

A fast, single column extraction chromatography method for the isolation of neodymium from iron-rich materials prior to radiogenic isotope ratio measurements

Abdelmouhcine Gannoun, Krzysztof Suchorski, Christian Pin

► To cite this version:

Abdelmouhcine Gannoun, Krzysztof Suchorski, Christian Pin. A fast, single column extraction chromatography method for the isolation of neodymium from iron-rich materials prior to radiogenic isotope ratio measurements. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 2022, 37 (1), pp.165-171. 10.1039/d1ja00397f. hal-03516508

HAL Id: hal-03516508 https://uca.hal.science/hal-03516508

Submitted on 7 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. GANNOUN, K. SUCHORSK and C. Pin, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2022, DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/jaas

2	
3 4	1
5 6 7	2
8 9 10	3
11 12	4
13 14 15	5
16 17	6
18 19	7
20 21	8
23 23 23	9 10
25 26	11 12
27 28 8	13
<u>ම</u> ී9 දුි0	14
ສ1 ເງິ	15
නු3 මූ4	16
ືສ5 ສີ6	17
° द्व7 438	18
39 40	19
41 42	20
42	21
44 45	22
46 47	23
48 49	24
50 51	25
52	26
53 54	27
55 56	28
57 58	29
59 60	30

A fast, single column extraction chromatography method .1039/D1JA00397F for the isolation of Neodymium from iron-rich materials prior to radiogenic isotope ratio measurements

Abdelmouhcine Gannoun^a, Krzysztof Suchorski^a and Christian Pin^b

9 ^aCNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, UMR 6524, Université Clermont Auvergne, F-63000, 0 Clermont-Ferrand, France. E-mail: mouhcine.gannoun@uca.fr

1 ^bLaboratoire de Géochimie ISotopique environnementale (GIS), Université de Nîmes, 150 rue Georges Besse, 2 30035 NIMES CEDEX 1, France

A novel separation method is described for the separation of Nd from Fe-rich, silicate samples 6 7 in view of isotopic analyses. The procedure is based on the synergistic enhancement of the 8 extraction of the REE from HCI media by the diglycolamide ligand in the presence of large amounts of Fe (III). Following sample decomposition with a mixture of HF and HCl, the 9 0 resulting, ca. 4M HCl solution is immediately loaded on a column of DGA resin, without 1 evaporation of HF and volatilization of silicon. Both Fe(III) and the REE are extracted by the 2 resin while most other elements pass through the column. Then, iron is stripped from the 3 column with 3M HNO₃. Finally, the LREE are eluted sequentially by means of HCl of decreasing strength, thereby providing a Nd fraction suitable for isotope ratio measurements by MC-ICP-4 5 MS. Because it does not require evaporation steps, this method offers a robust, straightforward alternative to more complicated schemes when samples unusually rich in iron 6 are to be analysed. The potential of this protocol is demonstrated by several replicate analyses 7 8 of two Fe-rich international SRMs, namely the banded iron IF-G (56 wt% Fe₂O₃) and the bauxite 9 BX-N (23% Fe₂0₃).

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

urnal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Bublished out 9. December 2021 Bowd onder on 1.240/2024.7:46:59 AM

31 Introduction

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F

The overall composition of magmatic silicate rocks primary constitutive of the continental and oceanic crusts and of the underlying upper mantle involves some ten, so-called major elements (Si, Al, Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Ti, Mn), whose relative proportions span a relatively narrow range reflecting the rather limited fractionation of these elements during igneous processes.

In contrast with these lithologies formed at high temperatures, some sedimentary rocks offer
 much more extreme compositions, characterized by the overwhelming proportion of a few
 elements only.

This is the case of two peculiar classes of sedimentary rocks that are highly enriched in iron, namely banded iron ores, formed via selective chemical precipitation of silica and iron hydroxides in the oceans, on the one hand, and laterites, left as residues of severe continental alteration processes, on the other.

(i) Banded iron ores, or banded iron formations (BIF), are chemical deposits generally formed in relatively deep-water settings, consisting of alternating layers of chert (amorphous silica) and iron oxides (magnetite and hematite).¹ These peculiar sediments, mostly restricted to the end of Archaean times (2800-2400 Ma), form the main deposits of iron worldwide. Besides their economic interest, they receive much academic attention because they are interpreted to reflect the precipitation of Fe(III), insoluble at near neutral pH value in the presence of even traces of dissolved oxygen, from Fe(II) dissolved in the ocean waters ² (and refs therein). Accordingly, they provide an important piece of information on the redox state of the ocean and atmosphere, as suggested by the observation that the peak of their deposition shortly preceded the first rise in atmospheric oxygen, the so-called « Great Oxidation Event », ca. 2.4 Ga ago.³

Laterites are brick-like rocks, passively enriched in those major elements forming
insoluble hydroxides, Fe and Al, through severe leaching of all accompanying, soluble
constituants, under hot and wet tropical climates (e.g., ⁴ and references therein). Laterites can
be the products of advanced chemical weathering of a wide range of parent rocks, and these
residual lithologies occupy about one third of the surface of the continents.⁵ Their Al₂O₃-rich
end-member, bauxites, are of great economic importance as the major source of aluminum.

Bublished out 9. December 2021 Bowd onder on 1.240/2024.7:46:59 AM

 Likewise, some 70% of land-based nickel resources are contained in laterites developed from the DOI:10.1039/DIJA00397F
 ultramafic source rocks.⁶

Just as Fe(III) and AI, the trivalent lanthanide form insoluble hydroxides in natural waters under most circumstances, and they can be used to study the petrogenetic processes involved in the formation of iron-rich sediments. Among them, Nd is of special importance because its radiogenic isotope composition can be used as a proxy for tracing the provenance of Fe and, for example in the case of BIFs, discriminating models advocating a continental source against those favouring an origin at hydrothermal vents of mid-ocean ridges. It is thus interesting to develop straightforward separation schemes enabling the analyst to recover the REE from such chemically distinct matrices. In this scope, it was decided to develop a method exploiting a unique synergistic effect discovered by Horwitz and coworkers,⁷ namely, the strong enhancement in the uptake of trivalent REE from chloride media by a diglycolamide-based extraction chromatography resin in the presence of high levels of ferric ion.

The aim of this paper is to describe a new protocol based on this effect, and document its
 potential for the Nd isotope analyses of this peculiar class of geological samples.

76 Experimental

77 Chemicals, labware, extraction chromatographic materials, and instrumentation

All chemical handlings were made under Class 10 vertical laminar flow hoods in a laboratory supplied with an overpressure of filtered air, at a temperature of 20°C.

Water was deionized with conventional ion-exchange resins and further purified to a resistivity of 18.20 MOhm cm with an Aqua System Distribution (Bondoufle, France) device. Reagent grade hydrofluoric, hydrochloric, and nitric acids (Fluka, Seelze, Germany) were purified by subboiling distillation in PFA DST-100 systems (Savillex, Eden Prairie, MN, USA). Ammonium thiocyanate (Honeywell Fluka) was used as a colorimetric agent to monitor the behaviour of iron during the separation and check that it was reduced to negligible level before starting the elution of the lanthanides.

urnal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscrip

Screw cap PFA vessels with a rounded bottom (15 ml capacity, Savillex, Minnetonka, USA) Averagine
 used to decompose the samples on a hot plate.

The DGA resin was obtained from Triskem International (Bruz, France). A quadrupole-base ICP-MS (Model 7500, Agilent Technologies, Massy, France) was used in the semi-quantitative mode to set up the separation protocol, and for measuring the concentrations of the Rare Earth Elements in the studied samples, while a Neptune Plus multi-collector ICP-MS (Thermo Instruments, Bremen, Germany) was used for the measurements of Nd isotope ratios.

96 Chromatographic columns

97 Aliquots of 500 mg of DGA resin were slurried in 0.05M HNO₃ and poured into *ca.* 7.5 mm i.d. 98 polystyrene columns fitted with a 15-45 μ m polyethylene filter at the bottom (5-inch 99 chromatography columns, Caplugs Evergreen, Buffalo, N.Y., U.S.A.), giving a *ca.* 31 mm high resin 100 bed. An additional frit was placed on top of the resin to ensure a flat upper surface of the resin 101 bed.

103 Chemical procedure

104 Sample digestion (for 100-200 mg)

About 100- mg of iron-rich, BIF or lateritic sample is weighted on a piece of weighting paper, and gently poured into a 15 ml screw cap PFA vessel containing 4 ml of 6M HCl. The mixture is thoroughly mixed 15 minutes in an ultrasonic bath. Then, 2 ml of 48% HF is added, and the closed vessel placed on a the hot plate at ~110°C overnight. The next day, the still warm sample solution is transferred to a centrifuge tube with an additional fraction of 1 ml 4M HCl to rinse the PFA dissolution vessel, and centrifuged 5 minutes at 4000 rpm. Because such samples do not contain appreciable amount of Ca and Mg, a clear HF-HCl solution should be obtained at this stage, without precipitate of sparingly soluble fluorides of alkali earth elements. In case a solid residue of refractory minerals (e.g. zircon) is observed, this should be isolated,

transferred to a steel jacketed, Teflon high pressure vessel for being decomposed with Her Africe Online
 elevated temperature, and the resulting liquid added to the main sample solution.

117 Separation chemistry:

The column of DGA resin is first preconditionned with one column reservoir (ca. 6 ml) of 4M HCl. Then, the centrifuged HF-HCl sample solution, roughly 4M in HCl, is loaded onto the resin, which takes a brown colour and is rather soon saturated in Fe(III), as indicated by the bright yellow colour of the effluent. The REE are extracted at that stage. Following loading, the column is rinsed with 2 x 1 ml of 4M HCl to get rid of the interstitial sample solution. Then, the eluant is switched to nitric acid in order to strip iron while leaving the REE strongly held by the column. The decrease of Fe (III) to a negligible level is verified using an ammonium thiocyanate colorimetric test carried out on a few µl of each successive fraction, until the dark red colour gives way to a very pale pink. Four fractions of 1 ml of 3M HNO₃ are usually sufficient to get rid of iron, but a twice larger volume can be passed through the column without causing any breakthrough of the light lanthanides. Then, the eluant is switched back to the hydrochloric medium to start the sequential elution of the REE. After 1 ml of 3M HCl to effect the acid transition, a pre-Nd fraction containing essentially all La and Ce, and a large part of Pr is eluted with 5 ml of 2M HCl. Then, a Nd cut suitable for MC-ICP-MS isotope ratio analyses is obtained with 4 ml of 1.25M HCl. If required, Sm can be recovered in a further fraction of 5 ml of 0.70 M HCl. Next, the heavier lanthanides (from Eu to Lu) are stripped as a group with one column reservoir (~6 ml) of very dilute, 0.05M HCl. Finally, the column is washed with one reservoir of 0.1M HCl-0.29M HF followed by one further reservoir of 0.05M HCl. This protocol is outlined in Table 1 and displayed in Figure 1. The total duration of the separation process is about 5 hours.

50 138

 139 Mass spectrometry

After evaporation to dryness to get rid of HCl, the Nd fraction was dissolved in an appropriate
volume of 0.05M HNO₃ prior to isotope ratios analysis by MC-ICP-MS. The operating
parameters of the Neptune Plus instrument are detailed in Table 2.

Results

₩**2**0

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F

Two international SRMs, representative of the iron-rich matrices for which the separation procedure was developed, have been used to demonstrate its applicability, namely, IF-G, an Archaean (ca. 3.8 Ga) banded iron from Isua (Greenland), and BX-N, a Cretaceous (ca. 100 Ma) bauxite (Al-rich laterite) from Brignoles (France). Both geostandards were prepared by, and are available from SARM-CRPG (Nancy, France). Their recommended major element composition and Nd concentration are given in Table 3, along with abundances measured in this work. Interestingly, these two SRMs have highly contrasting REE abundances, with Nd contents differing by two orders of magnitude, viz. 1.7 µg g⁻¹ in the chemical precipitate IF-G against 163 µg g⁻¹ in the Al-rich laterite (leaching residue) BX-N. This contrast provides a good means to assess the potential of our separation method on a wide range of Nd/matrix ratios.

155 ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd and ¹⁴⁵Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd isotope ratios

The results of multiple replicate analyses of Nd separated from the two Fe-rich SRMs are listed in Table S1, together with measurements of the Nd isotope standard JNdi-1.14 The raw ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd and ¹⁴⁵Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratios were corrected for the isobaric interference of ¹⁴⁴Sm on ¹⁴⁴Nd and also for instrumental mass bias by normalization to $^{146}Nd/^{144}Nd = 0.7219$ by using an exponential function. It can be seen that the within-run (or internal) precisions of the 143 Nd/ 144 Nd ratios measured for the two rock samples (6 × 10⁻⁶ < 2SE < 10⁻⁵ for IF-G ; 4 × 10⁻⁶ < $2SE < 7 \times 10^{-6}$ for BX-N) do not differ significantly from those achieved for the chemically pure JNdi-1 standard (5 \times 10⁻⁶ < 2SE < 10⁻⁵), thereby demonstrating the efficiency of our single column separation procedure. Likewise, the uncertainty of the grand mean of the replicate analyses is only marginally larger than those of individual measurements, and not much different from that obtained on the pure Nd standard. The efficiency of the separation protocol and the robustness of the correction for the effect of the ¹⁴⁴Sm interference were further checked by preparing several aliquots of the JNdi-1 isotopic standard spiked with variable amounts of Sm in order to encompass the range of Sm/Nd ratio found in natural samples. Half of these aliquots were processed through the DGA column in order to separate Nd from Sm prior to MC-ICP-MS measurements, while the rest of the Sm-Nd mixtures were analysed without further treatment. The ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd were compared with repeat analyses of

Page 7 of 21

the Sm-free JNdi-1 solution as reference. The results listed in table S2 and depicted in Figure 31/10/1400397E show that, whatever the Sm/Nd ratios of the mixtures, the Sm abundance in the Nd separate is reduced by at least a factor of 10³. Also, it can be seen that, within analytical precision, the 143 Nd/ 144 Nd ratios measured on the separated mixtures (0.512089, 2SD = 5 × 10⁻⁶, N=5) do not differ from those measured on the pure JNdi-1 solution (0.512087, 2SD = 7×10^{-6} , N=6) thereby demonstrating the overall adequacy of the interference correction procedure. Finally, the results obtained on mixed Sm-Nd solutions analysed without any mutual separation show that accurate 143 Nd/ 144 Nd data still can be obtained (0.512091, 2SD = 9 × 10⁻⁶, N=4). This further demonstrates that the interference correction of ¹⁴⁴Sm during MC-ICP-MS analyses is extremely robust, a feature already well established, both by in situ laser ablation measurements of accessory minerals (e.g., 16-17) and by analyses of bulk LREE fractions separated from matrix elements (e.g., ¹⁸). The accuracy of our data can be assessed by the good agreement of the values of ¹⁴⁵Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd (constant) measured on the two SRMs and JNdi-1 and, for the radiogenic ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratios, by a comparison with published values on IFG (Fig. 2). As far as we know, there is no Nd isotope data available for BX-N. Overall, these results highlight the good external reproducibility and accuracy of the method, thereby demonstrating its capacity to provide, in a very straightforward way, Nd fractions suitable for MC-ICP-MS isotope ratios determinations.

193 Chemical yields

Due to the small difference of their distribution ratios, the short column used in this work does not allow to resolve the elution peaks of Pr and Nd, thus requiring making a trade-off between the chemical yield of Nd and its purity with regard to this element. However, the Fig. 1 show that about 80-90% of Nd can be recovered in a fraction containing <15 % of Pr and <1% Ce. Although an overwhelming proportion of the REE were extracted by the DGA column under these conditions, the data of Table S3 show that minor amounts (in the 2-10% range) were found in the loading fractions. It is not clear at present whether these determinations are significant or have been biased to spuriously high values due to polyatomic interferences based on the relatively heavy elements Fe and Cl present in large amounts in these fractions.

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

203 However, the data probably document a real leak of REE during the loading steps. In this case ticle Online

the lost fraction would reflect the presence of non extractable species, likely fluorides. Further
work showed that reducing the amount of HF by a factor of two while leaving the sample
weight unchanged (100 mg) allows reducing the early loss of REE to less than 10% bringing a
positive consequence on the Nd recovery (i.e. 90 – 95 %).

208 Procedural blanks

Nd concentrations measured in the three acids used in the sample dissolution and Nd separation step are given in Table 4, along with column and total procedural blanks. It can be concluded that the contamination associated to the method is negligible with respect to the amount of Nd (typically in the $0.2 - 15 \mu g$ range) processed.

214 Discussion

215 Sample decomposition

From an analytical point of view, a critical feature of the iron-rich, silicate sediments object of this study is the near absence of alkali earths from their bulk composition. For this reason, a major problem inherent in any dissolution with hydrofluoric acid is avoided, namely the formation of poorly soluble fluorides of Ca and Mg, and more complex species based on these elements.¹⁹ These precipitates sequester significant amounts of many trace elements of interest, including the REE, and they must be destroyed before subsequent analytical steps, by means of lengthy treatments with other acids, the most efficient of which (H₂SO₄ and $HClO_4$) are not welcome.

No such problem arises with banded iron ores and laterites, essentially composed of silica, and iron oxides, +/- alumina, all soluble in HF-HCl mixtures. As a result, clear sample solutions are achieved, and they could be used without further treatment for isolating the REE from unwanted matrix elements, in so far as a separation procedure operating in HF-HCl mixture is made available.

229 Chemical separation

Page 9 of 21

By virtue of their greatly improved selectivity, chemical separation methods basedewonicle Online extraction chromatography have largely superseded those using cation exchange. ²⁰ One of these methods, based on the ligand tetra-n-octyldiglycolamide (TODGA, hereafter abbreviated to DGA) is particularly attractive in the present case, for several reasons. First, and in contrast with the other widely used EXC resins TRU and RE Spec, operated in nitric media, the DGA resin is able to extract the REE in both HCl and HNO₃. The LN resin, based on the classical HDEHP extractant can also be used in hydrochloric medium, but the distribution ratios of the light lanthanides are relatively small, and decrease drastically with increasing acid strength. Moreover, its selectivity against other several tri- and tetravalent elements, including iron, is relatively poor. On the contrary, the distribution ratios of the REE on the DGA resin increase as a function of increasing acid strength, and as the function of atomic number along the lanthanide series. When the resin is used in nitric acid medium, the extraction of calcium is fairly important, and it is necessary to use concentrated acid to get rid of that element. ²¹ Although Ca is not a source of concern in the specific samples investigated in this work, available distribution data indicate that it is more weakly extracted from HCl medium.²²

However, there is another, much more decisive reason to choose the DGA resin to separate the REE from iron-rich matrices. Indeed, the presence of macro amounts of Fe is not a source of concern but instead actually enhances the extraction. This synergistic effect was discovered by Horwitz et al.⁷ who found that the uptake of lanthanides and trivalent actinides increases by several orders of magnitude when the extractions takes place from HCl containing mg/L quantities of anionic chlorides, including ferric iron. Horwitz et al.⁷ further noticed that the synergistic effect persists, even when the amount of metal chloride exceeds the capacity of the resin. Based on these observations, it was decided to develop a separation scheme specifically adapted to iron-rich silicate rocks.

The elution behaviour of a large spectrum of unwanted major and trace elements is shown in Table S2. It can be seen that ≥ 98 % of the amount of most of them are found in the loading fractions plus initial 4M HCl column rinse. This indicates that these elements (Be, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Zr, Cd, Sn, Cs, Ba, Hf, Pb, Bi and Th), are not extracted by the resin from the HF-HCl mixed medium. Likewise, 92 to 98% of several further trace elements (Na, Mg, Al, Ca, Sc, Ti, Zn, Ge, Nb, Mo, Sb and U) are recovered in the loading fraction, documenting an essentially passive behavior, while few elements such as Ga and TI are significantly retained cle Online DOI: 10.1039/DIJA00397F
 (ca. ≥ 70%).

≩0

The behaviour of iron is particularly noteworthy. As observed visually during the experiment, and can be checked from the results of the four successive loading fractions, iron was extracted, but the column was soon saturated, since about 80% of Fe (III) occur in the three last ml of sample loading. Nevertheless, some 90% of the REE were extracted by the resin. Therefore, the observation of Horwitz et al. that the synergistic effect persists even when the amount of iron chloride exceeds the capacity of the resin is confirmed. It was noticed that Ga and TI, two other elements forming very stable chloride complexes, are also significantly retained. Following the mechanism proposed by Horwitz et al, this is interpreted to reflect the strong co-extraction of their tetrachloro-complexes, in replacement of the Cl⁻ counter ion required for charge neutrality in the Ln-DGA complex. As Fe, these elements were readily released from the resin when the column was rinsed with nitric acid.

It is further observed that, even in the presence of a fairly high concentration of HF, the DGA resin is still able to extract most of the lanthanides from ca. 4M HCl containing large amounts of Fe(III). However, it is most likely that fluoride complexing was responsible for the early loss of some 10-20% of the lanthanides during the column loading step. Whether this lost component reflects extremely fine-grained insoluble compounds (not removed by centrifugation in the absence of alkaline earth-based carriers) or soluble molecular species, not extractable by the diglycolamide ligand, or both, is not clear at present. However, additional experiments show that a twofold decrease of the amount of HF used for dissolution (that is, 1 ml for 0.1 g sample) permits to reduce the early loss of lanthanides to less than 10%. Thus, provided a too large excess of HF is not used for dissolving the samples, the important saving of time inherent in the direct loading of the column with the HCl-HF mixture has a rather limited cost in terms of chemical yield. The next fractions of nitric acid achieve a rapid stripping of the ca. 30 % of iron that were initially extracted, while the REE are still strongly held by virtue of the relatively high (3M) acid strength. At this stage, almost all matrix elements have been removed. For the subsequent steps, hydrochloric acid is used again, because it allows the sequential elution of the LREE to be achieved,²³⁻²⁵ as depicted in Fig. 1. The lanthanides heavier than Nd are still retained on the column, and need more and more dilute HCl to be stripped from the DGA resin. This can be made by using 0.7M HCl for Sm, and then 0.05M HCl

in order to elute as a group the M- and HREE. Finally, washing the column with a dilute HC where the online the column with a dilute HC where the online t mixture strips the rest of the few elements still present on the column, mainly Th. The comparison of the elution profiles (Fig. 1) obtained for the two studied international SRMs, and an additional BIF sample from the Eastern Indian Craton, even richer in iron (BIF-2, 59.3 wt% Fe_2O_3), shows that the position of the elution peaks of the individual LREE is quite stable, thereby demonstrating the robustness of the method in the 20-60 wt% range of total iron as Fe₂O₃. It is further noticed that, in all cases, the Nd peak is well resolved from those of Sm and Ce, while the overlap by the tail of the Pr peak can be reduced to < 10% without important loss of Nd. This is a noteworthy achievement for a single column chromatographic separation.

Using a single column of DGA resin for separating Nd is not new²³⁻²⁵ but, as far as we are aware, our protocol is the first to load the sample onto the column in hydrochloric acid medium, an approach particularly well suited for high-Fe samples. Moreover, in so far as the samples are sufficiently poor in alkaline earth elements, such as the rocks targeted by this study, the HF-HCl solution resulting from the sample decomposition can be directly loaded onto the column, without any further treatment. This feature of our protocol alleviates the need for timeconsuming evaporation to dryness of the dissolved sample and repeated treatments of the residue with nitric acid, thereby making the whole procedure extremely straightforward.

Indeed, following sample weighing and the addition of HCl and HF acids (taking a few minutes
per sample), dissolution is made overnight. After centrifugation of the resulting solution (some
10 minutes), the column separation procedures takes less than 5 hours only. Thus, starting
from the sample powder, 6 to 12 neodymium fractions ready for MC-ICP-MS can be obtained
in less than 24 hours with relatively little analyst time and effort.

314 Conclusion

The aim of this work was to develop a new procedure based on the unique properties of the diglycolamide-based EXC resin (DGA) in hydrochloric acid medium containing large amounts of ferric ion ⁷ and evaluate its potential for geochemical applications, specifically, ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd isotope ratio analyses. The resulting protocol enables the analyst to separate in a very straightforward way the lanthanides from iron-rich silicate materials such as Banded Iron ores

or lateritic samples, and to isolate in a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope Vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope Vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope Vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a Nd fraction suitable for isotope vational and a single step a single measurements by MC-ICP-MS. The method is extremely rapid, by virtue of two major features : (i) the solution obtained after sample dissolution with an HF-HCl mixture is directed to the DGA EXC column for separation without any intervening step, thereby circumventing the time-consuming evaporation and conversion steps inherent in conventional methods; and (ii) the separation is performed by using a single, relatively short column allowing fast, gravity driven flow rates. Besides its obvious interest in terms of analyst labor, the method is very simple, as it involves only three most common minerals acids and does not require a fume hood or any sophisticated piece of equipment. In addition, it is believed that it might be extended rather easily to other radiogenic isotopic systems involving lanthanide elements. Indeed, La and Ce might be recovered ahead of Nd and further purified from each other by using conventional HDEHP or DGA resins, or a combination thereof. Likewise, after evaporation to dryness to remove silicon, the loading + rinse fraction containing Fe (III) and most of the accompanying elements might be used to separate other elements of radiogenic isotope interest by EXC, as described elsewhere.²⁶ Finally, it is suggested that the procedure outlined in this paper might deserve further work to evaluate its potential for processing iron-rich meteorites (siderites, pallasites).

337 Conflicts of interest

338 There are no conflicts to declare

<u>₹</u>0

340 Acknowledgements

We thank Chantal Bosq for preparing high purity acids and Jordan Wright for providing The BIF-2 sample used during the setup of our procedure. We also thank Mhammed Benbakkar for major element analysis on the ICP-AES. We thank three anonymous referees for their perceptive reviews which led to significant improvements of the manuscript and Ziva Whitelock for editorial handling. We thank the Geological Survey of Japan for providing the isotopic standard JNdi-1. This research was financed by the French Government Laboratory of Excellence Initiative n°ANR-10-LABX-0006, the Région Auvergne and the European Regional Development Fund. This is Laboratory of Excellence ClerVolc contribution N° 514.

2 3 4	349	Author contributions View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F
5 6 7	350	A.G. and C.P. designed the analytical procedure, carried out the early tests and isotopic
8	351	measurements on the standard reference materials. K.S. prepared and analysed the trace
9 10	352	element data. A.G. and C.P. wrote the main paper. All authors discussed the results and
11 12	353	commented on the manuscript at all stages.
13 14 15 16	354	
17	355	References
18 10	356	1 A. Trendall, in Encyclopedia of geology, ed. R. Selley, R. Cocks and I. Plimer, Elsevier,
.19 ≷⊉0	357	Academic Press, 2005, 37-42.
~~ g21	358	
	359	2 A. Bekker, N.J. Planavsky, B. Krapez, B. Rasmussen, A. Hofmann, J.F. Slack, O.J. Rouxel, K.O.
723 054	360	Konhauser, in Treatise on Geochemistry, ed. H. Holland and K. Turekian, Elsevier, Netherlands,
25	361	2014, 9 , 561-628.
726	362	
.2 7	363	3 P. Cloud, Economic Geology., 1973, 68, 1135–1143.
3 8	364	
\$0 \$9	365	4 G.J.J. Aleva, Laterite, Concepts, Geology, Morphology, and Chemistry, ISRIC, Netherlands,
ಷ ನೆ1	366	1994.
ି <u>ସ</u> ୍ଥି2	367	
ana 33	368	5 Y. Tardy, Petrology of laterites and tropical soils, Taylor and Francis group, Netherlands,
334 ମିକ୍ଟ	369	1997.
6036	370	
్తి7	371	6 A.D. Dalvi, W.G. Bacon and R.C. Osborne, The past and the future of nickel laterites. PDAC
1918 81918	372	International Convention, Trade Show and Investors Exchange, March 2004, 1-27.
239 ⊿0	373	
41	374	7 E.P. Horwitz , D.R. McAlister and A.H. Thakkar, <i>Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.</i> , 2008, 26 , 12-14.
42	375	
43	376	8 K. Govindaraju, Geostand. Newsletter, 1995, 19 , 55-96.
44 45	3//	
46	378	9 K. Govindaraju, and I. Roelandts, <i>Geostand. Newsletter</i> , 1989, 13 , 5-67.
47	379	10 DWA Alexander M. Dev and D. Anderson, Farth Direct. Col. Latt. 2000. 202, 144 455
48	380	10 B.W. Alexander, M. Bau and P. Andersson, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 2009, 283 , 144-155.
49 50	381	11 C. Viehmann M. Day, D. Dühn E.L. Dantas, F.D.D. Andrada and D.H.C. Walda, Procembrian
51	382	11 S. Vienmann, M. Bau, B. Bunn E.L. Dantas, F.R.D. Andrade and D.H.G. Walde, <i>Precambrian</i>
52	383	Research, 2016, 282 , 74-96.
53	384 205	12 C Caro D Marina S. Maizcia N. L Catas and W. Blacker Earth Blanet Sci. Latt. 2017
54 55	305	12 G. Caro, P. Morino, S.J. Mojzsis, N.L. Cates and W. Bieeker, Eurth Planet. Sci. Lett., 2017,
56	00C 207	
57	20/ 200	13 P. Morina, PhD thesis, University of Larraina, 2017
58	200 200	15 r.ivio(1110, r) D (1123), O(112C) S(Y O) LOTAINE, 2017.
59 60	505	
00		

390	14 T. Tanaka, S. Togashi, H. Kamioka, H. Amakawa, H. Kagami and 14 others, Chem, Geographic Online
391	2000, 168 , 279–281.
392	
393	15 GeoReM Data Base, <u>http://georem.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de</u> .
394	
395 396	16 C.R.M. McFarlane and M.T. McCulloch, Chem. Geol., 2007, 245, 45-60.
390 397	17 C. Fisher, C.R.M. McFarlane, J.M. Hanchar, M.D. Schmitz, P.J. Sylvester, R. Lam and H.P.
398 399	Longerich, Chem. Geol., 2011, 284 , 1-20.
400	18 M E Sánchez-Lorda S García de Madinabeitia. C Pin and LL Gil Ibarguchia. Int. L Mass
400	Snectrom 2013 333 31-13
401	Spectrolli, 2013, 333 , 54 45.
402	197 Šulcek and P. Povondra. Methods of decomposition in inorganic analysis. CBC Press. Boca
404	Raton, Fl, USA, 1989.
405	
406	20 C. Pin and J. Rodriguez, in <i>Treatise on Geochemistry</i> , ed. H. Holland and K. Turekian, Elsevier,
407	Netherlands, 2014, 15 , 147-170.
408	
409	21 A. Pourmand and N. Dauphas, <i>Talanta</i> , 2010, 81 , 741-753.
410	
411	
412	22 E.P. Horwitz, D.R. McAlister, A.H. Bond and R.E. Barrans Jr, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2005, 23,
413	319-344.
414	
415	23 Y. Wang, X. Huang, Y. Sun, S. Zhao and Y. Yue, <i>Anal. Methods</i> , 2017, 9 , 3531-3540.
416	
417	24 A. Retzmann, T. Zimmermann, D. Pröfrock, T. Prohaska and J. Irrgeher, Anal. Bioanal.
418	Chem., 2017, 409 , 5463-5480.
419	
420	25 ZY. Chu, MJ. Wang, CF. Li, YH. Yang, JJ. Xu, W. Wang and JH. Guo, J. Anal. At.
421	Spectrom., 2019, 34 , 2053-2060.
422	
423	26 C. Pin and A. Gannoun, <i>Anal. Chem.,</i> 2017, 89 , 2411-2417.
424	
425	
426	
427	
428	
429	
430	
431	
432	
433	
434	
435	
	 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435

V

1 2		
3	437	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F
4 5	438	
6	439	
7 8	440	Figure captions
9 10	441	
11 12	442	Fig. 1: Elution curves on DGA single column performed for three natural samples. The first two
13	443	are international standard reference materials of bauxite (BX-N) and Banded Iron Formation
14	444	(IF-G). The third is a BIF sample from Eastern Indian Craton (BIF-2). Despite the chemically
16 17	445	distinct matrices between these samples, the recovery of REE is reproducible within the given
18 19	446	step.
₹0 221	447	
	448	Fig. 2: Nd Isotope composition for each individual digestion of the international reference
24 €25	449	material IF-G and BX-N processed through the protocol described in this study. Single
726	450	measurements are plotted with their standard errors (± 2 S.E) while the average values are
8 8 8 8	451	plotted with their external reproducibility (± 2 S.D). Literature data for IF-G standard are also
₹9 30	452	reported.
ភ្លាំ1 ក្នុំ2	453	

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F

Table 1 Outline of the separation scheme enabling the isolation of purified Nd from iron-rich samples by single column extraction chromatography.

	Reagents	Volume (mL)
DGA (500 mg)		
Pre-conditionning	4 M HCl	6
Sample loading	4 M HCl	2 × 3.5
Column rinse	4 M HCl	2 × 1
Iron stripping	3 M HNO ₃	4 × 1
Elution of LREE	3 M HCl	1
	2 M HCl	5×1
Elution of Nd	1.25 M HCI	4 × 1
(Elution of Sm, optional)	0.70 M HCl	4×1 5×1
Elution of HREE	0.05 M HCl	5 × 1
Cleaning before storage	0.1 M HCl - 0.29 M HF	6
	0.05 M HCl	6

View Article Or	nline
DOI: 10.1039/D1JA003	97F

Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1 ³⁴ Nd 1 ³⁴ Nd <td< th=""><th>Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 L.min⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample one Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14³Nd 14⁶Nd 14⁷Sm 14⁸Nd 14⁶SNd 14⁸SNd <td< th=""><th>Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) Rf power 1200 W Resistors 10¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1⁴³Nd 14⁴Nd 14⁶Nd 14⁶Nd</th><th></th><th>Analysis</th><th>Nd isotope</th><th>s</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<></th></td<>	Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 L.min ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample one Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁷ Sm 14 ⁸ Nd 14 ⁶ SNd 14 ⁸ SNd <td< th=""><th>Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) Rf power 1200 W Resistors 10¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1⁴³Nd 14⁴Nd 14⁶Nd 14⁶Nd</th><th></th><th>Analysis</th><th>Nd isotope</th><th>s</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Laboratory Magmas et Volcans MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) Rf power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1 ⁴³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd		Analysis	Nd isotope	s					
MC-1CP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 L.min ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 μL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd <t< td=""><td>MC-iCP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1²³Nd 1²⁴Nd 1²⁴Nd 1²⁴SMd 1²⁴</td><td>MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 146Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15 463 145Nd 146Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd</td><td></td><td>Laboratory</td><td>Magmas et</td><td>Volcans</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	MC-iCP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1 ²³ Nd 1 ²⁴ Nd 1 ²⁴ Nd 1 ²⁴ SMd 1 ²⁴	MC-ICP-MS model Thermo Neptune Plus Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 146Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15 463 145Nd 146Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd		Laboratory	Magmas et	Volcans					
Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁸ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁸ Nd	Plasma condition Wet (cyclonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁸ Nd 1	Plasma condition Wet (ççlonic spray chamber) RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ 0hms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14		MC-ICP-MS model	Thermo Ne	eptune Pl	us				
RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample optake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 155	RF power 1200 W Resistors 1011 Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample optake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 146Nd 155	RF power 1200 W Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample optake 100 µLmin ⁻¹ Sample cone Katic multi-collection Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁶		Plasma condition	Wet (cyclo	nic spray	chamber)			
Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone 100 μLmin ⁻¹ Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 155	Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 135 463	Resistors 10 ¹¹ Ohms Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample cone 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁷ Sm 14 ⁸ Nd 15 462 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁷ Sm 14 ⁸ Nd 15		RF power	1200 W						
Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 L.min ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 F Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 15 ⁴ Nd 15 ⁴ Nd 463 1463 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 15 ⁴ Nd 1	Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µLmin ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 1443Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 155	Resolution Low (M/ΔM ~ 400) Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H 4623 1433Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15		Resistors	10 ¹¹ Ohms						
Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 F Mass collected 143 Nd 144 Nd 145 Nd 146 Nd 157 462 463 A63 A63 A63	Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µLmin ⁻¹ Sample cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 148Nd 150	Cool gas flow (Ar) 15 Lmin ⁻¹ Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 Lmin ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143 Nd 144 Nd 145 Nd 146 Nd 147 Sm 148 Nd 15 463 463 145 Nd 146 Nd 147 Sm 148 Nd 15		Resolution	Low (M/ΔN	л ~ 400)					
Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁵ Nd <td>Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 Mass collected 14³Nd 14⁴Nd 14⁶Nd 14⁴SNd 14⁴SNd</td> <td>Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15</td> <td></td> <td>Cool gas flow (Ar)</td> <td>15 L.min⁻¹</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 Mass collected 14 ³ Nd 14 ⁴ Nd 14 ⁶ Nd 14 ⁴ SNd	Auxiliary gas (Ar) 0.7 - 0.8 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15		Cool gas flow (Ar)	15 L.min ⁻¹						
Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 μL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 156	Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 μL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 Mass collected 143 Nd 144 Nd 145 Nd 146 Nd 147 Sm 148 Nd 150	Sample gas (Ar) 0.9 - 1.1 L.min ⁻¹ (optimised daily) Sample uptake 100 μL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15		Auxiliary gas (Ar)	0.7 - 0.8 L.r	min⁻¹ (op	timised d	aily)			
Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵⁶	Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 147Sm 148Nd 156 462	Sample uptake 100 µL.min ⁻¹ Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵⁵ 463		Sample gas (Ar)	0.9 - 1.1 L.r	min⁻¹ (op	timised d	aily)			
Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 156 462	Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462	Sample cone Standard Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15 462		Sample uptake	100 μL.min	I ⁻¹					
Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462	Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462	Skimmer cone H Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15 462		Sample cone	Standard						
Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 155 463	Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 463	Scanning mode Static multi-collection Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 15 462		Skimmer cone	н						
Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 F <u>Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150</u> 462 463	Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H <u>Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 155</u> 462 463	Integration time 8.4 s Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵⁵ 462 463		Scanning mode	Static mult	i-collecti	on				
Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462 463 463 146 146 147 148 146	Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵⁰ 462 463	Number of cycles 60 Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 1448Nd 157 462 463 463 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 157		Integration time	8.4 s						
Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462 463 145 146	Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462 463 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150	Number of blocks 1 Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 157 462 463 145Nd 146Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 157		Number of cycles	60						
Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 F Mass collected 143Nd 144Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150 462 463 145Nd 145Nd 146Nd 147Sm 148Nd 150	Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵⁰ 462 463	Cup configuration L2 L1 C H1 H2 H3 H Mass collected ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵ 462 463		Number of blocks	1						
462 463	462 463	<u>Mass collected</u> ¹⁴³ Nd ¹⁴⁴ Nd ¹⁴⁵ Nd ¹⁴⁶ Nd ¹⁴⁷ Sm ¹⁴⁸ Nd ¹⁵ 462 463		Cup configuration	L2	L1	С	H1	H2	H3	H
462 463	462 463	462 463		Mass collected	¹⁴³ Nd	¹⁴⁴ Nd	¹⁴⁵ Nd	¹⁴⁶ Nd	¹⁴⁷ Sm	¹⁴⁸ Nd	150
463	463	463	462								
463	463	463									
			463								

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

 464
 Table 3 Major and some REE trace element abundances in the standard reference materials investigated during this study (IF-G and BX-N) by ICP-AES and ICP-QMS, respectively

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F

	IF-G (Iron For	on Formation) BX-N (Bauxite)						
(Wt.%)	This study	Number	Ref. ⁸	Number	This study	Number	Ref. ⁹	Number
SiO2	40.15 ± 0.05	3	41.20 ± 0.67	81	7.11 ± 0.06	3	7.40 ± 0.46	37
Al ₂ O ₃	0.34 ± 0.03	3	0.15 ± 0.09	76	53.38 ± 0.02	3	54.21 ± 1.16	34
Fe ₂ O _{3T}	54.98 ± 0.17	3	55.85 ± 0.99	79	23.22 ± 0.08	3	23.2 ± 1.0	11
MgO	2.23 ± 0.02	3	1.89 ± 0.16	84	0.28 ± 0.01	3	0.11 ± 0.08	29
CaO	1.47 ± 0.03	3	1.55 ± 0.15	83	0.04 ± 0.02	3	0.17 ± 0.14	34
(ppm)								
La	2.66 ± 0.86	5	2.8 ± 0.6	24	353 ± 2	5	355 ± 76	12
Ce	3.9 ± 1.1	5	4.0 ± 0.8	16	544 ± 12	5	520 ± 43	13
Nd	1.7 ± 0.2	5	1.8 ± 0.2	15	163 ± 2	5	163 ± 31	7
Sm	0.38 ± 0.01	5	0.4 ± 0.1	19	22.1 ± 0.2	5	22.0 ± 3.4	8
Yb	0.58 ± 0.01	5	0.6 ± 0.3	22	11.0 ± 0.1	5	11.6 ± 2.1	8

			Reagent	blanks (pg.mL ⁻¹		Used column blank	Total procedural blank	
		29M HF	6M HCI	14M HNO ₃	H₂O (18.2 MΩ cm)	(pg)	(pg)	
	Range	0.3 - 0.7	0.2 - 0.4	0.4 - 0.9	0.01 - 0.04	5 - 12	17 - 32	
	Mean ± 1SD	0.4 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.2	0.02 ± 0.02	9 ± 3	25 ± 6	
	Number	3	2	3	4	5	7	
169								
70								
,71								



View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D1JA00397F



20

Figure 1

