e.g., ERS, Envisat, TerraSAR-X, Sentinel 1, ALOS-2). InSAR allows 277 the newly emplaced lava flow to be seen by comparing an image before and after lava flow 278 emplacement because it results in a highly incoherent surface (low coherence, Fig. 4). 279 Coherence maps can therefore be used to define the lava flow outline under any weather 280 conditions (Bato et al. 2016; Dietterich et al. 2012; Harris et al. 2019; Poland 2022).

Accepted manuscript for the book **Modern Volcano Monitoring** edited by: Zack Spica and Corentin Caudron Book series Advances in Volcanology



Figure. 4. Evolution of the flow outlines and extension of the lava flow field as mapped from

283 InSAR coherence maps obtained via the Oi² platform (https://opgc.uca.fr/volcanologie/oi2)

- during the April 2018 eruption of Piton de la Fournaise (modified from Harris et al., 2019).
- 285

286 Recent advances in mapping from satellite imagery is the Copernicus Emergency Management 287 Service (Copernicus Emergency Management Service 2022; https://emergency.copernicus.eu/) 288 that since 2012 has provided geospatial information through a no-cost mapping service in cases 289 of natural disasters within hours or days from the activation of support via their emergency management activities for a natural disaster, including volcanic eruptions. In 2021, the 290 291 Copernicus Emergency Management Service provided a first precise lava flow map just two 292 days after the May 2021 Nyiragongo (RDC) eruption. The service was also extremely effective 293 in mapping the flow expansion during the 2021 eruption of La Palma (Spain) (Fig. 5).

Accepted manuscript for the book Modern Volcano Monitoring edited by: Zack Spica and Corentin Caudron Book series Advances in Volcanology



295 Figure 5: The Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) daily map for the La Palma (Spain) 296 lava flow field for 2 December 2021. Copernicus Emergency Management Service (© European Union, 297 2012-2022)

298

294

2.2. Lava temperature

300

299

2.2.1. Thermocouples (core temperature)

301 The optical approach gives the surface temperature, so that a thermocouple is required 302 to obtain the temperature of the flow interior. The interior temperature is typically hundreds of 303 degrees centigrade hotter than the surface, and is the temperature we need to assess the eruption 304 temperature, for down-flow cooling estimates and modelling. The thermocouple consists of two 305 wires with a junction at the penetrating end, protected by a metal sheath and connected to a read 306 out. The thermocouple is plunged into the lava and the temperature recorded. There are five 307 concerns involved when making this measurement:

308 1. Probes are typically less than a meter long (e.g., **Fig. 6**). The measurement thus 309 requires close approach to the active lava, which will have surface temperatures 310 greater than 800 °C and interior up to more than 1100 °C. This can be at best uncomfortable and at worst painful, and means that all exposed flesh needs to 311 312 be well covered to avoid radiation burns. Approach, if possible, should also be 313 made up-wind, which is especially true at skylights where heated air blowing 314out of the tube system can have temperatures >600 °C. A fire suit and helmet is315one solution (**Fig. 6**), and metal shield can be used to stop the radiation from the316lava. A longer probe could be another solution, but as they become longer than3171 m they tend to bend upon insertion, making penetration difficult. In that case,318the probe can be attached to a rigid steel pole of 1 to 2 meters that would ease319penetration into the lava flow although this adds to bulk and weight.

- 320 2. To protect the delicate wires, a metal sheath is used. To allow protection in the 321 extreme environment of a lava flow, as well as during penetration, this needs to 322 be at-least 3 mm thick. Such a thickness is also required to allow the probe to 323 penetrate the surface crust without it bending thus failing to pass through the 324 crust. However, the sheath needs time to heat up which means that the reading 325 will pick up over a period of one to two minutes. Once the reading is stable the 326 measurement is made. This means that the operator needs to stay in-situ for the 327 same amount of time.
- 328 3. Due to lava quenching to the probe, the sensor may not heat to the same 329 temperature as the surrounding probe. In such a case, the reading will begin to 330 decline without stabilizing and the probe should be withdrawn. It is thus best to 331 (i) have a pre-measurement idea of what the maximum temperature should be, 332 and (ii) continue to make the measurements until you have at-least three that are 333 the same and stable.
- 4. Care should be taken not to ground the probe on the cooler flow base, and to
 ensure that the probe is well within the fluid interior and not in the cooler viscoelastic crust. Finally, you should constantly stir to (i) avoid lava quenching to
 the probe, and (ii) avoid the probe becoming entrapped in the constantly forming
 surface crust.
- 5. If the flow is too large, close approach will not be possible due to the radiative heat and/or the measurable zones maybe inaccessible in a sea of hot, recently emplaced lava. Also, flow margins may be too unstable to allow safe approach and/or flow surface in a channel may be too far below the levee rim. The easiest targets to measure are small pāhoehoe lobes (**Fig. 6a**), or slowly moving breakout through lava front or margin (**Fig. 6b**) but these are not always available or accessible.
- Remember, that upon completion of the measurement the metal sheath of the thermocouple willremain extremely hot for several minutes, so on no account touch it. Also, after each

- 348 measurement, the lava attached to the probe will need to be quenched into water, so it will break
- 349 off, or need to be knocked off with a hammer.



Figure 6: Measuring lava temperature with K-type thermocouple that is 60 cm long and 3 mm thick sheath and
linked to a data logger at a) pāhoehoe lava lobe (Hawaii, 2016; photo: © USGS, M. Patrick) and b) at an 'ā'a flow
front (Piton de la Fournaise, La Reunion, 2019) (photo: © L. Perrier).

350

355

2.2.2. A first idea of the surface temperature

The simplest way to have a ball-park estimation of lava surface temperature was visually by matching surface color to the temperature associated with that color. This best done at night and is the principle of the optical pyrometer. The pyrometer consists of a heated wire, the temperature of which is adjusted to change the color at which it glows. When viewed against active lava, the point at which the color of the wire is not distinguishable from the surface in the background gives the temperature of that background. Alternatively, the assessment can be made visually and a lookup table used to assess the temperature (Harris, 2013).

- 363
- 364

2.2.3. Thermal infrared thermometer (surface temperature)

365 The thermal infrared thermometer uses radiated heat to obtain surface temperature and 366 usually work with spectral radiant exitance in the 8–14 µm range. Note that, given the high 367 temperature range of active lava surfaces, a model which can measure the full range of expected 368 temperatures from ambient out to 1200 °C needs to be used. Being a remotely sensed 369 measurement, no close approach is necessary. However, the measurement is of a radiative 370 temperature (T_{rad}) and will not be the kinetic temperature. That is, most bodies are not perfectly 371 emitting blackbodies, so that spectral radiant exitance from a surface emitting at kinetic 372 temperature T_{kin} and wavelength λ will be reduced by a factor described by the emissivity (ϵ). 373 Spectral radiant exitance, $M(\lambda,T)$, varies as a function of wavelength and temperature (T), and 374 is given by the Planck function:

375
$$M(\lambda,T) = c_1 \lambda^{-5} \left[exp^{\frac{c_2}{\lambda T}} - 1 \right]^{-1}$$
(1)

Here c_1 and c_2 are constants with the values of 3.741×10^{-16} W m² and 1.4393×10^{-2} m K, respectively. Note that units of wavelength and temperature thus need to be in units of meters and Kelvin, and M(λ ,T) has the units W m⁻², i.e., J s⁻¹ m⁻².

Equation (1) applies to a black body, however for a body with an emissivity less than one the function becomes:

381
$$M(\lambda, T_{rad}) = \varepsilon M(\lambda, T_{kin}) = \varepsilon c_1 \lambda^{-5} \left[exp^{\frac{c_2}{\lambda T}} \cdot 1 \right]^{-1}$$
(2)

Thus, to obtain the actual surface temperature, we need to convert the radiative temperature to a spectral exitance using Equation (1), divide the value of $M(\lambda,T)$ by emissivity, and convert the result back to temperature, which will now be a kinetic temperature. This can be done by inverting the Planck function:

$$T_{kin} = \frac{c_2}{\lambda \ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon c_1 \lambda^{-5}}{M(\lambda, T_{rad})} + 1\right)}$$
(3)

Emissivity will vary with wavelength and surface type, where those for typical lava surface types are given in **Table 1**. There is debate over what the emissivity for a molten surface should be and evidences that emissivity changes with temperature and composition (Biren et al. 2022; Burgi et al. 2002), but the values of **Table 1** are those typically used.

391

386

Location	Туре	Emissivity
		$8-14\;\mu m$
Kilauea	Basalt: pāhoehoe	0.901
Kilauea	Basalt: 'ā'a	0.954
Kilauea	Basalt: pāhoehoe – ropey	0.943
Kilauea	Basalt: pāhoehoe – smooth, glassy	0.900
Kilauea	Basalt: pāhoehoe – vesicular	0.909
Etna	Basalt: pāhoehoe – slabby (spiny)	0.957
Etna	Basalt: 'ā'a	0.971

Table 1: Band-averaged emissivity values for a range of lava flow types (from Harris, 2013b). Note that these are

393 values for cold sample, and not molten material.

394

- 395 It must be recalled that the measured surface temperature will likely not be that of the
- interior. Rapid radiative cooling, for example means that the surface temperature can cool by
- 397 50–300 °C within a second of exposure. On well-crusted, mature flows, the difference between
- 398 the surface and interior temperature will be greater still (**Fig. 7**).



³⁹⁹

400 Figure 7: Thermal layers in (a) a pāhoehoe lobe and (b) an 'ā'a flow and their evolution with time (after 401 (Harris, 2013). For pāhoehoe, cooling and layer depth relations are from (Hon et al. 1994), as are the temperatures 402 at the contact between each thermo-rheological layer and are for Hawaii. T_{base} is the basal contact temperature and 403 is from (Keszthelyi 1995). For 'ā'a surface temperatures for the proximal and medial-distal are for 'ā'a channels 404 at Piton de la Fournaise, La Réunion (Harris et al., 2019) and Lonquimay, Chile (Oppenheimer 1991), respectively; 405 and interior temperature is for 'ā'a erupted during Etna (Itlay) 1991-1993 eruptions (Calvari et al. 1994). As 406 apparent, while the thermocouple temperature must be made for the interior, and measurement can only be made 407 when the crust is thin and immature, the surface temperature will not reflect the interior temperature. However, 408 the surface temperature is what we need in order to estimate heat fluxes and cooling rates.

410 **2.2.4. Thermal camera (surface temperature)** 411 Thermal cameras tend to operate in the same waveband as thermal infrared 412 thermometers (7.5–14 μ m), but provide temperatures for an array of pixels providing a thermal 413 image rather than a single spot temperature. Focal plane arrays tend to comprise 640 × 480 414 pixels, with each pixel having an instantaneous field of view (IFOV) of 0.65 mrad and the image

having a standard total field of view (TFOV) of 24 × 18 ° (or 45 × 12 ° wide lens are also used).
For a perfectly horizontal or vertical field of view the pixel or image diameter field of view

417 (D_{FOV}) can be calculated using the simple trigonometric relation:

418
$$D_{FOV} = 2 \left[D \tan(\beta/2) \right]$$
 (4)

419 Where D is the distance to the pixel or image center and β is the angular IFOV or TFOV. If the

420 ground within the field of view is sloping, then D_{FOV} should be corrected for slope (α):

421
$$D_{FOV}$$
 (slope corrected) = $D_{FOV} / \cos(\alpha)$ (5)

For oblique viewing angles calculating the field of view dimensions requires the viewing angle (θ) to be taken into account (**Fig. 8**). For a viewing position *h* meters above the surface, this can be done using:

425
$$D_{FOV} = h \left[tan \left(\theta + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) - tan \left(\theta - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right]$$
(6)

426 For a viewing position x meters away from the surface (**Fig. 8**), calculation of D_{FOV} requires 427 replacing *h* with x in Equation (6).

Accepted manuscript for the book **Modern Volcano Monitoring** edited by: Zack Spica and Corentin Caudron Book series Advances in Volcanology





Figure 8: (a) Geometry for calculating pixel and image size for a flat surface viewed at right angles, with triangle required to calculate the total image field of view (FOV). Geometry for calculating the size of pixels within this FOV is in gray. (b) Triangles required to calculate the pixel size (L_{pixel}) using the detector instantaneous field of view (IFOV). (c) Geometry for calculating pixel size for a surface imaged by looking downwards, and (b) looking upwards. Modified from (Harris, 2013).

Pixel temperatures will be subject to the same emissivity correction as described for the
thermal infrared camera, but also (for distances greater than 100 m) requires atmospheric
correction. The signal received by the camera will be a mixture of the surface emitted radiant

438 exitance, $\varepsilon M(\lambda,T)$, as well as that emitted by the atmosphere, termed atmospheric upwelling 439 radiance (M_U). This will all be modified by atmospheric absorption (ψ) as described by 440 transmissivity ($\tau = 1 - \psi$). Thus, the temperature recorded by the camera is a brightness

441 temperature
$$(T^*)$$
 given by (**Fig. 9**):

442
$$M(\lambda, T^*) = \tau(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda)M(\lambda, T_{kin}) + M_U(\lambda)$$
(7)

443 Atmospheric correction thus needs to take into account the emissive and absorptive properties444 of the atmosphere. This can be done by re-arranging Equation (7) so that,

445
$$M(\lambda, T_{kin}) = [M(\lambda, T^*) - M_U(\lambda)] / [\tau(\lambda)\varepsilon(\lambda)]$$
(8)

446 The atmospheric properties will vary with altitude and distance. The atmosphere becomes 447 thinner with increased altitude and decreased path length, so that M_U and τ decrease 448 accordingly. Typical correction values, as a function of altitude and distance are given in **Figure** 449 **9**.





451 Figure 9: Increase in atmospheric transmissivity (left graphs) and upwelling radiance (right graphs) for a 452 horizontal line of sight (LOS) at a range of altitudes between 0 to 10 000 m (top graphs is a zoom over 0 to 200 453 m). Values were obtained using MODTRAN with 1976 US Standard atmosphere and a CO₂ mixing ratio of 380 454 ppm·v across the 7.5 µm to 13 µm waveband and are plotted from Tables in (Harris, 2013). Note that, after a 455 distance of only 10 m transmissivity begins to become an issue, with almost 6 % of the signal being absorbed by 456 a distance of 100 m at sea-level. At ambient temperatures, upwelling radiance is an issue, accounting for 20 % of 457 the signal received by an infrared detector placed at a distance of 1 km from a surface at 0 °C. However, for higher 458 temperatures the contribution is not so important, being 3 % for surfaces at 200 °C and 1 % for surfaces at 500 °C

- 459 (see Harris, 2013). Thus, at higher temperatures the correction for upwelling could be ignored for an approximation 460 of kinetic temperature, but transmissivity cannot.
- 461

462 The thermal camera measurement principles are the same whether the camera 463 measurement is made from the ground or an aircraft. For airborne measurements the craft needs 464 to fly sufficiently slowly so as to avoid image smearing, and doors must be off otherwise the 465 temperature of the glass, which is 100 % opaque in the infrared, will be recorded. However, for 466 both cases to solve the relations given above the acquisition conditions need to be recorded, as 467 given in Figure 10.

Header Information: (i) Field location + operator name (plus any companion data sets / operators) (ii) Date + acquisition start time & stop time (iii) Sampling rate; or time & GPS location of each image acquistion (6) Air temperature, humidity (1) Camera position (x,y,z location)



- 468 Figure 10: Parameters to be recorded in a field note book at the time of image acquisition to allow adequate
- 469 geometric and atmospheric correction of a thermal image. DEM: Digital Elevation Model, GPS: Global
- 470 Positioning System, (I) FOV: (Instantaneous) Field of View, LOS: Line of sight. From [Harris, 2013].
- 471

472 **2.2.5.** A short note on temperature retrievals from satellite-based sensors.

473 See Chapter Monitoring Heat for more details. 474 Satellite-based sensors operating in the thermal infrared (typically $10-14 \mu m$), as well as in the 475 mid (typically 3.5–4.0 µm) and shortwave (around 2.5 µm) infrared, can provide temperature 476 information. However, these are pixel-integrated temperatures meaning that the measurement 477 is an integration of all surfaces emitting within the pixel, which is typically 30 to 4000 m in 478 dimension. Mixture modelling can retrieve this surface structure, but are subject to the need to 479 oversimplify a complex problem. That is, a continuum of temperatures between background 480 (ambient) and eruptive (ca. 1000 °C) need to be described by a mixture model with, typically, 481 two components (hot and cold). Such mixture models are also blighted by issues of saturation, 482 where gain settings were not designed for measurements over high temperature surfaces. 483 Instead, the maximum temperature that can be recorded in the thermal infrared is typically 60-484 120 °C, and in the shortwave around 250 °C. Thus, over active lavas, all pixels are saturated 485 with the only information available being that the temperature is greater than the saturation. 486 Worse, the point spread function and optical reflection can cause intense smearing in the 487 imagery and halo effects. Thus, satellite-based sensors are not, currently, well suited for 488 retrieval of absolute temperature, but can be used for mapping as previously shown (also see 489 Chapter Monitoring Heat).

- 490
- 491

2.3. Lava surface velocity

492 Surface velocity can be obtained from a series of images (or video) collected in the field either 493 using a camera from the ground or flown on an UAS using particle velocimetry. This consists 494 of tracking a particle, or a set of particles, on scaled digital photos or thermal images acquired 495 at a known frequency. Velocity is then calculated from the distance travelled by the particle 496 and the time between two images. For this, pixel size must be well known and calculated 497 according to distance from the target and IFOV following the trigonometric relations as 498 described in the in section 2.2.4. In case of images or video footage acquired via UAS, a nadir 499 view is ideal as obtained with a stationary hover (to avoid image smearing) and a FOV covering 500 the whole channel including the stationary levée to anchor the velocity, with a few minutes of 501 recording being ideal (James et al. 2020). Particle velocimetry software, such as PIVlab 502 (Thielicke and Stamhuis 2014), can be used to analysis a few minutes of video. Surface velocity 503 may then be exploited to derive velocity profile over the full width and depth of the channelized 504 lava (see section 3), and integrated with depth to estimate IER and its local fluctuation (e.g., 505 Bailey et al., 2006; Harris et al., 2007; 2019; Dietterich et al. 2021).

507 2.4. Sampling

508

509 Collecting rock samples during an eruption has the main objective of providing time-series for 510 the physio-chemical properties of the magma so as to provide insights into the eruption source 511 and allow initialization of lava flow simulation models (Harris et al., 2017, 2019). Following 512 the evolution of texture, chemical composition and petrology during an eruption has come to 513 be known as petrological monitoring and involves collection and distribution of data as soon as 514 samples have been received, prepared and analyzed (e.g., Pankhurst et al. 2022; Gansecki et al. 515 2019). 516 Effective petrological monitoring involves sampling volcanic deposits as soon as, and as often

517 as, possible during an ongoing eruption because the emitted lava may be buried the next day by 518 a new flow or fallout. The main problem with sampling an active lava flows is often, though, 519 not an easy task, as with thermocouple measurements, the access to the lava flow. Lava channels 520 and tubes are often inaccessible, and recently emplaced and hot lava usually needs to be crossed 521 to access the flow. Due to the heat, appropriate protective clothing, shield and sampling 522 instrument need to be selected (Fig. 11). Sampling the cooler lava flow front or lateral levées 523 is often easier, although falling blocks need to be watched for.



524

525 Figure 11: a) Sampling a lava channel from the stable levées (Piton de la Fournaise, La Réunion, 2015; (Harris et 526 al. 2020); a heat suit and 2-m stainless steel pole welded to a scoop is used; all the same the boots of the operator 527 began to flame just after this photograph was taken, sending toxic smoke up into the helmet. b) Sampling a lava 528 tube through a skylight (Kilauea, Hawaii, 2010) (courtesy of USGS Hawaiian Volcano Observatory); here a 529 hammer head on a cable is being used, and the operator has a fire-resistant balaclava and flight suit.

530

531 Samples of molten lava must be rapidly quenched in water, which will freeze-in the lava 532 physico-chemical and textural properties before any modifications due to a slower cooling (micro-crystallization, element diffusivity, post-sampling vesiculation and expansion). Such a
sampling method ensures retrieval of the proportion of phases, glass composition and redox
state (Fig. 12). But requires the following to be carried to the sampling site:

- 536 1. A sampling instruments (hammer, chain, shovel ...);
- 537 2. Several liters of water for quenching;
- 538 3. A container to quench the sample in.

539 All of this is added equipment volume and weight to carry to the sampling site. Waiting for the 540 sample to cool in air will result in complete crystallization of the groundmass as well as re-541 organization of the vesicle population. The resulting interstitial melt phase will therefore not be 542 glassy and representative of the flow state, but instead will display a microcrystalline 543 groundmass typical of slow, in-situ (post-emplacement) cooling (Fig. 12). This means that the 544 microlite and bubble contents present during flow will be overprinted and lost. If the surface 545 layer is naturally quenched so as to preserve a thin glassy rind, then this layer can be 546 representative of the molten lava (Fig. 12b). However, such layers are hard to find, and the 547 sample must be 'in-place'; meaning it is not a rafted fragment that was quenched closer to the 548 vent and then transported down flow.

549



550

Figure 12: Polished thin sections (30 µm) of basaltic lava collected at Kilauea (Hawaii) in 2016. a) Lava sampled with a stainless-steel tool and quenched in water. The sample is entirely glassy and preserved crystal and bubble size distribution. b) Sample collected on a naturally cooled pāhoehoe lobe showing a glassy crust (brown color) and a non-glassy micro-crystallized interior (black color).

- 555
- 556

2.5. Lava flow morphology / typology

Lava flow type and associated morphology should be reported as it gives indication on the lavaflow dynamics. The field book entries for the day include descriptions and sketches of:

559 1. The lava flow distribution system (channel, tube-fed, dispersed ...);

- 560 2. Lava flow type (juvenile 'ā'a, mature 'ā'a, blocky, transitional, s-type / p-type
 561 pāhoehoe);
- 562 3. Flow field form (ridged, ogives, ramped, ropey, hummocky, sheet ...);
- 563 4. Any associated features (skylights, lava balls, lava boats, tumuli, shatter rings ...).

Included for each entry should be estimates of approximate dimensions, especially length,
width, height and depth of any feature, as well as underlying and new surface slope (Fig. 13).
Of course, titles, orientations, scales, dates, times, GNSS points and tags for photos taken

should be entered.





568

569 Figure 13: (a) Channel dimensions to be measured and calculated (modified from Harris et al., 2022), with (b) 570 example of below bank flow from a channel active at Piton de La Fournaise (La Réunion) on 1 August 2015 571 (Harris et al., 2020). Dimensions were obtained from scaled digital photos and thermal images, with velocities 572 also being obtained from the latter. Thermal images were collected at a frequency of 4 s. In (c) a piece of cooler 573 crust has been selected as close to the channel center as possible, and found again in the next image obtained, as 574 given in (d). The object has travelled 0.2 m in 4 s, so velocity is 0.05 m/s. Given the channel width and a square 575 channel that is as wide as it is deep gives an effusion rate of $[2 \times 2 \times (0.67 \times 0.05 \text{ m/s})] = 0.13 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Of course, 576 the type of measurements and notes taken will depend on the feature under observation, where this case focuses 577 on a vent-proximal lava channel.

578

579 **3. First Order derivatives**

580

3.1. Building DEMs and volume estimation

581 To assess future lava flow paths, the constantly changing topography needs to be updated. 582 Commonly, DEMs are constructed by stereophotogrammetry that consists of merging 583 georeferenced images taken from different angles. Images are either acquired by UAS (James 584 et al., 2020), during flight surveys (Derrien 2019) or from satellite sensors operating in the 585 visible (Bagnardi et al., 2016). To build a DEM either multiple ground control points (GCPs) 586 are used to allow scaling and georeferencing (the larger the study area, the more GCPs are 587 required) or, as usually done during an eruption, the images are geotagged which allows a 588 decent georeferencing. Indeed, during an eruption establishing ground markers on foot is often 589 impossible. Data processing then involves applying SfM technique using software such as 590 Pix4D, Metashape or MicMac. Space agency services also produce DEMs from optical data 591 (e.g., ASTER GDEM), from multi-view optical systems of high spatial resolution (e.g., tri-592 stereo Pleiades), or from radar data (e.g., SRTM and tanDEM-X; Zink et al. 2014).

LiDAR technique involves a pulsed laser that returns three-dimensional information of the pointed surface. After filtering, the obtained point cloud generates topography of bare earth and can be used in geographic information systems. LiDAR may either be mounted on an airplane or on an UAS and provide very high-spatial resolution DEMs (of a few cm), although at high cost (Cashman et al. 2013).

598 Reconstruction of new topography has two main goals. First, if topography is obtained rapidly 599 and regularly it can be used to update and improve numerical simulations of lava flow paths. 600 Second, the new DEM can be used to quantify the lava flow field volume. This is achieved by 601 calculating the difference between the topography in the new DEM and that of the pre-existing 602 DEM. Alternatively, first estimation of the lava volume can be calculated from the average 603 thickness of the flow and the flow area. Thickness can either be measured on the field from 604 flow edge height, from shadow in visible imagery if sun geometry can be calculated or from 605 DEM. For long lasting eruptions, regular volume estimation and DEM acquisition is part of the monitoring routine as it serves to produce volume time series. This can then be compared with
volumes calculated by integrating TADR obtained via satellite over the period of time in
between two images to check on the effects of data loss due to cloud cover in the latter data set.

609

610 **3.2.** Velocity profile and spreading rate

In the field, as well as in thermal and visible video, flow velocities can be obtained by timing the transit time of a marker, such as a piece of crust or the flow front, over a known distance (section 2.2.4).

614

3.2.1. Velocity profile

For surface measurements, the velocity will increase from a minimum velocity at the channel margins to a maximum (v_{max}) towards the center. The reverse will reply with depth where the velocity will decrease from a maximum at the surface to a minimum at the flow base (**Fig. 14**). It is thus important to place the measurement in terms of where it is taken within this velocity profile, where the safest approach is to take the maximum value at the channel center.

620 Considering a simple wide channel geometry and that lava rheological behavior is Newtonian, 621 the calculated velocity profile can then be used, through the Jeffreys' (1925) equation, to set 622 this measurement in spatial context. For a channel of width or depth h, velocity as a function of 623 distance from the bank or base (z) can be calculated from:

624
$$v(z) = \frac{\rho g \sin(\alpha)(h^2 - z^2)}{4\eta}$$
(9)

625 Here, ρ is density, g is gravity, α is slope and η is viscosity, and the coordinate system is:

626 z = 0 at the channel center and/or surface (where $v(z) = v_{max}$), and

627 z = t at the channel margins and/or base (where v(z) = 0).

628 Other measurements taken at different points on the profile can be compared with the derived 629 profile, and if the fit is good the average velocity (v_{mean}) can be obtained. The average is 630 typically $0.67 \times v_{max}$ (**Fig. 14a**) and can be calculated from,

631
$$v_{mean} = \frac{\rho g \sin(\alpha) h^2}{8\eta}$$
(10)

Thus, an important measurement to help constrain the velocity system is flow width and, if possible, depth where the equations can also be used to constrain flow velocities in now inactive channels or tubes. Another important piece of information is whether there is a plug, or zone of non-shearing lava, at the channel center. Across this plug all flow is at the same velocity, so that the velocity profile needs be calculated with the limits

637 z = 0 at the plug edge and/or base (where $v(z) = v_{max}$), and

638 z = t at the channel margins and/or base (where v(z) = 0),

as done in (Fig. 14c). The mean velocity can also be re-calculated to take into account that a
yield strength needs to be overcome to flow so we are dealing with a Bingham, rather than
Newtonian, fluid using (Moore 1987):

642
$$v_{mean} = \left(\frac{\rho g \sin(\alpha) h^2}{8\eta}\right) \left(1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\tau_0}{\tau} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^4\right) \tag{11}$$

643 Here, τ_0 is the yield strength, which can be calculated from plug width (w_p), where $\tau_0 = w_p \rho g$ 644 $sin(\alpha)$, and τ is the basal shear stress [$\tau = \rho ghsin(\alpha)$]. Thus, width of the plug is a final 645 measurement that needs to be made.



646

Figure 14: Vertical (a) and horizontal (b) velocity profiles for Newtonian flow (Etna, Italy, 2001, modified from
Bailey et al., 2006), and for Bingham flow (c and d) (Santiaguito, Guatemala, 2001, modified from Harris et al.,
2002)

3.2.2. Spreading rate

If pixel dimension is known, feature width, length, perimeter and area (A) can be measured from airborne thermal imagery. Changes from overflight to overflight can then be used to derive advance velocities and spreading rates. Application of thermal chronometry can also be used to estimate the time since emplacement (t). This is based on the characteristic cooling that the flow surface experiences and which can be empirically described by (Hon et al. 1994):

$$T_{surf} = -140 \log(t) + 303 \tag{12}$$

- 658 Where t is time since emplacement in hours. Now the surface temperature can be used to 659 derived age from:
- 660

$$t = 146 \exp(-0.0164 T_{surf})$$
(13)

- 661 Lava area emplaced over given periods can now be mapped, and the area (ΔA) and time (Δt)
- 662 differential used to obtain spreading rate ($\Delta A/\Delta t$) (Fig. 15).



Figure 15: Surface temperature and conversion to time since emplacement for a pāhoehoe flow active on Kilauea
(Hawaii) in 2006. This is a mosaic of five images acquired vertically from a helicopter hovering 200 m above the

surface. An area of 2400 m² was covered in the 1.1 hour prior to acquisition to give a spreading rate of 2181 m²
 per hour. From (Harris et al., 2007).

667

3.3. Heat Loss and Cooling rate

- 668 The two main heat losses from a lava flow surface are due to radiation (Q_{rad}) and convection 669 (Q_{conv}). In terms of heat flux density (W m⁻²) these can be written:
- 670

$$Q_{rad} = \varepsilon \sigma \left(T_{surf}^4 - T_{amb}^4 \right)$$
(14a)

$$Q_{conv} = h_c \left(T_{surf} - T_{amb} \right)$$
(14a)

In which σ is the Stefan Boltzmann constant (5.67 × 10⁻⁸ W m⁻² K⁻⁴), T_{surf} is the ambient temperature (in Kelvin) and h_c is the convective heat transfer coefficient (35–50 W m⁻² K⁻¹). If flow depth (t) and mean velocity (v_{mean}) have also been measured, we can also estimate heat (in W m⁻²) supplied from advection (Q_{adv}) and generated by crystallization (Q_{cryst}) from:

676
$$Q_{adv} = v_{mean} t \rho c_p (dT/dx)$$
(15a)

677
$$Q_{cryst} = v_{mean} t \rho C_L (d\phi/dT) (dT/dx)$$
(15b)

Here, c_p is lava specific heat capacity, dT/dx is the cooling rate per unit distance, C_L is latent heat of crystallization and d ϕ /dT is fraction crystallized per degree cooling. This can be solved if d ϕ /dT and/or dT/dx have been measured through sampling and/or thermocouple measurements at different down flow points. On the other hand, if d ϕ /dT can be assumed, then cooling rate can be estimated.

We have a system whereby heat is supplied by Q_{adv} and Q_{cryst}, and lost by Q_{rad} and Q_{conv}.
In our case, the heat lost is not balanced by heat supplied, i.e.,

$$685 \qquad \qquad Q_{rad} + Q_{conv} > Q_{adv} + Q_{cryst} \qquad (16a)$$

As a result, the system is in disequilibrium and cools as a response. Given this imbalance, if we
write the condition of 16a out in full and rearrange to isolate cooling, we arrive at:

$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{Q_{rad} + Q_{conv}}{v_{mean} t \rho \left(c_p + c_L \frac{d\varphi}{dT} \right)}$$
(16b)

This approximation can be used to estimate potential flow length (e.g. Harris and Rowland, 2001). For example, if the cooling rate is 10 °C per kilometer, and the difference between eruption temperature and solidus or the point at which the flow can no longer move is 300 °C, then the maximum likely cooling-limited run out is 30 km. This back of the envelop calculation is very much an approximation, but can give a first order idea as to likely flow run out.

694

3.4. Sample chemical composition and texture

696 Analyzing rocks take time because samples need to be cut, powdered and polished thin sections 697 produced. For a long time, sample analyses were therefore only used for scientific research 698 purposes. However, in the last decades, analytical analyses have become faster and more 699 sophisticated petrological monitoring has now becoming more efficient (Re et al. 2021). Early 700 petrological monitoring of effusive activity at Kilauea by HVO during the 1980s and 1990s 701 contributed to assessing the state of the magmatic system feeding effusion (e.g. Thornber et al., 702 2003). Analyzes also allowed tracking of eruption temperatures and cooling rates through 703 application of glass geothermometry (Helz et al. 2003).

704 More recently, the response system such as DYNVOLC (operated by OPGC. 705 http://dx.doi.org/10.25519/DYNVOLC-Database) allows providing petrological and textural analyses during any and all eruptions of Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion) within a few days 706 707 of sample collection to contribute to monitoring efforts by the observatory (Harris et al., 2017). 708 This response system was also applied recently during the submarine eruption offshore of 709 Mayotte where Berthod et al. (2021) performed detailed petrographic analyses of collected 710 submarine samples to contribute to monitoring efforts. Another recent example is Pankhurst et 711 al. (2022) who published a report on the rapid petrological monitoring response to the La Palma 712 eruption with combined field, petrographic and geochemical analyses conducted within 10 days 713 of sampling.

714 Petrological monitoring is therefore a key action to best constrain and understand an ongoing 715 effusive event (Gansecki et al. 2019). The first information to obtain is bulk rock chemistry, 716 including major and trace elements. Major element composition is essential to rock type 717 classification and for physical properties to be determined such as density and viscosity, 718 essential for lava flow modeling. Trace elements, although not used for lava flow modelling, 719 inform on the magma storage conditions and ascent path, and evolution of these conditions 720 through time. This is essential to track any changes of the magma properties and track whether 721 there is a new magma recharge, or an evolution toward more silica-rich or volatile-rich 722 composition that could force the eruption to transition toward explosive behavior.

Bulk chemistry is always accompanied with a detailed description of petrology and texture. Petrological analyses give information on the magmatic plumbing system including magma source depth, residence time, ascent rates, and ascent path. Magma reservoir depth (pressure) and temperature are essentially obtained through thermobarometry (see Putirka, (2008) for reviews). Crystal size distribution, crystal zonation, reaction rim features and presence of xenoliths are also used to constrain ascent rate and path. Textural analysis includes

729	consideration of density, porosity, percentage of crystals and vesicles, as well as, crystal and
730	bubble size distributions (Guilbaud et al. 2007; Rust and Cashman 2011; Gurioli et al. 2008;
731	Harris et al. 2020; Robert et al. 2014; Chevrel et al. 2018). These inform also on the ascent
732	dynamics, especially for explosive phases because the texture is preserved at magma
733	fragmentation. In the case of monitoring lava flows, sample analysis provides information on
734	the lava temperature using geothermometry, cooling rate, crystallization history, phase (melt,
735	crystal, bubble) proportion, redox state and, hence, thermo-rheological properties. Care should
736	be taken when analyzing a sample given the sampling strategy (see section about sampling).
737	In essence, when monitoring a lava flow, it is essential to:
738	1. measure the bulk rock major element composition and volatile content,
739	2. estimate the temperature at the eruptive vent and then down flow to constrain cooling
740	rate,
741	3. measure density including dense rock equivalent and derive porosity,
742	4. estimate the vesicle content and size distribution, and
743	5. estimate the crystal (phenocrysts and microlite) content and size distribution.
744	To constrain cooling and crystallization rate down flow, one must look for the phases that
745	crystallized once the lava had been erupted, and not before, i.e., we need to use the microlites.
746	Usually the phenocryst content does not change down a lava flow, but the microlite content
747	often increases as the lava cools (Cashman et al. 1999).
748	
749	4. Second order derivatives
750	4.1. Volumetric discharge rate (volume flux)
751	4.1.1. Instantaneous effusion rate from lava velocity
752	The effusion rate (E_r) of lava is given in m ³ /s and defined as:
753	$E_r = v d w \tag{17}$
754	In which v , d and w are flow velocity, depth and width, respectively. The "simplest" way to
755	calculate this is by measuring v , d and w in the field at an active channel or via a view of an
756	active stream in a tube through a skylight. If measured at a point location over a short time this
757	will provides the IER (see section 1.3.2).
758	Velocity can be estimated using the time needed for a surface marker, such as a
759	distinctive piece of crust, to move across a known distance (section 2.2.4). Because velocity
760	will vary across the channel (see Fig. 14), care must be taken to make this measurement at the

channel center where velocity will be at a maximum. This can then be converted into an average

velocity, which for a parabolic flow profile will be $v_{mean}=0.67v_{max}$, taking care to correct for the presence of any plug. The average velocity needs to be used in Equation (17). Alternatively, velocity can be obtained from sequences of scaled digital photographs or thermal images (section 2.2.4; **Fig. 13c,d**). The same scaled images can be used to estimate channel width. Width can also be obtained using a laser range finder, although these are often very unreliable for low reflectance values, as is the case for basalt, as well as in heat haze or gassy conditions. Radar guns have also been used for flow in tubes.

769 Channel depth is more difficult to estimate, but may be approximated from levee or flow 770 front height, taking into account a basal layer of crust and the level of flow below bank (Fig. 771 13). Alternatively, a channel shape (square, rectangular, or semicircular) can be assumed, and 772 the width used to approximate depth for the given shape. Another option is to wait for the 773 activity to stop, and directly measure the channel geometry directly with tape measure (Fig. 774 10), and then use this to estimate an average velocity using Equation (10). Attempts have been 775 made by plunging iron bars into the flow, but this is remarkably difficult to do due to difficulty 776 of access down into the channel for below bank flow, instability of levees, presence of 777 impenetrable surface crusts, and extreme high temperature conditions. Attempts have been 778 made to throw javelins into channel flow, but to no avail (Lipman and Banks 1987).

- 779
- 780

4.1.2. TADR from volume, heat budget and flow area

Volume flux can also be estimated by subtracting sequential volumes of the flow field and dividing by the time between each volume estimate, which give a TADR. Volume estimates are either obtained from geometry of the flow estimated and DEM subtraction (see section 3.1), or via satellite-based hot spot detection systems (see Monitoring heat chapter and (Coppola et al. 2010)) or via petrological method (Verdurme et al. 2022).

Another method to estimate TADR that has been well-used for satellite sensor-derived data in the thermal infrared, such as AVHRR and MODIS, is the heat budget approach (See Chapter Heat Monitoring). This assumes a direct relation between heat flux and TADR where heat flux increases linearly with TADR, i.e.,

790

$$TADR = x A (Q_{rad} + Q_{conv})$$
(18)

where *A* is the flow area and *x* is a scaling factor that depends on local conditions such as slope, degree of insulation and rheology. The basis of this relation is that the greater the TADR, the greater the potential for the lava to spread (under any given slope, insulation and rheological condition) and so attain a greater area. Hence, TADR scales with $A \times (Q_{rad} + Q_{conv})$. Means of

795	scaling this relationship are reviewed in Harris (2013). A simpler approach is to assume that
796	flow area increases with TADR, so that
797	$TADR = xA \tag{19}$
798	In both cases (18 and 19) the solution becomes an empirical one which can be solved using
799	historical data for TADR and A, or theoretically (See Chapter Heat Monitoring).
800	
801	4.1.3. Conversion to length
802	In a hazard sense, the most useful way to use effusion rate or TADR is to quickly assess how
803	far a lava may extend at a given supply rate. This follows on from Walker (1973) who showed
804	that lava flow length (L) tends to scale with TADR, so that
805	$TADR = x L \tag{20}$
806	As with the relations of (18) and (19), this scaling needs to be carried as a function of flow
807	composition, rheology, slope and insulation. Again, this can be done using field data for TADR
808	and L or theoretically, if all flow characteristics (type, cooling rate, petrology and rheology)
809	and topography are approximately the same for all cases.
810	
811	4.2. Rheological properties
812	Defining the rheological behavior of lava flows can be considered from two angles. The first is
813	the lava material itself that depends on its chemical and petrographically characteristics. The
814	second from the flow as viewed as a whole, considering the flow's dimension, velocity and
815	morphology.
816	4.2.1. Rheology from lava sample characteristics
817	Defining the lava rheology from sample characteristics must be performed on samples collected

818 along the flow, either collected after emplacement or from in situ sampling. Lavas are made up 819 of three phases. The molten phase, the solid phase (the crystals) and the gas phase (bubbles). 820 Most lavas are defined as suspensions, meaning that their crystal cargo is less than the random 821 maximum crystal packing. Although multiple models exist to constrain the viscosity of a 822 magmatic suspension (see review by Kolzenburg et al. 2022), a simple protocol can be applied to define it. In this case, the viscosity of a lava is set as the apparent viscosity (η_{app}) of a mixture 823 824 of polydisperse particles (crystals and bubbles of various shapes and sizes) in a liquid phase 825 (that is a silicate melt):

826 $\eta_{app} = \eta_{melt} \cdot \eta_r = \eta_{melt}(T, X) \cdot \eta_{rc}(\phi c, r, \dot{\gamma}) \cdot \eta_{rb}(\phi b, Ca)$ (21)

- where the viscosity of the melt phase (η_{melt}) is Newtonian and depends on temperature (T) and composition (X). The relative viscosity due to the presence of a crystalline phase (η_{rc}) depends on the volumetric abundance of crystals (ϕ c) and their aspect ratio (r), as well as the strain rate ($\dot{\gamma}$). The relative viscosity due to the presence of bubbles (η_{rb}) depends on the volumetric abundance of bubbles (ϕ b) and their capillarity number (Ca), which depends on the melt viscosity, bubble surface tension and strain rate.
- 833 The melt phase viscosity can be estimated from its composition and temperature using an 834 appropriate model or directly by measuring the temperature-viscosity relationship (Russell, et 835 al 2022). This is straightforward to obtain for lava samples where the glass phase has been well 836 preserved (*in situ* sampling and quenching or naturally quenched crust, **Fig. 12**) because the 837 chemical composition (major elements) can be easily measured using a microprobe and 838 temperature can be calculated from crystal-liquid geothermometer (Putirka 2008). In turn, if 839 the glass has not been preserved, but instead there is a microcrystalline groundmass, an 840 alternative method is to calculate the bulk groundmass composition obtained by subtracting the 841 crystal chemical composition using the average mass density of the crystals to the bulk chemical
- 842 composition (Ramírez-Uribe et al. 2021).
- 843 To estimate the effect of crystals and bubbles a detailed textural analysis is necessary. This 844 must include quantification of the particle sizes, shapes (aspect ratio) and abundances (volume 845 fraction). These characteristics are usually obtained from image analyses of thin sections and 846 microphotographs (obtained from microscope or electronic microscope, backscattered electron 847 images) and using software such as ImageJ (see for example Chevrel et al., 2018). There are a 848 large number of models to calculate the effect of crystals and bubbles on lava viscosity 849 considering either two phases (melt + crystals or bubbles) or three phases (melt + crystals + 850 bubbles). All details of the models found in the literature for magmatic suspensions are 851 presented in the review of Kolzenburg et al. 2022).
- 852

4.2.2. Rheology of a lava flow

The apparent rheological characteristics of a lava flow may be obtained from its dimension, velocity and morphology. During an eruption, the viscosity of the flowing lava is estimated from using either the velocities of lava flowing within channels or at flow fronts (e.g. (Harris et al. 2004; deGraffenried et al. 2021; Harris et al. 2020). Under the simplification that lava flows in a laminar fashion with no inflation following a Newtonian or Bingham behavior, modification of the Jeffreys' (1925) equation and derivative equations (e.g., Eq. 9, 10, 11) can be rearranged to estimate viscosity (Nichols 1939). Apparent lava flow yield strength can also
be obtained from its geometric parameters (Hulme, 1974).

A third alternative method to monitor the rheological properties of lava as it flows are direct *in situ* viscosity measurement (see Chevrel et al. (2019) for review). However, this method is still far from being possible as an operational tool as there is currently ongoing research on reliable instrument that could be deployed easily in the field to perform such measurement (Chevrel et al. 2023). In over 60 years (1948 to 2019), only eleven studies on field lava rheology measurements have been published. Research is still ongoing and it would perhaps in the future lead to a routine measurement.

868 **5. Hazard product: Lava flow modelling**

869 Monitoring lava flows is often accompanied by forecasting most probable flow paths and areas 870 of flooding. The simplest way of forecasting lava flow path is to define the line of steepest 871 descent (Kauahikaua 2007). In Hawaii, the lines of steepest descent lines have been defined for 872 use as a general guide in forecasting the initial lava flow path in future eruptions and to assist 873 emergency managers (Kauahikaua et al. 2017; Rowland et al. 2005). Long-term hazard maps 874 are a way of visualizing areas that will most likely be impacted (Chevrel et al. 2021; Favalli et 875 al. 2012; Del Negro et al. 2013). However, when an eruption starts, a short-term hazard map is 876 more appropriate because it focuses on the location of the ongoing eruption and represents a 877 given scenario for that is restricted to the downhill area from the vent or the fissure and the zone 878 at risk. These numerical models have been developed to include satellite-derived source terms 879 (mostly TADR) and to allow real-time lava flow emplacement simulations and projections 880 [Wright et al. 2008; Ganci et al. 2012; Harris et al. 2019]. This is routinely produced at highly 881 eruptive center like at Hawaii (Neal et al. 2019), Mt Etna in Italy (Vicari et al. 2011) and Piton 882 de la Fournaise, La Réunion (see below). Such maps are good communication tools between 883 scientists and stakeholders. Nonetheless to ensure a common understanding and to help in 884 building effective mitigation strategies they need to be designed based on the needs of the end 885 users (Chevrel et al. 2022). For this, engagement with end users (local authorities) is absolutely 886 necessary.

- 887 Lava flow forecasts usually involve provision of model-derived the
- 888 1. area that will potentially be covered
- 889 2. most likely path and
- 890 3. distances the flow could reach.

Output depends on topography, slope, volume flux at the vent, total volume of lava emitted and/or the thermo-rheological properties of the lava. There are a number of numerical models that can be used to build short-term hazard maps but they all need to be pre-initialized, calibrated and validated (Harris et al. 2019; Vicari et al. 2011; Hyman et al. 2022). Preinitialization and calibration rely on collection of source term data collected, and validation involves retrospective analyses on previous eruptions to best fit the model to reality.

897

898 Exemple at Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion)

899 At Piton de la Fournaise (Le Réunion), since 2014 lava flow inundation areas have been forecast 900 and modeled through a satellite-data driven protocol (Chevrel et al. 2022; Harris et al. 2017; 901 2019). This protocol determines the flow probable inundation area and runout distances via the 902 DOWNFLOWGO numerical code that is a combination of DOWNFLOW, that provides the 903 path of steepest descent and probable lava flow inundation area (Favalli et al. 2005), and 904 FLOWGO that calculates the maximum cooling-limited runout of lava for a given effusion rate 905 (Harris and Rowland, 2001). To operate this protocol on an operational basis and routinely 906 during each eruption, a retrospective analysis of the April 2018 eruption was carried out (Harris 907 et al., 2019). As part of the protocol, an initial hazard map is shared within the first hours of 908 any eruption and, when needed, the map is updated as eruption conditions evolve (new vents 909 opening, extension of tubes, change in TADR) (Fig. 16). The maps provide a fundamental 910 communication tool between scientists and the authorities and have been developed through 911 iterative dialog with local civil protection. Figure 16 shows the delivered products during the 912 operational forecasting and monitoring response at Piton de la Fournaise for the December 2020 913 eruption. This includes a map of the eruption site where the line of steepest descent and the 914 probable lava flow paths is shown together with the location of the eruptive vent and of the 915 flow front. This short-term hazard map can be better constrained and updated through the 916 eruption as TADR is known (Fig 16b, c) and lava flow outline is obtained either from satellite 917 imagery or airborne photogrammetry (Fig 16d).

918

Accepted manuscript for the book **Modern Volcano Monitoring** edited by: Zack Spica and Corentin Caudron Book series Advances in Volcanology



919 920 Figure 16: Operational forecasting and monitoring response at Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion) for the 921 December 2020 eruption. a) First map produced on December 7, 2020 at 8h00 local time. b) TADR and cumulative 922 volume since the start of the eruption obtained by the HOTVOLC (Gouhier et al. 2016) and MIROVA (Coppola 923 et al. 2016) systems. c) Updated map produced on December 7, 2020 at 10h30 local time. d) Final map showing 924 the probability of lava flow invasion, runout distances as function of TADR and the final lava flow field outline 925 obtained by airborne photogrammetry (post emplacement outline). The lava flow outline drawn from the Sentinel-926 2 image acquired on December 7 at 10h30 is also shown (syn-eruptive outline). This map was produced after the 927 end of the eruption. Taken from Chevrel et al. (2022). 928

929 **6. Lava flow monitoring: The future**

930 Technology and capabilities are constantly improving and evolving, as new techniques come 931 on line, existing instrumentation becomes improved, and prices become lower. One could argue 932 that for monitoring, in some areas, we do not need any further reductions in images spatial 933 resolution. For example, for lava flow modelling a DEM spatial resolution of 10 m is more than 934 adequate. However, what we do need is the best possible temporal resolution, so as to track 935 lava flow spreading, topographic trends and update lava flow models with the most up-to-date 936 topography. Given the time scales involved in emplacement of a rapidly extending lava flow a 937 few minutes may be ideal, whereas for longer lasting events (years) a few weeks may be 938 sufficient. The key is, to balance data loads with scenario, processing capabilities and need. In 939 populated areas the need for regular and fast processing of data and product, as developed by 940 Copernicus Emergency Management Service (e.g., Fig. 4), is more pressing than in remote and 941 unpopulated areas.

942 Of course, lava is hot so one of the best means of tracking it is remotely and in the infrared.
943 However, no terrestrial sensor is currently perfect for tracking active lava. Fundamentally, gain
944 settings are usually too low so that saturation occurs at 60–120 °C, meaning all pixels over an
945 active lava flow are saturated. A pressing need is for at-least one waveband in the mid and/or

946 thermal infrared with a saturation of at-least 800 °C.

947 UAS, that has literally taken off over the last decade, represent a promising tool to monitor lava948 flows but onboard thermal imaging payloads need to be developed for lava flow temperatures.

To track entire lava flow fields, advances in UAS portable thermal imaging technology needs to be associated with improving capabilities for UAS to cover larger areas and for building orthomosaics from potentially thousands of images covering tens of km².

Seismicity and infrasound have been shown, especially during the 2018 eruption of Kilauea, capable of tracking the evolution of an effusive event, especially in terms of vent location and effusive intensity (Patrick et al. 2019). Further advances would be to track flow advance, where ground-based radar and/or InSAR could be used. This would be ideal for cloudy regions, but frequencies of current InSAR acquisitions could be increased to a few hours or so. Then, there are all sorts of possibilities we could think of, such as placing transmitting temperature and position sensors on and/or within an advancing lava flow.

While fast and simple non-physical 1 and 2D models are systematically used forecast lava flow
paths and velocities and preparation of short term hazard maps (Harris et al. 2019; Chevrel et
al. 2022; Ganci et al. 2012; Herault et al. 2009; Neal et al. 2019), more sophisticated physicsbased models are still not well developed to produce a timely forecast (Dietterich et al. 2017).

963 Development of faster numerical models accompanied by automatization of satellite data 964 integration are needed so that such capabilities can be used as operational tools for efficient 965 near real time lava flow propagation forecasting (Hyman et al. 2022; Cappello et al. 2022).

966 Automated reporting systems and observatory data bases are advancing all the time, so as to 967 ease the administrative and reporting load of volcano observatory staff. Crowd sourcing through 968 social media, will without a doubt, continue to develop as a resource to contribute to following 969 effusive eruption impacts as well as distributing warning, alerts and information (Wadsworth 970 et al. 2022). Conversely, there are other basics that are slow to improve: funding is never 971 enough, staff numbers are always too low, helicopter time is frequently non-existent, and 972 working hours are long, as are kilometers walked. The field practitioner will thus always argue 973 in terms of reducing equipment weight and bulk along with a well-defined duty and task list, 974 plus developing better means to keep drinking water cold and boots from burning.

975

976 **7. References**

- Bailey, J E, A Harris, J Dehn, S Calvari, and S Rowland. 2006. "The Changing Morphology
 of an Open Lava Channel on Mt. Etna." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 68: 497–515.
- 979 Bato, M. G., J. L. Froger, A. J.L. Harris, and N. Villeneuve. 2016. "Monitoring an Effusive
- 980 Eruption at Piton de La Fournaise Using Radar and Thermal Infrared Remote Sensing
- 981 Data: Insights into the October 2010 Eruption and Its Lava Flows." *Geological Society*
- 982 *Special Publication* 426 (1): 533–52. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP426.30.
- 983 Berthod, Carole, Etienne Médard, Patrick Bachèlery, Lucia Gurioli, Andrea Di Muro, Aline
- 984 Peltier, Jean-Christophe Komorowski, et al. 2021. "The 2018-Ongoing Mayotte
- Submarine Eruption: Magma Migration Imaged by Petrological Monitoring." *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 571: 117085.
- 987 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.117085.
- 988 Biren, Jonas, Aneta Slodczyk, Joan Andújar, Leire del Campo, Lionel Cosson, Hao Li,
- 989 Emmanuel Veron, Cécile Genevois, Sandra Ory, and Muhammad Aufaristama. 2022.
- 990 "High Temperature Spectral Emissivity of Glass and Crystal-Bearing Basalts." *Journal*
- 991 of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 107623.
- 992 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2022.107623.
- Blong, R J. 1984. Volcanic Hazards, A Sourcebook on the Effects of Eruptions. Edited by R J
- 994 BLONG. *Volcanic Hazards*. Academic P. San Diego: Academic Press.
- 995 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-107180-6.50010-X.
- 996 Burgi, Pierre Yves, Marc Caillet, and Steven Haefeli. 2002. "Field Temperature
- Measurements at Erta'Ale Lava Lake, Ethiopia." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 64 (7): 472–85.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-002-0224-3.
- 999 Calvari, S, M Cotteli, M Neri, M Pompilio, and V Scribano. 1994. "The 1991-1993 Etna
- 1000 Eruption: Chornology and Lava Flow-Field Evolution." *Acta Vulcanol.* 4: 1–14.
- 1001 Cappello, Annalisa, Giuseppe Bilotta, and Gaetana Ganci. 2022. "Modeling of Geophysical
- 1002 Flows through GPUFLOW." *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)* 12 (9).
- 1003 https://doi.org/10.3390/app12094395.
- 1004 Cashman, K. V., S. A. Soule, B. H. Mackey, N. I. Deligne, N. D. Deardorff, and H. R.
- 1005 Dietterich. 2013. "How Lava Flows: New Insights from Applications of Lidar
- 1006 Technologies to Lava Flow Studies." *Geosphere* 9 (6): 1664–80.
- 1007 https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00706.1.
- Cashman, K V, C Thornber, and J P Kauahikaua. 1999. "Cooling and Crystallization of Lava
 in Open Channels, and the Transition of Pāhoehoe Lava to 'a'Ā." *Bulletin of*

- 1010 *Volcanology* 61: 306–23. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s004450050299.
- 1011 Chevrel, M.O., M Favalli, N Villeneuve, A J L Harris, A Fornaciai, N Richter, A Derrien, P
- 1012 Boissier, A Di Muro, and A Peltier. 2021. "Lava Flow Hazard Map of Piton de La
- 1013 Fournaise Volcano." *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 21 (8): 2355–77.
- 1014 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-2355-2021.
- 1015 Chevrel, M.O., A J L Harris, M.R. James, L. Calabrò, L. Gurioli, and H. Pinkerton. 2018.
- 1016 "The Viscosity of Pāhoehoe Lava: In Situ Syn-Eruptive Measurements from Kilauea,
- 1017 Hawaii." *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 493: 161–71.
- 1018 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.028.
- 1019 Chevrel, M.O., A J L Harris, A. Peltier, N. Villeneuve, D. Coppola, M. Gouhier, and S.
- 1020 Drenne. 2022. "Volcanic Crisis Management Supported by near Real Time Lava Flow
- 1021 Hazard Assessment at Piton de La Fournaise, La Réunion." *Volcanica* 5 (2): 313–34.
- 1022 https://doi.org/10.30909/vol.05.02.313334.
- 1023 Chevrel, M.O., T. Latchimy, L. Batier, and R. Delpoux. 2023. "A New Portable Field
- 1024 Rotational Viscometer for High-Temperature Melts." *Reviews in Scientific Instrument*1025 Submitted.
- 1026 Chevrel, M.O., H. Pinkerton, and A.J.L. Harris. 2019. "Measuring the Viscosity of Lava in
 1027 the Field: A Review." *Earth-Science Reviews* 196.
- 1028 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.04.024.
- 1029 Copernicus Emergency Management Service. 2022. "Directorate Space, Security and
- Migration, European Commission Joint Research Centre (EC JRC)." Web. 12 July 2022.
 https://emergency.copernicus.eu/.
- 1032 Coppola, D., M. R. James, T. Staudacher, and C. Cigolini. 2010. "A Comparison of Field- and
- 1033 Satellite-Derived Thermal Flux at Piton de La Fournaise: Implications for the
- 1034 Calculation of Lava Discharge Rate." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 72 (3): 341–56.
- 1035 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-009-0320-8.
- 1036 Coppola, D., M. Laiolo, C. Cigolini, D. Delle Donne, and M. Ripepe. 2016. "Enhanced
- 1037 Volcanic Hot-Spot Detection Using MODIS IR Data: Results from the MIROVA
- 1038 System." In Detecting, Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions, edited by
- 1039 A.J.L. Harris, T. De Groeve, F. Garel, and S. A. Carn, 426:181–205. London: The
- 1040 Geological Society Special Publications. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP426.5.
- 1041 deGraffenried, R., J. Hammer, H. Dietterich, R. Perroy, M. Patrick, and T. Shea. 2021.
- 1042 "Evaluating Lava Flow Propagation Models with a Case Study from the 2018 Eruption
- 1043 of Kīlauea Volcano, Hawai'i." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 83 (11).

1044 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-021-01492-x.

1045 Derrien, A. 2019. "Apports Des Techniques Photogrammétriques À l'étude Du Dynamisme
1046 Des Structures Volcaniques Du Piton de La Fournaise." Université de Paris.

1047 http://www.theses.fr/2019UNIP7084.

- 1048 Dietterich, Hannah R., Angela K. Diefenbach, S. Adam Soule, Michael H. Zoeller, Matthew
- 1049 P. Patrick, Jon J. Major, and Paul R. Lundgren. 2021. "Lava Effusion Rate Evolution and
- 1050 Erupted Volume during the 2018 Kīlauea Lower East Rift Zone Eruption." *Bulletin of*

1051 *Volcanology* 83 (4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-021-01443-6.

- 1052 Dietterich, Hannah R., Einat Lev, Jiangzhi Chen, Jacob A. Richardson, and Katharine V.
- 1053 Cashman. 2017. "Benchmarking Computational Fluid Dynamics Models of Lava Flow
- 1054 Simulation for Hazard Assessment, Forecasting, and Risk Management." *Journal of*

1055 *Applied Volcanology* 6 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13617-017-0061-x.

- 1056 Dietterich, Hannah R., Michael P. Poland, David A. Schmidt, Katharine V. Cashman, David
- 1057 R. Sherrod, and Arkin Tapia Espinosa. 2012. "Tracking Lava Flow Emplacement on the
- 1058 East Rift Zone of Klauea, Hawaii, with Synthetic Aperture Radar Coherence."
- 1059 *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 13 (5): 1–17.
- 1060 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC004016.
- 1061 Favalli, Massimiliano, Maria Teresa Pareschi, Augusto Neri, and Ilaria Isola. 2005.
- 1062 "Forecasting Lava Flow Paths by a Stochastic Approach." *Geophysical Research Letters*1063 32 (3): 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021718.
- 1064 Favalli, Massimiliano, Simone Tarquini, Paolo Papale, Alessandro Fornaciai, and Enzo
- Boschi. 2012. "Lava Flow Hazard and Risk at Mt. Cameroon Volcano." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 74 (2): 423–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-011-0540-6.
- 1067 Ganci, Gaetana, Annamaria Vicari, Annalisa Cappello, and Ciro Del Negro. 2012. "An

1068 Emergent Strategy for Volcano Hazard Assessment: From Thermal Satellite Monitoring

- 1069 to Lava Flow Modeling." *Remote Sensing of Environment* 119: 197–207.
- 1070 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.12.021.
- Gansecki, Cheryl, R Lopaka Lee, Thomas Shea, Steven P Lundblad, Ken Hon, and Carolyn
 Parcheta. 2019. "The Tangled Tale of Kīlauea's 2018 Eruption as Told by Geochemical
 Monitoring" 1212 (December). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz0147.
- 1074 Gouhier, M., Y. Guéhenneux, P. Labazuy, P. Cacault, J. Decriem, and S. Rivet. 2016.
- 1075 "HOTVOLC: A Web-Based Monitoring System for Volcanic Hot Spots." In *Detecting*,
- 1076 *Modelling and Responding to Effusive Eruptions*, edited by A.J.L. Harris, T. De Groeve,
- 1077 F. Garel, and S. A. Carn, 426:223–41. London: The Geological Society Special

- 1078 Publications. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP426.31.
- 1079 Guilbaud, M. N., S. Blake, T. Thordarson, and S. Self. 2007. "Role of Syn-Eruptive Cooling
- 1080 and Degassing on Textures of Lavas from the Ad 1783-1784 Laki Eruption, South
- 1081 Iceland." Journal of Petrology 48 (7): 1265–94.
- 1082 https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egm017.
- 1083 Gurioli, L., A J L Harris, B. F. Houghton, M. Polacci, and M. Ripepe. 2008. "Textural and
- 1084 Geophysical Characterization of Explosive Basaltic Activity at Villarrica Volcano."
- 1085 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 113 (8): 1–16.
- 1086 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005328.
- 1087 Hansell, Anna, and Clive Oppenheimer. 2004. "Health Hazards from Volcanic Gases: A
- 1088 Systematic Literature Review." *Archives of Environmental Health* 59 (12): 628–39.
- 1089 https://doi.org/10.1080/00039890409602947.
- 1090 Harris, A J L. 2013a. Thermal Remote Sensing of Active Volcanoes: A User's Manual.
- 1091 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/DOI:
- 1092 10.1017/CBO9781139029346.
- 1093 ——. 2013b. Thermal Remote Sensing of Active Volcanoes. Cambridge University Press.
- 1094 Vol. 100. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 1095 https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139029346.
- 1096 . 2015. "Basaltic Lava Flow Hazard." In *Volcanic Hazards, Risks, and Disasters.*, 17–
 1097 46. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396453-3.00002-2.
- 1098 Harris, A J L, M.O. Chevrel, D. Coppola, M S Ramsey, A. Hrysiewicz, S. Thivet, N.
- 1099 Villeneuve, et al. 2019. "Validation of an Integrated Satellite-data-driven Response to an
- 1100 Effusive Crisis: The April–May 2018 Eruption of Piton de La Fournaise." *Annals of*
- 1101 *Geophysics* 61. https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-7972.
- Harris, A J L, J. Dehn, and S. Calvari. 2007. "Lava Effusion Rate Definition and
- 1103 Measurement: A Review." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 70 (1): 1–22.
- 1104 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-007-0120-y.
- 1105 Harris, A J L, Jonathan Dehn, Mike R. James, Christopher Hamilton, Richard Herd, Luigi
- 1106 Lodato, and Andrea Steffke. 2007. "Pāhoehoe Flow Cooling, Discharge, and Coverage
- 1107 Rates from Thermal Image Chronometry." *Geophysical Research Letters* 34 (19): 1–6.
- 1108 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030791.
- 1109 Harris, A J L, L. P. Flynn, O. Matías, and W. I. Rose. 2002. "The Thermal Stealth Flows of
- 1110 Santiaguito Dome, Guatemala: Implications for the Cooling and Emplacement of Dacitic
- 1111 Block-Lava Flows." *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America* 114 (5): 533–46.

1112 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2002)114<0533:TTSFOS>2.0.CO;2.

- 1113 Harris, A J L, Luke P Flynn, Otoniel Matias, William I Rose, and Julio Cornejo. 2004. "The
- Evolution of an Active Silicic Lava Flow Field : An ETM + Perspective" 135: 147–68.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2003.12.011.
- 1116 Harris, A J L, S. Mannini, S. Thivet, M.O. Chevrel, L. Gurioli, N. Villeneuve, A.D. Muro,
- and A. Peltier. 2020. "How Shear Helps Lava to Flow." *Geology* 48 (2).
- 1118 https://doi.org/10.1130/G47110.1.
- Harris, A J L, and S K Rowland. 2001. "FLOWGO: A Kinematic Thermo-Rheological Model
 for Lava Flowing in a Channel." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 63: 20–44.
- 1121 https://doi.org/10.1007/s004450000120.
- Harris, A J L, Scott K Rowland, and Magdalena Oryaëlle Chevrel. 2022. *The Anatomy of a Channel Fed ' a ' ā Lava Flow System. Bulletin of Volcanology*. Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-022-01578-0.
- 1125 Harris, A J L, N. Villeneuve, A. Di Muro, V. Ferrazzini, A. Peltier, D. Coppola, M. Favalli, et
- al. 2017. "Effusive Crises at Piton de La Fournaise 2014-2015: A Review of a Multi-
- 1127 National Response Model." *Journal of Applied Volcanology* 6 (1): 11.
- 1128 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13617-017-0062-9.
- Helz, Rosalind, C Heliker, Ken Hon, and M Mangan. 2003. "Thermal Efficiency of Lava
 Tubes in the Pu'u 'Ō'ō-Kū Paianaha Eruption." US Geological Survey Professional
 Paper, 105–20.
- 1132 Herault, A, G Bilotta, A Vicari, E Rustico, and C Del Negro. 2009. "Forecasting Lava Flow
- 1133 Hazards during the 2006 Etna Eruption: Using the MAGFLOW Cellular Automata
- 1134 Model." Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 112 (1): 78–88.
- 1135 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2007.10.008.
- Hon, K, J P Kauahikaua, R Denlinger, and K Mackay. 1994. "Emplacement and Inflation of
 Pahoehoe Sheet Flows: Observations and Measurements of Active Lava Flows on
- 1138 Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii." *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 106: 351–70.
- Hulme, G. 1974. "The Interpretation of Lava Flow Morphology." *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*39: 361–83.
- 1141 Hyman, D M R, H R Dietterich, and M R Patrick. 2022. "Toward Next-Generation Lava Flow
- 1142 Forecasting: Development of a Fast, Physics-Based Lava Propagation Model." *Journal*
- 1143 of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 127 (10): e2022JB024998.
- 1144 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB024998.
- 1145 James, Mike R., Brett B. Carr, Fiona D'Arcy, Angela K. Diefenbach, Hannah R. Dietterich,

- 1146 Alessandro Fornaciai, Einat Lev, et al. 2020. "Volcanological Applications of
- 1147 Unoccupied Aircraft Systems (UAS): Developments, Strategies, and Future Challenges."
- 1148 *Volcanica* 3 (1): 64–114. https://doi.org/10.30909/vol.03.01.67114.
- Jeffreys, H. 1925. "The Flow of Water in an Inclined Channel of Rectangular Section." *Philosophy Magasin* serie 6, 4: 293,793-807.
- 1151 Kauahikaua, J P. 2007. "Lava Flow Hazard Assessment, as of August 2007, for Kilauea East
- 1152 Rift Zone Eruptions, Hawai'i Island." *Open-File Report*. Version 1.
- 1153 https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20071264.
- 1154 Kauahikaua, J P, T. Orr, M R Patrick, and F. Trusdell. 2017. "Steepest-Descent Lines for
- 1155 Kīlauea, Mauna Loa, Hualālai, and Mauna Kea Volcanoes, Hawai'i." U.S. Geological
 1156 Survey Data Release. https://doi.org/10.5066/F7FJ2DX0.
- 1157 Keszthelyi, L. 1995. "A Preliminary Thermal Budget for Lava Tubes on the Earth and
 1158 Planets." J. Geophys. Res. 100: 20,411-20,420.
- 1159 Kolzenburg, S., M.O. Chevrel, and D.B. Dingwell. 2022. "Magma Suspension Rheology."
- 1160 *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemitry* 87 (1): 639–720.
 1161 http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2020.86.X.
- Lipman, P W, and N G Banks. 1987. "Aa Flow Dynamics, 1984 Mauna Loa Eruption." U.S. *Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap 1350*, 1527–67.
- 1164 Meredith, Elinor S., Susanna F. Jenkins, Josh L. Hayes, Natalia Irma Deligne, David
- 1165 Lallemant, Matthew Patrick, and Christina Neal. 2022. "Damage Assessment for the
- 2018 Lower East Rift Zone Lava Flows of Kīlauea Volcano, Hawai'i." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 84 (7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-022-01568-2.
- Moore, H.J. 1987. "Preliminary Estimates of the Rheological Properties of 1984 Mauna Loa
 Lava." U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1350 99: 1569–88.
- 1170 Neal, C A, S R Brantley, L Antolik, J L Babb, M Burgess, K Calles, M Cappos, et al. 2019.
- 1171 "The 2018 Rift Eruption and Summit Collapse of Kīlauea Volcano." *Science* 363 (6425):
 1172 367–74. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7046.
- 1173 Negro, C. Del, A. Cappello, M. Neri, G. Bilotta, A. Herault, and G. Ganci. 2013. "Lava Flow
 1174 Hazards at Mount Etna : Constraints Imposed by Eruptive History and Numerical
- 1175 Simulations." Scentific Reports 3 (3493): 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03493.
- 1176 Nichols, R L. 1939. "Viscosity of Lava." *The Journal of Geology* 47 (3): 290–302.
- 1177 Oppenheimer, C. 1991. "Lava Flow Cooling Estimated from Landsat Thematic Mapper
- 1178 Infrared Data: The Lonquimay Eruption (Chile, 1989)." Journal of Geophysical
- 1179 *Research* 96 (B13). https://doi.org/10.1029/91jb01902.

- 1180 Pallister, John, Rick Wessels, Julie Griswold, Wendy McCausland, Nugraha Kartadinata,
- 1181 Hendra Gunawan, Agus Budianto, and Sofyan Primulyana. 2019. "Monitoring,
- 1182 Forecasting Collapse Events, and Mapping Pyroclastic Deposits at Sinabung Volcano
- 1183 with Satellite Imagery." *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 382: 149–63.
- 1184 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2018.05.012.
- 1185 Pankhurst, Matthew J., Jane H. Scarrow, Olivia A. Barbee, James Hickey, Beverley C.
- 1186 Coldwell, Gavyn K. Rollinson, José A. Rodríguez-Losada, et al. 2022. "Rapid Response
- 1187 Petrology for the Opening Eruptive Phase of the 2021 Cumbre Vieja Eruption, La Palma,
- 1188 Canary Islands." *Volcanica* 5 (1): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.30909/vol.05.01.0110.
- 1189 Patrick, M R, H. R. Dietterich, J. J. Lyons, A. K. Diefenbach, C. Parcheta, K. R. Anderson, A.
- 1190 Namiki, I. Sumita, B. Shiro, and J. P. Kauahikaua. 2019. "Cyclic Lava Effusion during
- 1191 the 2018 Eruption of Kīlauea Volcano." *Science* 366 (6470).
- 1192 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay9070.
- 1193 Patrick, M R, Tim Orr, Gary Fisher, Frank Trusdell, and James Kauahikaua. 2017. "Thermal
- Mapping of a Pāhoehoe Lava Flow, Kīlauea Volcano." *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 332: 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.12.007.
- 1196 Poland, Michael P. 2022. "Synthetic Aperture Radar Volcanic Flow Maps (SAR VFMs): A
- Simple Method for Rapid Identification and Mapping of Volcanic Mass Flows." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 84 (3): 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-022-01539-7.
- 1199 Putirka, K. 2008. "Thermometers and Barometers for Volcanic Systems." Putirka, K., Tepley,
- F. (Eds.), Minerals, Inclusions and Volcanic Processes, Reviews in Mineralogy and
 Geochemistry, Mineralogical Soc. Am. 69: 61–120.
- 1202 Ramírez-Uribe, I., C. Siebe, M.O. Chevrel, and Christopher T. Fisher. 2021. "Rancho Seco
- 1203 Monogenetic Volcano (Michoacán, Mexico): Petrogenesis and Lava Flow Emplacement
- 1204 Based on LiDAR Images." *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 411.
- 1205 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2020.107169.
- 1206 Re, Giuseppe, Rosa Anna Corsaro, Claudia D'Oriano, and Massimo Pompilio. 2021.
- 1207 "Petrological Monitoring of Active Volcanoes: A Review of Existing Procedures to
- 1208 Achieve Best Practices and Operative Protocols during Eruptions." Journal of
- 1209 Volcanology and Geothermal Research 419: 107365.
- 1210 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2021.107365.
- 1211 Robert, B, A Harris, G Gurioli, E Medard, A Sehlke, and A Whittington. 2014. "Textural and
- 1212 Rheological Evolution of Basalt Flowing down a Lava Channel." *Bulletin of*
- 1213 *Volcanology* 76: 824.

- Rose, William I. 1987. "Volcanic Activity at Santiaguito Volcano, 1976-1984." *Special Paper of the Geological Society of America* 212: 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1130/SPE212-p17.
- 1216 Rowland, S K, H Garbeil, and A J L Harris. 2005. "Lengths and Hazards from Channel-Fed
- 1217 Lava Flows on Mauna Loa, Hawaii, Determined from Thermal and Downslope
- 1218 Modeling with FLOWGO." *Bulletin of Volcanology* 67: 634–47.
- 1219 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-004-0399-x.
- 1220 Russell, James K, Kai-Uwe Hess, and Donald B Dingwell. 2022. "Models for Viscosity of
- 1221 Geological Melts." *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 87 (1): 841–85.
- 1222 https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2022.87.18.
- 1223 Rust, A. C., and K. V. Cashman. 2011. "Permeability Controls on Expansion and Size
- 1224 Distributions of Pyroclasts." Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 116 (11): 1–
- 1225 17. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008494.
- 1226 Schmidt, Anja. 2015. "Volcanic Gas and Aerosol Hazards from a Future Laki-Type Eruption
- 1227 in Iceland." In Hazards and Disasters Series, edited by John F Shroder and Paolo B T -
- 1228 Volcanic Hazards Papale Risks and Disasters, 377–97. Boston: Elsevier.
- 1229 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396453-3.00015-0.
- 1230 Thielicke, William, and Eize J. Stamhuis. 2014. "PIVlab Towards User-Friendly,
- Affordable and Accurate Digital Particle Image Velocimetry in MATLAB." *Journal of Open Research Software* 2. https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.bl.
- 1233 Thornber, Carl R, Ken Hon, Christina Heliker, and David A Sherrod. 2003. "A Compilation
- 1234 of Whole-Rock and Glass Major-Element Geochemistry of Kïlauea Volcano, Hawaii,
- near-Vent Eruptive Products: January 1983 through September 2001." *Open File Report*03-477, no. January 1983: 8.
- 1237 Verdurme, Pauline, Simon Carn, A J L Harris, Diego Coppola, Andrea Di Muro, Santiago
- 1238 Arellano, and Lucia Gurioli. 2022. "Lava Volume from Remote Sensing Data:
- 1239 Comparisons with Reverse Petrological Approaches for Two Types of Effusive
- 1240 Eruption." *Remote Sensing* 14 (2). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14020323.
- Vicari, A., G. Bilotta, S. Bonfiglio, A. Cappello, G. Ganci, A. H??rault, E. Rustico, G. Gallo,
 and C. Del Negro. 2011. "Lav@hazard: A Web-Gis Interface for Volcanic Hazard
 Assessment." *Annals of Geophysics* 54 (5): 662–70. https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-5347.
- 1244 Wadsworth, Fabian B., Edward W. Llewellin, Jamie I. Farquharson, Janina K. Gillies, Ariane
- 1245 Loisel, Léon Frey, Evgenia Ilyinskaya, et al. 2022. "Crowd-Sourcing Observations of
- 1246 Volcanic Eruptions during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall and Cumbre Vieja Events." *Nature*
- 1247 *Communications* 13 (1): 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30333-4.

- 1248 Walker, G P L. 1973. "Lengths of Lava Flows." Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
- 1249 Society, London 274: 107–18.
- 1250 Zink, Manfred, Markus Bachmann, Benjamin Brautigam, Thomas Fritz, Irena Hajnsek,
- 1251 Alberto Moreira, Birgit Wessel, and Gerhard Krieger. 2014. "TanDEM-X: The New
- 1252 Global DEM Takes Shape." *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine* 2 (2): 8–
- 1253 23. https://doi.org/10.1109/MGRS.2014.2318895.
- 1254