



**HAL**  
open science

# Diplomacy from Below or Cross-Confessional Loyalty? The “Christians of Algiers” between the Lord of Kuko and the King of Spain in the Early 1600s

Natividad Planas

► **To cite this version:**

Natividad Planas. Diplomacy from Below or Cross-Confessional Loyalty? The “Christians of Algiers” between the Lord of Kuko and the King of Spain in the Early 1600s. *Journal of Early Modern History*, 2015, 19, pp.153 - 173. 10.1163/15700658-12342455 . hal-03708356

**HAL Id: hal-03708356**

**<https://uca.hal.science/hal-03708356>**

Submitted on 29 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



BRILL

JOURNAL OF EARLY  
MODERN HISTORY 19 (2015) 153-173

*Journal of*  
Early Modern  
History

brill.com/jemh

# Diplomacy from Below or Cross-Confessional Loyalty? The “Christians of Algiers” between the Lord of Kuko and the King of Spain in the Early 1600s

*Natividad Planas*

Université Blaise Pascal (Clermont-Ferrand France)

## Abstract

Usually seen as actors with limited political agency, captives and slaves are, in this essay, at the core of complex diplomatic negotiations between two political authorities in a cross-confessional context. The case study presents a group of enslaved Christians in Algiers at the beginning of the seventeenth century working to restore a disrupted communication system between Spain and a rebel Muslim lord at war with the Ottomans. This lord, called Amar ben bel Cadi, ruled the tiny city of Kuko and its region in the Djurdjura range (in present-day Kabylia). The goal of the Spanish military collaboration with him was to take Algiers and weaken the Ottoman Empire in North Africa. The paper argues that the captives' initiative must be understood both as diplomacy “from below” and as a cross-confessional model of loyalty. Furthermore, it compels us to rethink the agency of actors in imperial encounters and to reject the *topos*—often implicit in contemporary historical essays—that religious affiliation conditioned political loyalty.

## Keywords

Spain – North Africa – captivity – mediation – political culture – agency – sixteenth century – seventeenth century

## Introduction

In August 1603, the “Christians of Algiers,” a large group of slaves and captives in Algiers about whom the sources provide scant information, gathered ransom money to liberate and send one of their number as emissary to the king of Spain, Philip III.<sup>1</sup> Their aim was to convince the sovereign of the world’s strongest Christian empire to continue a military project against Algiers with the support of a Muslim lord, Amar ben Amar bel Cadi (called *rey del Cuco* by the Spaniards), a rebel leader at war with the Ottomans.<sup>2</sup> Two months earlier the Spanish king’s official envoy in charge of the negotiations with Kuko had been killed by janissaries, which had stalled the project.<sup>3</sup>

In the early modern Mediterranean, cross-confessional cooperation was not as peculiar as it may seem at first glance.<sup>4</sup> What was more exceptional is the captives’ role as self-appointed intermediaries. Surprisingly, despite the fact that the case of Spanish collaboration with the lord of Kuko has been known to historians for a while—part of the archives concerning it were published in the mid-twentieth century—this aspect seems to have gone unnoticed.<sup>5</sup> The main reason for this neglect may lie in the fact that the case of the Christian captives-turned-diplomatic-intermediaries subverts, in several ways, commonly accepted models of captivity and political action.

1 Archivo General de Simancas (AGS), Estado, leg. 192 (July 26, 1603).

2 About Kuko-Spanish relations, Fernand Braudel, “Les Espagnols en Algérie,” in *Histoire et historiens de l’Algérie* (Paris, 1930), 246; Carlos Rodríguez Joulia de Saint-Cyr, *Felipe III y el rey de Cuco* (Madrid, 1953); Pierre Boyer, “Espagne et Kouko. Les négociations de 1598 et 1610,” *Revue de l’Occident musulman et de la Méditerranée* 8 (1970): 25-40.

3 Janissaries were Ottoman infantry soldiers mostly recruited in the Balkans. Imperial janissaries were based in Istanbul and some garrison regiments in provincial capitals. See Jane Hathaway and Karl Barbir, *The Arab Lands Under Ottoman Rule, 1516-1800* (New York, 2008).

4 About Iberian collaboration with Islamic partners, see Chantal de la Véronne, *Oran et Tlemcen dans la première moitié du XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle* (Paris, 1983); Jean-Frédéric Schaub, *Les juifs du roi d’Espagne. Oran 1509-1669* (Paris, 1999); Luis Gil Fernández, *El Imperio luso-español y la Persia Safávida*, vol. 1 (1582-1605) (Madrid, 2006); Matthew T. Racine, “Service and Honor in Sixteenth-Century Portuguese North Africa: Yahiya-u-Ta’fuft and Portuguese Noble Culture,” *Sixteenth Century Journal* 32, no. 1 (2001): 67-90. About early modern cross-confessional alliances in a broader area, see Giovanni Ricci, *Appello al Turco. I confini infranti del Rinascimento?* (Rome, 2001); Gerard van Krieken, *Corsaires et marchands. Les relations entre Alger et les Pays-Bas 1604-1830* (Paris, 2002); Christine Isom-Verhaaren, *Allies with the Infidel: The Ottoman and French Alliance in the Sixteenth Century* (London, 2011).

5 Carlos Rodríguez Joulia de Saint-Cyr, a Spanish historian of the Africanist school, does not address the question despite the fact that he published part of the documentation concerning the case in Rodríguez Joulia de Saint-Cyr, *Felipe III*, 94-97.

The liberty of movement and action enjoyed by these captives is incompatible with contemporary Western notions of captivity based largely on the experiences of Africans in the American South. We imagine enslaved people under the arbitrary power of a master or confined to the narrow spaces of the slave quarters or North African *bagnes* without the opportunity to meet, make decisions, and act, even secretly.<sup>6</sup> However, research on slavery and captivity in Islamic societies, and particularly in North Africa, suggests that while some captives certainly suffered horribly as galley rowers or otherwise, others had considerable freedom of movement and socialization,<sup>7</sup> particularly if their masters viewed them as worthy of potentially high ransom.<sup>8</sup>

This essay will examine the role that the Christian captives and slaves of Algiers played on the imperial stage, asking whether we can consider their actions a form of diplomacy from below.<sup>9</sup> In order to shed light on these sub-altern actors' capacity to participate in the diplomatic process and address

- 
- 6 The Christian captive in North Africa as powerless victim of cruel Muslim masters is a recurring *topos* in studies that attribute privateering, piracy and slavery only to Muslim polities in the Mediterranean. Comparisons between Christians enslaved in North Africa and African bondage in America are more frequent than comparative essays on slavery along the two shores of the Mediterranean. See for instance, Robert C. Davis, *Christian Slaves, Muslim Masters: White Slavery in the Mediterranean, the Barbary Coast, and Italy, 1500-1800* (Houndmills, 2003). A critical analysis in M'Hamed Oualdi, "D'Europe et d'Orient, les approches de l'esclavage des chrétiens en terres d'Islam," *Annales. Histoire, Sciences Sociales* 4 (2008): 829-843.
- 7 See for instance Gabriel Gómez de Losada, *Escuela de trabajos . . .* (Madrid, 1670), 211; About the captives' freedom of movement in the Algerian social context, see Daniel Hershenzon, "Plaintes et menaces: captivité et violences religieuses en Méditerranée au XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle," in *Les musulmans dans l'histoire de l'Europe*, Vol. 11, *Passages et contacts en Méditerranée*, ed. Jocelyne Dakhli and Wolfgang Kaiser (Paris, 2012), 441-460.
- 8 For new insights on ransom and captivity as a bilateral Mediterranean phenomenon, see Wolfgang Kaiser, *Le commerce des captifs. Les intermédiaires dans l'échange et le rachat des prisonniers en Méditerranée, XV<sup>e</sup>-XVII<sup>e</sup> siècles* (Rome, 2008); Nabil Matar, *Britain and Barbary, 1589-1689* (Gainesville, 2005); Fabienne P. Guillén and Salah Rabelsi, eds., *Les esclavages en Méditerranée: espaces et dynamiques économiques* (Madrid, 2012); José Antonio Martínez Torres, *Prisioneros de los infieles: vida y rescate de los cautivos cristianos en el Mediterráneo musulmán (siglos XVI-XVII)* (Barcelona, 2004); Daniel Hershenzon, "Las redes de confianza y crédito en el Mediterráneo occidental: cautiverio y rescate (1580-1670)," in *Les esclavages*, ed. Guillén and Rabelsi, 131-140. For a global perspective, see Linda Colley, *Captives: Britain, Empire and the World, 1600-1850* (London, 2003).
- 9 For a stimulating approach to this issue in another geographical context see Renaud Morieux, "Diplomacy from Below and Belonging: Fishermen and Cross-Channel Relations in the Eighteenth Century," *Past & Present* 202 (2009): 83-125.

questions about their initiative, the essay will analyze the historical and broader political and cultural contexts in which their actions have to be understood. I will demonstrate that their agency as well as their specific political position was not conditioned by their European background, or by their supposedly collective identity as Christians,<sup>10</sup> but by their capacity for performing their own political culture within a specific context. Furthermore, drawing on recent studies on agency of slaves,<sup>11</sup> the essay will approach these captives as social actors able to interact, despite their legal status, with the Muslim allies of the Spanish crown. From a global perspective, it will argue that their actions vis-à-vis Spain and Kuko have to be understood as a model of cross-confessional loyalty that transcended religious affiliation.

### Historical Ties between Spain and Kuko

Officially, Spain did not maintain any diplomatic contact with Muslim countries until the end of the eighteenth century.<sup>12</sup> Unlike the French monarchy, which had official relationships with the Ottoman Empire and established consulates in most Ottoman provinces, Spanish rulers had no appointed staff to regulate or mediate contacts with Muslim rulers. However, a multiplicity of actors conveyed commercial, strategic, and private information from the Spanish shores to North Africa and vice versa as a great number of occasions for exchange linked the two societies. Sailors, captives, merchants, and religious brokers for the release of captives were aware of the main events discussed in more frequented places in the towns (*bagnes*, taverns, streets, ports), and some could be temporarily charged with official missions.<sup>13</sup> Nevertheless,

10 Rogers Brubaker and Frederick Cooper, "Beyond 'identity,'" *Theory and Society* 29 (2000): 1-47.

11 The debate about the agency of enslaved people launched by scholars of African American Studies (Walter Johnson, "On Agency," *Journal of Social History* 37 (2003): 113-124) and the recent works on Muslim and African slavery in Spain during the Middle Ages (Debra Blumenthal, *Enemies and Familiars. Slavery and Mastery in Fifteenth-Century Valencia* (Ithaca-London, 2009)) give us tools to reevaluate the conditions of Christian slaves in the early modern Mediterranean.

12 Spain signed its first peace treaty with the Ottoman Empire in 1783, with Algiers in 1786, and with Morocco in 1767.

13 About merchants in cross-confessional diplomacy, see Mercedes Garcia-Arenal and Gerard A. Wieggers, *Un hombre en tres mundos: Samuel Pallache, un judío marroquí en la Europa protestante y en la católica* (Madrid, 2006); Florenci Sastre i Portella, *Espies menorquins a Turquia* (Ciutadella, 2013). About captives or ex-captives turning into

at times Spain sent emissaries to discuss specific issues with Muslim rulers or secretly with political opponents.<sup>14</sup> This was the case with Amar ben Amar bel Cadi, lord of Kuko, who was in conflict with the Ottoman authorities of Algiers around the beginning of the seventeenth century. An envoy, the Franciscan friar named Matheo de Aguirre, was sent to meet bel Cadi in September 1602 and assess the possibility of a collaboration *in situ*.<sup>15</sup>

We know little about the bel Cadi dynasty, except that their principal territories were located in the mountains of Djurdjura in the interior of the Maghreb<sup>16</sup> and that Amar ben Amar also controlled part of the coastline around the beginning of the seventeenth century (Fig. 1).<sup>17</sup> Recent research reveals that the bel Cadis collaborated with the Barbarossa brothers in conquering the central Maghreb at the beginning of the sixteenth century.<sup>18</sup> After Algiers became a province of the Ottoman Empire, the bel Cadis started

---

ransomers, Natividad Planas, "Acteurs et mécanismes du rachat d'esclaves dans l'archipel Baléare au XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle," in *Le commerce des captifs*, 65-81; Daniel Hershenzon, "Early Modern Spain and the Creation of the Mediterranean: Captivity, Commerce and Knowledge" (Ph.D. Diss., University of Michigan, 2011), 178.

- 14 For instance, regarding emissaries involved in the Spanish-Ottoman negotiations for the truce of 1578 and its renewal in 1580, see Maria José Rodríguez Salgado, *Felipe II, El "Paladín de la cristiandad" y la paz con el turco* (Valladolid, 2004).
- 15 Concerning Matheo de Aguirre, see Natividad Planas, "Une culture en partage. La communication politique entre Europe et Islam aux XVI<sup>e</sup> et XVII<sup>e</sup> siècles," in *Les musulmans dans l'histoire de l'Europe*, vol. 2, *Passages et contacts en Méditerranée*, ed. Jocelyne Dakhlia and Wolfgang Kaiser (Paris, 2012), 273-310. In conversations between Spain and Persia for an anti-Ottoman alliance a major role was played by religious envoys. See for instance Luis Gil Fernandez, *El Imperio luso-español y la Persia Safavida*, vol. 1 (1582-1605) (Madrid, 2006).
- 16 Mountain range of the Atlas located in Kabylia, a northern region of present-day Algeria. See *Carte du royaume d'Alger par P. Du Val*, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, site Richelieu, GE D-13784.
- 17 At the end of the sixteenth century, Luis de Mármol Carvajal asserted in his *History of Africa* that Kuko was a city of 1600 inhabitants, eighteen leagues southeast from Algiers and fifteen southwest from Bejaïa (Bougie). Luis del Marmol Carvajal, *Primera parte de la Descripción general de Affrica, con todos los successos de guerras que a avido entre los infieles y el pueblo christiano, y entre ellos mesmos, desde Mahoma hasta nuestros tiempos* (Granada, 1573), vol. 2, fol. 221v.-222v.
- 18 Nicolas Vatin, "Note sur l'entrée d'Alger sous la souveraineté ottomane (1519-1521)," *Turcica* 44 (2012-2013): 131-166; Alexandre Sander Rang and Ferdinand Denis, *Fondation de la régence d'Alger: histoire des Barberousse, chronique arabe du XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle* (Paris, 1837), 161-162. This is the French translation of Kheir-Eddin Barbarossa's biography written in Ottoman by Seyyid Murād (ca. 1571), Aldo Gallotta, "Il 'Ġazavāt-ı Ḥayreddīn Paşa' di Seyyid Murād," *Studi Magrebini* 13 (1981).



FIGURE 1 *Kuko on a map of Algiers and its hinterland, Pierre Duval (1665)*  
(BIBLIOTHÈQUE NATIONALE DE FRANCE)

contesting the Barbarossas' and then the Ottoman pasha's rule. At times enemies, at times allies, they fought against or alongside Ottoman rulers and continued to be powerful lords in their own region.

Their contacts with the Spaniards went as far back as their conflicts with the Ottomans. When the bel Cadis were at war with the latter, they had friendly relations with the former. The very first encounter took place during the first half of the sixteenth century (1515 to 1555) when the Spanish monarchy was occupying some North African coastal cities, including Bejaïa, not far from Algiers and very close to the bel Cadi lands. The rulers of Kuko provided military support and supplies to the Spaniards, who were quite isolated from

their base on the peninsula.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, when Emperor Charles v tried to conquer Algiers in 1541, one of Amar ben Amar's ancestors offered him his military assistance.<sup>20</sup> After the conquest of Bejaïa by the Ottoman rulers of Algiers in 1555, contact between the Habsburgs and the bel Cadis ended for a while. A period of peace with Algiers kept Kuko away from Spain. However, when Amar ben Amar came to power around 1594, he decided to reinstate contact. Preliminary discussions started at that time but were unsuccessful.<sup>21</sup> Nonetheless, in 1602 Amar managed to obtain the support of Spain, the greatest enemy of the Ottomans, and declared war against the pasha of Algiers.<sup>22</sup>

At that time, Philip III intensified cross-confessional contacts with Islamic rulers, especially Persia, an Ottoman enemy, and rebel subjects of the Ottoman Empire. Were those collaborations and alliances a conscious element of a global diplomatic policy? Did the end of Philip II's reign and the signing of the peace of Vervins between France and Spain (1598) inaugurate new trends in opposing the Ottomans? Classical approaches to Mediterranean history emphasize that in the seventeenth century the Spanish rulers' commitment to the struggle against "infidels" decreased due to their more limited financial capacities and tend to consider cross-confessional diplomacy as a sign of weakness or decline in Spain's bid for Mediterranean leadership.<sup>23</sup> However, a more careful reading of the sources invites us to reevaluate this theory and examine from a new angle the connections between this aspiring universal empire and various rebel leaders, insurgent communities, and enemies of its enemies all around the world.<sup>24</sup>

During the last decade, the historiography of modern empires has shown that the maintenance of imperial domination was made possible by

19 Paule Wintzer, "Bougie, place forte espagnole," *Bulletin de la Société de géographie d'Alger et de l'Afrique du Nord* 129 (1932): 185-222. Supplying the Spaniards and even trading with them was neither unusual for Muslim communities and villages located in the hinterland of Oran or other Spanish *presidios*, see Beatriz Alonso Acero, *Orán-Mazalquivir (1589-1639). Una sociedad española en la frontera de Berberia* (Madrid, 2000), 319-414.

20 Daniel Nordman, *Tempête sur Alger. L'expédition de Charles Quint en 1541* (Paris, 2011), 385-391.

21 AGS, Estado, leg. 492.

22 Deliberation of *Consejo de Estado*, AGS, Estado, leg. 1951, September 1602.

23 Fernand Braudel, *La Méditerranée et le monde méditerranéen à l'époque de Philippe II* (Paris, 1966), 469-514; Mercedes García-Arenal and Miguel Ángel de Bunes, *Los españoles y el norte de África, siglos XV-XVIII* (Madrid, 1992), 122-134.

24 José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez, ed., *Las vecindades de las Monarquías Ibéricas* (Madrid, 2013).



collaboration with local actors.<sup>25</sup> Spanish power in the Mediterranean and beyond depended not only on conquests and military operations but also on a great number of alliances or friendly relationships with rulers of neighboring countries, enabling the Spanish monarchy to have an influence in territories not under its sovereignty and even those under its enemies' sovereignty.<sup>26</sup> During the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, local authorities of the Balkan, North African and Greek provinces of the Ottoman Empire secretly offered their loyalty to the king of Spain,<sup>27</sup> as did the Irish Catholics<sup>28</sup> and even the French *ligueurs*.<sup>29</sup>

In this context of cross-confessional alliances, the Spaniards began sending munitions, arms, and money to Amar ben Amar bel Cadi.<sup>30</sup> The lord of Kuko held a fortress at an inlet called Tamagut, where Spanish emissaries would regularly land to meet with him. Troops posted there were in charge of taking visitors to the city of Kuko located in the mountains a day's march from the coast along tortuously steep paths. The plan was that Amar would first fight alone

- 
- 25 See for instance Subrahmanyam, "Holding the World in Balance: The Connected Histories of the Iberian Overseas Empires, 1500-1640," *The American Historical Review* 112 (2007): 1359-1385; Jane Burbank and Frederick Cooper, *Empires in World History: Power and the Politics of Difference* (Princeton, 2011).
- 26 José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez, "Introducción: las monarquías ibéricas y sus vecindades," in *Las vecindades de las Monarquías Ibéricas*, ed. José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez (Madrid, 2013), 9-42.
- 27 José M. Floristán Imizcoz, *Fuentes para la política oriental de los Austrias: la documentación griega del Archivo de Simancas (1571-1621)* (León, 1988); *id.*, "Carta del clero de la Morea a Felipe II," *Erytheia: revista de estudios bizantinos y neogriegos* 29 (2008): 83-112; *id.*, "Felipe II y la empresa de Grecia tras Lepanto, 1571-78," *Erytheia: revista de estudios bizantinos y neogriegos* 15 (1994): 155-190.
- 28 Oscar Recio Morales, *España y la pérdida del Ulster: Irlanda en la estrategia política de la Monarquía hispánica (1602-1649)* (Madrid, 2003); Ciaran O'Sceá, "The Significance and Legacy of Spanish Intervention in West Munster during the Battle of Kinsale," in *Irish Migrants in Europe after Kinsale, 1602-1820*, ed. Thomas O'Connor and Mary Ann Lyons (Dublin, 2003), 32-63.
- 29 José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez and Gaetano Sabatini, "Entre Aguirre y el gran rey. Los discursos de la elección de Felipe II al trono de Francia en 1591," in *Hacer Historia desde Simancas. Homenaje a José Luis Rodríguez de Diego*, ed. Alberto Marcos Martín (Valladolid, 2011), 661-685; José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez, "A Thing Not Seen in Paris since Its Founding: The Spanish Garrison of 1590 to 1594," in *Polycentric Monarchies: How did Early Modern Spain and Portugal Achieve and Maintain a Global Hegemony?*, ed. Pedro Cardim, Tamar Herzog, José Javier Ruiz Ibáñez, and Gaetano Sabatini (Sussex, 2012), 197-213.
- 30 *El virrey (Hernando Zanoquera) al Consejo de Aragón, con una memoria de lo que embia a Berberia al Rey Cuco, con fray Mateo Aguirre*, Archivo de la Corona de Aragón, Consejo de Aragón, leg. 955 (18 April 1603).

against their common enemy, the pasha of Algiers, and weaken his military forces. Subsequently a Spanish fleet would be sent to North Africa to help him seize Algiers, the most powerful Muslim town in the western Mediterranean. In the end, although the Spanish crown supplied weapons to its Muslim ally on several occasions, the Spanish fleet never reached Algiers. Moreover, the official envoy Matheo de Aguirre and his men fell victim to an Ottoman ambush in Tamagut on June 13, 1603, while transporting money and military supplies to Kuko.<sup>31</sup>

The news of the massacre spread through the Mediterranean like wildfire. Enemies and rivals of the Spanish king rejoiced in the news and considered it an inevitable end to an untenable political alliance. Varying versions of the event circulated. Some claimed that the lord of Kuko had set a trap for his allies, taking advantage of their trust in order to seize the munitions and money they had brought and turn over the prisoners and spoils to the Algerian pasha.<sup>32</sup> Although this version was false, the taking of Tamagut by Algerian janissaries was a terrible blow to Spanish-Kuko collaboration. After that, these partners could no longer communicate easily, and above all, Philip III could no longer furnish his ally with much-needed military material. The janissaries posted by the pasha at the Tamagut fortress formed a veritable military road-block between the two allies.

The death of Aguirre had particularly negative consequences for the information system that the Franciscan had begun organizing around September 1602, at the beginning of his stay in Kuko. Aguirre had regularly been sending intelligence reports via Tamagut to the Spanish authorities. When the Ottomans occupied the inlet fortress, communication was disrupted not just between Kuko and Spain but also between Kuko and Algiers, where certain Christian captives spied for Amar ben Amar. Information from inside enemy territory was no longer available to the Spanish ruler and his Muslim allies.

It was at this time that a group of enslaved people whose identity is not clear began playing a crucial role in this cross-confessional connection. Did they

---

31 In May 1603, the fortress was taken by the troops of Algiers. Unaware of this new situation, the Franciscan organized an expedition from Mallorca. When the Spaniards disembarked in Tamagut, they were killed by the Ottoman troops that were waiting for them. Rodríguez Joulia de Saint-Cyr, *Felipe III*, 51-55.

32 This misinterpretation of facts appears in French sources, for instance in the memoirs of Henry IV's minister Sully. See Maximilien Béthune (duke of Sully), *Oeconomies royales, ou mémoires de Sully*, in *Nouvelle collection des mémoires pour servir à l'histoire de France depuis le XIII<sup>e</sup> siècle jusqu'à la fin du XVIII<sup>e</sup>*, vol. II, eds. Joseph François Mihaud et Joseph François Pouloulat (Paris, 1837), 517.

act as spies or intermediaries? Although the issue has not been investigated thoroughly, it is not certain that the Spanish sovereigns maintained a permanent staff of spies in Islamic countries.<sup>33</sup> Rather, hundreds of volunteers and self-appointed intelligence agents familiar with North Africa, such as merchants and (former) captives hoping to obtain some form of recognition or material benefits, constituted a thick network of information gathering for the Spanish crown. Through their services Spain regularly received accurate descriptions of the weakness of the enemy's military forces.<sup>34</sup> This widely accepted custom of acting without an official mission likely informed the Christian captives' of Algiers decisions to seek direct contact with Spanish authorities after the death of the royal envoy.

### The Agency of Captives

Before investigating how the enslaved Christians began restoring the connection between Spain and Kuko, let's first identify these actors. Who was hidden behind the collective identity of the "captives" or "Christians" of Algiers in October 1603? Were they all Spanish, which would explain the choice of addressing Philip III? What was their status before becoming slaves in North Africa? Did they have any prior experience in diplomatic matters?

Sources provide some of their names from the period between October 1603 and the end of 1604: Vicente Colom, probably from Mallorca; Juan Ramirez, a Sevillian stage director and the author of the report carried by the emissary; Antonio Carcassona, a Sardinian nobleman and soldier in the Spanish armies; and Salvador de la Cruz, a Portuguese friar who led a large network of people

---

33 Most of the literature about Habsburgs' secret services in the Ottoman Empire refers to Istanbul during the sixteenth century. See M. José Bertomeu Masià, ed., *Cartas de un espía de Carlos v: la correspondencia de Jerónimo Bucchia con Antoine de Perrenot*, (Valencia, 2005); Raphaël Carrasco, "L'espionnage espagnol du Levant au XVI<sup>e</sup> siècle d'après la correspondance des agents espagnols à Venise," in *Ambassadeurs, apprentis espions et maîtres comploteurs. Les systèmes de renseignement en Espagne à l'époque moderne*, ed. Béatrice Pérez (Paris, 2010), 203-222; Emilio Sola and José Francisco de la Peña, *Cervantes y la Berbería: Cervantes, mundo turco-berberisco y servicios secretos en la época de Felipe II* (Madrid, 1996). See also Emrah Safa Gurkan, *Espionage in the Sixteenth Century Mediterranean: Secret Diplomacy, Mediterranean Go-Betweens and the Ottoman-Habsburg Rivalry*, (Ph.D. Diss., Georgetown, 2012).

34 Most of this documentation remains unpublished and scattered in Spanish and Italian archives.

collaborating with the lord of Kuko, among others.<sup>35</sup> However, we know very little about their involvement as agents of a secret and informal intelligence service.

Most of those we are able to identify were subjects of the king of Spain, although not all were Spanish. De la Cruz was Portuguese, and among his friends was a Genoese named Pablo, while Manuel Ricart (the emissary to Spain) was a subject of the duke of Savoy.<sup>36</sup> However, at this time being a subject of the republic of Genoa or of the duchy of Savoy was still not so different from being a subject of the king of Spain.<sup>37</sup> Genoa had been a traditional ally of Spain since 1528, and Charles Emmanuel of Savoy was the brother-in-law of Philip III and his ally, at least until 1610. Both the republic and the duchy were satellites of Spain.

Although the suggestion that all eight thousand slaves of Algiers<sup>38</sup> were involved in this matter is an exaggeration, it does seem that others than just slaves played a part. Spanish documentation reveals that some Christian converts to Islam, so-called renegades,<sup>39</sup> were involved in the Habsburg-bel Cadi collaboration. These were sometimes dissidents in a precarious position for political reasons or dissatisfied with their social advancement. The involvement of renegades in such a plot was not uncommon. Several cases have been studied by scholars, such as the Istanbul "Conspiracy of the renegades" from 1562 to 1571, organized by Juan Maria Renzo, a Genoese in the service of Spanish

35 *Don Antonio de Carcassona avisa de cosas de Argel*, AGS, Estado, leg. 192 (June 19, 1603).

36 In 1588, the duke of Savoy married Philip II's daughter, Michaela. Since 1603, three of their sons had grown up at the Spanish court. Traditionally, the Savoy got support from the Spanish Empire against France and its territorial ambitions. In exchange, the dukes allowed, for decades, Spanish armies to cross their territory to fight in the Low Countries. The configuration started changing in 1610. Pedro Marrades, *El camino del Imperio: notas para el estudio de la cuestión de la Valtellina* (Madrid, 1943).

37 Manuel Herrero Sánchez, "La República de Génova y la Monarquía hispánica (siglos XVI-XVII)," *Hispania* 65, vol. 1 (2005): 9-20.

38 João Mascarenhas, *Memoravel relação da perda da nao conceiçam que os Turcos queymaraõ à vista da barra de Lisboa; varios sucessos das pessoas, que nella cativãraõ. E descripçaõ da Cidade de Argel, & de seu governo; & cousas muy notaveis acontecidas nestes ultimos annos de 1621 até 1626* (Lisbon, 1627). A scientific edition of this source has been published and translated into French, João Mascarenhas, *Esclave à Alger (1621-1626). Récit de captivité*, ed. and trans. Paul Teyssier (Paris, 1993), 38.

39 Bartolomé et Lucille Bennassar, *Les chrétiens d'Allah. L'histoire extraordinaire des renégats* (Paris, 1989); Mercedes García-Arenal, *Conversions islamiques. Identités religieuses en Islam méditerranéen* (Paris, 2001).

authorities.<sup>40</sup> However, according to a Spanish report, the 1603-1604 plot was not exclusively an affair of captives and renegades but also involved a wide range of men in key positions and a large proportion of Algerian citizens and *kuloğlus* (sons of janissaries and native women).<sup>41</sup> Before Aguirre's death in June 1603, the Algerian pasha managed to obtain some of his letters sent from Kuko to Spain. In one of them Aguirre declared that two of the three guards of the doors of the city, three of the four governors, half of the renegades, and most of the inhabitants of Algiers had declared their loyalty to him.<sup>42</sup>

Those involved in the project supported the Spanish king for political or personal reasons. Philip III was seen by some of them as the protector of Christianity and by others, more broadly, as protector of all enemies of the Ottomans, including rebels and defectors. Political loyalty rather than any "national" or cultural identity seemed to be the common ground for those who, in one way or another, participated in this affair. Yet was the involvement within Algiers as extensive as the Spanish envoy claimed? Or did Aguirre exaggerate the extent of the support offered to the joint military action in order to convince the Spanish crown to send the fleet as soon as possible? Rather than helping us draw a specific profile of the supporters of the Spanish-Kuko connection in Algiers, Aguirre's report sheds important light on the social context, suggesting that the local tensions were political rather than religious in nature. A careful reading of this source permits us to discard simplified contrasts (e.g. Muslim masters versus Christian slaves) and gives insight into the complexities of the Algerian political and social scene. However, it does not provide specific evidence on the identity of those involved in the plot.

Even if their number and identity are unclear, we do know that some slaves and captives were involved in the military project against the Ottomans. Matheo de Aguirre had planned for them to start an uprising when Spain and Kuko attacked the town.<sup>43</sup> However, because of the fall of Tamagut and

40 Emilio Sola, *Los que van y vienen. Información y fronteras en el Mediterráneo clásico del siglo XVII* (Alcalá, 2005), 201-215.

41 Sons of janissaries and native women.

42 "Que los escritos que embiava fray Matheo de Aguirre a Su Magestad vinieron en manos de los jeniçaros de argel; y en ellos decia que de tres puertas de argel tenia las dos, y que de cinco (...) tenia los tres, y de quatro alcades tenia los dos, y de los renegados tenia dellos la mitad y de los caloglies (*sic*) tenia los mas y q los ciudadanos y los çabavas (*sic*) eran todos del bando del Cuco," Diego Urrea (translator and interpreter for Philip III), *Relacion de algunas cosas de Argel, por dicho odavaxi y su muger* (manuscript), AGS, Estado, leg. 1951.

43 AGS, Estado, leg. 1951.

Aguirre's death, the captives' role was thwarted and they needed to adapt to the situation.

Now that the path from Algiers to Kuko was blocked, the captives decided to establish direct contact with Spanish authorities. They therefore subverted one of the most efficient circulation routes between the south and north shores of the Mediterranean: the ransom and release networks. They planned to raise funds and pay the ransom for one of their own, who would then be charged with entering into contact with the Spanish crown. Once liberated, he would be able to board one of the French or English merchant ships that frequented Algiers. On their way back to Europe, these ships often stopped at Mallorca, an island of the Spanish king, from which the captives' representative could easily reach the Spanish court. The elected representative of the captives was Manuel Ricart, who was from Nice and thus a subject of the duke of Savoy. According to the plan, he claimed to be French in order to negotiate his release through the mediation of the French consul.<sup>44</sup> Since the consul had very close links to the pasha, the slaves had to be careful not to raise suspicions of their plan.

When he left Algiers, Ricart secretly transported several letters and a report, a sort of diary about events and political information concerning the Kuko-Ottoman War.<sup>45</sup> Ricart was very well received by the viceroy of Mallorca, Fernando Zanoquera, who facilitated his travel to the court.<sup>46</sup> The archives, however, do not reveal the outcome of this attempt to reach the king. Whether or not Ricart was able to inform Philip III about political and strategic matters concerning Algiers remains a mystery, but his mission did restore the underground communication network between Algiers and Spain. Over the next several years, the Christian captives' self-appointed agents continued to send information to the Spanish crown via the viceroy of Mallorca.<sup>47</sup> This intel-

44 "Yo ha un año estava cautivo en Argel y el Consol de los françeses que esta alli, me ha sacado de poder de los Turcos en nombre de françés, con çien ducados que han pagado los cristianos, por dar nueva de lo que alli pasava," AGS, Estado, leg. 192 (July 26, 1603).

45 Juan Ramírez, *Verdadera relacion de todo lo que a suscedido en Argel desde el primer dia del mes de Agosto del año 1602 hasta 22 días del mes de junio deste presente anno de 1603* (manuscript), AGS, Estado, leg. 192.

46 "Oy jueves a 26 de junio a las quatro de la tarde llego al muelle desta Ciudad de la de Argel una sagetia francesa cuyo Patron se llama Francisco Gallo franses y en su compañía truxo un cautivo Cristiano nisardo que los otros de Argel avian rescatado a respeto de imbiar con el a Vuestra Magestad la inclusa carta y de palabra hazerle relacion de las cosas que passavan entre los de Argel y el Rey Cuco," letter from Pedro Vivot, *procurador real*, interim viceroy of Mallorca, AGS, Estado, leg. 192 (June 26, 1603).

47 Vicente Colom, *Relacion de 18 de junio asta 17 de julio 1604 años*, AGS, Estado, leg. 198 (July 1604).

ligence was valuable because it permitted Spain to understand the situation more precisely and envisage several attacks on Algiers, even though in the end none was undertaken.

### Advising the King

The most remarkable aspect of the case is the captives' ambition to communicate directly with the Spanish king through their delegate. Was it naive for them to believe that those at the top of the social hierarchy might hear requests from below and from the edges of empire? Investigating the agency of the group of captives and slaves of Algiers raises the issue of the meaning of this action in the context of Spanish cultural politics. Would such an initiative have been acceptable to the king to whom they addressed their request? Was it imaginable for early modern European societies? Situating the case in a social and cultural framework larger than the stage of the actual cross-confessional encounter allows for a better understanding of political communication between imperial rulers and subjects acting at the empire's edges.<sup>48</sup> It also allows us to approach the empire's borders as less exceptional than commonly conceived.

For captives to address their sovereign was not so uncommon. In September 1582, Antonio de Sosa and Juan de Bolaños, who had fled Algiers a year before, presented to Mateo Vazquez, one of the main councilors of Philip II, an account of Algerian events from July to August 1581, written by a Genoese merchant Luis Brevez Fresco.<sup>49</sup> At the same time, they offered to serve the king by regularly supplying North African information.<sup>50</sup> However, there are many differences between this example and our case. First, those self-appointed spies simply offered to set up an intelligence network without advocating any specific position. Second, Bolaños and Sosa's proposal was a personal one, and we can guess that in offering the king the benefit of their experience and

48 About the importance of considering actors' social belonging and their cultural background in imperial encounters, see Romain Bertrand, *L'histoire à parts égales. Récits d'une rencontre Orient-Occident (XVI<sup>e</sup>-XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle)* (Paris, 2011), 19, 43.

49 *Relación de las cosas sucedidas en Argel desde el 10 de julio hasta este día 27 de agosto (de 1581)*, Instituto Valencia de Don Juan (Madrid), Envío 62, Caja 2. A Spanish translation of the document by Emilio Sola is available at [www.archivodelafrontera.com](http://www.archivodelafrontera.com).

50 Antonio Sosa, *An Early Modern Dialogue with Islam. Antonio de Sosa's Topography of Algiers (1612)*, ed. and introduction by María Antonia Garcés (Notre Dame, 2011). Garcés maintains that Antonio de Sosa (not Diego de Haëdo) is the author of *Topografía de Argel*.

knowledge acquired in captivity they were looking for royal favor. Third, the Genoese merchant's report contained information that was fairly obsolete and rather useless by the time it was presented to the court by Sosa and his companion.

The initiative of October 1603, on the other hand, was a collective one. Manuel Ricart presented himself as a delegate of the collective entity, the "Christians of Algiers" or "Christian captives of Algiers." His own release had been the result of joint action. Furthermore, the purpose was not only to inform but also to advise the king. In his declaration to the viceroy of Mallorca, Ricart asserted that he was in charge of a mission to inform the Spanish king that Algiers was defenseless because all the military forces were fighting in the mountains against the lord of Kuko. This was the moment, he suggested, to dispatch a Spanish fleet to Algiers.<sup>51</sup> A similar message was written to the Spanish court by Amar ben Amar, whose emissary managed to reach the Iberian Peninsula through Oran, a Spanish enclave in North Africa.

The lack of a Spanish official emissary able to advise the Spanish king in this crucial moment led the captives to take the decision to address him directly. Presenting themselves as a collective entity increased their chances to be heard by political authorities. Forging the idea of an enslaved community of Christians to be rescued and protected may have compelled Philip III to uphold his moral duty as the defender of Christianity.

In its formal aspects, the initiative of the "Christian of Algiers" was in strict compliance with contemporary Western European political practices. Sending delegates to the courts was one of the political means by which early modern subjects could communicate with their ruler. In Spain, the cities of Castile used to commission deputies to the *Cortes* to discuss with the king (or his representatives) the level of taxes and other political matters.<sup>52</sup> Towns frequently sent an ambassador to defend their privileges and liberties when threatened in local conflicts with royal or ecclesiastic authorities. In addressing their complaints to the king through elected delegates or deputies, they were seeking the protection of the sovereign. Once warned of the situation of which they were victims, he could give them his personal support because it was a prince's duty to protect his subjects in all cases.

Similarly, subjects' advising their king was not unusual in the Spanish context. The councils of the monarchy and the king himself received usually

---

51 Ricart's account recorded by the royal administration in Mallorca, AGS, Estado, leg. 192 (July 26, 1603).

52 José Ignacio Fortea Pérez, *Monarquía y Cortes en la Corona de Castilla. Las ciudades ante la política fiscal de Felipe II*, Cortes de Castilla y León, 1990.



dozens of memoirs from private individuals advising them on all the domains of politics (financial, military, demographical, and religious). These advisers (*arbitristas*), as Anne Dubet, a historian of financial matters, has shown, were very familiar with the issues they tried to resolve because of their professional or personal experience.<sup>53</sup>

Thus, the captives were in a position to advise the king because they had obtained information on all the details necessary for a successful military attack. Being acquainted with some of the renegades occupying military positions facilitated their access to strategic information. Therefore we can suppose that they considered themselves competent advisers (or at least worthy of offering their counsel) to the monarch.

In any case, their spontaneous decision to alert Philip III to the situation and the ease with which they were able to play a diplomatic role reveal that the political culture at the time was less circumscribed than is often supposed. In contrast to the idea of diplomacy as a domain of the state controlled by cultural and political elites, this case allows us to imagine it as a more open field.

### Forging New Standards of Political Belonging from Below?

Analyzing the case through the perspective of political culture also raises the question of the nature of the contact between the Christian captives and the lord of Kuko. Sources show that the aim of the Christian captives was not only to restore a damaged connection in an information network but also to plead for Spanish support for Kuko. From the account of the events in Algiers, written by Juan Ramirez and brought to Spain by Ricart, it becomes clear that the captives relied on the lord of Kuko, whom they described as invincible: "Even if all the Turkish armies came to fight against Kuko, they would not manage to submit it."<sup>54</sup>

In fact, a strong link united the Muslim potentate and enslaved Christians in Algiers at the beginning of the seventeenth century. This contact was established at some point in the second half of the sixteenth century, when the bel Cadis and the captives began collaborating. The precise context in which this

53 Anne Dubet, "L'arbitrisme: un concept d'historien?," *Les Cahiers du Centre de Recherches Historiques* 24 (2000), <http://ccrh.revues.org/2062>.

54 "si en la ocasion de ahora llegaran a esta tierra quarenta galeras se tomara con mucha facilidad sin perdida de veynte vidas porque la tierra la guardan viejos y mugeres porque todo el poder de Argel esta sobre el Cuco el qual sera imposible de ganar aunque venga todo el poder de Turquia . . .," Ramirez, *Verdadera relacion*.

occurred is unclear. It may have been during the period when Kuko was at peace with the Ottoman rulers of Algiers. Around 1560, after several decades of fighting, the *bel Cadis* made peace with the Algerian authorities and even established kinship ties with some of them.<sup>55</sup> Occasions for contact with renegades and captives of Algiers were certainly numerous for the *bel Cadis* and their entourage at that time.

In 1594, a friar who had been enslaved in Algiers for fourteen years was the intermediary through whom Amar ben Amar addressed his first proposal of collaboration to the Habsburgs.<sup>56</sup> From one of the *bagnes* of Algiers, this friar, called Pedro Cister (probably a Cistercian), wrote a letter to the viceroy of Valencia. The friar declared that he had been close to Amar ben Amar and other members of his family during his fourteen-year captivity. He also informed the Spanish court that the lord of Kuko was willing to collaborate with the king of Spain and that he offered his support for seizing the cities of Mostaganem and Bejaïa from the Ottomans. This letter reveals that, long before the Spanish sovereign sent an official envoy, the captives were at the core of a network that linked Kuko and Spain. Cister further declared that ben Amar would be a faithful ally and vouched for his loyalty. Eager to hear whether the Spanish king wanted to embark on a joint military project, ben Amar sent a weekly emissary to Cister to obtain Spanish news. However, Spain considered Mostaganem and Bejaïa insufficiently prestigious for they did not have an important role in the North African privateering that Spain was purportedly seeking to repress.

The link between Amar ben Amar and Philip III was established less than a decade later and was formed in the same environment. The envoy Aguirre, sent to Kuko in September 1602, had been in Algiers the year before with the mission of rescuing captives.<sup>57</sup> In that context, he came into touch with people involved with Kuko, in particular an enslaved Portuguese friar called Salvador de la Cruz who was the key person in a large network connecting Christian captives of Algiers, renegades secretly loyal to Spain, and the lord of Kuko and his subjects. Through this friar or otherwise, Aguirre arranged the journey to Spain of two of Amar ben Amar's ambassadors who were received at the Spanish court in September 1602. This visit was the beginning of the collaboration between a Muslim rebel and the world's most powerful Christian sovereign.

---

55 Diego de Haëdo, *Topographia e historia general de Argel, repartida en cinco trabajos* and *Epitome de los reyes de Argel* (Valladolid, 1612) fol. 74v.

56 AGS, Estado, leg. 492 (April 28, 1594).

57 Planas, "Une culture en partage," 291-292.

Matheo de Aguirre was chosen by Philip III to organize the seizure of Algiers with the support of Amar ben Amar bel Cadi. He travelled to the Djurdjura mountain range where Kuko was located, and from there he began setting up his communication network. In this task, he benefited from the support of some of bel Cadi's advisers and was allowed to use the network of messengers and spies that they had created in the area of Algiers a long time before. The renegade Mami Espagnol, close to bel Cadi and a member of his council, paid for the operating costs of the espionage. This permitted Aguirre to keep the Spanish court informed of what was happening in Algiers and of what kind of strategic decisions were being taken by the pasha and his *diwan*.<sup>58</sup>

Apart from the material and economic aspects of the maintenance of espionage, the connection with Algiers was founded on the close relationships that captives had with the lord of Kuko, which engendered political as well as emotional complicity between them. This informal connection was not only older but also more powerful than the official one between Kuko and Spain. When the peace between Algiers and Kuko ended around the time that Amar ben Amar attained power, the Djurdjura Mountains became a refuge for escaped Christian captives. Amar ben Amar welcomed all those who managed to reach his lands. According to a report sent to the Spanish crown in October 1602 by Haim Cansino from the Jewish community of Oran,<sup>59</sup> Amar ben Amar bel Cadi was well known in North Africa as the protector of Christians and renegades at odds with the Ottomans. He allowed them to live in his lands according to their faith and protected them from harm. Cansino briefly tells the story of a fleeing renegade whom bel Cadi refused to turn over to Algiers despite large sums of money offered by Morat Raïs, the renowned head of the corsairs and the renegade's former master.<sup>60</sup>

The lord of Kuko's protection led the captives of Algiers to promote his qualities as defender of Christians and chief enemy of the Ottomans. In their accounts to Spanish authorities during 1603 and 1604, they expressed their admiration for their Muslim protector. Francisco Ribera, an enslaved friar who seems to have succeeded Salvador de la Cruz as the head of the cross-confessional network linking the captives of Algiers with Kuko, wrote about the war this lord continued to lead against the Ottomans, highlighting his

58 In the Ottoman province of Algiers, the *diwan* was the council presided over by the pasha.

59 During the 1509-1633 period, Cansino's family held the charge of official interpreter in Oran, Jean-Frédéric Schaub, *Les juifs*, 65.

60 *Relacion de avisos que da Hayen Cansino lengua*, AGS, Estado, leg. 493 (October 1602).

military skills and his loyalty to his Spanish ally.<sup>61</sup> Thus, the strength of the captives' bond with Amar ben Amar was such that they were willing to risk the dangers that sending a delegate to Spain entailed. They knew what would happen should the authorities of Algiers discover them; they would be executed, as were Salvador de la Cruz and other captives less than a year before.<sup>62</sup>

Did their loyalty and their esteem for this Muslim lord prevent them from being neutral mediators, as we usually suppose mediators to be? Given that these self-appointed intermediaries inserted subjectivity into the role they played, can we judge their initiative as a case of diplomacy from below? As already suggested, in claiming their capacity for advising the Spanish sovereign, the Christians of Algiers, whoever they were, did not improperly enter the political or diplomatic sphere. I believe the real question is whether diplomacy has ever been considered a neutral activity. Were negotiators, messengers, and delegates of the political authorities simply loyal servants impartially executing political decisions?

The Spanish monarchy seems to have expected so. In 1602, the *Consejo de Estado* complained that Matheo de Aguirre was too engaged in his mission.<sup>63</sup> Promising the lord of Kuko more than the Spanish crown had authorized, he was convinced he was acting for the good of Christianity. Clearly, at the beginning of the seventeenth century, the actions of official envoys might also have been at odds with the precautions and hesitations of the Spanish government, involved in a great number of declared and undeclared conflicts around the world.

The case we have examined is perplexing, not only because of the self-managed diplomatic initiative by the enslaved Christians but also because these subaltern actors challenged the established models of political loyalty. Through their engagement, they upheld the notion of universal monarchy the Spanish crown still aspired to at the beginning of the seventeenth century while simultaneously manifesting their loyalty to a Muslim lord as their protector.<sup>64</sup> This double loyalty did not fit with standards of political allegiance envisioned by Western European rulers in the early modern period, but it emanated from the capacity of actors to match their political culture to the practical situations

61 Francisco Ribera *mayordomo del baño de Nuestra Señora del Rosario* to the viceroy of Mallorca (March 2, 1604), AGS, Estado, leg. 198.

62 About Salvador de la Cruz' execution in Algiers, see *Don Antonio de Carcassona avisa de cosas de Argel* (manuscript), AGS, Estado, leg. 192 (June 19, 1603).

63 Deliberation of *Consejo de Estado*, AGS, Estado, leg. 1951 (September 1602).

64 Other models of cross-confessional loyalty in Sanjay Subrahmanyam, *Three Ways to be Alien: Travails & Encounters in the Early Modern World* (Waltan, 2011).

with which they were confronted. Was this political culture more prevalent at the edges of empires than elsewhere during the early modern period? I would rather say, as others have argued recently,<sup>65</sup> that sovereigns or the state did not have a monopolistic right in defining political and social links.

### Conclusion

Diplomatic alliances and agreements depend on various actors embedded in the social sphere, even those who may be the least powerful in the social hierarchy. The combination of North African captivity and the ability to use social interactions to create connections with local opponents and external enemies of the Ottomans enabled some captives of Algiers to become genuine actors on the imperial scene from the middle of the sixteenth to the beginning of the seventeenth century. Were those captives “trans-imperial subjects,” to use Natalie Rothman’s insightful term, straddling linguistic, political and cultural boundaries?<sup>66</sup> They did play an active role in creating secret networks of resistance and, furthermore, in restoring the communication between Spain and Kuko. However, sources do not establish that those who were involved with Muslim rebels of Kuko had any special linguistic or other skills that distinguished them from the mass of captives. It is possible that they did not need such skills since, as we have seen, the mountains of Kuko were not a closed world. In addition, both the entourage of the lord of Kuko and the Christian captives must have been familiar with the *lingua franca*, as were most of the people in North Africa.<sup>67</sup> Nonetheless, they were able to enter into agreements not solely because of a common language but also because of a shared political culture according to which loyalty was considered as an obvious counterpart of protection.<sup>68</sup>

65 For instance, Tamar Herzog, *Defining Nations: Immigrants and Citizens in Early Modern Spain and Spanish America* (New Haven, 2003); Simona Cerutti, *Étrangers: Étude d'une condition d'incertitude* (Paris, 2012).

66 E. Natalie Rothman, *Brokering Empire: Trans-Imperial Subjects between Venice and Istanbul* (Ithaca, 2012), 11.

67 Jocelyne Dakhlia, *Lingua franca. Histoire d'une langue métisse en Méditerranée* (Arles, 2008).

68 “Introduction” to *Les musulmans dans l'histoire de l'Europe*, vol. 2, *Passages et contacts en Méditerranée*, eds. Jocelyne Dakhlia and Wolfgang Kaiser (Paris, 2012), 8-31.

Despite the captives' commitment, and that of Matheo de Aguirre before them, Spain's cross-confessional project of seizing Algiers with the aid of the lord of Kuko was never realized. The Spanish crown organized two naval expeditions—the first in September 1602 and the second in August 1603—but none of them reached the Algerian coast<sup>69</sup> due to rivalries and internal conflicts among high commanding officers of the Spanish fleet, the unwillingness of some of them to conquer Algiers, and the Spanish monarchy's lack of a well-defined Mediterranean policy. Above all, the study of the collaboration between Philip III and Amar ben Amar bel Cadi sheds light on what was going on when nothing (that is, no naval battle, no military conquest, no fact traditionally considered relevant by historians in such a context) was happening at the edges of the empires. Focusing attention on ongoing contacts rather than on the results of the military project, this case study reveals the micro-mechanics of the communication between European and North African actors and thus highlights the connected histories of the Spanish and Ottoman empires.

---

69 Rodríguez Joulia de Saint-Cyr, *Felipe II*, 43-44 and 57-59.