



HAL
open science

Identification of low fluoride areas using conceptual groundwater flow model and hydrogeochemical system analysis in the aquifer system on the flanks of an active volcano: Mount Meru, Northern Tanzania

George Bennett, Jill van Reybrouck, Ceven Shemsanga, Mary Kisaka, Ines Tomašek, Karen Fontijn, Matthieu Kervyn, Kristine Walraevens

► To cite this version:

George Bennett, Jill van Reybrouck, Ceven Shemsanga, Mary Kisaka, Ines Tomašek, et al.. Identification of low fluoride areas using conceptual groundwater flow model and hydrogeochemical system analysis in the aquifer system on the flanks of an active volcano: Mount Meru, Northern Tanzania. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2022, 814, pp.152682. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152682. hal-03538468

HAL Id: hal-03538468

<https://uca.hal.science/hal-03538468>

Submitted on 21 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1 **Identification of low fluoride areas using conceptual groundwater flow model and**
2 **hydrogeochemical system analysis in the aquifer system on the flanks of an active**
3 **volcano: Mount Meru, Northern Tanzania**

4 George Bennett^{a,b,*}, Jill Van Reybrouck^a, Ceven Shemsanga^c, Mary Kisaka^{c,d}, Ines
5 Tomašek^{d,e,f,g}, Karen Fontijn^h, Matthieu Kervyn^d, Kristine Walraevens^a

6 ^a*Laboratory for Applied Geology and Hydrogeology, Department of Geology, Ghent University,*
7 *Belgium*

8 ^b*Department of Mining and Mineral Processing Engineering, University of Dodoma, Tanzania*

9 ^c*Department of Geology, University of Dodoma, Tanzania*

10 ^d*Physical Geography (FARD), Department of Geography, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium*

11 ^e*Analytical, Environmental and Geochemistry (AMGC), Department of Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit*
12 *Brussel, Belgium*

13 ^f*Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Université Clermont Auvergne, France*

14 ^g*Institute of Genetic Reproduction and Development, CNRS UMR 6293, INSERM U1103, Université*
15 *Clermont Auvergne, France*

16 ^h*Laboratoire G-Time, Department of Geosciences, Environment and Society, Université libre de*
17 *Bruxelles, Belgium*

18 * Corresponding author at: Laboratory for Applied Geology and Hydrogeology, Department of Geology, Ghent
19 University, Belgium.

20 *Email addresses:* george.bennett@udom.ac.tz, George.Bennett@UGent.be (G. Bennett)

21

22 **Abstract**

23 This study investigates the localities of low and high F⁻ groundwaters in the aquifer system on
24 the flanks of Mount Meru to come up with guidelines to provide groundwater that can be used
25 for drinking water supply without health impacts on the population. Our study focuses on parts
26 of the flanks which were only partially or not at all covered by previous research. Results show
27 that the groundwater chemistry of F⁻-rich NaHCO₃ alkaline groundwater in the area is
28 controlled by dissolution of weathering aluminosilicate minerals (especially Na-K-feldspars),
29 dissolution of F⁻-bearing minerals, the precipitation of carbonate minerals as secondary
30 products and the dissolution of magmatic gases. Evaporative concentration of solutes,
31 precipitation and redissolution of evaporitic salts may locally play a role, especially on the
32 north-eastern flank of Mount Meru. The low F⁻ groundwaters which can be used for drinking
33 water supply without health impacts under the WHO limit (1.5 mg/L) are the low-fluoride
34 springs from the high-altitude recharge areas on the eastern and north-western flanks of Mount

35 Meru inside Arusha National Park, whereas on the western flank the groundwater meets the
36 Tanzanian limit (4.0 mg/L). On the south-western flank, the shallow aquifer composed of
37 alluvium deposits at lower elevations, shows F⁻ values that meet the Tanzanian limit. One of
38 the three investigated deep boreholes on this flank also meets the Tanzanian limit, this suggests
39 a possibility of finding more localities of relatively low F⁻ groundwaters in the deep aquifer.
40 Yet, in general, the deposits at lower elevations (the debris avalanche deposits, mantling ash,
41 alluvial fan deposits and lake deposits) are found to contain high to very high F⁻ values,
42 whereas the deposits at high elevations (pyroclastics and lavas) contain groundwater of low F⁻
43 values. Thus, the internal texture and grain size of geological formations (causing variable
44 weatherability), the burial depth of these formations (less weathering at depth) and the water
45 residence times are the factors determining the groundwater mineralisation and F⁻
46 concentrations in the area. The study identified that the deep hydrothermal system (volcanic
47 emissions) has influence on the high F⁻ groundwaters on the eastern and north-eastern flanks
48 of Mount Meru.

49 **Keywords:** alkaline groundwater; high-fluoride groundwater; hydrogeochemical processes;
50 volcanic aquifer; Mount Meru; Tanzania; East African Rift System.

51

52 **1. Introduction**

53 In the Arusha volcanic region in northern Tanzania, around Mount Meru, several studies with
54 focus on F⁻ concentration in surface water (Kilham and Hecky, 1973; Nanyaro et al., 1984;
55 Kitalika et al. 2018) and groundwater (Ghiglieri et al., 2012; Chacha et al., 2018; Makoba and
56 Muzuka, 2019; Bennett et al., 2021) have been conducted. The studies found that the general
57 groundwater chemistry is F⁻-rich NaHCO₃ alkaline water. The main findings of these studies
58 have been briefly summarised in the study of Bennett et al. (2021), which described the
59 hydrochemical characterisation of high-fluoride groundwater on the eastern, northern, western
60 and south-western flanks of Mount Meru, the subject of a parallel to this paper. The studies
61 that focused on the groundwater chemistry in the area found that rock mineral dissolution,
62 water residence times (Ghiglieri et al., 2012; Chacha et al., 2018; Makoba and Muzuka, 2019;
63 Ijumulana et al., 2020), exchange processes, calcite precipitation (Ghiglieri et al., 2012) and
64 climatic conditions (temperature and rainfall), attributed to altitude and geographic positions
65 (windward and leeward sides of Mount Meru) (Makoba and Muzuka, 2019) are controlling the
66 groundwater chemistry in the area.

67 The study by Ijumulana et al. (2020) found that the largest regional fluoride hotspot in Northern

68 Tanzania is originating around Mount Meru with very low probability of finding safe drinking
69 water around the area. The study reported that one of the challenges affecting the blending
70 technology adopted by Arusha Urban Water Supply Authority (AUWASA) is the presence of
71 many sources with high and few with low fluoride concentrations. They measured fluoride
72 concentration of 4.6 mg/L at the blending tank as the net mass of fluoride in drinking water
73 supplied throughout the Arusha City on the south flank of Mount Meru. This concentration is
74 above both WHO limit (1.5 mg/L) (WHO, 2017) and Tanzanian limit (4.0 mg/L) (Tanzania
75 Bureau of Standards, 2008). Also, the study by Bennett et al. (2021) found that 59% of the
76 inventoried usable groundwater points are used to provide drinking water to the local
77 community despite their high F⁻ values, which exceed both WHO and Tanzanian limits, as
78 most local people do not have any alternative for drinking water. Other than high F⁻ values, the
79 study by Tomašek et al. (2022) found elevated levels of multiple potentially toxic elements
80 (*i.e.*, Molybdenum and Uranium) in some of the analysed sources, pose another health concern
81 to the local communities. Therefore, the present research investigates the localities of low F⁻
82 groundwaters using conceptual groundwater flow model and hydrogeochemical analysis
83 approach on the eastern, northern, western and south-western flanks of Mount Meru based on
84 the results of Bennett et al. (2021), in order to identify and locate the groundwater sources that
85 can be used for drinking water supply without health impacts on the population.


86 **2. Materials and Methods**

87 **2.1. Study area**

88 **2.1.1. Location, topography, and climate**

89 The study area covers most of the slopes of Mount Meru, and occupies about 1000 km² (Fig.
90 1) (Bennett et al., 2021). Mount Meru is in Arusha region, northern Tanzania. It situated partly
91 in the Arusha National Park just north of the city of Arusha, and 70 km west of Mount
92 Kilimanjaro. The study area covers most parts of the Arusha and Meru districts, each with
93 population of 323,198 and 268,144 inhabitants respectively (Tanzania National Bureau of
94 Statistics, 2013, p.26).

95 The topography of the study area is dominated by Mount Meru. Its eastern flank is incised by
96 a deep valley formed by a catastrophic sector collapse now occupied by an ash cone that last
97 erupted in 1910 (Delcamp et al., 2017). Numerous parasitic cones are prominent features in the
98 vicinity (Wilkinson et al., 1983; Delcamp et al., 2017; Scoon, 2018).



99

100 **Fig. 1.** Geological map of the study area adapted from Bennett et al. (2021), indicating different
 101 geological formations and spatial distribution of F^- concentrations of sampled water points. A small
 102 map inserted in the top right corner is the map of Tanzania (modified after Mapsland (2021), the
 103 original image is under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported (CC BY-SA 3.0)
 104 license), showing the location of Mount Meru using a black lined square box.

105 The study area experiences a bimodal rainfall pattern; the long "masika" rains extend from late
106 February to late May and the short "vuli" rains from early November to early January. The dry
107 "kiangazi" season is from June to October (Bennett et al., 2021). The average annual rainfall
108 on the southern flank, south-western flank, western flank and north-eastern flank in mm are:
109 962, 958, 905 and 638 respectively (Bennett et al., 2021). The eastern and southern flanks
110 (windward sides) experience a subtropical highland climate, whereas the northern flank
111 (leeward side) experiences a semi-arid climate (steppe climate). The temperature normally
112 ranges from 13 – 30°C with an average annual value of about 25°C (Chacha et al., 2018).

113 **2.1.2. Geological and hydrogeological setting**

114 The geology of the study area, and the link between the local geology and hydrogeology in the
115 area has been described in Bennett et al. (2021). Mount Meru is an active stratovolcano located
116 within the Northern Tanzanian Divergence Zone of the eastern branch of the East African Rift.
117 The lithology in the study area is dominated by volcanic rocks – lava flows, pyroclastic and
118 debris avalanche deposits from the Mount Meru, with some alluvium, alluvial fan and lake
119 deposits found around the volcano base (Fig. 1). The study by Bennett et al. (2021) described
120 both shallow and deep aquifers on the north-eastern and south-western flanks, but limited only
121 to shallow aquifer on the far east of the eastern flank (*i.e.*, on the northern flank of Ngurdoto
122 crater) and on the western flank. The shallow aquifer on the north-eastern flank is mainly
123 composed of debris avalanche deposits, whereas on the south-western flank is composed by
124 pyroclastic deposits. The deep aquifer on the north-eastern flank is composed of weathered
125 fractured lava, whereas on the south-western flank, it is composed of weathered fractured lava
126 and weathered pyroclastic deposits. The shallow unconfined aquifers on the western flank and
127 far east of the eastern flank are composed of weathered fractured lava and debris avalanche
128 deposits, respectively (Bennett et al., 2021). The groundwater flow paths in the study area are
129 controlled by the geomorphology of the landscape. On each flank, the general groundwater
130 flow system is involving a multidirectional flow from the higher elevation areas towards the
131 lower areas (Bennett et al., 2021).

132 **2.2. Inventory of water points, sampling, and laboratory analysis**

133 The inventory of water points has been discussed in details in Bennett et al. (2021). The water
134 points are distributed in six clusters: south-western flank (at Ngaramtoni and near Arusha
135 town), western flank (at Mamsa), north-western flank (at Oldonyo Sambu), north-eastern flank
136 (Mkuru, Uwiro and Ngarenanyuki), eastern flank (inside Arusha National Park) and far east of
137 the eastern flank (Nkoasenga and Leguruki). A total of 181 water samples were collected and

138 analysed, they consist of 175 groundwater and 6 surface water samples. Laboratory analyses
139 have been performed at the Laboratory for Applied Geology and Hydrogeology at Ghent
140 University according to standard methods (APHA et al., 2017). Details are described in Bennett
141 et al. (2021).

142 **2.3. Data processing and analysis**

143 The hydrogeochemical processes and mechanisms controlling the chemical characteristics of
144 groundwater in the study area have been investigated based on the analysis of bivariate
145 diagrams, statistical analyses and hydrogeochemical reactions.

146 To distinguish whether F^- in the groundwater is derived from chemical weathering of rocks or
147 derived from the atmosphere (due to air pollution), the F^-/Cl^- ratio (by meq/l) proposed by
148 Kilham and Hecky (1973) was used. If the ratio exceeds 0.10, then the F^- originates solely
149 from chemical weathering of rocks.

150 The activity of dissolved ions and the saturation index (SI) for various mineral phases were
151 computed to analyse the equilibrium status of groundwater, using the geochemical modelling
152 software PHREEQC Interactive for Windows, Version 3.5.0.14000 (Parkhurst and Appelo,
153 2013).

154 **3. Results and Discussion**

155 **3.1. Groundwater hydrochemical composition along the flow path**

156 Hydrochemical analysis shows that the main groundwater type in the study area is F^- -rich
157 $NaHCO_3$ alkaline groundwater (average pH = 7.8) (Bennett et al., 2021). Table 1 shows the
158 average values of pH, major and minor ions, and TDS in the water samples from different
159 elevations on different flanks of Mount Meru. The raw analytical data are given in Table 9 in
160 the Appendix. Overall, the groundwater mineralisation increases with residence times along
161 the flow path, this has been discussed in detail by Bennett et al. (2021). These results together
162 with hydrogeochemical processes, spring settings and groundwater level in the wells, were
163 used to develop simplified groundwater flow conceptual models (Tóth, 1963; Tóth, 1999) for
164 the north-eastern flank (Fig. 2), far east of the eastern flank (*i.e.*, on the northern flank of
165 Ngurdoto crater) (Fig. 3), north-western flank (Fig. 4) and south-western flank (Fig. 5). There
166 is not enough data to develop a good representative groundwater flow conceptual model for
167 the western flank, as there is only one spring in the upstream and three shallow wells in the
168 downstream. The shallow wells are close to each other.


169 **Table 1.** Average values of pH, major and minor ions, and TDS in the water samples from
 170 different elevations on different flanks of Mount Meru (* as mg/L HCO₃⁻).

Region	Water source	Number of samples	Average Elevation (m)	Average Well depth (m)	Average pH	Average concentrations (mg/L)										Average TDS (mg/L)	
						Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	(HCO ₃ ⁻ +CO ₃ ²⁻)*	SO ₄ ²⁻	Cl ⁻	F ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻		
Eastern flank	Springs	8	2566		7.6	32.9	14.6	7.5	0.5	113	0.95	5.1	3.9	2.1	0.000	220	
	Hydrothermal springs	2	2527		8.4	1011	198	6.1	2.0	1728	339	124	198	1.7	0.002	3642	
	Springs	3	2183		7.3	21.2	11.9	2.1	0.3	74.7	0.01	4.7	0.4	3.8	0.002	158	
	Stream	1	2132		9.1	1140	220	9.1	2.2	914	377	295	553	1.1	0.000	3540	
	Springs	2	1953		8.4	413	54.7	1.5	0.4	323	67.9	17.8	264	2.1	0.006	1174	
		3	1595		7.8	42.6	17.4	7.8	0.4	135.9	1.42	5.1	5.8	1.5	0.000	264	
	Lakes	3	1430		9.8	3786	599	6.8	2.7	7811	209	379	736	6.0	0.032	13541	
North-eastern flank	Springs	2	1705		6.9	60.9	8.2	1.6	0.4	154	7.3	3.1	4.2	4.6	0.160	286	
		13	1430		7.6	185	39.2	17.6	5.4	524	39.5	14.2	8.0	10.1	0.431	916	
		4	1402		8.1	451	57.1	12.0	2.6	905	139	27.8	56.3	43.0	0.046	1819	
		8	1332		8.2	785	104	11.4	3.6	1425	435	113	58.9	14.6	0.181	2984	
	Shallow wells	1	1551	48	7.7	80.4	12.4	6.3	1.0	220	1.9	3.8	3.6	36.5	0.010	412	
		10	1452	8	8.0	210	25.8	6.1	1.2	479	30.8	25.0	17.1	4.9	0.071	891	
	River	1	1399	27	8.5	579	80.9	8.3	6.4	1080	204	78.3	121	12.7	0.000	2322	
Far east of the eastern flank (Northern flank of Ngurdoto crater)	Springs	1	1560		7.2	106	26.2	14.0	2.4	334	19.8	13.4	2.6	10.0	0.002	573	
		1	1483		7.6	171	42.8	2.4	0.4	478	25.7	3.3	10.7	23.5	0.078	789	
	Shallow wells	7	1586	8	7.7	94	27.5	12.4	2.4	295	22.6	9.5	3.5	11.6	0.347	605	
		3	1491	12	8.2	251	50.8	4.5	1.2	508	35.6	10.9	68.6	44.3	0.000	1118	
	Water pond	3	1354	8	7.7	111	33.5	16.2	3.7	346	29.9	11.8	4.6	26.0	0.002	666	
North-western flank	Springs	1	1429		9.2	789	269	25.7	5.65	1730	254	62.1	85.9	5.9	0.1264	3237	
		4	2567		7.9	32.2	11	3.3	0.6	111	0.3	4.7	0.8	0.9	0.001	242	
		15	2084		7.6	91.5	19.6	2.9	0.6	185	8.8	4.1	24.4	8.4	0.328	410	
Western flank	Spring	6	1838		7.1	99.1	24.6	3.2	0.5	235	8.7	4.1	24.8	5.2	0.022	446	
		1	2240		8.4	111	23.4	16.4	3.1	365	14	7.7	3.4	6.0	0.004	590	
South-western flank	Shallow wells	6	1649	44	7.8	180	39.9	39.7	6.1	539	75.1	25.7	3.7	36.7	2.743	1017	
		2	1921		7.9	76.4	22.8	4.4	0.7	212	7.5	6.1	12	3.0	0.020	386	
	Springs	10	1566		7.8	182	60	21.7	4.9	539	23.4	16.6	8.1	37.0	0.160	1012	
		3	1631	16	7.3	160	30.8	10.2	1.4	439	24.5	9	6.3	20.8	0.150	744	
	Deep wells	Shallow wells	51	1548	19	7.9	248	55.9	18.5	4	672	34	18.3	15.8	37.3	0.640	1188
			2	1379	6	8.2	109	36.9	61.1	20.8	367	47.3	40.9	2.3	151	0.670	893
	Deep wells	3	1467	151	7.7	120	27.7	19.3	4.8	400	16.2	7.5	5.4	5.4	0.020	653	


171

172 The model for the north-eastern flank (Fig. 2) suggests that there is an influence of the volcanic
 173 gases in the groundwater chemistry of the two hydrothermal springs (S42 and S43). The waters
 174 that have undergone deep infiltration in the high-altitude recharge area came in contact with a
 175 deep magmatic source, before flowing back to the surface along a fracture. The fracture is
 176 directly connecting the deep magmatic source to the groundwater discharged in the

177 hydrothermal springs. The volcanic gases also may diffuse to much lower extent, affecting the
 178 deep circulating waters in a regional flow system. The two springs from the discharge area
 179 (S18 and STP6), are attributed to be the discharge of the regional flow system, that have been
 180 affected by the volcanic gases (Bennett et al., 2021). The models suggest that there are two
 181 different local flow systems; the upstream-downstream evolution of the springs (which are on
 182 a shallow flow line) and the evolution in some lowermost shallow wells, which are clearly
 183 locally recharged, as shown by their lower mineralisation (compared to the upstream) and
 184 sometimes also anthropogenic pollution (Bennett et al., 2021); this is observed on the northern
 185 flank of Ngurdoto crater (Fig. 3) and on the south-western flank of Mount Meru (Fig. 5). The
 186 upstream-downstream evolution of the shallow wells (except the lowermost ones) is showing
 187 an intermediary flow system, whereas the deep wells are in a regional flow system (Fig. 5).
 188 The mixture of water from different flow systems is seen in wells W100 and W101 (Fig. 5),
 189 where the regional flow system (deep circulating waters) and shallow flow system (shallow
 190 flow waters) are discharging together.




191
 192 **Fig. 2.** Simplified groundwater flow conceptual model for the north-eastern flank of Mount
 193 Meru adapted from Bennett et al. (2021), suggesting the influence of the volcanic gases in the
 194 groundwater chemistry and also showing the increase of groundwater mineralisation with water
 195 residence times.



196


197 **Fig. 3.** Simplified groundwater flow conceptual model for the northern flank of Ngurdoto crater
 198 showing the increase of groundwater mineralisation with water residence times.



199

200 **Fig. 4.** Simplified groundwater flow conceptual model for the north-western flank of Mount
 201 Meru showing the increase of groundwater mineralisation with water residence times.

202



203

204 **Fig. 5.** Simplified groundwater flow conceptual model for the south-western flank of Mount
 205 Meru showing the increase of groundwater mineralisation with water residence times.


206

207 **3.2. Equilibrium status of groundwater**


208 **3.2.1. Mineral saturation states and partial pressure of $CO_{2(g)}$**

209 Fig. 6 a to b show saturation index (SI) of potentially relevant minerals for the groundwater
 210 samples. Fig. 6a shows that the groundwater in the study area is undersaturated with respect to
 211 sulfate minerals (anhydrite and gypsum), halite (rock salt) and sylvite, indicating that the
 212 dissolution of sulfate minerals, rock salt and sylvite may be ongoing processes, provided that
 213 these minerals are present. However, Fig. 6b shows that the groundwater shows all three
 214 conditions; undersaturated, near equilibrium and oversaturated with respect to the carbonate
 215 minerals (aragonite, calcite, and dolomite), silica (chalcedony and quartz) and fluorite, this
 216 indicates that the dissolution and precipitation of these minerals may be ongoing processes,
 217 depending on the case. Fig. 7 shows the relationship between $HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$ and logarithm of
 218 partial pressure of $CO_{2(g)}$ ($\log(pCO_{2(g)})$) for the groundwater samples. Most of the groundwater
 219 samples are in equilibrium with $CO_{2(g)}$ at values that correspond to $pCO_{2(g)}$ found in the soil
 220 zone. Two spring waters from the recharge area are very close to equilibrium with atmospheric
 221 $CO_{2(g)}$ ($pCO_{2(g)}=10^{-3.5}$ atm), this indicates the most quickly recharged waters. Five samples are
 222 in equilibrium with values above the common upper limit for $CO_{2(g)}$ in the soil zone
 223 ($pCO_{2(g)}=10^{-1.5}$ atm), this suggests the influence of $CO_{2(g)}$ from volcanic emissions. Their

224 $p\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ values range from $10^{-1.48} - 10^{-1.40}$ atm. These five water samples seem to correspond to
 225 waters with relatively low residence times as $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ is relatively low compared to very
 226 high $p\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ since longer residence foster more weathering hence more $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$. The
 227 samples are from three springs (S11 (two samples), S12 and S17) and one shallow well (W32).
 228 S11 and S12 are bubbling springs (Fig. 8), located on the north-eastern flank. S17 and W32 are
 229 375 m apart, located on the south-western flank. S17 is flowing laterally from a fractured less
 230 pervious layer.



231
 232 **Fig. 6. a to b:** Saturation index (SI) of potentially relevant minerals for the groundwater
 233 samples.



235
 236 **Fig. 7.** Relationship between $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\log(p\text{CO}_{2(g)})$ for the groundwater samples.


237
 238



239
240 **Fig. 8.** Bubbling springs: (a) spring S11 and (b) spring S12.

241
242 **3.2.2. Stability diagrams of silicate minerals**

243 Fig. 9 a to c show the projections of all water samples in the stability diagrams for Na-, K-, and
244 Ca-feldspars.



245
246 **Fig. 9. a to c:** Stability diagrams of Na-, K- and Ca-feldspars and its weathering products for
247 groundwater samples from the study area. The dashed lines represent saturation with respect
248 to quartz and amorphous silica.

249 Fig. 9a shows that the less mineralised groundwater samples (from recharge and flow-through
250 areas which are more leached) lie within the kaolinite stability field while the more mineralised

251 ones (from flow-through and discharge areas that are less leached) lie within the albite stability
252 field. Four surface water samples (1 from water pond and 3 from lakes) lie within the stability
253 field of gibbsite, reflecting poor drainage conditions, and therefore stronger leaching
254 (Walraevens et al., 2018), as both water pond and lakes are in closed basins: no water in, no
255 water out. From the other two surface water samples, river water lies within the kaolinite
256 stability field, while stream water lies at the boundary between kaolinite and albite stability
257 fields, reflecting good drainage conditions. One water sample from a shallow well (24 m deep)
258 lies within the stability field of gibbsite, the well went dry since May 2019 as observed during
259 2018–2020 groundwater level monitoring campaign, this suggests that the well was drawing
260 water from a shallow perched aquifer with poor drainage conditions. Fig. 9b shows that the
261 less mineralised groundwater samples lie within the stability field of kaolinite and muscovite
262 while the more mineralised ones lie within the stability field of K-feldspar, whereas Fig. 9c
263 shows that the less mineralised groundwater samples lie within the stability field of kaolinite
264 while the more mineralised ones lie within the stability field of Ca-montmorillonite. All
265 samples (except the five that lie within the stability field of gibbsite) fall to the right side of the
266 quartz saturation line, indicating the groundwater is oversaturated with respect to quartz (the
267 precipitation of which is kinetically inhibited in low-temperature systems), whereas they are
268 undersaturated with respect to amorphous silica as all samples fall to the left side of the
269 amorphous silica saturation line; thus amorphous silica will dissolve.

270 **3.3. Hydrogeochemical processes controlling groundwater chemistry**

271 Petrographic observations of rock samples for this study show that clinopyroxene (content: 20-
272 40%), nepheline (content: 10-30%), ilmenite/magnetite (content: 5-10%) and sanidine (found
273 in few samples, content: 15-30%) are important minerals. Biotite is found in minor amount
274 (content: 1%) (M Kisaka 2020, unpublished data). Augite, which is the most common
275 clinopyroxene, and anorthoclase that contains albite and sanidine were reported as important
276 minerals in the area by Ghiglieri et al. (2012). Since anorthoclase is a solid solution, consisting
277 of 64-90% of albite ($\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$) and 10-36% of orthoclase (KAlSi_3O_8) (or its high-temperature
278 form sanidine), albite is the dominant form of alkali feldspar found in the study area. Augite is
279 mostly composed of diopside ($\text{CaMgSi}_2\text{O}_6$) and hedenbergite ($\text{CaFeSi}_2\text{O}_6$), but the diopside is
280 the most significant clinopyroxene end member and is going to be used for the dissolution
281 reaction in this study.

282 The common natural sources for forming F^- -rich groundwater include dissolution of natural
283 F^- -bearing minerals (such as amphibole, biotite, fluorapatite and fluorite) (Ghiglieri et al.,

284 2012; Luo et al., 2018) and magmatic degassing related to volcanic activity (Sawyer et al.,
285 2008; Jasim et al., 2018). Calcite precipitation, cation exchange, salinization and evaporation
286 are important hydrogeochemical processes that allow for increasing F^- concentrations by
287 reducing the Ca^{2+} concentrations in groundwater (Nanyaro et al., 1984; Coetsiers et al., 2008;
288 Luo et al., 2018). The F^- -bearing minerals found in the study area are amphibole, biotite and
289 fluorapatite (Ghiglieri et al., 2012; M Kisaka 2020, unpublished data). However, the exact
290 abundance and chemical composition of these minerals including their F^- contents are
291 unknown. In our data, the F^-/Cl^- ratio of 98% (n=172) of the groundwater samples is > 0.10
292 while only 2% (n=3) of the samples have $F^-/Cl^- < 0.10$, indicating that the F^- in the
293 groundwater in the study area is derived from the chemical weathering of rock minerals
294 (Kilham and Hecky, 1973).

295 Table 2 shows the dissolution reactions for the important minerals found in the study area.
296 Theoretically, the weathering of albite to kaolinite releases Na^+ and HCO_3^- in the equivalent
297 ratio of 1:1 whereas the weathering of sanidine to kaolinite releases HCO_3^- and K^+ in the
298 equivalent ratio of 1:1. The weathering of nepheline to kaolinite releases Na^+ and HCO_3^- in the
299 equivalent ratio of 4:3 and HCO_3^- and K^+ in the equivalent ratio of 4:1. The weathering of
300 diopside releases HCO_3^- and Ca^{2+} in the equivalent ratio of 4:1 and HCO_3^- and Mg^{2+} in the
301 equivalent ratio of 4:1. The weathering of biotite to kaolinite releases HCO_3^- and K^+ in the
302 equivalent ratio of 3:1, HCO_3^- and Mg^{2+} in the equivalent ratio of 3:2, F^- and K^+ in the
303 equivalent ratio of 1:1 and F^- and Mg^{2+} in the equivalent ratio of 1:2. The weathering of
304 fluorapatite releases HCO_3^- and Ca^{2+} in the equivalent ratio of 6:5 and F^- and Ca^{2+} in the
305 equivalent ratio of 1:5.

306

307 **Table 2.** Dissolution reactions of the important minerals found in the study area

$2NaAlSi_3O_8 + 9H_2O + 2H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 2Na^+ + 2HCO_3^- + 4H_4SiO_4$	
Albite	Kaolinite
$2KAlSi_3O_8 + 9H_2O + 2H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 2K^+ + 2HCO_3^- + 4H_4SiO_4$	
Sanidine	Kaolinite
$Na_3KAl_4Si_4O_{16} + 2H_2O + 4H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow 2Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 3Na^+ + K^+ + 4HCO_3^-$	
Nepheline	Kaolinite
$CaMgSi_2O_6 + 4CO_2 + 6H_2O \leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + 4HCO_3^- + 2H_4SiO_4$	
Diopside	
$2KMg_2FeAlSi_3O_{10}(FOH) + 9H_2O + 6H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 + 2K^+ + 4Mg^{2+} + 2F^- + 6HCO_3^- + 2Fe(OH)_3 + 4H_4SiO_4$	
Biotite	Kaolinite
$Ca_5(PO_4)_3F + 6CO_2 + 6H_2O \leftrightarrow 5Ca^{2+} + 3H_2PO_4^- + F^- + 6HCO_3^-$	
Fluorapatite	

308

309 3.3.1. Dissolution of weathering aluminosilicate minerals


310 EC show significant very strong positive monotonic correlations with $HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$ (Fig.
 311 10a) and Na^+ (Fig. 10b), a significant strong positive monotonic correlation with K^+ (Fig. 10c)
 312 and significant moderate positive monotonic correlations with Mg^{2+} (Fig. 10d) and Ca^{2+} (Fig.
 313 10e). The EC reflects the salinity or the mineralisation of groundwater, hence high values of
 314 EC indicate mature waters with long residence times which have dissolved the maximum of
 315 rock-forming materials (Walraevens et al., 2018). Thus, the EC in our study area reflects the
 316 extent of weathering and dissolution of aluminosilicate minerals, and the contribution of other
 317 processes such as calcite precipitation, the evaporative concentration, the dissolution of
 318 evaporitic salts and anthropogenic pollution. The dissolution of weathering aluminosilicate
 319 minerals leads to the addition of cations and silica as well as the increase of pH resulting from
 320 the consumption of acid (Walraevens et al., 2018). The positive correlation of EC with the total
 321 alkalinity ($HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$) indicates the increasing release of HCO_3^- as a result of the
 322 increasing dissociation of H_2CO_3 . The positive correlations of EC with alkaline elements (Na^+
 323 and K^+) and alkaline earth elements (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) indicates the progressive increase of these
 324 ions with the mineralisation of the groundwater, in response to the dissolution of weathering
 325 aluminosilicate minerals and other hydrogeochemical processes. The strong correlation of EC
 326 with alkaline elements (Na^+ and K^+) and moderate correlation with alkaline earth elements
 327 (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}) suggests the significant contribution of Na-K-bearing minerals in releasing
 328 cations in the groundwater, and much less of Ca-Mg-bearing minerals.

329 Fig. 11 shows that the dissolution of albite (alkali feldspar) controls groundwater chemistry in
 330 the area because most samples plot along the 1:1 line (Fig. 11a). This dissolution determines
 331 the bicarbonate concentration in the groundwater, while the other cations show a deficit
 332 compared to HCO_3^- (Fig. 11b-d). The dissolution of diopside releases Ca^{2+} since all samples

333 plot above the line representing weathering of diopside (4:1 line) (Fig. 11c). Besides, at high
334 concentrations, HCO_3^- starts to show a deficit compared to Na^+ (deviation from the 1:1 line)
335 (Fig. 11a), which is due to calcite precipitation (the Ca^{2+} provided by diopside) at high
336 concentrations. Fig. 12 confirms the precipitation of calcite at high HCO_3^- concentrations
337 (supersaturated waters) in the study area. It is interesting to note that Jasim et al. (2018) mention
338 that chemical precipitation may lead to plugging in areas of intense mineralisation, which may
339 cause increasing pore pressures and flank collapse. The collapsed flank of Mount Meru
340 coincides with the area where the hydrothermal springs are found, and where mineralisation is
341 the highest. Ingebritsen et al. (2010) state that such increasing pore pressures may cause violent
342 steam-driven explosions. The glass phase of the volcanic rocks, which is volumetrically
343 dominant, structurally amorphous (so potentially easier to dissolve) and has a composition that
344 is not all that different from the alkali feldspar, may also contribute to the groundwater
345 chemistry. The dissolution of sanidine, biotite and nepheline releases K^+ as all samples plot
346 above the lines representing weathering of sanidine (1:1 line), biotite (3:1 line) and nepheline
347 (4:1 line) (Fig. 11b), whereas the dissolution of biotite and diopside releases Mg^{2+} as all
348 samples plot above the lines representing weathering of biotite (3:2 line) and diopside (4:1 line)
349 (Fig. 11d).


350 The saturation indices (SI) of calcite in groundwater samples (Fig. 12) represent undersaturated
351 ($\text{SI} < 0$), equilibrium ($\text{SI} = 0$) and oversaturated ($\text{SI} > 0$) conditions. The dissolution of calcite
352 (which is expected to occur when $\text{SI} < 0$) is an insignificant process in the study area since
353 carbonate minerals are not found in the main geological formations. They are only found in the
354 crusts of lake deposits, and in breccias and calcrete on mantling ash deposits (Ghiglieri et al.,
355 2012). Therefore, the precipitation of calcite occurring in the oversaturated samples ($\text{SI} > 0$)
356 indicates that this mineral is present as a secondary product. It is produced due to following
357 reactions: the dissolution of $\text{CO}_{2(\text{g})}$ which forms H_2CO_3 causes extensive aluminosilicate
358 dissolution, raising the pH and HCO_3^- in the groundwater; at $\text{pH}=8.2$, the HCO_3^- transforms
359 to CO_3^{2-} which reacts with the available Ca^{2+} to form calcite (CaCO_3). As the chemical
360 reactions progress and calcite becomes oversaturated in the system, it will precipitates.

361




362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368

Fig. 10. a to e: Bivariate plots of EC versus $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and major cations.



369

370 **Fig. 11. a to d:** Bivariate plots of $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ versus major cations. Black lines represent
 371 the theoretical dissolution curves of the important minerals found in the study area (Table 8).



372


373 **Fig. 12.** Bivariate plot of saturation index (SI) of calcite versus $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ in groundwater
 374 samples.

375

376 3.3.2. Dissolution of fluoride-bearing minerals

377 Fig. 13 shows that the F^- concentration in the groundwater is progressively increasing together
 378 with the mineralisation of the groundwater (increasing in TDS) along the flow paths, in
 379 response to the dissolution of fluoride-bearing minerals and other hydrogeochemical processes.


380 F^- concentration in the groundwater shows significant moderate positive correlations with Na^+
 381 and $HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$, and a significant weak positive correlation with K^+ (Bennett et al., 2021),
 382 suggesting that the dissolution of weathering Na-K-bearing minerals increases pH in the
 383 groundwater, which in turn activates the dissolution of $CO_{2(g)}$, and finally leads to the
 384 precipitation of calcite as secondary product (see [section 3.3.1](#)). The precipitation of calcite as
 385 a secondary product lowers the Ca^{2+} concentration in the groundwater and leads to sub-
 386 saturation with respect to fluorapatite ($Ca_5(PO_4)_3F$); hence fluorapatite, whenever present,
 387 dissolves and releases F^- to the system. This is supported by the weak negative monotonic
 388 correlation between F^- and Ca^{2+} : as the groundwater chemical processes progress, the
 389 groundwater becomes highly enriched in F^- concentrations with lower Ca^{2+} concentrations
 390 (Coetsiers et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018).



391
 392 **Fig. 13.** Bivariate plot of F^- versus TDS in groundwater samples.


393 [Fig. 14a](#) shows that few samples plot along the 1:1 line representing the dissolution of biotite.
 394 The samples above the line indicate the surplus of F^- from fluorapatite, other fluoride-bearing
 395 minerals and volcanic gases, whereas the samples below the line indicate the surplus of K^+
 396 resulting from the dissolution of weathering aluminosilicate minerals. A sample from one
 397 hydrothermal spring plots along 1:1 line, and this is consistent with the dissolution of biotite.
 398 The sample from the other hydrothermal spring plots above the line, suggesting the
 399 contribution from volcanic gases. [Fig. 14b](#) shows that few samples plot along the 1:2 line
 400 representing the dissolution of biotite while most of the samples plot above the line. The same
 401 is observed on [Fig. 14c](#) where few samples plot along the 1:5 line representing the dissolution
 402 of fluorapatite while most of the samples plot above the line, including spring water samples
 403 from the recharge areas. The springs from the recharge areas are all undersaturated with respect

404 to calcite (Fig. 12) and dolomite (Fig. 15). Therefore, all should lie along the 1:2 line in Fig.
 405 14b and along the 1:5 line in Fig. 14c to be consistent with the dissolution of biotite and
 406 fluorapatite respectively, which is not the case. Thus, the surplus of F^- in these springs is a
 407 clear indication to the contribution from the volcanic gases. For highly mineralised samples,
 408 this is less clear, as the calcium deficit may be due to calcite precipitation.



409
 410 **Fig. 14. a to c:** Bivariate plots of F^- versus Ca^{2+} , K^+ and Mg^{2+} in groundwater samples. Black
 411 lines represent the theoretical dissolution curves of biotite and fluorapatite (Table 2).

412



413

414 **Fig. 15.** Bivariate plot of saturation index (SI) of dolomite versus $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$ in
 415 groundwater samples.

416

417 3.3.3. *Dissolution of volcanic gases*

418 Significant fumarolic activity was recorded in the Ash Cone area from 1910 (Mount Meru's
 419 last eruption) until 1954 CE (Wilkinson et al., 1983). However, no measurements were done
 420 to know what gases were exsolving from the magma. The two hydrothermal springs located
 421 just at the foot of the Ash cone show high values of alkalinity, SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and F^- compared to
 422 the surrounding springs (Bennett et al., 2021), this suggests the influence of the admixture of
 423 volcanic gases: CO_2 , SO_2 , HCl and HF from depth (Sawyer et al., 2008; Jasim et al., 2018).
 424 This same activity can be expected to also affect much of the other groundwater, be it in a much
 425 weaker measure. The volcanic gases that are already in the subsoil are transformed into
 426 dissolved $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$, SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and F^- . By considering SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and F^- as tracers to determine
 427 the influence of the volcanic gases in the groundwater chemistry in the study area, the
 428 correlation of these ions was analysed. Results show significant strong positive correlation
 429 between SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- , and significant weak positive correlations of F^- with Cl^- and SO_4^{2-}
 430 (Bennett et al., 2021). This suggests the influence volcanic emissions at depth. The influence
 431 of $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ from volcanic emissions is noticed in the five samples which are in equilibrium with
 432 $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ at values that are above the common upper limit for $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ in the soil zone (see section
 433 3.2.1).

434 The discussion of hydrochemical parameters and their spatial distribution (Bennett et al., 2021),
 435 the mineral saturation status, and the stability of Na-, K-, and Ca-feldspars have allowed to
 436 indicate hydrogeochemical processes controlling groundwater chemistry in the study area. The
 437 main processes are the dissolution of weathering aluminosilicate minerals, dissolution of F^- -

438 bearing minerals, precipitation of carbonate minerals and dissolution of volcanic gases.
439 Evaporative concentration of solutes, precipitation and redissolution of evaporitic salts may
440 locally play a role as they take place only at/near the surface.

441 **3.4. Spatial distribution of fluoride concentration with relation to the geological units**

442 [Fig. 1](#) shows the spatial distribution of F^- concentrations in different geological formations in
443 the study area. The spatial distribution is further analysed and discussed in six groups based on
444 the six clusters of the inventoried water points discussed in [section 2.2](#).

445 **3.4.1. Eastern flank**

446 [Table 3](#) summarises some descriptive statistics of F^- and TDS values in water samples from
447 different geological formations and different flow system zones in the eastern part of the
448 Arusha National Park. The flow system consists of the recharge area, flow-through area, and
449 discharge area. In this study, these areas have been categorised based on elevation, slope, spring
450 settings and groundwater level. The springs from the recharge areas located in the pyroclastics
451 with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas and in the Momella DAD show lower
452 mineralisation (average TDS: 213 and 190 mg/L respectively) and lower F^- values (average
453 1.5 and 0.8 mg/L respectively) compared to other water sources, indicating young spring
454 waters. The springs are at higher elevations at the centre of Mount Meru where there are steep
455 slopes and lower temperatures. Steep slopes in this area lead to shorter water residence times
456 while lower temperatures hinder the enrichment of F^- due to slow weathering and dissolution
457 of aluminosilicate minerals, hence lower F^- values are observed. The spring from the recharge
458 area located in the lake deposits near the Ash cone shows relatively high TDS and F^- values
459 (264 and 21.4 mg/L respectively) compared to the former ones, suggesting that the fine silty
460 lake deposits are highly weatherable and readily dissolve in water compared to the pyroclastics,
461 lava flows and DADs. The two hydrothermal springs (the Njekukumia springs) from the
462 recharge area located in the porous pyroclastics with nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas provide
463 an exception, as they are highly mineralised (average TDS: 3642 mg/L) with high F^- values
464 (average: 198 mg/L). They are also warmer (average temperature: 20.0°C) than the surrounding
465 springs (average temperature: 13.3°C), indicating they are from a deeper source, thus their high
466 temperature and longer residence times lead to their higher mineralisation and higher F^- values.
467 In the flow-through area in the Momella DAD, two water samples from the Tululusia springs
468 (S44 and S45) show significant mineralisation (average TDS: 1174 mg/L) with high F^- values
469 (average: 264 mg/L), indicating progressive mineralisation of the groundwater along the flow
470 paths due to long water residence times and high rock weatherability, in addition to the

471 influence of the volcanic gases. The mineralisation and high F⁻ values of the Tululusia springs
 472 might be influenced by the infiltrated hydrothermal spring water as suggested by [Bennett et al.](#)
 473 [\(2021\)](#). Also, in the flow-through area in the Momella DAD, the sample from the Njekukumia
 474 stream shows high TDS and F⁻ values: 3540 and 553 mg/L respectively. These high values are
 475 attributed to one of the hydrothermal springs, the Small Njekukumia spring (S42), which shows
 476 high TDS and F⁻ values: 3070 and 301 mg/L respectively. Water samples from the three closed
 477 basin lakes located in the discharge area show the highest mineralisation (average TDS: 13541
 478 mg/L) and highest F⁻ values (average: 736 mg/L), indicating mature surface waters with longer
 479 residence times and high evaporative concentration of solutes. On the eastern flank, the young
 480 spring waters from the recharge areas at higher elevations at the centre of Mount Meru,
 481 emerging from the pyroclastics (with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas) and from
 482 the Momella DAD, have F⁻ not exceeding the WHO limit, and are suitable as healthy drinking
 483 water.

484 **Table 3.** Descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from different
 485 geological formations and different flow system zones on the eastern part of the Arusha
 486 National Park (n: number of samples).

Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
					Range	Mean	
Pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas	Recharge area	Springs	6	2427	0.4 - 3.9	1.5	213
		Hydrothermal springs	2	2527	95.2 - 301	198	3642
lake deposits	Recharge area	Spring	1	2630		21.4	264
Momella DAD	Recharge area	Springs	6	2206	0.1 - 2.1	0.8	190
	Flow-through area		3	1780	14.9 - 274	181	899
	Flow-through area	Stream	1	2132		553	3540
	Discharge area	Lakes	3	1430	217 - 1004	736	13541

487
 488
 489 On the northern flank of Ngurdoto crater, all water samples are from the Momella DAD. [Table](#)
 490 [4](#) shows that water samples from Nkoasenga show the increase of F⁻ values along the flow path
 491 along with the progressive mineralisation of the groundwater. In the flow-through areas, wells
 492 and springs show similar mineralisation, and this can be attributed to comparable residence
 493 times. The shallow wells in Leguruki show similar TDS and F⁻ values as shallow wells and
 494 springs in the flow-through areas in Nkoasenga. The low mineralisation and low F⁻ values of
 495 these wells compared to the shallow wells in the discharge area in Nkoasenga are attributed to
 496 the shorter water residence times, and so these are waters from the local flow system (see [Fig.](#)
 497 [3](#)). On this flank, shallow wells from the flow-through area (see [Fig. 3](#)) provide groundwater

498 with F⁻ (mostly) below Tanzanian limit (average: 3.5 mg/L). In Leguruki in the regional
 499 discharge area, a local flow system results in F⁻ levels that are still reasonable (average: 4.6
 500 mg/L).

501 **Table 4.** Descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from different
 502 geological formations and different flow system zones on the northern flank of Ngurdoto crater.

Region	Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	Average well depth (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
							Range	Mean	
Nkoasenga	Momella DAD	Flow-through area	Shallow wells	7	1586	8	1.3 - 7.4	3.5	605
			Springs	2	1522		2.6 - 10.7	6.7	681
		Discharge area	Shallow wells	3	1491	12	30.7 - 134	68.6	1118
			Water pond	1	1429			85.9	3237
Leguruki	Momella DAD	Discharge area	Shallow wells	3	1354	8	1.0 - 8.2	4.6	666

503

504

505 3.4.2. North-eastern flank

506 **Table 5** shows the descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from the
 507 north-eastern flanks of Mount Meru: Mkuru, Uwiro and Ngarenanyuki. At higher elevations,
 508 samples from the flow-through areas, i.e. two springs located in the pyroclastics and associated
 509 lavas and one shallow well located in the lake deposits, show low mineralisation and low F⁻
 510 values, which is attributed to their short residence times. In the lake deposits, springs from the
 511 discharge area are more mineralised with high F⁻ values compared to a shallow well in the
 512 flow-through area at slightly higher elevation, indicating progressive mineralisation of the
 513 groundwater along the flow paths with increasing residence times. The samples from the flow-
 514 through area in the Engosomit DAD, alkaline parasitic cone and alluvial fan deposits and those
 515 from the discharge area in the lake deposits show similar intermediate mineralisation with high
 516 F⁻ values, suggesting comparable long residence times. The progressive mineralisation of the
 517 groundwater along the flow paths with increasing residence times is also observed in the
 518 Momella DAD: the samples from the discharge area are highly mineralised with high F⁻ values
 519 compared to those from the flow-through area. The higher F⁻ value in the Ngarenanyuki river
 520 is primarily attributed to the hydrothermal spring water via the Njekukumia stream (Bennett et
 521 al., 2021). On this flank, springs and shallow wells from the flow-through area on pyroclastics
 522 (and associated lavas) and lake deposits, deliver water with relatively low F⁻ (3.6–4.3 mg/L),
 523 which could be used for mixing with low F⁻ water, to produce drinking water with F⁻ below
 524 Tanzanian limit.

525 **Table 5.** Descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from different
 526 geological formations and different flow system zones on the north-eastern flank of Mount
 527 Meru.

Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	Average well depth (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
						Range	Mean	
Pyroclastics (stippled) and associated lavas	Flow-through area	Spring	1	1726			4.2	295
Pyroclastics (stippled) and associated lavas covered with mantling ash	Flow-through area	Spring	1	1684			4.3	276
lake deposits	Flow-through area	Shallow well	1	1551	48		3.6	412
	Discharge area	Springs	8	1415		3.0 - 12.5	6.8	993
Engosomit DAD	Flow-through area	Shallow well	1	1520	23		9.1	755
Alkaline parasitic cone	Flow-through area	Springs	5	1452		6.2 - 15.8	10.0	793
Alluvial fan deposits	Flow-through area	Shallow wells	6	1443	6	13.6 - 30.1	18.3	931
		Shallow wells	4	1427	10	11.7 - 121	43.3	1223
	Discharge area	River	1	1443			144	1544
Momella DAD	Discharge area	Springs	12	1360		24.9 - 113	58.0	2595

528

529 3.4.3. North-western flank

530 On the north-western flank, in Oldonyo Sambu, the springs from the recharge area are in the
 531 pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas, whereas the springs from the
 532 flow-through and discharge areas are in the Lemurge DAD. The recharge area is at higher
 533 elevation whereas the flow-through area is at intermediate elevation and the discharge area at
 534 lower elevation. Table 6 shows that the springs from the recharge area show low mineralisation
 535 with lower F⁻ values, due to the slow weathering and dissolution of aluminosilicate minerals
 536 and short water residence times, whereas the springs from the flow-through and discharge areas
 537 show high F⁻ values due to the progressive mineralisation of the groundwater along the flow
 538 paths. On average, the springs from the flow-through and discharge areas show similar
 539 mineralisation with comparable F⁻ values, due to shorter residence times as the groundwater is
 540 flowing through fractures between the two flow zones (see Fig. 4). On the northwestern flank,
 541 springs on higher elevations in the recharge area, emerging from pyroclastics (with lavas)
 542 deliver excellent quality water with F⁻ below WHO-limit (average 0.6 mg/L).

543

544 **Table 6.** Descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from different
 545 geological formations and different flow system zones on the north-western flank of Mount
 546 Meru.

Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
					Range	Mean	
Pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas	Recharge area	Springs	3	2623	0.4 - 0.8	0.6	194
Lemurge DAD	Flow-through area	Springs	16	2136	1.7 - 61.7	23.0	409
	Discharge area	Springs	6	1838	12.8 - 42.5	24.8	446

547

548 3.4.4. Western flank

549 On the western flank of Mount Meru, in Mamsa, all water samples are from the flow-through
550 areas, one sample (spring water) is from the nephelinite lavas and breccias covered with
551 mantling ash at an intermediate elevation while six samples (well waters) are from the confined
552 aquifer composed of weathered fractured lava at the base of the Mount Meru, overlaid by the
553 alluvial fan deposits. The alluvial fan deposits are recent and found in shallow depth, hence the
554 groundwater mineralisation in the wells is mainly influenced by the weathered fractured lava
555 deposit. Table 7 shows that there is a significant progressive mineralisation of the groundwater
556 along the flow path (increase in TDS) but with similar F⁻ values, this suggests that the
557 weathered fractured lava contains less F⁻-rich minerals. On the western flank, F⁻ remains
558 relatively limited (3.4–3.7 mg/L), at least below Tanzanian limit. This suggests that the western
559 flank groundwater could be a better opportunity for Arusha water-supply, compared to the
560 sources they are using now.

561 **Table 7.** Descriptive statistics of F⁻ and TDS values in the water samples from different
562 geological formations and different flow system zones on the western flank of Mount Meru.

Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	Average well depth (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
						Range	Mean	
Nephelinite lavas and breccias covered with Mantling ash	Flow-through area	Spring	1	2240			3.4	590
Weathered fractured lava covered with alluvial fan deposits	Flow-through area	Shallow wells	6	1649	44	3.4 - 4.0	3.7	1017

563

564

565 3.4.5. South-western flank

566 Table 8 shows that the springs that are located in the mantling ash in the upper part of the flow-
567 through area show low mineralisation but with high F⁻ values compared to the springs and
568 shallow wells in the middle part of the flow-through area located in the pyroclastics with
569 subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas covered with mantling ash. This indicates their
570 low mineralisation is due to short water residence times, as they are located just near the

571 boundary between the mantling ash and the pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and
572 phonolitic lavas where the groundwater recharge occurs at higher elevations. Their higher F^-
573 values are influenced by the mantling ash deposits which can readily release a high amount of
574 F^- (Ghiglieri et al., 2012). The mantling ash deposits are very loose and fine-grained; therefore,
575 they are highly weatherable and readily dissolve in water compared to the lava deposits. Water
576 samples from the shallow wells located in the alluvial deposits show a slight increase in
577 mineralisation with comparable F^- values compared to the samples from the mantling ash
578 deposits in the middle part of the flow-through area; this suggests that the progressive
579 mineralisation occurring in the shallow depths of the alluvial deposits is not accompanied by a
580 considerable increase in F^- . The water samples from the deep wells located in the alluvial
581 deposits in the lower part of the flow-through area show low mineralisation but with
582 comparable F^- values as the samples from the pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and
583 phonolitic lavas covered with mantling ash located in the middle part of the flow-through area.
584 These are deep circulating waters which have undergone deep infiltration in the recharge area
585 at higher elevations (see Fig. 5). The alluvial deposits are found at the base of Mount Meru.
586 These deposits are recent and found in shallow depth. Here the F^- values of deep circulating
587 waters are mainly influenced by the weathered fractured lava which is composing the deep
588 aquifer (Bennett et al., 2021). Thus, the low mineralisation in the deep circulating waters is
589 attributed to slow weathering and dissolution of aluminosilicate minerals in the weathered
590 fractured lava (Bennett et al., 2021). Furthermore, the samples from the shallow wells located
591 in the alluvium deposits in the lowest part of the flow-through area show significant
592 mineralisation but lower F^- values compared to the rest of the samples in the entire flow zone.
593 These are mixture of deep circulating waters and shallow flow waters (see Fig. 5). On the south-
594 western flank, the shallow wells in the flow-through area on alluvium deposits provide
595 groundwater with relatively low F^- below Tanzanian limit (average 2.3 mg/L), whereas one
596 of the three investigated deep wells in the flow-through area shows F^- below Tanzanian limit
597 (3.8 mg/L), this suggests a possibility of finding more localities of lower F^- groundwater in the
598 deep aquifer.


599

600 **Table 8.** Descriptive statistics of F^- and TDS values in the water samples from different
601 geological formations and different flow system zones on the south-western flank of Mount
602 Meru.

Geological formation	Zone	Water source	n	Average elevation (m)	Average well depth (m)	F ⁻ (mg/l)		Average TDS (mg/l)
						Range	Mean	
Mantling ash	Flow-through area	Springs	2	1921		11.7 - 12.4	12.0	386
Pyroclastics with subordinate nephelinitic and phonolitic lavas covered with mantling ash	Flow-through area	Springs	6	1574		4.7 - 6.9	5.5	855
		Shallow wells	6	1572	11	2.9 - 12.0	6.0	763
Mantling ash	Flow-through area	Springs	4	1550		8.2 - 18.9	11.9	1246
		Shallow wells	33	1549	19	5.1 - 122	17.9	1161
Alluvial fan deposits	Flow-through area	Shallow wells	15	1543	25	4.5 - 60.4	13.3	1327
		Deep wells	3	1467	151	3.8 - 7.8	5.4	653
Alluvium	Flow-through area	Shallow wells	2	1379	6	2.0 - 2.5	2.3	893

603

604 Fig. 16 shows the identified low F⁻ zones on the flanks of Mount Meru, that could be used in
605 a healthy way (or suitable for blending, if only very small exceedance). From the discussion
606 above, high F⁻ values are found in the debris avalanche deposits, mantling ash, alluvial fan
607 deposits and lake deposits, whereas low F⁻ values are found in the pyroclastics and lavas. The
608 lavas deposits are more compact and less weatherable as compared to the DADs, mantling ash
609 and lake deposits. The DADs are brecciated with fine matrix while ash deposits are very loose
610 and fine-grained, these characteristics make them highly weatherable compared to lavas
611 deposits. Moreover, the lava deposits are found in higher elevations at the centre of Mount
612 Meru where there are steep slopes and lower temperatures. Steep slopes at this area lead to
613 shorter water residence time while lower temperatures hinder the enrichment of fluoride due to
614 low dissolution of fluoride-rich minerals. The DADs and ash deposits are found in lower
615 elevations at the base of the Mount Meru. At lower elevations, the areas have gentle slopes and
616 high temperatures compared to higher elevations in the mountain. The gentle slope and large
617 distance from the recharge area (the center of Mount Meru) favour long water residence time
618 while high temperature favours the enrichment of fluoride due to high dissolution of fluoride-
619 rich minerals. Furthermore, the deep wells show low mineralisation and low F⁻ values
620 compared to shallow wells, this is attributed to slow weathering and dissolution of
621 aluminosilicate minerals in the weathered fractured lava. This is suggesting that the deep rocks
622 are less weathered. Therefore, the internal texture and grain size of the geological formations
623 (causing variable weatherability), the burial depth of these formations (deep rocks are less
624 weathered) and the water residence times (which corresponds to the type of groundwater flow
625 system: local, intermediary or regional) determine the F⁻ concentrations in the groundwater in
626 relation to the geological formations.



627

628 **Fig. 16.** A map showing the identified low F^- zones on the flanks of Mount Meru, Northern
 629 Tanzania.

630 **4. Conclusions and recommendations**

631 The groundwater chemistry in the study area is controlled mainly by the dissolution of
 632 weathering aluminosilicate minerals, dissolution of F^- -bearing minerals, precipitation of
 633 carbonate minerals and dissolution of volcanic gases. Evaporative concentration of solutes,
 634 precipitation and redissolution of evaporitic salts may locally play a role, especially on the
 635 north-eastern flank of Mount Meru. High F^- values are found in the debris avalanche deposits,
 636 mantling ash, alluvial fan deposits and lake deposits, whereas low F^- values are found in the
 637 pyroclastics and lavas deposits. The internal texture and grain size of geological formations
 638 (causing variable weatherability), the burial depth of these formations (deep rocks are less

639 weathered) and the water residence times (corresponding to the groundwater flow system:
640 local, intermediary or regional) are the factors determining the F^- concentrations in the
641 groundwater in the area.

642 The low F^- groundwater sources which can be used for drinking water supply without health
643 impacts on the population under WHO limit (1.5 mg/L) are low-fluoride springs from the high-
644 altitude recharge areas on the eastern and north-western flanks of Mount Meru inside Arusha
645 National Park. The shallow aquifer on the western flank shows low F^- values that meet the
646 Tanzanian limit (4.0 mg/L). On the south-western flank, the alluvium deposits at lower
647 elevations contain water with low F^- which meet the Tanzanian limit, whereas the deep aquifer
648 shows a possibility of finding more localities of lower F^- groundwater as one of the three
649 investigated deep boreholes meets the Tanzanian limit.

650

651

652 **Appendix**

653 **Table 9.** Table. Major and minor physicochemical parameters of water samples. (units are in
654 mg/L, except for the pH (unitless) and EC ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at 25°C). * as mg/L HCO_3^-).

Water source	Water point ID	Lab ID	pH	EC($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at 25°C)	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	F ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻	(HCO ₃ ⁻ + CO ₃ ²⁻)*	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₂	TDS	
Eastern flank																					
	S35	19/023	7.9	229	33.2	12.2	6.6	0.4	0.05	0.000	0.000	2.1	1.9	0.5	1.5	0.000	115	0.06	36.3	210	
	S36	19/024	7.7	202	28.1	14.5	7.0	0.4	0.09	0.000	0.000	0.4	3.9	0.1	1.4	0.000	112	0.56	61.1	230	
	S37	19/025	7.8	415	66.5	25.5	9.8	0.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	14.9	9.4	3.7	1.5	0.000	180	0.70	38.3	351	
	S38	19/026	7.7	169	28.7	5.8	6.1	0.2	0.10	0.000	0.000	3.9	5.1	0.1	2.9	0.000	78.8	0.49	42.5	175	
	S39	19/027	7.2	150	24.9	3.5	5.6	0.2	0.12	0.000	0.000	1.5	6.1	0.4	2.2	0.000	71.1	0.25	38.6	154	
	S40	19/028	7.3	150	24.9	4.2	5.6	0.2	0.14	0.000	0.000	0.8	5.0	0.3	1.1	0.000	79.4	0.00	40.2	162	
	S41	19/029	7.5	302	31.7	25.8	11.9	0.7	0.06	0.000	0.000	1.3	8.8	1.6	2.3	0.000	142	0.79	35.9	263	
	S44	19/034	8.6	1183	424.5	51.3	1.6	0.5	0.03	0.007	0.006	274	18.8	67.5	1.7	0.002	317	0.70	27.2	1184	
Springs	S45	19/035	8.2	1123	402.4	58.1	1.4	0.4	0.03	0.007	0.007	254	16.7	68.3	2.4	0.011	330	0.79	28.7	1163	
	S46	19/037	7.8	193	27.3	10.9	2.6	0.4	0.05	0.006	0.005	0.2	4.4	0.1	1.1	0.003	96	0.02	46.8	190	
	S47	19/039	7.4	232	27.8	20.5	4.1	0.5	0.04	0.005	0.010	0.6	5.5	0.0	5.4	0.002	112	0.05	36.3	213	
	S47'	19/040	7.1	148	20.6	10.2	1.0	0.2	0.05	0.004	0.006	0.4	4.5	0.0	2.2	0.003	67.8	0.06	38.7	146	
	S47''	19/041	7.3	105	15.1	4.9	1.3	0.2	0.04	0.002	0.001	0.1	4.2	0.0	4.0	0.002	44.5	0.03	42.4	117	
	STP7	19/006	7.9	270	64.1	7.4	7.5	0.9	0.04	0.000	0.000	21.4	1.7	3.5	0.0	0.000	121	0.64	35.7	264	
	STP8	19/007	7.9	312	34.9	35.4	10.6	0.7	0.05	0.000	0.000	1.5	5.8	1.2	3.9	0.000	179	0.02	36.8	310	
	STP11	19/010	7.7	246	27.0	23.6	10.0	0.7	0.07	0.000	0.000	1.0	3.9	0.4	3.3	0.000	141	0.00	35.2	246	
Hydrothermal springs	S42	19/030	8.2	3650	899	179	8.4	1.6	0.07	0.000	0.000	301	100	260	2.5	0.004	1287	0.00	31.4	3070	
	S43	19/032	8.5	4590	1123	216	3.8	2.3	0.04	0.006	0.016	95.2	148	418	0.9	0.000	2170	0.96	36.3	4214	
Stream	STP9	19/008	9.1	4390	1140	220	9.1	2.2	0.06	0.000	0.000	553	295	377	1.1	0.000	914	0.79	27.6	3540	
	L1	19/001	9.5	8630	1928	283	5.7	2.7	0.12	0.001	0.000	217	192	517	6.0	0.003	4285	1.98	0.3	7438	
Lakes	L2	19/002	9.9	19820	5190	801	7.6	3.8	0.18	0.001	0.000	1004	512	102	5.1	0.051	9862.7	6.52	0.9	17495	
	L3	19/003	10.1	17570	4240	714	7.3	1.5	0.16	0.005	0.000	988	434	10.4	7.1	0.041	9284.5	1.97	2.2	15691	
Far east of the eastern flank (Northern flank of Ngurdoto crater)																					
Springs	S1	18/120	7.2	632	106	26.2	14.0	2.4	0.02	0.008	0.075	2.6	13.4	19.8	10.0	0.002	334	0.05	44.5	573	
	S2	18/119	7.6	919	171	42.8	2.4	0.4	0.02	0.012	0.038	10.7	3.3	25.7	23.5	0.078	478	0.60	30.8	789	
	W1	18/121	7.1	740	115	36.3	8.4	2.3	0.06	0.002	0.043	4.6	10.6	9.3	5.3	0.003	378	0.13	44.1	614	
	W2	18/122	7.2	823	126	30.4	9.4	1.7	0.01	0.005	0.041	3.5	12.7	42.6	50.3	1.292	319	0.49	41.5	639	
	W3	19/307	7.2	722	132	34.8	13.1	2.9	0.05	0.003	0.010	3.5	6.6	21.9	19.4	1.137	404	1.16	54.3	695	
	W4	19/060	8.3	882	185	33.9	6.5	1.3	22.32	0.300	0.040	41.5	10.6	34.7	31.8	0.000	343	0.76	149	861	
	W5	19/061	8.3	1932	443	60.1	3.1	1.3	0.00	0.150	0.000	134	14.6	68.7	101.1	0.000	756	0.31	62.3	1644	
	W8	18/123	7.4	943	152	25.6	15.4	1.3	0.01	0.000	0.067	8.2	26.3	42.9	65.1	0.005	349	0.12	38.4	724	
Shallow wells	W9	19/062	8.4	487	65	23.7	10.5	2.9	20.46	0.210	0.000	1.0	3.6	9.1	0.7	0.000	255	0.56	147	539	
	W10	19/308	7.3	798	117	51.1	22.8	7.1	0.05	0.005	0.002	4.5	5.5	37.6	12.2	0.001	435	0.64	40.3	734	
	W13	19/063	8.3	487	58	17.7	3.0	0.4	11.05	0.060	0.000	1.3	8.2	21.1	3.4	0.000	186	1.17	195	506	
	W14	19/064	8.2	515	75	28.8	17.0	4.0	1.01	0.090	0.000	1.6	9.1	13.3	0.0	0.000	296	0.00	194	640	
	W16	19/065	8.1	548	70	20.9	28.9	3.7	0.00	0.000	0.000	2.8	7.5	18.6	0.6	0.000	255	0.00	179	587	
	W18	19/066	7.6	515	82	23.7	7.2	1.8	2.88	0.150	0.000	7.4	12.0	31.2	2.3	0.000	227	0.56	154	552	
	W19	19/067	8.1	849	126	58.5	3.9	1.0	36.44	0.090	0.000	30.7	7.4	3.4	0.0	0.000	425	0.35	157	850	
Water pond	WP	19/314	9.2	4310	789	268.5	25.7	5.7	0.2	0.019	0.045	85.9	62.1	254	5.9	0.126	1730	8.19	1.9	3237	

656 Table 9. Continued

Water source	Water point ID	Lab ID	pH	EC(μS/cm at 25°C)	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	F ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻	(HCO ₃ ⁻ +CO ₃ ²⁻)*	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₂	TDS	
North-eastern flank																					
	S6	17/097	7.7	1736	401	49.4	10.4	2.5	0.06	0.004	0.011	35.0	24.8	119	29.0	0.004	832	0.00	54.0	1556	
	S6	19/092	8.4	2060	509	63.6	13.0	2.8	0.50	0.140	0.000	74.6	30.1	155	56.0	0.000	906	0.28	176	1987	
	S7	17/098	7.8	1742	377	46.2	10.5	2.5	0.07	0.006	0.006	37.3	24.9	111	27.9	0.181	844	0.00	58.4	1540	
	S7	19/093	8.5	2120	517	69.4	14.1	2.9	0.40	0.230	0.060	78.2	31.3	173	59.1	0.000	1039	0.28	208	2193	
	S11	17/099	7.2	1189	221	44.1	28.1	8.3	0.01	0.003	0.098	5.8	14.8	42.4	3.2	0.014	627	0.00	56.6	1051	
	S11	18/135	7.2	1219	201	35.9	36.0	8.2	0.03	0.003	0.167	3.0	5.5	41.9	6.1	0.000	716	0.61	51.6	1105	
	S11	19/011	8.5	1205	231	54.2	13.3	7.2	0.05	0.000	0.000	4.2	13.2	18.2	2.1	0.002	764	0.67	57.4	1166	
	S12	17/100	7.0	873	162	32.3	18.9	11.2	0.12	0.003	0.142	5.5	13.0	38.1	7.0	0.015	461	0.00	58.1	807	
	S12	19/094	8.4	888	126	47.8	22.4	7.2	0.21	0.030	0.000	6.3	15.7	49.1	14.8	0.000	423	0.84	210	923	
	S13	17/101	7.3	786	171	27.7	9.8	3.3	0.05	0.003	0.000	8.8	15.0	31.4	5.3	3.731	400	0.00	51.0	727	
	S13	18/124	7.5	802	132	20.8	9.3	2.5	0.00	0.000	0.149	6.2	8.0	21.2	6.9	0.000	394	1.00	47.0	649	
	S13	19/012	7.8	790	141	32.2	14.1	2.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	9.6	13.4	18.7	4.5	0.000	420	1.21	54.6	712	
	S14	17/102	7.5	906	199	34.0	11.3	3.5	0.08	0.004	0.000	9.7	18.4	38.1	3.3	0.636	466	0.00	48.2	832	
Springs	S14	19/095	8.4	977	218	51.4	11.1	3.8	0.24	0.400	0.000	15.8	22.4	36.6	3.4	0.000	515	1.05	167	1047	
	S15	17/103	7.4	1065	224	41.2	17.2	4.5	0.06	0.003	0.105	9.8	17.3	58.3	20.1	0.006	533	0.00	46.1	972	
	S15	18/125	7.4	1144	180	34.1	19.5	4.4	0.01	0.003	0.108	7.2	11.2	49.6	25.2	0.865	535	0.64	44.9	913	
	S15	19/013	7.7	1134	201	53.4	18.3	4.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	12.5	17.1	70.2	28.9	0.330	561	1.00	38.9	1007	
	S18	17/106	8.0	3500	708	104	10.6	4.1	0.03	0.001	0.345	30.2	114	472	11.3	0.003	1335	0.00	25.6	2815	
	S18	18/136	8.0	3510	762	90.5	12.2	3.4	0.01	0.004	0.144	24.9	136	379	14.6	0.000	1616	0.16	26.8	3066	
	S18	19/017	8.2	3500	817	124	12.1	3.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	90.2	119	434	10.4	0.000	1316	0.21	27.3	2954	
	S19	17/107	8.1	3490	712	105	10.8	3.9	0.01	0.005	0.303	41.2	113	425	8.8	0.021	1333	0.00	24.0	2777	
	S19	18/137	8.2	3490	753	93.0	12.8	3.5	0.03	0.003	0.119	29.4	134	393	15.0	0.000	1565	0.13	26.3	3025	
	S19	19/018	8.3	3460	796	109	13.2	3.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	106.1	120	428	11.6	0.000	1250	0.19	15.6	2853	
	STP4	18/139	6.9	321	63.0	8.3	1.9	0.5	0.02	0.003	0.016	4.2	4.0	9.7	4.5	0.000	158	1.68	39.8	295	
	STP5	18/138	6.9	292	58.7	8.1	1.3	0.4	0.06	0.001	0.027	4.3	2.3	4.9	4.6	0.320	151	1.49	39.0	276	
	STP6	17/128	8.1	3370	720	88.2	10.6	3.5	0.00	0.007	0.003	36.5	85.7	499	17.1	1.421	1342	0.00	16.9	2820	
	STP6	19/105	8.6	3270	1012	119.5	8.8	3.6	0.00	0.150	0.000	113	80.7	448	28.1	0.000	1643	0.37	104	3560	
	W11	17/108	7.6	1116	254	28.8	8.6	2.0	0.07	0.006	0.037	17.5	27.1	48.2	4.4	0.009	558	0.00	39.1	987	
	W12	17/109	7.6	917	202	24.5	11.7	2.5	0.11	0.006	0.026	15.3	21.5	26.7	4.3	0.008	475	0.00	31.6	816	
	W24	17/110	7.9	872	198	22.1	4.2	1.3	0.03	0.004	0.008	13.6	21.0	25.1	4.7	0.633	442	0.00	38.1	770	
	W24	19/068	8.3	1182	287	33.5	4.0	0.8	2.84	2.480	0.050	30.1	52.9	59.7	18.1	0.000	540	1.36	154	1186	
	W25	19/069	8.5	2680	579	80.9	8.3	6.4	2.25	0.240	0.080	121	78.3	204	12.7	0.000	1080	0.24	149	2322	
Shallow wells	W70	17/124	7.7	973	215	18.5	6.2	1.3	2.14	0.018	0.012	11.7	23.2	38.3	5.1	0.054	483	0.00	50.7	854	
	W71	17/125	7.8	875	185	16.3	5.1	1.0	0.10	0.008	0.000	13.6	20.6	28.4	3.6	0.007	431	0.00	24.2	729	
	W71	19/083	8.4	905	215	32.7	3.6	0.3	30.8	1.260	0.030	27.2	22.5	11.0	0.0	0.000	518	0.46	124	986	
	W91	19/087	8.3	846	192	25.6	4.9	0.9	19.49	2.010	0.030	19.2	22.1	27.5	1.6	0.000	488	0.55	80.2	884	
	W95	19/088	8.3	741	148	29.4	2.7	0.3	1.08	0.100	0.000	9.1	18.9	20.3	3.2	0.000	362	0.40	160	755	
	W96	19/089	8.3	874	207	27.0	9.4	2.2	4.38	0.380	0.060	14.1	20.5	22.7	4.3	0.000	492	0.12	136	940	
	BH26	19/358	7.7	424	80.4	12.4	6.3	1.0	0.02	0.000	0.000	3.6	3.8	1.9	36.5	0.010	220	0.29	45.0	412	
River	STP10	19/009	8.8	1736	464	87.2	9.3	1.2	0.08	0.000	0.000	144	45.1	126	1.1	0.002	645	0.55	20.8	1544	

658 Table 9. Continued

Water source	Water point ID	Lab ID	pH	EC($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at 25°C)	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	F ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻	(HCO ₃ ⁻ +CO ₃ ²⁻)*	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₂	TDS	
North-western flank																					
	S3	17/094	7.0	453	95.2	21.0	1.5	1.2	0.10	0.004	0.001	25.0	4.5	9.1	5.9	0.182	210	0.00	46.8	420	
	S3	18/134	7.2	443	100.5	19.1	1.6	0.2	0.06	0.001	0.049	16.8	4.5	7.3	1.8	0.000	207	0.12	41.4	400	
	S3	19/004	7.6	450	134.8	7.2	5.1	0.4	0.05	0.000	0.000	61.7	4.2	11.5	2.5	0.002	142	0.42	43.3	414	
	S3	19/304	7.8	415	63.0	17.7	6.2	1.7	0.05	0.003	0.000	18.6	1.2	11.1	2.1	0.002	161	0.36	46.4	329	
	S3	19/353	7.8	443	83.5	16.9	1.3	0.3	0.04	0.000	0.000	20.3	3.2	3.6	0.1	0.003	198	0.43	45.9	374	
	S3'	19/090	8.2	438	105	23.8	1.3	0.3	0.66	0.000	0.040	49.1	6.4	11.2	0.0	0.000	146	0.24	142.7	487	
	S4	17/095	7.8	439	84.7	21.4	1.7	1.1	0.02	0.006	0.000	18.1	4.1	12.9	16.2	2.438	189	0.00	44.0	396	
	S4	19/091	8.1	430	90	29.4	1.4	0.2	0.54	0.000	0.050	29.9	6.9	9.0	19.0	0.000	134	0.36	154.5	475	
	S4	19/354	7.3	435	78.75	20.4	1.2	0.2	0.03	0.000	0.000	14.9	2.9	2.7	12.4	0.004	196	0.38	42.1	372	
	S5	17/096	7.3	445	80.7	22.6	1.8	1.0	0.04	0.004	0.921	17.8	4.2	13.2	10.5	1.762	188	0.00	43.0	386	
	S5	18/133	7.2	437	97.9	15.4	1.6	0.2	0.17	0.005	0.052	10.7	4.6	7.9	16.1	0.508	198	0.14	38.7	392	
	S5	19/005	7.4	441	103.6	24.3	5.4	0.3	0.04	0.000	0.000	35.0	3.9	9.7	13.3	0.001	168	0.37	42.5	406	
Springs	S5	19/305	7.5	407	55.8	21.1	6.1	1.6	0.04	0.004	0.030	13.7	0.8	9.0	11.3	0.012	154	0.39	41.8	316	
	S5	19/355	7.3	436	79.65	20.3	1.3	0.2	0.03	0.000	0.000	15.0	3.0	3.3	13.4	0.002	195	0.41	40.9	373	
	S16	17/104	7.0	528	103.2	26.4	2.4	1.1	0.10	0.005	0.024	24.4	4.5	16.3	13.5	0.104	231	0.00	41.6	464	
	S16	18/141	7.1	512	86	26.0	2.0	0.2	0.14	0.008	0.075	15.2	5.4	11.9	0.2	0.000	228	0.02	47.3	423	
	S16	19/014	7.5	497	120.2	24.9	4.3	0.3	0.13	0.000	0.000	42.5	1.5	3.6	0.6	0.001	256	0.12	38.0	492	
	S16'	18/140	7.0	487	84.8	24.7	3.1	0.3	0.02	0.002	0.051	12.8	6.2	13.4	5.0	0.000	224	0.00	38.9	413	
	S16'	19/015	7.6	480	112.9	25.3	5.0	0.4	0.05	0.000	0.000	36.3	3.2	5.3	1.9	0.000	248	0.42	40.9	480	
	S16'	19/356	6.7	472	87.6	20.7	2.2	0.4	0.14	0.002	0.004	17.6	3.7	1.9	10.1	0.026	220	0.06	41.7	406	
	S48	19/042	7.2	205	28.6	8.3	3.7	1.2	0.20	0.001	0.004	0.4	5.9	0.2	0.9	0.004	101	0.00	34.3	185	
	S_TP1	19/101	8.3	152	17.0	8.6	2.2	0.3	2.69	0.050	0.000	0.5	3.4	0.4	0.5	0.000	59.0	0.00	96.7	191	
	S_TP2	19/102	8.1	547	120	13.2	5.8	0.8	0.00	0.000	0.030	19.4	7.5	11.1	0.7	0.000	292	0.53	146.1	617	
	S_TP3	19/103	8.1	323	65	17.2	2.8	0.6	8.03	0.000	0.000	1.7	5.1	0.2	0.0	0.000	205	0.10	78.1	384	
	S_TP4	19/104	8.2	175	18.0	9.9	4.4	0.4	2.93	0.000	0.000	0.8	4.1	0.3	2.0	0.000	78	0.00	86.4	207	
Western flank																					
Spring	S49	19/043	8.4	719	111	23.4	16.4	3.1	0.03	0.000	0.015	3.4	7.7	14.0	6.0	0.004	365	0.17	40.1	590	
	W28	19/070	8.5	1022	186	38.2	30.9	4.8	2.96	0.090	0.090	4.0	26.6	72.4	44.3	0.000	525	0.07	170.5	1106	
	W29	17/111	7.5	1204	185	38.1	55.4	11.5	0.00	0.004	0.071	3.9	27.9	60.0	41.3	16.46	522	0.00	44.7	1006	
Shallow wells	W29	18/126	7.4	1185	177	33.4	51.5	5.5	0.01	0.002	0.103	3.6	23.7	91.7	34.3	0.000	562	0.14	44.9	1028	
	W29	19/020	7.8	1174	185	46.8	25.9	5.3	0.06	0.000	0.000	3.4	27.2	84.5	33.4	0.000	530	0.05	50.7	992	
	W30	18/127	7.6	1171	161	29.7	48.6	5.1	0.12	0.000	0.096	3.4	21.4	76.3	35.5	0.002	527	0.03	43.6	952	
	W30	19/021	8.0	1153	187	53.5	26.1	4.7	0.06	0.000	0.000	3.7	27.5	65.9	31.2	0.000	567	0.10	49.2	1016	

660 **Table 9. Continued**

Water source	Water point ID	Lab ID	pH	EC(μ S/cm at 25°C)	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	F ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻	(HCO ₃ ⁻ +CO ₃ ²⁻)*	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₂	TDS	
South-western flank																					
	S17	17/105	7.2	1393	245	121	25.1	3.5	0.00	0.003	0.040	11.8	13.4	53.4	10.6	1.624	743	0	49.3	1278	
	S17	18/130	7.4	1464	233	49.6	38.6	4.4	0.02	0.003	0.101	8.2	25.3	14.1	29.7	0.007	790	0.08	45.1	1238	
	S17	19/016	7.7	1447	310	61.9	11.7	2.9	0.06	0.000	0.000	18.9	20.8	3.9	29.9	0.000	873	0.22	47.9	1381	
	S20	19/096	8.3	765	144	45.0	14.7	3.6	0.52	0.080	0.000	6.9	13.2	22.8	44.6	0.000	380	0.00	191	867	
	S21	19/097	8.3	771	145	47.4	15.5	4.2	0.09	1.310	0.070	5.8	13.0	22.8	37.6	0.000	387	0.06	200	880	
Springs	S22	19/098	8.3	863	168	54.4	24.4	7.9	0.00	0.030	0.040	5.2	18.1	30.1	58.9	0.000	476	0.04	197	1040	
	S22	19/306	7.1	781	115	52.6	22.7	6.1	0.04	0.003	0.157	4.7	12.4	25.7	37.4	0.008	398	0.13	54.1	728	
	S22	19/357	7.4	822	119	45.8	20.6	3.9	0.02	0.000	0.000	5.5	13.4	13.9	0.0	0.002	405	0.11	33.0	660	
	S24	19/099	8.3	819	147	56.5	26.7	8.6	0.09	0.000	0.120	5.2	15.9	29.7	64.2	0.000	412	0.07	191	957	
	S25	19/100	8.3	1010	195	65.5	17.3	4.0	0.69	0.140	0.060	8.8	20.6	17.5	57.2	0.000	527	0.20	175	1089	
	S50	19/044	7.7	465	77.0	20.4	4.2	0.7	0.03	0.006	0.005	11.7	5.5	6.9	2.2	0.003	216	0.15	38.2	383	
	S51	19/045	8.2	464	75.8	25.2	4.5	0.7	0.03	0.005	0.003	12.4	6.7	8.1	3.9	0.030	209	0.25	42.8	389	
	W31	17/112	7.4	1370	285	50.2	12.7	5.6	0.03	0.004	0.039	9.8	21.0	43.0	40.7	6.124	668	0.00	44.4	1186	
	W31	19/071	8.4	1452	271	59.9	22.9	5.5	0.53	0.210	0.140	13.6	28.5	31.3	80.7	0.000	675	0.04	168	1357	
	W32	18/129	7.3	1451	244	44.8	33.3	4.2	0.02	0.000	0.077	10.1	22.6	17.9	34.2	0.154	786	0.13	46.2	1243	
	W32	19/022	7.7	1429	240	61.0	13.6	3.7	0.06	0.000	0.000	17.8	17.5	51.4	26.5	0.000	681	0.13	30.4	1142	
	W33	19/072	8.4	1246	220	73.2	18.6	5.1	0.12	0.130	0.000	8.5	31.1	59.4	75.5	0.000	610	0.03	175	1276	
	W36	19/073	8.4	1729	305	90.2	16.6	3.9	0.00	0.000	0.000	13.5	28.7	59.2	129.2	0.000	680	0.11	159	1485	
	W39	19/074	8.5	1379	228	60.8	38.4	6.5	0.57	0.130	0.100	9.3	22.1	49.1	59.2	0.000	620	0.24	162	1257	
	W40	19/075	8.5	1557	272	68.0	48.0	8.1	0.00	0.000	0.050	6.8	26.2	76.1	79.8	0.000	708	0.23	178	1471	
	W42	19/031	7.7	1680	346	65.0	27.6	4.4	0.02	0.007	0.017	8.1	26.5	62.3	43.8	0.005	949	0.27	50.5	1583	
	W43	19/033	8.0	1733	330	52.3	24.4	3.5	0.03	0.006	0.012	22.4	33.2	46.8	84.0	0.006	875	0.89	51.2	1524	
	W44	17/113	7.7	1711	366	43.2	25.7	5.0	0.00	0.003	0.039	11.4	21.7	52.7	21.0	2.530	898	0.00	44.9	1491	
	W45	19/036	8.1	1290	271	36.3	5.3	1.5	0.03	0.001	0.006	60.4	11.6	19.1	27.6	0.004	602	1.05	50.0	1086	
	W46	17/114	7.3	729	162	29.8	9.3	1.8	0.00	0.005	0.019	6.2	6.8	22.1	20.9	0.450	400	0.00	38.6	697	
	W46	18/132	7.1	870	150	26.5	11.9	1.3	0.01	0.005	0.050	5.1	10.0	23.6	19.4	0.000	443	0.20	39.3	731	
Shallow wells	W46	19/038	7.6	900	168	36.3	9.4	1.2	0.03	0.005	0.007	7.7	10.2	27.8	22.0	0.005	476	0.31	45.9	805	
	W48	17/115	7.5	1689	379	47.1	14.1	2.8	0.01	0.005	0.000	16.4	11.7	16.4	20.0	2.285	999	0.00	47.8	1557	
	W48	19/076	8.5	1672	387	81.7	10.8	2.2	1.25	0.220	0.120	44.8	14.1	9.7	19.0	0.000	910	0.54	187	1669	
	W49	17/116	7.8	1562	336	38.2	15.5	2.6	0.00	0.007	0.283	25.6	6.3	12.6	13.1	1.603	917	0.00	42.9	1412	
	W51	17/117	7.8	1738	367	42.5	17.3	2.5	0.00	0.007	0.000	33.3	13.3	24.2	27.0	2.562	877	0.00	46.1	1452	
	W51	19/077	8.5	1773	425	57.7	12.8	2.3	0.00	0.060	0.000	122	14.7	8.7	50.8	0.000	890	1.66	180	1766	
	W52	19/019	7.8	1552	378	54.0	12.5	5.3	0.07	0.000	0.000	6.3	27.7	48.7	6.5	0.000	939	0.25	45.2	1524	
	W55	17/118	7.2	945	165	47.9	13.8	3.8	0.00	0.004	0.363	7.9	9.4	24.0	9.0	0.337	465	0.00	46.9	794	
	W55	19/078	8.5	984	163	64.3	12.6	4.7	0.23	0.020	0.000	11.2	14.2	27.7	22.0	0.000	466	0.05	192	978	
	W57	17/119	7.2	1012	172	49.0	14.5	4.4	0.00	0.003	0.010	6.6	12.0	29.3	11.5	3.138	501	0.00	46.0	849	
W57	19/079	8.4	1038	176	71.3	12.9	4.6	0.18	0.190	0.000	9.5	16.5	10.5	29.3	0.000	505	0.23	176	1012		
W58	19/046	7.5	974	167	63.7	7.6	2.8	0.03	0.001	0.011	14.1	8.0	16.3	6.0	0.003	537	0.10	54.3	876		
W60	17/120	7.3	972	194	51.5	11.7	2.3	0.00	0.005	1.309	7.6	8.6	22.3	9.1	3.518	542	0.00	41.2	894		
W60	19/080	8.4	1007	173	89.6	10.6	2.6	0.00	0.040	0.040	13.7	12.1	25.3	35.8	0.000	473	0.04	171	1007		
W62	19/047	7.5	850	144	43.3	10.3	2.3	0.03	0.003	0.008	12.8	12.1	22.4	28.0	0.002	414	0.20	45.1	734		
W63	17/121	7.2	722	121	29.1	17.7	5.4	0.00	0.005	0.009	5.5	6.3	18.2	10.6	0.017	355	0.00	42.1	610		

661 **Table 9. Continued**

Water source	Water point ID	Lab ID	pH	EC($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ at 25°C)	Na ⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Fe ²⁺ /Fe ³⁺	Mn ²⁺	NH ₄ ⁺	F ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₂ ⁻	(HCO ₃ ⁻ +CO ₃ ²⁻)*	PO ₄ ³⁻	SiO ₂	TDS	
South-western flank continued																					
	W63	19/081	8.4	728	137	41.0	14.2	5.2	0.00	0.000	0.150	6.8	12.4	16.8	48.3	0.000	355	0.18	179	816	
	W64	17/122	8.1	1543	324	48.8	7.9	6.4	0.00	0.018	0.017	6.4	23.4	46.7	46.1	0.415	809	0.00	38.9	1358	
	W64	19/082	8.3	1382	280	54.5	45.7	7.8	0.59	0.040	0.000	7.3	25.4	53.8	69.0	0.000	735	0.20	164	1443	
	W65	19/048	7.8	1658	317	57.3	29.5	4.1	0.03	0.011	0.011	9.7	20.9	56.4	45.9	0.005	906	0.25	52.8	1500	
	W66	18/128	7.7	1402	262	28.1	23.2	3.0	0.01	0.000	0.086	8.9	16.6	15.7	8.9	0.004	814	0.91	40.7	1222	
	W66	19/049	8.0	1420	285	44.7	20.3	3.0	0.03	0.006	0.007	21.7	15.1	30.8	6.8	0.002	820	1.05	48.8	1298	
	W68	17/123	7.5	1411	254	44.6	42.1	6.0	0.00	0.006	0.018	4.9	20.5	55.6	28.6	3.016	687	0.00	40.1	1187	
	W69	19/050	8.2	2320	415	79.8	12.5	2.6	0.04	0.000	0.009	17.0	67.0	88.7	258	0.010	857	0.83	19.0	1817	
	W74	17/126	7.3	1005	202	27.1	14.1	1.8	0.00	0.006	0.000	8.9	10.1	33.9	20.1	5.420	541	0.00	45.0	909	
	W74	19/084	8.3	961	195	32.2	9.1	1.1	0.22	0.050	0.000	13.9	11.7	11.9	27.9	0.000	536	0.16	193	1032	
	W75	19/051	7.6	1045	173	69.1	14.0	3.5	0.03	0.008	0.011	9.7	16.1	34.0	21.6	0.003	534	0.03	48.1	923	
	W76	19/052	7.6	1061	174	76.5	12.7	3.0	0.03	0.009	0.011	12.0	14.7	24.3	44.8	0.005	528	0.19	17.4	907	
Shallow wells	W77	19/053	7.6	931	161	62.6	10.5	3.2	0.03	0.005	0.009	11.5	12.0	32.6	18.1	0.004	479	0.10	56.8	848	
	W80	17/127	7.4	1281	223	51.0	7.4	5.6	0.00	0.006	1.161	5.3	20.4	44.8	19.3	1.209	640	0.00	40.0	1058	
	W80	18/131	7.4	1277	207	51.4	38.1	5.2	0.40	0.000	0.102	4.5	24.6	24.3	21.4	0.000	729	0.05	46.6	1152	
	W80	19/054	7.6	1294	208	71.6	27.4	4.2	0.03	0.003	0.013	6.4	21.1	41.3	19.6	0.006	705	0.07	36.3	1140	
	W82	19/055	8.2	1432	288	44.6	23.1	2.8	0.04	0.008	0.012	10.4	21.8	46.1	15.5	0.003	793	0.83	43.6	1290	
	W83	19/056	7.5	1200	192	74.9	17.4	3.6	0.02	0.001	0.009	7.4	26.5	47.7	68.4	0.003	551	0.11	37.4	1026	
	W85	19/085	8.3	721	104	35.9	19.9	6.3	6.88	0.150	0.040	2.9	12.4	10.8	28.0	0.000	347	0.03	209	783	
	W86	19/086	8.2	768	125	63.7	10.5	4.1	0.13	0.020	0.000	5.3	6.9	19.7	26.1	0.000	373	0.00	184	818	
	W87	19/057	7.9	1713	348	59.0	18.7	2.7	0.02	0.002	0.010	41.1	16.1	23.9	16.4	0.002	978	1.05	2.4	1507	
	W90	19/058	8.1	2050	392	99.0	8.9	2.4	0.03	0.005	0.012	45.1	16.3	38.8	10.2	0.008	1114	0.56	29.9	1757	
	W92	19/309	7.1	980	164	61.9	19.9	5.1	0.06	0.006	0.003	6.3	12.2	36.2	32.7	0.023	510	0.15	47.9	896	
	W93	19/059	8.0	715	112	34.6	16.0	3.2	0.44	0.001	0.020	3.4	10.8	13.0	3.1	0.014	404	0.25	42.5	643	
	W100	19/310	8.2	1019	88.3	33.1	54.1	24.6	0.05	0.004	0.008	2.0	34.6	37.0	134	0.004	378	0.23	58.3	845	
	W101	19/311	8.2	1116	130	40.6	68.0	16.9	0.05	0.004	0.004	2.5	47.2	57.6	167	1.330	356	0.40	53.6	941	
Deep wells	BH3	19/313	8.0	726	121	33.4	25.5	6.9	0.05	0.006	0.071	3.8	7.9	19.5	7.2	0.001	433	0.54	51.9	710	
	BH14	19/312	7.6	769	133	27.7	24.5	6.0	0.05	0.005	0.002	4.6	8.8	21.8	9.1	0.004	457	0.65	48.1	742	
	BH28	19/359	7.5	606	105	21.9	7.9	1.6	0.03	0.000	0.000	7.8	5.7	7.3	0.0	0.054	310	0.53	40.4	508	

662

663 **Acknowledgments**

664 The authors thank the Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology (COSTECH) and
665 Tanzania National Parks Authority (TANAPA) for providing research permits. Also, the
666 authors thank Laura Segers and Stefanie Rombaut for their assistance during fieldworks.
667 Thanks to Martine Leermakers and Natacha Brion (AMGC, Vrije Universiteit Brussel) for their
668 help with chemical analysis of some water samples. Ines Tomašek acknowledges the support
669 received from the VUB Strategic Research Program (SRP) and the Agence Nationale de la
670 Recherche of the French government through the program “Investissements d’Avenir” (16-

671 IDEX-0001 CAP 20-25). Karen Fontijn acknowledges support from F.R.S.-FNRS MIS grant
672 F.4515.20. We also thank the two anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful comments that
673 greatly improved this manuscript. Findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the
674 authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of VLIR-UOS.

675 **Funding**

676 This research was funded by the Flemish Interuniversity Council - University Development
677 Cooperation (VLIR-UOS) in the framework of project TZ2017TEA450A105 ‘Optimizing the
678 valorization of water and rock resources for improved livelihoods in the Arusha volcanic
679 region’.

680 **References**

- 681 American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA),
682 Water Environment Federation (WEF), 2017. Standard methods for the examination of
683 water and wastewater, 23rd edition. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.
- 684 Bennett, G., Van Reybrouck, J., Shemsanga, C., Kisaka, M., Tomašek, I., Fontijn, K., Kervyn,
685 M., Walraevens, K., 2021. Hydrochemical characterisation of high-fluoride groundwater
686 and development of a conceptual groundwater flow model using a combined
687 hydrogeological and hydrochemical approach on an active volcano: Mount Meru,
688 Northern Tanzania. *Water*, 13 (16), 2159. doi: [10.3390/w13162159](https://doi.org/10.3390/w13162159).
- 689 Chacha, N., Njau, K.N., Lugomela, G.V., Muzuka, A.N.N., 2018. Hydrogeochemical
690 characteristics and spatial distribution of groundwater quality in Arusha well fields,
691 Northern Tanzania. *Applied Water Science*, 8(4), 118. doi: [10.1007/s13201-018-0760-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-018-0760-4).
- 692 Coetsiers, M., Kilonzo, F., Walraevens, K., 2008. Hydrochemistry and source of high fluoride
693 in groundwater of the Nairobi area, Kenya / Hydrochimie et origine des fortes
694 concentrations en fluorure dans l'eau souterraine de la région de Nairobi, au Kenya.
695 *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 53(6), 1230–1240. doi: [10.1623/hysj.53.6.1230](https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.53.6.1230).
- 696 Delcamp, A., Kervyn, M., Benbakkar, M., Kwelwa, S., Peter, D., 2017. Large volcanic
697 landslide and debris avalanche deposit at Meru, Tanzania. *Landslides*, 14(3), 833–847.
698 doi: [10.1007/s10346-016-0757-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-016-0757-8).
- 699 Ghiglieri, G., Pittalis, D., Cerri, G., Oggiano, G., 2012. Hydrogeology and hydrogeochemistry
700 of an alkaline volcanic area: the NE Mt. Meru slope (East African Rift – Northern
701 Tanzania). *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16(2), 529–541. doi: [10.5194/hess-16-
702 529-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-529-2012).

703 Hu, S., Luo, T., Jing, C., 2013. Principal component analysis of fluoride geochemistry of
704 groundwater in Shanxi and Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*,
705 135, 124–129. doi: [10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.08.013).

706 Ijumulana, J., Ligate, F., Bhattacharya, P., Mtalo, F., Zhang, C., 2020. Spatial analysis and GIS
707 mapping of regional hotspots and potential health risk of fluoride concentrations in
708 groundwater of northern Tanzania. *Science of The Total Environment*, 735, 139584. doi:
709 [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139584](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139584).

710 Ingebritsen, S.E., Geiger, S., Hurwitz, S., Driesner, T., 2010. Numerical simulation of
711 magmatic hydrothermal systems. *Review of Geophysics*, 48(1), 1–33. doi:
712 [10.1029/2009RG000287](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009RG000287).

713 Jasim, A., Hemmings, B., Mayer, K., Scheu, B., 2018. Groundwater flow and volcanic unrest.
714 In Gottsmann, J., Neuberg, J., Scheu, B. (Eds.), *Volcanic unrest. Advances in volcanology*.
715 Springer, Cham. doi: [10.1007/11157_2018_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/11157_2018_33).

716 Kilham, P., Hecky, R.E., 1973. Fluoride: Geochemical and ecological significance in East
717 African waters and sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 18(6), 932–956. doi:
718 [10.4319/lo.1973.18.6.0932](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1973.18.6.0932).

719 Kitalika, A.J., Machunda, R.L., Komakech, H.C., Njau, K.N., 2018. Fluoride variations in
720 rivers on the slopes of Mount Meru in Tanzania. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2018, 7140902.
721 doi: [10.1155/2018/7140902](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7140902).

722 Kumar, P., Singh, C.K., Saraswat, C., Mishra, B., Sharma, T., 2017. Evaluation of aqueous
723 geochemistry of fluoride enriched groundwater: A case study of the Patan district, Gujarat,
724 Western India. *Water Science*, 31(2), 215–229. doi: [10.1016/j.wsj.2017.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsj.2017.05.002).

725 Luo, W., Gao, X., Zhang, X., 2018. Geochemical processes controlling the groundwater
726 chemistry and fluoride contamination in the Yuncheng Basin, China – An area with
727 complex hydrogeochemical conditions. *PLoS ONE*, 13(7), e0199082. doi:
728 [10.1371/journal.pone.0199082](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199082).

729 Makoba, E., Muzuka, A.N.N., 2019. Water quality and hydrogeochemical characteristics
730 of groundwater around Mt. Meru, Northern Tanzania. *Applied Water Science*, 9(5), 120.
731 doi: [10.1007/s13201-019-0955-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-0955-3).

732 Mapsland, 2021. Large topographical map of Tanzania. Accessed 16-07-2021 from
733 <https://www.mapsland.com/africa/tanzania/large-topographical-map-of-tanzania>.

734 Nanyaro, J.T., Aswathanarayana, U., Mungure, J.S., Lahermo, P.W., 1984. A geochemical
735 model for the abnormal fluoride concentrations in waters in parts of northern Tanzania.
736 *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 2(2), 129–140. doi: [10.1016/S0731-7247\(84\)80007-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7247(84)80007-5).

737 Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J., 2013. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC
738 version 3 – A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport,
739 and inverse geochemical calculations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods,
740 book 6, chap. A43, 497 p. Accessed 29-09-2019 from <http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/06/a43>.

741 Sawyer, G.M., Carn, S.A., Tsanev, V.I., Oppenheimer, C., Burton, M., 2008. Investigation into
742 magma degassing at Nyiragongo volcano, Democratic Republic of the Congo.
743 *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 9 (2), American Geophysical Union and
744 Geochemical Society. doi:[10.1029/2007GC001829](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001829).

745 Scoon, R.N., 2018. Arusha national park (Mount Meru). In: *Geology of national parks of*
746 *central/southern Kenya and Northern Tanzania*. Springer, Cham. pp. 141–154. doi:
747 [10.1007/978-3-319-73785-0_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73785-0_13).

748 Tanzania Bureau of Standards, 2008. TZS 789:2008: Drinking (potable) water – Specification.
749 Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

750 Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics, 2013. 2012 population and housing census: Population
751 distribution by administrative areas. Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics, Dar es
752 Salaam. Accessed 26-11-2020 from
753 https://www.nbs.go.tz/nbs/takwimu/census2012/Census_General_Report.zip.

754 Tomašek, I., Mouri, H., Dille, A., Bennett, G., Bhattacharya, P., Brion, N., Elskens, M.,
755 Fontijn, K., Gao, Y., Gevera, P.K., Ijumulana, J., Kisaka, M., Leermakers, M., Shemsanga,
756 C., Walraevens, K., Wragg, J., Kervyn, M., 2022. Naturally occurring potentially toxic
757 elements in groundwater from the volcanic landscape around Mount Meru, Arusha,
758 Tanzania and their potential health hazard. *Science of The Total Environment*, 807(1),
759 150487. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150487](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150487).

760 Tóth, J., 1963. A theoretical analysis of groundwater flow in small drainage basins. *Journal of*
761 *Geophysical Research*, 68 (16), 4795–4812. doi: [10.1029/JZ068i016p04795](https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ068i016p04795).

762 Tóth, J., 1999. Groundwater as a geologic agent: An overview of the causes, processes, and
763 manifestations. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 7, 1–14. doi: [10.1007/s100400050176](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100400050176).

764 Walraevens, K., Bakundukize, C., Mtoni, Y.E., Van Camp, M., 2018. Understanding the
765 hydrogeochemical evolution of groundwater in Precambrian basement aquifers: A case
766 study of Bugesera region in Burundi. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 188, 24-42.
767 doi: [10.1016/j.gexplo.2018.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2018.01.003).

768 Wilkinson, P., Downie, C., Cattermole, P.J., Mitchell, J.G., 1983. Arusha, Geological Survey
769 of Tanzania, Quarter degree sheet 55. Accessed 15-06-2017 from
770 https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/file/isric/fulltext/isricu_i33425_001.pdf.

771 World Health Organization (WHO), 2017. Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition
772 incorporating the first addendum. Geneva, World Health Organization. Accessed 12-02-
773 2020 from [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254637/9789241549950-](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254637/9789241549950-eng.pdf?sequence=1)
774 [eng.pdf?sequence=1](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254637/9789241549950-eng.pdf?sequence=1).

775 Wu, J., Li, P., Qian, H., 2015. Hydrochemical characterization of drinking groundwater with
776 special reference to fluoride in an arid area of China and the control of aquifer leakage on
777 its concentrations. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 73(12), 8575–8588. doi:
778 [10.1007/s12665-015-4018-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4018-2).

779