

Measurement of S100B protein: evaluation of a new prototype on a bioMérieux Vidas® 3 analyzer.

Charlotte Oris, Russel Chabanne, Julie Durif, Samy Kahouadji, Marina

Brailova, Vincent Sapin, Damien Bouvier

▶ To cite this version:

Charlotte Oris, Russel Chabanne, Julie Durif, Samy Kahouadji, Marina Brailova, et al.. Measurement of S100B protein: evaluation of a new prototype on a bioMérieux Vidas® 3 analyzer.. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 2019, 57 (8), pp.1177-1184. 10.1515/cclm-2018-1217 . hal-03023298

HAL Id: hal-03023298 https://uca.hal.science/hal-03023298

Submitted on 3 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Measurement of S100B protein:
2	evaluation of a new prototype on a bioMérieux Vidas [®] 3 analyzer
3	Charlotte Oris ¹ , Russel Chabanne ² , Julie Durif ¹ , Samy Kahouadji ¹ , Marina Brailova ¹ ,
4	Vincent Sapin ^{1,3} , Damien Bouvier ^{1,3}
5	
6	Affiliations:
7	¹ Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Department, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-
8	Ferrand, France; ² Department of Perioperative Medicine, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-
9	Ferrand, France; ³ Clermont Auvergne University, CNRS, INSERM, GReD, Clermont-
10	Ferrand, France.
11	
12	Short title: Evaluation of a new S100B assay.
13	
14	Corresponding author: Damien Bouvier (MD-PhD), Service de Biochimie Médicale, Centre
15	de Biologie, CHU Gabriel Montpied, 58 Rue Montalembert, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
16	Tel.: + 33 4 73 75 48 82 / Fax: + 33 4 73 75 18 55 / Email: <u>dbouvier@chu-clermontferrand.fr.</u>
17	
18	Word count: 2933.
19	
20	Number of tables and figures: 9.
21	

1 Abstract

Background: The addition of S100B protein to guidelines for the management of mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) decreases the amount of unnecessary computed tomography scans with a significant decrease in radiation exposure and an increase in cost savings. Both DiaSorin and Roche Diagnostics have developed automated assays for S100B determination. Recently, bioMérieux developed a prototype immunoassay for serum S100B determination. For the first time, we present the evaluation of the S100B measurement using a bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 analyzer.

9 **Methods:** We evaluated the matrix effects of serum and plasma, and their stability after 10 storage at 2-8°C, -20°C, and -80°C. The new measurement prototype (bioMérieux) was 11 compared with an established one (Roche Diagnostics), and a precision study was also 12 conducted. Lastly, clinical diagnostics performance of the bioMérieux and Roche Diagnostics 13 methods were compared for 80 patients referred to the Emergency Department for mTBI.

14 **Results:** Stability after storage at 2-8°C, -20°C, and -80°C and validation of the serum matrix 15 were demonstrated. The bioMérieux analyzer was compared to the Roche Diagnostics system, 16 and the analytical precision was found to be efficient. Clinical diagnosis performance 17 evaluation confirmed the predictive negative value of S100B in the management of mTBI.

18 Conclusions: The study's data are useful for interpreting serum S100B results on a
19 bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 analyzer.

20 Keywords: S100B, Cobas, Vidas, sample stability, assay comparison

1	List of abbreviations:
2	CT: Computed Tomography
3	CV: Coefficient of Variation
4	ED: Emergency Department
5	GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale
6	IQR: InterQuartile Range
7	Max: maximum
8	Min: minimum
9	mTBI: mild Traumatic Brain Injury
10	NCCU: Neuro-Critical Care Unit
11	SD: Standard Deviation
12	SFMU: French Society of Emergency Medicine
13	
14	

1. Introduction

2 Computed tomography (CT) is the gold standard diagnostic tool for diagnosing mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). However, the use of CT scans is limited due to radiations risks 3 4 (1-3) and economic implications (4). Moreover, most CT scans could be avoided because 5 only a small number of mTBI patients have intracerebral lesions (5). An alternative strategy to 6 using CT scan has been developed based on blood protein biomarkers of brain damage, and 7 S100B protein has emerged as a candidate biomarker in children and adults (6,7). The 8 addition of S100B detection to the Scandinavian guidelines for the management of mTBI 9 decreased the need for CT scans among adults by one-third, using a cut-off of 0.10 μ g/L (8). 10 S100B protein is one of the calcium binding proteins found in glial cells. It is a small dimeric 11 cytosolic protein (21 kDa) consisting of $\beta\beta$ or $\alpha\beta$ chains. It is involved in a variety of 12 intracellular and extracellular regulatory activities (9,10). Because S100B has a short half-life, 13 a maximal interval of 3 hours is recommended between trauma and blood sampling (11).

14 Both DiaSorin and Roche Diagnostics have developed automated assays for serum 15 S100B protein determination. In comparison to manual assays, automated assays provide 16 better analytical results with regard to precision, linearity, and accuracy, and they seem to be a 17 preferable option for \$100B measurement (12,13). On a pre-analytical level, only one study 18 has evaluated and compared the matrix effect between plasma and serum (14). A few studies 19 have evaluated the stability of S100B after storage at room temperature or at 4°C (15,16). 20 However, the stability in frozen samples has never been clearly identified in the literature. On 21 an analytical level, the precision (12,13) of DiaSorin and Roche Diagnostics S100B assays as 22 well as a comparison (11-13,17,18) of both methods have been reported. With regard to 23 diagnostics clinical performance, it is essential that the performance of S100B assays are 24 comparable in order to reduce the use of CT scans after mTBI.

The clinical utility of S100B protein has attracted new companies that specialize in *in vitro* diagnosis. One company, bioMérieux, has developed an automated prototype for S100B protein assay on a Vidas[®] 3 analyzer. To date, no study has evaluated this new technique. For the first time, we set out to evaluate the pre-analytical (matrix effect of serum and plasma, and their stability at 2-8°C, -20°C, and -80°C), analytical (imprecision and comparison), and diagnostics clinical performance in context of mTBI (CT scans reduction in adults with mTBI) of the bioMérieux S100B prototype assay.

8

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study design and patients

9

10 This study was conducted at the Clermont-Ferrand teaching hospital and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki principles for ethical medical research involving human 11 12 subjects. The project was also approved by the institutional ethic review board of the hospital. 13 Patients and their families were informed of their right to express their disagreement 14 regarding the use of their biological samples. Venous blood samples were taken from 71 15 patients from the Neuro-Critical Care Unit (NCCU) and 80 patients from the Emergency 16 Department (ED). All study subjects were ≥ 18 years old. All patients in NCCU (referred in 17 different contexts as subarachnoid hemorrhage, neurosurgical postoperative ...) benefit from 18 an S100B assay in their first biological assessment and were included only to evaluate the 19 matrix effect of serum and plasma, the stability after storage at 2-8°C, -20°C, -80°C and the 20 comparison between bioMérieux and Roche Diagnostics assays (Table 1). Three groups of S100B ranges of equal sizes were formed: $\leq 0.10 \ \mu g/L$; 0.11 to 0.50 $\mu g/L$; > 0.50 $\mu g/L$ for 21 22 their clinical interest. The concentration of 0.10 µg/L corresponds to the worldly used 23 decision threshold of patients with mTBI (19) whereas concentrations greater than of 0.50 24 μ g/L is relevant for moderate to severe injury (20). Patients from ED were included for the 25 comparison between bioMérieux and Roche assays and the study of clinical diagnostics

performance in context of mTBI (Table 1). Patient samples from the ED were drawn into serum gel separator tubes (tube a). Samples from patients in the NCCU (n = 44) were drawn into tube a, serum separator tubes without gel (tube b), and lithium heparinate gel separator tubes (tube c). For the other 27 patients from the NCCU, the samples were drawn into tube a and tube c.

6 **2.2 S100B assay**

7 Samples were sent to the laboratory for processing within one hour, then they were 8 centrifuged (2200 g, 15 min). S100B concentrations were determined using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay on a Cobas e411[®] analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, 9 Meylan, France) and an automated enzyme-linked fluorescence assay on a Vidas[®] 3 analyzer 10 11 (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). The lower limit of detection is 0.005 µg/L for the Roche 12 Diagnostics assay and 0.012 μ g/L for the bioMérieux assay. The test result is available in 18 13 minutes for the Roche Diagnostics assay and 20 minutes for the bioMérieux assay. Both 14 assays detect S100 dimers that contain S100B (S100BB and S100A1B). For bioMérieux 15 assay, one calibrator was used for every 28-days recalibration. For Roche Diagnostics assay, 2 16 calibrators were used for every 3-months recalibration. For both assays, two control levels 17 were used.

18

2.3 Matrix effect and storage stability

Blood samples from 44 were collected using three different tubes: tube a, tube b, and tube c. The samples were analyzed immediately after centrifugation (T0). Then, the samples were stored 24 h (8 h at room temperature and 16 h at 2-8°C) and analyzed (T24). The samples were again stored at 2-8°C for 24 h and reanalyzed (T48) (Figure 1A).

Blood samples from 71 subjects were collected using two different tubes: tube a and
tube c. The samples were analyzed immediately after centrifugation, and they were then

divided into 5 aliquots to measure the S100B concentration after storage at -20°C (for 1, 3,
and 6 months) and -80°C (for 3 and 9 months) (Figure 1B).

3

2.4 Precision and comparison of the methods

The repeatability (n = 30 in 1 day) and reproducibility (n = 30 over 15 days) were determined for the Vidas[®] 3 analyzer S100B assay using two quality controls (ref #415739) and two laboratory-made serum pools at clinically-relevant decision levels, including pools for the median and highly pathological range within the reference range. For each pool, 30 aliquots were prepared and frozen at -80°C. For the measurements (made twice daily over 15 days), the aliquots were freshly defrosted, centrifuged, and measured.

For comparison, parallel measurements were performed in the 151 serum samples obtained from the patients (80 from the ED and 71 from the NCCU) using both Roche Diagnostics Cobas e411[®] and bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 analyzers.

13

2.5 Clinical diagnostics performance in context of mTBI

14 Eighty patients admitted to the ED with mTBI were enrolled in this study. Inclusion 15 criteria for the use of S100B determination in mTBI management were: ≥ 18 years of age, blood sample draw performed within 3 h after trauma, an mTBI with a medium risk of 16 17 complications (i.e., with a Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] equal to 15 and antiplatelet treatment or loss of consciousness or retrograde amnesia 30 min after injury) (21). Exclusion criteria 18 19 were: an mTBI with a low risk of complications (i.e., an asymptomatic patient without 20 medium- or high-risk criteria and a GCS of 15), an mTBI with a high risk of complications 21 (i.e., a GCS <15 within 2 h post-injury, or focal neurology, post-traumatic convulsion, open 22 skull fracture, embarrassment, signs of skull base fracture, anticoagulant intake, or repeated 23 vomiting) (21).

At the Clermont-Ferrand ED, S100B serum is measured on a Roche Diagnostics Cobas e411[®] assay and integrated into a decision algorithm from the French Society of

1 Emergency Medicine (SFMU) to manage patients with mTBI (21). If the S100B value is 2 <0.10 µg/L, a CT scan is not prescribed; however, a CT scan is recommended if the S100B value is $\geq 0.10 \ \mu g/L$ (21). In our study, serum S100B concentrations were determined using a 3 Roche Diagnostics Cobas e411[®] assay (value communicated to the physician) and 4 immediately measured on a Vidas[®] 3 bioMérieux assay (value not communicated to the 5 6 physician). The same cut-off (0.10 µg/L) was used for both assays. Clinical data were 7 collected for each patient (CT scan prescription or no CT scan prescription, normal CT scan 8 or CT scan with intracerebral lesion).

9 **2.6 Statistics**

10 Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.1.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 11 USA). For quantitative data, normality was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data were 12 presented as median (minimum [min] and maximum [max], interquartile range [IQR]), as it 13 was not normally distributed. Comparisons of the S100B median concentrations (for the 14 matrix effect and stability study) were interpreted using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by 15 the Dunn post-test. A P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. To study the clinical performance for patients admitted to the ED, differences in the positive and 16 negative S100B values between the Roche Diagnostics Cobas e411[®] and the bioMérieux 17 Vidas[®] 3 assays were compared using McNemar's test. Then, a Fisher's test was used to 18 19 compare the sub-categories (CT scan prescription, no CT scan prescription). A comparison of 20 the Roche Diagnostics and bioMérieux methods was performed using linear regression and a 21 Bland-Altman plot. The Bland-Altman plot was also used to compare the serum S100B levels (tube a) and plasma S100B concentrations (tube c). 22

3. Results

24 Patient demographics were summarized in Table 1.

3.1 Matrix effect and storage stability

2	At T0, the S100B median concentrations determined in the tube a samples, the tube b
3	samples, and the tube c samples (plasma) were 0.20 μ g/L (min: 0.03; max: 4.37; EI: 0.08–
4	0.56), 0.20 µg/L (min: 0.03; max: 4.59; EI: 0.08–0.54), and 0.23 µg/L (min: 0.05; max: 4.77;
5	EI: 0.10–0.61), respectively. The difference between these three medians was not statistically
6	significant ($p = 0.49$). However, the Bland-Altman plot showed higher values for the plasma
7	concentrations. The mean of the differences between the tube c samples and the tube a
8	samples was 0.07 μ g/L (Figure 2). Global overestimation of the plasma concentrations was
9	approximately 20%.
10	For samples of tubes a, b, and c, the median concentrations determined at T0, T24, and
11	T48 are summarized in Table 2. No significant difference was identified, between the three

13 (Table 2).

12

No statistically significant difference was found between the median concentrations of
S100B after storage at -20°C (Table 3) or at -80°C (Table 4).

times, for tube a samples (p = 0.83), tube b samples (p = 0.95), and tube c samples (p = 0.56)

16 **3.2 Precision and comparison of the methods**

The coefficients of variation of repeatability and reproducibility were summarized in
Table 5 for quality controls and laboratory-made serum pools. Repeatability was evaluated by
30 repeated measurements of the same sample whereas reproducibility was evaluated by 30
measurements taken over 15 days.

The correlation between the bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 and Roche Diagnostics Cobas e411[®]
methods for the S100B values (n=151) was: r=0.97, slope 1.13, intercept 0.002 (Figure 3A).
The Bland-Altman plot showed a mean difference between the two methods of -0.06 μg/L
(Figure 3B).

3.3 Clinical diagnostics performance in context of mTBI

2 S100B measurement was indicated for the management of each of the 80 patients with mTBI admitted to the ED. The median age was 42 years (min: 18; max: 91; EI: 21 - 65) and 3 4 the sex ratio male/female was 1.58 (Table 1). S100B concentrations were measured using 5 both the Roche Diagnostics and bioMérieux methods. The percentages of negative S100B 6 (<0.10 µg/L) were 40% and 37.5%, for the Roche Diagnostics and bioMérieux methods, 7 respectively. No statistically significant difference was found between these two techniques (p 8 = 0.41) (Table 6). For the three patients with intracerebral lesions on CT scan, the S100B 9 concentrations were positive ($\geq 0.10 \ \mu g/L$) with the Roche Diagnostics and bioMérieux 10 methods. The ability of S100B to identify intracerebral lesions was not significantly different 11 between the two analyzers (p = 0.53) (Table 6). The physicians did not use the decision 12 algorithm for 10% of the patients. For 5% of the patients, a CT scan was prescribed despite a negative S100B. For an additional 5% of the patients, a CT scan was not prescribed, even 13 14 though the S100B values were positive (Table 6).

15 **4. Discussion**

16 Currently, two companies specialize in in vitro diagnosis: Roche Diagnostics and DiaSorin. Both companies offer automated analyzers that can determine the S100B protein 17 18 concentration in serum. For the first time, the present study evaluated the analytical performances of an automated prototype for an S100B protein assay: the bioMérieux Vidas[®] 19 3. It should be noted that the Vidas[®] analyzer is the most widely used immunoassay system in 20 clinical laboratories, worldwide. Compared with the Cobas[®] analyzer, the Vidas[®] offers 21 22 several advantages such as the fast adoption (easier-to-use assay), the cost avoidance in low throughput samples (1 test for 1 patient), the random access with up to 4 independent sections 23 24 and no daily maintenance.

1 It is essential to obtain data on the influence of the matrix effect and storage in order to 2 avoid pre-analytical errors that may affect the interpretation of the test result. Evaluation of 3 the matrix effect showed an overestimation of ≈ 20 % for the plasma values in comparison to 4 the serum values. This difference was also reported by Tort et al. (14). Two other publications 5 evaluated the impact of heparin treatment on S100B measurement (22,23). Wang et al. 6 showed a rapid increase in serum S100B (1.74 fold) within 15 min of unfractionated heparin 7 administration (1.74 fold) and 3 h after low molecular weight heparin injection (1.44 fold) 8 (23). While heparin constantly appears to increase the immuno-reactivity for S100B, the 9 presence of the other anticoagulants in blood samples interferes chaotically with the S100B 10 measurement. Indeed, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and citrate are calcium 11 chelators, whereas heparin action is independent of calcium (14). Our stability study showed 12 no difference between the results of the S100B measurements after storing the samples at 13 room temperature for 8 h and at 2-8°C for 48 h. Our findings are in agreement with Raabe et 14 al. who demonstrated that storage at 4°C for 48 h did not affect the measurement result (15). 15 In contrast, Djukanovic et al. showed that storing samples at room temperature after 3 h was 16 associated with an increase in the S100B values (16). In terms of S100B measurement after 17 freezing, we demonstrated, for the first time, stability after storing the samples at -20°C for 6 18 months and at -80° C for 9 months. However, three plasma samples showed unsatisfactory 19 storage with post-freeze concentrations 7- to 10-times higher than initial concentrations. 20 Knowing that S100B is expressed in lymphocyte cells (13,24), we hypothesized that a release 21 of protein after lysis was caused by freezing of residual lymphocytes. Indeed, despite using a 22 separator gel, a significant proportion of the cells (leukocytes, red blood cells, and platelets) 23 were found in the plasma (25).

The precision study (repeatability and reproducibility) results demonstrated that the bioMérieux method was very satisfactory, which is consistent with the data reported by the manufacturer. The within run CVs (1.7–2.2%) and between run CVs (2.8–3.9%) of the
bioMérieux method were less than the CVs of the DiaSorin method (within run CV: 4.0–
5.6%; between run CVs: 3.7–6.1%), and they were very similar to those of the Roche
Diagnostics method (within run CV: 1.1–2.0%; between run CVs: 1.8–2.1%) (13). Therefore,
the precision of the Vidas[®] 3 S100B prototype is comparable to the two analyzers that are
routinely used in clinical settings.

7 Moreover, the bioMérieux and Roche Diagnostics methods showed good correlation 8 (r=0.97) for S100B protein determination. However, the two methods were not 9 interchangeable; the mean values were 13% higher with the bioMérieux assay. Moreover, the 10 gap between these two techniques widened as the S100B concentrations increased. Overall, 11 the results of this study are in line with the findings reported in the literature; that is, the 12 results of these two immunoassays are correlated, but not interchangeable. In the literature, 13 comparisons of the Roche Diagnostics and DiaSorin methods showed an overestimation close 14 to 30% for the DiaSorin assay (11–13,17,18). However, the three methods detect S100 dimers 15 that contain S100B (S100BB and S100 A1B). The use of a single S100B protein assay 16 technique is essential for patient management and follow-up. However, currently, no 17 diagnostic test is registered for patient follow-up. The absence of a standardized S100 immunoassay could clearly explain the observed difference reported in the literature. 18

In our study, the addition of S100B to the SFMU's algorithm could reduce the use of
CT scans by 40% and 37.5% for the Roche Diagnostics and bioMérieux assays, respectively.
This better specificity than that usually described (33%) is probably due to an age effect.
Indeed, in our study, the proportion of people over 65 years is lower than in a previous study
showing that the specificity is lower on this age group (21).

24 There are some limitations to our study. The cutoff of 0.10 μ g/L was evaluated for the 25 management of adults with mTBI (n = 80). However, interventionnal studies on larger cohorts are required. Moreover, it would be interesting to study S100B in other indications than mTBI
 like subarachnoid hemorrhage and severe trauma. Reference ranges of serum S100B
 concentration should also be made for children as for Roche Diagnostics (26) and DiaSorin
 (13) technology.

5 In conclusion, this study provided valuable new data for the concerted interpretation of 6 S100B assay results by biologists and clinicians. Pre-analytical stability and validation of 7 serum matrix were demonstrated. The bioMérieux analyzer was compared to the Roche 8 Diagnostics system. The precision study results for the bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 analyzer showed 9 that this method had very satisfactory results. Clinical diagnostics performance confirmed the 10 predictive negative value of the S100B biomarker in the management of mTBI in adults.

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 We thank the Emergency Department and the Neurological Intensive Care Unit at Clermont-
- 3 Ferrand Hospital for their help in specimen collection. We wish to acknowledge the excellent
- 4 technical assistance of Laure Allard, Frédérique Raymond and Corinne Perret.

5 Author contributions

- 6 All the authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this submitted manuscript
- 7 and approved submission.

8 Research funding

9 This work was supported by the bioMérieux company.

10 Employment or leadership

11 None declared.

12 Honorarium

- 13 None declared.
- 14 **Competing interests**
- 15 None declared.
- 16
- 17
- 18

1 **<u>References</u>**

- Brenner DJ. Computed Tomography An Increasing Source of Radiation Exposure. N Engl
 J Med 2007;357:2277–84.
- 4

2. Mathews JD, Forsythe AV, Brady Z, Butler MW, Goergen SK, Byrnes GB, et al. Cancer
risk in 680 000 people exposed to computed tomography scans in childhood or adolescence:
data linkage study of 11 million Australians. BMJ 2013;346:f2360.

- 9 3. Pearce MS, Salotti JA, Little MP, McHugh K, Lee C, Kim KP, et al. Radiation exposure 10 from CT scans in childhood and subsequent risk of leukaemia and brain tumours: a 11 retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2012;380:499–505.
- 12

8

- 4. Calcagnile O, Anell A, Undén J. The addition of S100B to guidelines for management of
 mild head injury is potentially cost saving. BMC Neurol 2016;16:200.
- 5.Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen K, Clement C, Lesiuk H, Laupacis A, et al. The Canadian
 CT Head Rule for patients with minor head injury. Lancet 2001;357:1391–6.
- 18

6. Undén J, Romner B. Can low serum levels of S100B predict normal CT findings after
minor head injury in adults?: an evidence-based review and meta-analysis. J Head Trauma
Rehabil 2010;25:228–40.

- 22
- 7. Oris C, Pereira B, Durif J, Simon-Pimmel J, Castellani C, Manzano S, et al. The Biomarker
 S100B and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2018;141:e20180037.
- 8. Undén L, Calcagnile O, Undén J, Reinstrup P, Bazarian J. Validation of the Scandinavian
 guidelines for initial management of minimal, mild and moderate traumatic brain injury in
 adults. BMC Med 2015;13:292.
- 29 9. Zimmer DB, Cornwall EH, Landar A, Song W. The S100 protein family: history, function,
 30 and expression. Brain Res Bull 1995;37:417–29.
- 31
- 10. Donato R. S100: a multigenic family of calcium-modulated proteins of the EF-hand type
 with intracellular and extracellular functional roles. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2001;33:637–68.
- 11. Laribi S, Kansao J, Borderie D, Collet C, Deschamps P, Ababsa R, et al. S100B blood
 level measurement to exclude cerebral lesions after minor head injury: the multicenter STICS100 French study. Clin Chem Lab Med 2014;52:527–36.
- 37 12. Smit LHM, Korse CM, Bonfrer JMG. Comparison of four different assays for
 38 determination of serum S-100B. Int J Biol Markers 2005;20:34–42.
- 39 13. Bouvier D, Duret T, Rouzaire P, Jabaudon M, Rouzaire M, Nourrisson C, et al.
 40 Preanalytical, analytical, gestational and pediatric aspects of the S100B immuno-assays. Clin
 41 Chem Lab Med 2016;54:833–42.
- 42 14. Tort ABL, Dietrich MO, Gonçalves CA, Souza DO, Portela LVC. Influence of
 43 anticoagulants on the measurement of \$100B protein in blood. Clin Biochem 2003;36:629–
 44 32.

- 1 15. Raabe A, Kopetsch O, Groß U, Zimmermann M, Gebhart P. Measurements of Serum S-
- 2 100B Protein: Effects of Storage Time and Temperature on Pre-Analytical Stability. Clin
- 3 Chem Lab Med 2003;41:700–3.
- 4 16. Djukanovic D, Hofmann U, Sucker A, Schadendorf D. Melanoma tumour markers S100B
 5 and MIA: evaluation of stability in serum and blood upon storage and processing. Br J
 6 Dermatol 2001;145:1030–1.
- 7 17. Feriel J, Adamo F, Monneret D, Trehel-Tursis V, Favard S, Tsé C, et al. S100B protein
 8 concentration measurement according to two different immunoassays. Clin Chem Lab Med
 9 CCLM 2015;53:e169–71.
- 18. Delefortrie Q, Lejeune F, Kerzmann B, Levy R, Adam J-F, Sottiaux T, et al. Evaluation of
 the Roche® Elecsys and the Diasorin® Liaison S100 kits in the management of mild head
 injury in the emergency room. Clin Biochem 2018;52:123–30.
- 13 19. Biberthaler P, Linsenmeier U, Pfeifer K-J, Kroetz M, Mussack T, Kanz K-G, et al. Serum
 14 S-100B concentration provides additional information fot the indication of computed
 15 tomography in patients after minor head injury: a prospective multicenter study. Shock
 16 Augusta 2006;25:446–53.
- 17 20. Mercier E, Boutin A, Lauzier F, Fergusson DA, Simard J-F, Zarychanski R, et al.
 18 Predictive value of S-100β protein for prognosis in patients with moderate and severe
 19 traumatic brain injury: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;346:f1757.
- 20 21. Allouchery G, Moustafa F, Roubin J, Pereira B, Schmidt J, Raconnat J, et al. Clinical
 21 validation of S100B in the management of a mild traumatic brain injury: issues from an
 22 interventional cohort of 1449 adult patients. Clin Chem Lab Med 2018;56:1897–1904.
- 22. Mazer CD, Novoshinov S, Tsoporis J, Izhar S, Tousignant C, Latter D, et al. Heparin
 influences s100b protein levels in blood during cardiac surgery. Can J Anesth
 2007;54:44607–44607.
- 26 23. Wang S, Wang L, Zhang X, Wang C, Zheng G, Wu W, et al. Effects of subcutaneous low
 27 molecular weight heparin and intravenous unfractionated heparin on serum S100
 28 concentrations in patients with cerebrovascular diseases. Clin Chem Lab Med 2012;50:525–8.
- 24. Steiner J, Marquardt N, Pauls I, Schiltz K, Rahmoune H, Bahn S, et al. Human CD8(+) T
 30 cells and NK cells express and secrete S100B upon stimulation. Brain Behav Immun
 31 2011;25:1233–41.
- 25. Padoan A, Zaninotto M, Piva E, Sciacovelli L, Aita A, Tasinato A, et al. Quality of
 plasma samples and BD Vacutainer Barricor tubes: Effects of centrifugation. Clin Chim Acta
 2018;483:271–4.
- 26. Bouvier D, Castellani C, Fournier M, Dauphin J-B, Ughetto S, Breton M, et al. Reference
 ranges for serum S100B protein during the first three years of life. Clin Biochem
 2011;44:927–
- 38
- 39

Figure 1 Pre-analytical study: matrix effect and stability. (A) Storage at room temperature and at 2–8°C. (B) Storage at -20°C and -80°C. Tube a: serum separator tube with gel; tube b: serum separator tube without gel; tube c: lithium heparinate gel separator tube; T0: measurement after centrifugation; T24: measurement after storage for 24 h (8 h at room temperature and 16 h at 2–8°C); T48: measurement after storage for 48h (8 h at room temperature and 40 h at 2–8°C).

7

Figure 2 Plot of the differences in the S100B concentrations between the serum and plasma
samples against the average of the two samples. Tube a: serum gel separator tubes; Tube c:
lithium heparinate gel separator tubes; SD: standard deviation.

11

Table 1 Summary of patient demographics. GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; min: minimum;
max: maximum; IQR: interquartile range; TBI: Traumatic Brain Injury.

14

Table 2 Stability of the S100B protein after storage for 48 h (8 h at room temperature and 40 h at 2–8°C). [S100B]: S100B concentration (μ g/L); min: minimum; max: maximum; IQR: interquartile range; tube a: serum separator tube with gel; tube b: serum separator tube without gel; tube c: lithium heparinate gel separator tube; T0: measurement after centrifugation; T24: measurement after storage for 24 h (8 h at room temperature and 16 h at 2–8°C); T48: measurement after storage for 48h (8 h at room temperature and 40 h at 2–8°C); *p*: a *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

22

Table 3 Stability of S100B protein after storage for 6 months at -20°C. [S100B]: S100B concentration ($\mu g/L$); min: minimum; max: maximum; IQR: interquartile range; tube a: serum separator tube with gel; tube c: lithium heparinate gel separator tube; T0: measurement after centrifugation; *p*: a *p* value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

27

Table 4 Stability of S100B protein after storage for 9 months at -80°C. [S100B]: S100B concentration ($\mu g/L$); min: minimum; max: maximum; IQR: interquartile range; tube a: serum separator tube with gel; tube c: lithium heparinate gel separator tube; T0: measurement after centrifugation; *p*: a *p* value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

32

Table 5 Precision study of the S100B prototype assay on a bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 analyzer.
CV: coefficient of variation; L: low; H: high; SD: standard deviation.

- Figure 3 Correlation between the bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 prototype and the Roche Diagnostics
 Cobas e411[®] for S100B concentrations. (A) Linear regression. (B) Bland-Altman plot; SD:
 standard deviation.

Table 6 Comparison of the clinical performance between the two S100B assays: Roche
Diagnostics Cobas e411[®] and bioMérieux Vidas[®] 3 prototype. CT scan-: CT scan without
intracerebral lesion; CT scan+: CT scan with intracerebral lesion; S100B-: S100B
concentration <0.10 μg/L; S100B+: S100B concentration ≥0.10 μg/L.

2 Table 1

	Neuro-Critical Care Unit (n = 71)	Emergency Department (n = 80)		
Age median, years (min; max; IQR)	60 (20; 87; 49–69)	42 (18; 91; 21–65)		
Sex-ratio: male/female	1.34	1.58		
GCS median (min; max; IQR)	7 (3; 15; 5–12)	15 (14; 15; 15–15)		
Clinical contexts	 Subarachnoid hemorrhage (n = 18) Neurosurgical postoperative (n = 19) Moderate TBI (n = 8) Severe TBI (n = 7) Intraparenchymal hemorrhage (n = 8) Ischemic stroke (n = 5) Brain tumor (n = 3) Mild TBI (n = 2) Status epilepticus (n = 1) 	Mild TBI (n = 80)		
Usefulness of samples	 Matrix effect and storage stability Precision and comparison of the methods 	 Comparison of the methods Clinical diagnostics performance in context of mTBI 		

Table 2



Tubes	Median [S100B] (min; max; IQR)					
	то	T24	T48			
а	0.20 (0.03; 4.37; 0.08–0.56)	0.20 (0.04; 4.35; 0.08–0.50)	0.17 (0.04; 4.13; 0.07–0.43)	0.83		
b	0.20 (0.03; 4.59; 0.08–0.54)	0.17 (0.04; 4.37; 0.08–0.48)	0.18 (0.04; 4.23; 0.07–0.43)	0.95		
с	0.23 (0.05: 4.77: 0.10–0.61)	0.22 (0.05: 4.50: 0.09–0.54)	0.19 (0.04: 4.08: 0.08–0.48)	0.56		

1 2	Table 3								
Tubes	Median [S100B] (min; max; IQR)								
	то	1 month at -20°C	3 months at -20°C	6 months at -20°C					
а	0.22 (0.03; 5.27; 0.09–0.65)	0.23 (0.04; 5.38; 0.09–0.60)	0.22 (0.03; 5.04; 0.09–0.54)	0.22 (0.03; 5.19; 0.08–0.60)	0.92				
с	0.26 (0.05; 5.58; 0.11–0.64)	0.29 (0.05; 5.58; 0.12–0.68)	0.26 (0.03; 5.15; 0.11–0.57)	0.27 (0.04; 5.43; 0.10–0.65)	0.82				
$\begin{array}{c} 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\end{array}$									

Tubes	Median [S100B] (min; max; IQR)						
	то	3 months at -80°C	9 months at -80°C				
а	0.22 (0.03; 5.27; 0.09–0.65)	0.22 (0.03; 5.05; 0.09–0.55)	0.22 (0.04; 5.36; 0.09–0.61)	0.97			
с	0.26 (0.05; 5.58; 0.11–0.64)	0.30 (0.04; 5.07; 0.11–0.66)	0.31 (0.04; 5.58; 0.11–0.68)	0.93			

5 Table 5

			Repe	atabil	ity	Reproducibility			
Samples	Ν	Mean μg/L	SD μg/L	CV %	Manufacturer CV %	Mean µg/L	SD µg/L	CV %	Manufacturer CV %
L pool serum	30	0.07	0.001	1.7	2.9	0.07	0.003	3.9	3.9
L control	30	0.09	0.002	2.0	2.6	0.09	0.003	3.1	5.6
H pool serum	30	0.66	0.013	2.0	3.4	0.66	0.021	3.2	3.5
H control	30	0.42	0.009	2.2	2.4	0.42	0.012	2.8	3.5

Table 6

		COBAS e411 [®] n (%)	Vidas [®] 3 n (%)	p
S100B -		32 (40)	30 (37.5)	0.41
No CT scan prescription		28 (35)	24 (30)	0.50
CT scan prescription (all CT scans)		4 (5)	6 (7.5)	0.50
S100B +		48 (60)	50 (62.5)	0.41
No CT scan prescription		4 (5)	8 (10)	0.53
CT scan	CT scan+	3 (3.7)	3 (3.7)	0.53
prescription	CT scan-	41 (51.3)	39 (48.8)	0.53

2 Figure 1



в



Figure 2





2 Figure 3

