

The role of melting on the geochemical evolution and isotopic variability of an anatectic complex in the Iberian Variscides

Joana Ferreira, João Mata, Telmo Bento dos Santos, Inês Pereira

► To cite this version:

Joana Ferreira, João Mata, Telmo Bento dos Santos, Inês Pereira. The role of melting on the geochemical evolution and isotopic variability of an anatectic complex in the Iberian Variscides. Lithos, 2020, 378-379, pp.105769. 10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105769. hal-02969106

HAL Id: hal-02969106 https://uca.hal.science/hal-02969106

Submitted on 16 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The role of melting on the geochemical evolution and 1 isotopic variability of an anatectic complex in the Iberian 2 Variscides 3 4 Joana A. Ferreira^{1,2*}, João Mata^{1,2}, Telmo Bento dos Santos^{1,2}, Inês Pereira^{3,4} 5 6 7 ¹ Instituto Dom Luiz (IDL), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo 8 Grande, 1749-016, Lisboa, Portugal ² Departamento de Geologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, C6, 9 10 Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal ³ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Portsmouth, Building 11 12 Burnaby Rd Portsmouth P01 3QL, UK ⁴ Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne, 6 avenue Blaise 13 14 Pascal, TSA 60026 – CS 60026, 63178 Aubiere Cedex, France 15 *Corresponding author (jaferreira@fc.ul.pt) 16

17 Abstract: Formation and evolution of migmatite-granite terranes usually involve several 18 complex petrological and geochemical processes that leave their imprint on elemental and isotopic signatures. In this paper, we assess the role of melting reactions on the 19 genesis of the Variscan Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo-Lumbrales Anatectic Complex 20 21 (FCR-LAC) at the Central Iberian Zone, culminating in the generation of abundant S-22 type granites. At odds with the proposed for several anatectic complexes elsewhere, it is demonstrated that no isotopic (Sr-Nd-Hf-Pb) disequilibrium occurred in the FCR-23 LAC, in the transitions from metatexites to diatexites and, finally, to granites. However, 24

25 variable contribution of the source minerals generated batches of melts characterized by distinct parent/daughter ratios, which explain the significant heterogeneity of 26 27 present-day isotopic signatures. While Rb/Sr ratios and the Pb budget of the successively generated lithotypes were mainly controlled by major minerals, such as 28 muscovite, K-feldspar and plagioclase, the accessory phases played a major control on 29 30 Sm/Nd and Lu/Hf ratios. Our study demonstrates the existence of two distinct diatexite 31 groups produced by different reactions. Type-1 diatexites, having high Rb contents and 32 fractionated HREE, are geochemically more akin to the associated S-type granites. 33 They were produced via fluid-absent reactions during dehydration-melting of muscovite with production of peritectic K-feldspar, sillimanite and melt. The less abundant type-2 34 35 diatexites required influx of external fluids during melting reactions, which consumed 36 more plagioclase than muscovite.

Isotopic data reveal the existence of two groups with distinct initial compositions (ϵNd_{320} = -5.05 to -6.03 and ϵHf_{320} = -3.42 to -4.45 vs. ϵNd_{320} = -7.30 to -8.89 and ϵHf_{320} = -6.45 to -8.47), both composed of metatexites, diatexites and granites, which is explained by source heterogeneity. The main source of the anatectic complex was the metasedimentary Neoproterozoic – Lower Cambrian Douro-Beiras Supergroup, with minor contribution of the Ordovician Ollo de Sapo magmatic rocks.

43

44 Keywords: Anatectic complex; Melting reactions; Isotope geochemistry; Variscan45 Orogeny

46

- 47
- 48

49 1. Introduction

50 Late-stage mountain building is typically characterized by crustal anatexis and 51 generation of granitic bodies, a common feature in the continental tectonic framework

since the Archean (Whitney et al., 2004). Upper crust emplacement of granitic magmas
leaving refractory residues leads to intracrustal differentiation, which explains the
compositional distinction between lower and upper crust (Sawyer et al., 2011).

55 The production of large volumes of melt through partial melting of a source rock depends on protolith fertility, on the presence of fluids, and of on the temperature-56 pressure conditions, namely the magnitude of the thermal anomaly (Brown, 2013; 57 58 Clemens, 2006). Metapelitic and metapsammitic rocks containing large amounts of 59 hydrous phases, such as muscovite and biotite (30% to 50%), are fertile protoliths and potential sources of peraluminous melts through metamorphic incongruent melting 60 reactions (fluid-present or fluid-absent) at 700 - 800 °C (Brown, 2013; Sawyer et al., 61 2011). Indeed, fluid-absent melting of micas in metapelites and metagreywackes can 62 yield up to 50 vol% of melt (Clemens and Vielzeuf, 1987; Sawyer et al., 2011; Bento 63 dos Santos et al., 2011b; Brown, 2013). For these reasons, migmatite-granite 64 complexes have been the target of several studies with the objective of exploring links 65 66 between high-grade metamorphism, partial melting processes, the origin of the related 67 granitic bodies and crustal differentiation (e.g. Johannes et al., 2003; Bento dos Santos 68 et al., 2011a; Brown et al., 2016; Sola et al., 2013).

In the Iberian Variscan Belt (the southwestern sector of the European Variscan 69 70 orogen), more precisely in the Central Iberian Zone (CIZ), several authors have 71 emphasized the relationship between some granites and migmatitic rocks (Areias et al., 2014; Carríngton da Costa and Teixeira, 1957; Ferreira et al., 2014; Pereira et al., 72 2017; Ribeiro et al., 2011; Vanderhaeghe, 2009). The Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo-73 74 Lumbrales Anatectic Complex (FCR-LAC) is one of such anatectic complexes and has 75 the particularity of showing a clear spatial relationship between metatexites, diatexites 76 and granites. In this way, this complex offers the opportunity to assess the melting reactions that genetically link migmatites (metatexites and diatexites), granites, and 77 their metasedimentary sources. Links between the FCR-LAC granites and the hosting 78 79 pre-Ordovician metasedimentary rocks have already been suggested by Ferreira et al. 80 (2019), based on the study of inherited zircon grains, a question that will be
81 readdressed in this paper.

82 Radiogenic isotopes are widely applied to identify source characteristics of magmatic rocks (e.g. White, 2010). Indeed, it is usually assumed that the isotopic 83 composition of a magma is similar to that of the source rock, a hypothesis implying that 84 no isotopic fractionation occurs during melting and that almost the same happens with 85 86 the parent (P)/daughter (D) element pairs. This is true if each of the source mineral 87 phases is not a significant repository of any of the P or D elements for the isotopic systems considered, i.e. when the P and D elements are highly incompatible. However, 88 in silica-rich magmatic systems, such as S-type granites and related anatectic rocks, 89 90 isotopic disequilibrium becomes more common (e.g. Himalayan leucogranites - Ayres and Harris, 1997; Anatectic Complex of Toledo - Barbero et al., 1995; Sierra Nevada 91 Batholith - Tommasini and Davies, 1997; Southern Sierra Nevada - Zeng et al., 2005b; 92 see also Wolf et al., 2019;). Indeed, residue/melt isotopic disequilibrium can take place 93 94 due to a) insufficient temperature to reach the closure temperature of some of the 95 accessory phases, with which P and/or D elements are compatible, resulting in a significant fractionation (up to > 20 ε Hf units; Tang et al., 2014); and b) chemical 96 diffusivity being sluggish compared with the time frame necessary for melt extraction 97 98 from the residue (Ayres and Harris, 1997; Farina and Stevens, 2011; Zeng et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2019). These factors can lead to the perception that 99 100 isotopic disequilibrium during anatexis is ubiquitous (e.g. Tommasini and Davies, 101 1997). However, in some cases, even for situations specifically investigated for isotopic 102 disequilibrium, no significant evidence-was found (e.g. Wolf et al., 2019). In this 103 perspective, the isotopic variability of an anatectic complex must also be addressed in 104 light of regional source heterogeneities (e.g. Yakymchuk et al., 2015, 2013), and on the 105 role of melting reactions leading to distinct P/D pairs with the consequent development 106 of distinct isotopic signatures due to variable rates of radiogenic ingrowth through time.

107 For these reasons, the importance of isotopic disequilibrium during anatexis is still 108 inconclusive.

Distinct isotopic systems are expected to behave differently during anatexis, with some of them responding variably to diverse melting conditions (e.g. Wolf et al., 2019). This confers to multi-isotopic studies the potential to investigate the hypothetical role of isotopic disequilibrium and source heterogeneity, as well as to better decipher the role of distinct melting reactions during the different stages of anatexis.

Therefore, in this study, we use Sr, Nd, Hf and Pb isotopes, the elemental whole-rock compositions, and zircon and apatite trace-element compositions from the FCR-LAC lithologies to: 1) assess the melting reactions behind the different lithotypes; 2) evaluate the potential role of isotopic disequilibrium during anatexis; 3) provide insights onto the causes of isotopic variability characterizing the anatectic complex; and 4) explore the geochemical link between the different stages of anatexis, from migmatites to granites, and also to infer potential metapelitic protoliths.

121

122 2. Geological Setting

The European Variscan Belt is the result of a complex evolution culminating with the polyphasic collision of the continents Laurentia and Gondwana during the Devonian – Carboniferous periods (e.g. Dias and Ribeiro, 1995; Nance et al., 2010). In the internal zones of the orogen, the continental collision produced the rapid formation of metamorphic core complexes, characterised by the exhumation of migmatites, large volumes of granitic magmas, and LP-HT metamorphism (Burg et al., 1994; Schulmann et al., 2002).

The FCR-LAC is located within the autochthonous terranes of the variscan Central lberian Zone (CIZ) and it is an example of a migmatite-granite complex formed during the Variscan Orogeny (e.g. Ferreira et al., 2019) (Fig. 1). This anatectic complex preserves significant field macroscopic evidence of the gradual anatectic evolution from

134 metatexites, to diatexites and to syn-tectonic granites (Fig. 2). The FCR-LAC contacts with low-grade (biotite and chlorite zone) metamorphic units of Ediacaran-Cambrian (to 135 136 the North and South) and Ordovician age (to the South) through the Huebra and the 137 Juzbado-Penalva do Castelo shear zones, respectively. Their sinistral kinematics were active at least during the intracontinental collision stage (D₃, third phase of the Iberian 138 variscan deformation; Pereira et al., 2017 and references therein), although it has been 139 140 suggested that it represents a much older tectonic discontinuity (Iglesias and Ribeiro, 141 1981). The Juzbado-Penalva do Castelo shear zone (JPCSZ) is a 200 km long, 5 to 15 142 km wide first-order structure, with a proposed horizontal displacement between 65 and 143 100 km (Iglesias and Ribeiro, 1981; Villar Alonso et al., 2000). Geothermobarometric 144 estimates using mineral equilibria point to peak metamorphic conditions of $T = 761 \pm 50$ 145 °C and P = 5.0 \pm 1.0 kbar (Pereira et al., 2017). Exhumation mechanisms of this anatectic complex have been widely discussed and two major models have been 146 proposed. Díez Fernández and Pereira (2016) invoked extensional tectonics and 147 148 orogenic collapse as the mechanism responsible for anatexis and upper crust emplacement, followed by capture by the strike-slip shear zone. However, the 149 interpretation of the complete exhumation process of this granitic-migmatitic 150 151 association must consider that this complex occurs in contact with low grade 152 metamorphic rocks to which is juxtaposed by first-order high-angle shear zones, also 153 evidenced by recent magnetotelluric studies (Alves Ribeiro et al., 2017). Migmatites and the associated granites preserve a low dipping, non-horizontal transport lineation 154 (6°-12°; Pereira et al., 2017), clearly indicative of a significant net vertical mass 155 156 transfer during the 65 – 100 km horizontal displacement of the JPCSZ, caused by 157 simple shear-dominated transpression during the D_3 stage (see Pereira et al., 2017). Petrochronological constrains recently published estimated cooling rates as high as 35 158 ^oC.Ma⁻¹ and fast exhumation rates (max of 0.84 mm.a⁻¹), which confirm a tectonically-159 160 assisted exhumation process (Ferreira et al., 2019).

162 3. Field and petrographic observations

The low-grade (chlorite-biotite zones) metamorphic units are composed of phyllites and quartzphyllites, affected by a nearly E-W schistosity (Fig. 3a). Phyllites in the vicinity of granites show post-deformation andalusite porphyroblast growth, usually pseudomorphosed, formed by contact metamorphism (Fig. 2a and Fig. 3b). The mineral assemblage of these phyllites also includes quartz, muscovite, biotite, and minor plagioclase and chlorite, as well as accessory titanite, zircon, apatite, rare staurolite, and opaque minerals.

170 Metatexites exhibit stromatic structures still preserving a pre-melting banded 171 orientation inherited from the regional E-W schistosity (i.e. inherited fabric). Sometimes, 172 centimetric or millimetric lenses of peritectic sillimanite associated to muscovite are 173 found (Fig. 2b). Occasionally, metatexites are intersected by centimetric leucosome, sometimes boudinated, and filled with granitic to pegmatitic material (Fig. 2c). 174 175 Leucosome patches up to 20-35 cm, also occur in association with these veins (Fig. 2d). The large majority of leucosomes are concordant to the pre-migmatization 176 structures (schistosity) of the hosting rocks. The melanosome of the foliated metatexite 177 178 is predominantly composed of biotite and muscovite. In contrast, leucosomes are predominantly composed of a quartz-feldspar assemblage (Fig. 3c). Fibrolite appears 179 180 as relic grains in late muscovite formed during retrogression (Fig. 3d). The most 181 common accessory phases are zircon, apatite, tourmaline, staurolite and ilmenite.

Diatexites show structures such as restitic nodules (Fig. 2e), schlieren (Fig. 2f), and, occasionally, ptygmatic folding. Closer to the shear zones, diatexites reveal E-W oriented shear deformation planes, i.e. the same orientation as the shear zone. Locally, there are pegmatitic and leucosome veins forming vein-structured diatexites. Some of these diatexites show a near-nebulitic texture (only slightly more coarser-grained and biotite-enriched than the examples described in Sawyer (2008).. As a whole, diatexites comprise quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite, minor fibrolitic sillimanite and

secondary muscovite (Fig. 3f). Chemically, two different groups of diatexites can be
distinguished (see section 6.2), which are not macroscopically distinguishable.
However, thin section petrography reveals that the mineralogical composition is slightly
different, with type-1 diatexites having more fibrolitic sillimanite and secondary
muscovite, but lower amounts of K-feldspar than type-2 diatexites (Table 1).

Additional evidence of partial melting in these migmatites can be found in the occurrence of corroded grains of biotite and melt films (Fig. 3e), mainly in quartzfeldspar boundaries.

In general, the contact between the metatexites, diatexites and granites is marked by a gradual transition, but there are also injections of granitic material found in the migmatites, feeding the S-type plutons.

200 Granites are essentially two mica-bearing, with biotite and muscovite appearing in 201 different proportions and with variable grain sizes. These variations led to their grouping onto 10 different granite facies (Silva and Ribeiro, 2000; Fig. 2g and Fig. 2h). 202 203 Some granitic outcrops reveal deformation structures like those found in the 204 migmatites, compatible with the JPCSZ movement (Fig. 3h). These granites are mainly 205 composed of quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite, muscovite (Fig. 3g) and minor fibrolitic sillimanite. Accessory mineral assemblage includes zircon, apatite, rutile 206 207 needles and ilmenite.

Apatite from these granites yield 288 – 307 Ma, while newly grown zircon grains provide magmatic U-Pb crystallisation ages between 300 and 317 Ma (Ferreira et al., 2019). However, a significant part of the zircon population is inherited (54%), yielding ages in the range 400 – 650 Ma, having survived the melting and segregation stages.

212

4. Analytical methods

We report 55 analyses of whole-rock geochemistry of granites, migmatites and phyllites from the Douro-Beiras Supergroup that were conducted in the Activation Lab

in Ontario, Canada. Major elements were measured by Fusion Inductively Coupled
Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry and trace elements by Fusion Inductively
Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (see details in the Supplementary Material 1).

Whole-rock isotopic analyses of Sr, Nd, Hf and Pb were performed in 13 samples, including 8 migmatites (5 metatexites, 2 type-1 diatexites and 1 type-2 diatexite), 5 granites and 2 metasedimentary units from the Douro-Beiras Supergroup at the Laboratoire G-Time of the Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB, Belgium) using a Nu Plasma I Multi-Collector Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) instrument (for more details see the Supplementary Material 1).

Zircon and apatite grains separated from the anatectic units were analysed for their trace element content using an ASI RESOlution 193 nm ArF excimer laser coupled to the ANALYTIK JENA Plasma Quant Elite quadrupole ICP-MS at the University of Portsmouth (UoP) (see more analytical details in the Supplementary Material 1).

229

5. Results

231 5.1. Whole-rock elemental geochemistry

232 Whole-rock elemental compositions are presented in Table 2, where the studied 233 samples are grouped as phyllites, metatexites, diatexites and granites.

234 Phyllites and metatexites are enriched in Al₂O₃, FeO^t, MgO and TiO₂ in comparison to diatexites and granites, evidencing a negative correlation with silica (Fig. 4). This 235 reflects the larger abundance of refractory minerals (e.g. biotite and titanite) in the 236 metasedimentary protoliths and in the metatexite's melanosomes, representative of an 237 incipient degree of partial melting. On the other hand, diatexites and granites 238 239 commonly yield higher contents of SiO₂, Na₂O, K₂O and P₂O₅ when compared to metatexites. This difference is consistent with higher degree of partial melting and, 240 eventually the occurrence of some crystal fractionation (e.g. Sawyer, 2008), and, thus, 241 242 with the production of quartz-plagioclase-, K-feldspar- and apatite-rich melts. Two types of diatexites were identified mainly based on their composition (Fig. 4): type-1 diatexites (SiO₂ = 68 - 74 wt%) and type-2 diatexites (SiO₂ = 74 - 75 wt%). Additionally, type-2 diatexites are distinguishable by a wider variation of Na₂O concentration, slightly lower average $Fe_2O_3^{t}$ content and lower concentrations of P₂O₅, which are similar to the depicted by metatexites and phyllites.

All lithotypes display high Al_2O_3 content ($Al_2O_3 > 12$ wt.%), being strongly peraluminous (phyllites: ASI = 2.0 - 3.3, quartzphyllites: ASI = 1.2 - 2.4, metatexites: ASI = 1.5 - 2.7, diatexites: ASI = 1.1 - 1.5, and granites: ASI = 1.1 - 1.4).

251 The resemblance between metatexites and phyllites, revealed by major elements, is also generally depicted by trace elements (Fig. 5). Type-2 diatexites exhibit lower Rb 252 253 contents compared to the other anatectic rocks, but similar to metasediments. Phyllites, 254 quartzphyllites, and metatexites have significantly similar patterns in the multi-element 255 diagrams, showing negative anomalies of Nb, K, Pb, Sr and Ti, and positive anomalies of U, Ta, La, Ce and Nd (Fig. 6a). Zr and Hf positive anomalies are evident in the 256 257 quartzphyllites (Fig. 6a), as the result of their higher zircon contents (observed under 258 the microscope), in agreement with their more psammitic characteristics in comparison 259 with the remaining metasediments. Multi-element patterns of diatexites and granites 260 overlap within error (Fig. 6b), exhibiting a wide range of values for some elements, 261 such as Th, REE and Zr-Hf. Both lithotypes show negative anomalies for Nb, Pb, Sr 262 and Ti. There are some similarities between metasediments/metatexites and diatexites/granites, as all yield Nb, Pb, Sr and Ti anomalies (Fig. 6a and 6b). 263

REE composition data are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 7. Phyllites, quartzphyllites and metatexites have almost identical normalised patterns (Fig. 7a), with all but one sample being included in a very limited concentration range (e.g. La = 41 to 61 ppm; Yb = 2.2 to 3.5 ppm). The exception is one metatexite sample (JTJ-60A) which stands out of the group, because of its lower REE contents (La = 18; Yb = 1.4), but yet yielding similar (La/Yb)_N ratios (7.43 to 13.35). The Heavy Rare Earth Elements (HREE) are weakly fractionated (Dy/Yb_N = 1.07 – 1.35) and the Eu anomalies are small

(Eu/Eu* = 0.5 - 0.7). Diatexites and granites display a wide range of REE concentrations ($\Sigma = 8 - 777$ ppm) (Fig. 7b and 7c), despite the studied granites having similar normalised patterns across the different facies. At odds, diatexites have a wider variability, showing different degrees of HREE fractionation (Dy/Yb_N = 0.8 to 5) and Eu anomalies, either negative (down to 0.2; type-1 diatexites) or positive (up to 4; type-2 diatexites). See section 6.2 for more details on the chemical differences between these two types of diatexites.

- 278
- _ _ _

279 5.2. Accessory minerals: trace element composition

280

281 5.2.1. Apatite

282 The trace element compositions of apatite grains from the FCR-LAC and their host rocks (phyllites) are remarkably distinct in Sr, Y, Th and REE compositions (Table 3; 283 284 Fig. 8a-8e). The highest Sr content in apatite was found in phyllites (317 – 1471 ppm), decreasing gradually in metatexites (113 - 487 ppm), diatexites (86 - 144 ppm) and 285 granites (74 – 99 ppm). Thorium behaves similarly. On the other hand, Y contents in 286 287 apatite are low in phyllites (480 – 854 ppm), are quite similar between metatexites (837 288 - 1973 ppm) and diatexites (847 - 2026 ppm) and show a large range in granites (212 - 1546 ppm). Concerning their U composition, phyllites reveal lower values (8 - 34 289 290 ppm), while metatexites exhibit a larger variation (17 - 223 ppm), identical to that of diatexites (33 and 185 ppm). The maximum U concentration in the diatexites 291 corresponds to a type-2 diatexite. The variation of U in granites is smaller, but within 292 293 the same range of values as the migmatites (49 and 81 ppm).

Apatite grains are highly enriched in REE with Σ REE ranging from 1397 to 5829. They all show a marked enrichment in LREE compared to HREE, as depicted by (La/Yb)_n ratios (Fig. 8f; phyllites = 5.1 – 32.6, metatexites = 0.6 – 3.7, diatexites = 0.6 – 6.8 and granites = 3.7 – 19.1, yet (La/Sm)_n ratios are lower than the unity, except in II_Y

granite. At odds, apatite $(Tb/Lu)_n$ ratios are clearly higher than 1 for all the lithotypes. The II_Y granite has a REE fractionation higher than the remaining granite facies, because of its higher LREE contents. In general, Eu anomalies are negative with the exception of the late-tectonic granite (granite II_Y: 1.06), which lacks an anomaly.

302

303 5.2.2. Zircon

304 Contents of Hf, Y, U, Th and HREE in zircon grains exhibit positive correlations with 305 those from the whole rock, indicating that zircon controls the budget of these elements 306 in migmatites and granites (Table 4; Fig. 13b and Fig. 13c). Hf contents are similar 307 between metatexites (8180 - 14410 ppm), diatexites (11060 - 12750 ppm) and 308 granites (10280 – 12670 ppm). Lu values decrease in zircon grains from metatexites (48 - 309 ppm), to diatexites (48 - 91 ppm), and to granites (14 - 58 ppm). We were 309 only able to determine Y contents for half the measured points (11 out of 23). For the 310 available measurements, metatexites yield 120 - 2074 ppm, diatexites 610 ppm, and 311 312 granites range between 469 – 1199 ppm. U and Th contents are highly variable, with 313 Th/U ratios in zircon from diatexites (0.06 - 0.11) and granites (0.11 - 5.88) being broadly higher than 0.1 (10 spots out of 12), whereas metatexites yield a wide range 314 315 (0.003 – 0.845), with half the analysed grains showing ratios lower than 0.1 (6 of the 11 316 spots).

317 Zircon from the different units exhibit high and similar Σ HREE concentrations, here considered from Eu to Lu (Fig. 8g), ranging from 490 to 2430 ppm in metatexites, 488 318 to 1679 ppm in diatexites, and 261 to 2540 ppm in granites. They also show Eu 319 negative anomalies (metatexites 0.16 - 0.52, diatexites 0.14 - 0.33 and granites 0.02 -320 321 0.16). On the other hand, zircon from the different FCR-LAC rocks show Ce positive anomalies, suggesting high amounts of Ce in 4⁺ valence, which allowed its greater 322 323 incorporation (Trail et al., 2012). Most zircon in the metatexites have La below the detection limit, so to a better estimation of the REE fractionation, Ce/Yb_N is used. REE 324

fractionation values (Ce/Yb_N) in zircon retrieved from the metatexites are the most fractionated (Ce/Yb_N = 0.00001 – 0.05), while zircon from granites and diatexites clearly yield more elevated values (Ce/Yb_N = 0.01 – 0.4 and 0.001 – 0.04, respectively) (Fig. 8g). Overall, Lu/Hf ratios in zircon from the different units are variable, decreasing from metatexites (0.015), to diatexites (0.006) and granites (0.003).

331

332 5.3. Isotopic geochemistry

The radiogenic isotopes presented in this study were recalculated to 320 Ma, which corresponds to the migmatization age of the autochthonous domain of the CIZ (318 – 325 Ma, Martínez Catalán et al., 2014; Ferreira et al., 2019) (Table 5). While in the previous section, granites were presented as a single lithological group, in this section they are presented as syn-tectonic granites and late-tectonic granites (Ferreira et al., 2019). Likewise, phyllites are presented as phyllite N and phyllite S, depending if they were collected to the North (N) or South (S) of the anatectic complex.

340

341

5.3.1. Sr, Nd and Hf isotopic compositions

All migmatitic and granitic samples, except two, plot in the fourth quadrant
(radiogenic Sr and less radiogenic Nd) of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ vs. εNd₃₂₀ diagram (Fig. 9a),
implying time-integrated evolution characterized by enrichment of Rb and Nd relatively
to the less incompatible Sr and Sm, respectively.

Granites share similar ϵNd_{320} values (-6.03 to -8.89), but significantly different ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ ratios (0.7022 to 0.7126). These compositions are compatible with the upper continental crust (Chauvel et al., 2014; Hart et al., 1999). Overall, metatexite compositions are similar to those of granites with ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ values ranging between 0.7039 and 0.7124 and ϵNd_{320} between -5.21 to -8.86.

The metasedimentary units, phyllite N (87 Sr/ 86 Sr₃₂₀ = 0.7153; ϵ Nd₃₂₀ = -10.07) and 351 phyllite S (87 Sr/ 86 Sr₃₂₀ = 0.7089; ϵ Nd₃₂₀ = 3.28), have distinct compositions but both plot 352 353 in the field defined for the Douro Group (see Teixeira, 2008). The phyllite S is also 354 strikingly different from the FCR-LAC in terms of its Nd composition. Most granites and metatexites show similar compositions to that of the syn-tectonic Aguiar da Beira 355 muscovite-biotite leucogranite (see Costa et al., 2014), while two samples of diatexite 356 357 and one sample of metatexite have similarities with the Ollo de Sapo gneisses (see 358 Montero et al., 2017; Fig. 9b).

The anatectic units have enriched Hf isotopic compositions that result in ε Hf₃₂₀ 359 360 ranging from -3.42 to -9.25. Except for facies II γ (ϵ Hf₃₂₀ = -3.99), granites have similar 361 ϵ Hf₃₂₀ compositions (-7.70 to -8.43). The peculiar composition of IIy facies can be related to the fact that this granite is a 300 Ma late-tectonic granite, whereas the 362 363 remaining granites are syn-tectonic, with ages between 313 and 317 Ma (Ferreira et al. 2019). Hf isotopic compositions of the metasedimentary units show distinct 364 365 compositions (phyllite N with ϵ Hf₃₂₀ = -13.68 and phyllite S with ϵ Hf₃₂₀ = -7.16). The εNd₃₂₀ vs. εHf₃₂₀ diagram shows an overall positive correlation defined by the granites, 366 metatexites and some diatexites (Fig. 9c). Despite some isotopic variability, two tight 367 368 clusters are noticeable in the εNd_{320} vs. εHf_{320} diagram, each including granites, 369 metatexites and type-1 diatexites. Type-2 diatexite reveals a ENd₃₂₀ composition 370 between the two clusters.

Phyllites, N and S, yield two-stage Nd model ages (TDM₂) of 1.83 Ga and 779 Ma, respectively. The range of Nd TDM₂ ages for the metatexites is 1.45 to 1.73 Ga, for the diatexites is 1.43 to 1.69 Ga, and for the granites is 1.51 to 1.73 Ga. The Hf TDM₂ ages are older, displaying the following values: phyllite N (2.16 Ga), phyllite S (1.75 Ga), metatexites (1.57 to 1.83 Ga), diatexites (1.51 to 1.88 Ga), and granites (1.54 to 1.83 Ga). These Nd and Hf model ages are similar for most of the anatectic complex lithologies (granites, diatexites, and metatexites). Nd TDM₂ for the phyllite S sample is

different and younger than the remaining analysed lithologies, and also somewhat
 different regarding its Hf TDM₂.

380

381

5.3.2. Pb isotopic composition

When recalculated to 320 Ma, the Pb isotopic ratios retrieved from phyllites become clustered onto one group with the remaining lithologies, in oppositition to what was shown for the Sr, Nd, and Hf isotopic systems in section 5.3.1. The ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ isotopic ratios tend to be almost identical for all lithologies (${}^{206}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ = 17.24 to 18.27 and ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ = 15.61 to 15.67), with the exception of one metatexite outlier (Fig. 10a). In comparison, the ${}^{208}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ isotopic ratio (36.67 to 39.39) is slightly more variable (Fig. 10b).

389

390 6. Discussion

391

392 6.1. Granite systematics

393 The intimate field relationship between granites, migmatites and metasediments in 394 the FCR-LAC suggests a genetic link between these lithotypes. This is supported by the granites plotting close to ternary minimums in the Ab-Or-Q ternary diagram (Fig. 395 396 11c; Tuttle and Bowen, 1958; Winter, 2014). This points out to the S-type character of 397 the studied granites, which is also supported by their peraluminous character (ASI > 1.1) (Fig. 11a) and by their positioning in the A-B granite classification diagram of 398 399 Villaseca et al. (1998) (Fig. 11b). Low CaO (0.2 - 1 wt.%), Na₂O (in general < 3.2 wt.%), and high K₂O (≈ 5 wt.%) contents, as well as ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ ratios up to 0.7124 are 400 also typical of S-type granites (Chappell and White, 1974). ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ ratios are 401 402 somewhat variable (0.7039 - 0.7124), reflecting variability between different granite 403 facies. This variability, typical of S-type granites (Chappell and White, 2001), can be a

404 consequence of heteregeneous protoliths or reflect isotopic disequilibrium (see below). 405 The tight clustering of granites and migmatites in the ϵNd_{320} vs. ϵHf_{320} plot (Fig. 9c) puts 406 in evidence the cogenetic association amongst these units, which reinforces their 407 characterisation as S-type granites.

The abundant presence of perthites indicates the hypersolvus character of these granites, compatible with relatively low H_2O pressure, which is also suggested by the positionning between the ternary minimum defined at 0.1 and 0.2 GPa in the Ab-Or-Q ternary diagram (Fig. 11c).

412

413 6.2. The diatexite-granite link

In an anatectic complex, diatexites are regarded as the result of melting processes which by melt aggregation, migration, and emplacement give rise to granitic intrusive bodies (Milord et al., 2001). Diatexites in the FCR-LAC are characterized by a significant compositional variability, which can provide clues on the shared genetic link with granites.

419 Major and trace element concentrations were used to geochemically separate distinct types of diatexites: type-1 (SiO₂ = 68 - 74 wt%) and type-2 (SiO₂ = 74 - 75420 wt%), whereas granites (SiO₂ = 70 - 75 wt%) are generally less silica-enriched than 421 type-2 diatexites. Using the Frost et al. (2001) diagram, type-1 diatexites and granites 422 423 are alkali-calcic to alkalic, whereas type-2 diatexites range between the alkali-calcic 424 and calc-alkalic (Fig. 11d). Regarding trace element compositions, type-2 diatexites 425 also stand out by their lower Rb (145 – 238 ppm) (Fig. 5a) and higher Yb and Lu concentrations (Fig. 6b), and flat Tb to Yb patterns (Tb/Yb_N = 0.8 - 1.5) (Fig. 7b), at 426 427 odds with what can be observed in the other diatexites and granites (Fig. 7b and 7c) (Tb/Yb_N > 2.64). In addition, type-1 diatexites exhibit fractionated HREE (Dy/Yb_N = 2 -428 5) and Eu negative anomalies (0.2 - 0.7), in contrast with type-2 diatexites, which 429

430 reveal unfractionated HREE (Dy/Yb_N = 0.8 - 1) and Eu anomalies from slightly negative 431 to strongly positive (0.6 - 4).

432 Comparing both types of diatexites with granites, it is evident the similarity between granites and type-1 diatexites. Taking into account the differences between these two 433 434 types of diatexites, type-2 could correspond to cumulates of quartz and feldspar that evolved isolated from type-1 diatexites and granites through an earlier fractional 435 436 crystallization process (e.g. Brown et al., 2016; Morfin et al., 2014; Sawyer, 1987). The Hf isotopic signatures of these two types of diatexites are clearly distinct, with EHf₃₂₀ of 437 the type-2 diatexites being significantly less radiogenic (up to 6 units; Table 5) than the 438 439 reported for type-1 diatexites and also for the studied granites. Thus, the Hf isotopic 440 data reinforces the idea of existing two distinct types of diatexites and of a genetic link 441 between type-1 diatexites and granites, which is not so obvious when type-2 diatexites 442 are considered. The role of melting reactions in this variability is potentially significant and is discussed in the following section. 443

Taking into account the referred isotope similitude with granites (see above), type-1 diatexites are here considered as related to the granites by melt-residuum separation. This process is evident in the Harker diagrams (Fig. 4), where this type of diatexites are tendentially more rich in FeO^t, MgO, TiO₂ and Al₂O₃, but comparatively depleted in K₂O, Na₂O and SiO₂ than the associated granites.

449

450 6.3. Melting reactions

The formation of migmatite-granite complexes is the culmination of high-grade metamorphism during orogenic events. Partial melting is a sequential process where the production and accumulation of melt gradually increases with time. As prograde reactions take place, melting of the protolith lead to the formation of metatexitic and diatexitic migmatites, culminating with a granitic melt at higher melt fractions (Brown, 2013). Melting reactions can take place at different temperatures, pressures and water

contents. Typically, pelitic and greywacke protoliths begin to melt at about 650-700 °C 457 in the presence of an aqueous fluid (fluid-present reactions), producing up to 60 vol.% 458 459 of melt (Brown, 2013; Milord et al., 2001; Vielzeuf and Holloway, 1988; Weinberg and Hasalová, 2015). During the prograde metamorphic path, as temperature increases to 460 ca. 800-1000 °C, partial melting can occur in the absence of an external fluid, giving 461 place to a series of fluid-absent reactions by dehydration of hydrous minerals, such as 462 463 micas and amphiboles, which progressively increases melt production up to 70 modal 464 percent melt (Brown, 2013; Bucher and Grapes, 2011; Yakymchuk and Brown, 2014).

Field observations combined with major element geochemistry of the FCR-LAC 465 units show that the most probable protolith of the granitic melts (s.l.) is of sedimentary 466 467 origin (see sections 2 and 6.1). Moreover, the major elements geochemistry, in particular the CaO/Na₂O ratio, reinforces the idea that FCR-LAC granites are derived 468 from pelitic melts (CaO/Na₂O < 0.3; Jung and Pfänder, 2007) (Fig. 12a). The type of 469 melting reactions can be inferred based on trace-element modelling using Rb, Ba, Sr 470 471 (Inger and Harris, 1993) and taking into account the mineral assemblages of the FCR-LAC rocks (section 3). Yet, the exact reaction cannot be accurately determined given 472 its high dependence on the chemical and modal composition of the protolith, which in 473 474 the present study is thought to be significantly heterogeneous on basis of several 475 evidences (sections 6.5 and 6.6; Teixeira, 2008). In this way, we can infer that melting 476 of the pelitic source was mainly controlled by two consecutive reactions leading to the 477 progressive increase of melt production.

It has been shown that fluid-present and fluid-absent reactions produce melts with distinct characteristics for some key trace-elements, which are constrained by relative mass proportions of consumed feldspar and mica (Inger & Harris, 1993; Gao et al., 2017; Dou et al., 2019). The role of these two reaction types on the evolution of the studied anatectic complex (FCR-LAC) is well evident on diagrams involving elements compatible with plagioclase or muscovite (Fig. 12b; Fig. 12c).

The operation of fluid-present reaction is characterized by the development of evolution trends with almost constant Rb/Sr ratios but highly variable Sr and Ba contents (e.g. Gao et al., 2017; Dou et al., 2019), which at FCR-LAC (Fig. 12b and 12c) encompass phyllites and most of the metatexites.

Petrographic observations indicate that the metatexite melanosomes are characterized by a lower plagioclase/muscovite proportion than the phyllites from where they are considered to have been derived. This suggests that the following fluidinduced key reaction (Patiño Douce and Harris, 1998; Weinberg and Hasalová, 2015) occurred during the initial steps of melting:

493

494

9 muscovite + 15 plagioclase + 7 quartz + $x H_2O \rightarrow 31$ melt (1)

495

Increase of Rb/Sr ratios from phyllite, to metatexite, and to diatexite/granite and the higher Sr and Ba in metatexites in comparison with diatexite/granite (Fig. 12b) seem to support that early partial melting and melt segregation (phyllites to metatexite formation) resulted from such congruent fluid-present reaction (1), consuming plagioclase in larger quantities than muscovite (see also Dou et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2017; Martini et al., 2019).

502 As temperature increases during prograde metamorphism, fluid-absent melting can 503 take place by the consumption of hydrous minerals, such as micas. A significant increase of Rb/Sr ratios accompanied by a decrease of Sr and Ba is observed for 504 505 granites and most type-1 diatexites (Fig. 12b and 12c), suggesting dehydration-melting 506 involving the significant consumption of muscovite and crystallization of peritectic 507 minerals, such as K-feldspar and sillimanite, in a reaction that could have been similar to (2) (Patiño Douce and Harris, 1998; see also Gao et al., 2017; Inger and Harris, 508 509 1993; Yang et al., 2019):

510

511 25 muscovite + 7 plagioclase + 8 quartz \rightarrow 5 K-feldspar + 5 sillimanite + 25 melt (2)

This reaction also involves the crystallization of peritectic minerals, such as Kfeldspar and sillimanite. This agrees with the absence of primary muscovite in type-1 diatexites and granites, as well as the abundance of K-feldspar and sillimanite. Reaction (2) also explains the negative Eu anomalies characterizing most of type-1 diatexites and granites (Eu/Eu* down to 0.2), which can be explained by the crystallization of peritectic K-feldspar (Fig. 12d; Dou et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2017).

519 After fluid-absent reactions involving the consumption of muscovite, it is commonly described the dehydration-melting of biotite as a response to temperature increase 520 521 along the metamorphic path. There is some petrographic evidence stemming from 522 migmatites for the breakdown of biotite, such as the occurrence of biotite crystals with 523 corroded borders. However, reactions involving the melting consumption of biotite are accompanied by the peritectic production of garnet (> 0.4 GPa) or cordierite (< 0.4 524 GPa) (Spear et al., 1999; Bento dos Santos et al., 2011). Such minerals were not 525 526 detected in the studied samples, although garnet has been reported (Díez Fernández 527 and Pereira, 2016), and therefore some incipient melting of biotite should have 528 occurred.

529 We demonstrated before the existence of two geochemically distinct types of 530 diatexites (see section 6.2) and that type-1 diatexites were derived from fluid absent 531 reactions (2) associated to the dehydration-melting of muscovite. Type-2 diatexites exhibit positive Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu* up to 4), but low CaO contents and Rb/Sr ratios 532 533 (Fig. 12b; 12c), compared to the remaining granites and type-1 diatexites. This implies 534 that peritectic K-feldspar was not formed or only crystallized in small proportions (Dou 535 et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2017), and, instead, another process must have been 536 important. Fluid-fluxed melting of muscovite (reaction 1) is a more likely mechanism to generate melts with compositions similar to type-2 diatexites. The melt productivity of 537 such reaction is dependent on the amount of fluid present in the rock. The lower 538 abundance of type-2 diatexites and the lack of granites with compositions compatible 539

with this type of diatexites suggest that the amount of melt generated by that fluidpresent reaction was not enough to promote the melt segregation/extraction needed to granite generation. This suggests that the amount of fluid in the source rock was small. Yet, as mentioned in section 6.2, the relative higher silica content in type-2 diatexites suggests that their composition probably reflect, in addition, the occurrence of some fractional crystallization.

546 Ti-in-zircon temperatures from young zircon grains within the syn-tectonic granites range between 783 – 836 °C (Ferreira et al., 2019), implying that the metamorphic 547 peak reached such temperatures. Additionally, this range of estimated melt 548 temperatures are consistent with the minimum metamorphic peak temperature 549 550 previously calculated from mineral equilibria for the FCR-LAC (T = 761 ± 50 °C; Pereira 551 et al., 2017). At this temperature range, up to 60% volume of melt is produced by the referred partial melting reactions (Vielzeuf and Holloway, 1988), thus allowing 552 significant melt extraction given that the critical melt percentage for melt migration (20 553 554 to 35% volume; e.g. Clemens and Vielzeuf, 1987 and Bento dos Santos et al., 2011a; ≤7%; Rosenberg and Handy, 2005 and Brown, 2013) is clearly surpassed. 555

556

557 6.4. The role of accessory minerals

Accessory minerals have an important role controlling the composition of trace elements and isotopic ratios in migmatites and granites (e.g. Ayres and Harris, 1997; Bea, 1996a, 1996b; Bea et al., 1994; Yakymchuk and Acosta-Vigil, 2019; Zeng et al., 2005a). Apatite and zircon are the main accessory minerals that occur in the FCR-LAC rocks and their trace element compositions are described in section 5.2.

Results demonstrate that apatite is the main carrier of LREE and Y in the FCR-LAC (Table 3) and exhibits a flat REE pattern (more evident for LREE; Fig. 8a), typical of peraluminous rocks (Bea, 1996a). The moderate LREE and P_2O_5 covariation observed

in the whole-rock geochemistry (Fig. 13a) confirm the control that apatite has in thevariation of these elements.

568 Conversely, the HREE composition of the anatectic rocks is mainly influenced by 569 zircon (Table 4). This influence is also confirmed by the covariation between HREE and 570 Zr/Hf from the whole-rock geochemistry (Fig. 13b-d). Granites show depletion in the 571 HREE and lower Lu/Hf ratios when compared to metatexites and the country rocks, 572 which is due to the role of zircon. Indeed, Lu is preferentially retained in restitic zircon 573 grains during partial melting, resulting in a constant decrease of the Lu concentration 574 and Lu/Hf ratio in subsequent crystallised zircons.

Inherited zircon grains are quite common in all these granites, indicating survival of significant amounts of zircon, in agreement with partial melting experimental data. Indeed, considering an average Zr bulk-rock composition of 150 ppm (Table 2), an estimated maximum melting temperatures of ~840 °C (section 6.3) and an approximate 1:1 proportion of metapelite and greywacke in the protolith, only about 15% of zircon is expected to dissolve into the melt (Yakymchuk et al., 2017).

Apatite grains found in the metasedimentary units are highly enriched in LREE (La up to 1595 ppm) and Th (up to 97 ppm), which is a typical feature of magmatic apatite (Henrichs et al., 2018). Therefore, it is plausible that these correspond to detrital magmatic-derived apatite grains. The recrystallization of apatite during prograde metamorphism can explain the relative depletion of LREE (La \leq 405 ppm) in the metatexitic apatite, typical of metamorphic apatites (Henrichs et al., 2018).

587

588 6.5. Isotope variability

The studied rocks form two tight clusters in the ϵ Hf₃₂₀ vs. ϵ Nd₃₂₀ diagram (Fig. 9c), each of them comprising metatexites, diatexites and granites. Sm, Nd, Lu and Hf, the parent and daughter elements of the Nd and Hf isotopic systems, are all characterized by high field strengths, which confer them a significant degree of immobility during

593 meteoric and metamorphic processes. Considering this, such clusters clearly suggest 594 the existence of two somewhat distinct sources for the FCR-LAC anatectic complex, in 595 agreement with the significant isotopic variability of the DBSG phyllites (Teixeira, 596 2008). Additionally, the fact that each of the clusters comprise metatexites, diatexites 597 and granites suggests that during the metatexite-diatexite-granite evolution partial 598 melting was not accompanied by significant isotopic disequilibrium, like those caused 599 by the incomplete melting of zircon and the consequent variable release of zircon unradiogenic ¹⁷⁷Hf and non-zircon Hf during melting (the zircon effect; Tang et al., 600 2014). Considering the very long half-life of ¹⁷⁶Lu (37.1 Ga) and ¹⁴⁷Sm (106 Ga) and the 601 602 lack of evidence for isotopic disequilibrium, protolith minerals must have had a 603 comparatively short time period for developing distinct isotopic signatures. 604 Alternatively, their isotopic compositions may have been homogenised during the highgrade metamorphism path preceding anatexis itself (Wolf et al., 2019). The occurrence 605 606 of restricted isotopic disequilibrium during the first melting stages that led to the 607 formation of the metatexites should not be discarded. However, given the natural 608 isotopic variability of the probable source rocks (e.g. Teixeira, 2008) the most likely 609 explanation for the isotopic variability in the migmatite-granites is source heterogeneity. 610 Below we will focus on the metatexite-diatexite-granite compositional transitions.

611 It should be noticed that the present-day values (ε_0) show a distinct behaviour for the 612 isotope systems in consideration. Indeed, inside of each of the two groups, enclosing metatexites/diatexites/granites, a significantly larger variation is observed for the EHf₀ 613 values than for ϵNd_0 (Fig. 14a). Considering this and the fact that in the ϵHf_{320} vs. 614 615 εNd₃₂₀ diagram (Fig. 9c) two clusters are observed comprising granites and migmatites, 616 we propose that a significant fractionation between Hf and Nd isotopic systems 617 occurred during melting reactions from metatexites to diatexites and finally to granites, caused by a distinct behaviour of their parent/daughter pairs. As shown before (6.3), 618 619 the melting process involved essentially micas and plagioclase, phases with no 620 capability to significantly fractionate Sm from Nd or Lu from Hf, thus suggesting the role

621 of an accessory phase to explain this different behaviour. Apatite in peraluminous systems has $D^{Sm/Nd} \approx 0.3$ and and $D^{Lu/Hf} \approx 70$ (Bea et al., 1994), making it a good 622 candidate. However, ϵNd_0 of granite-metatexite pairs is similar or only slightly varying, 623 which would not be expected, and apatite dissolution during melting reactions would 624 induce an increase of ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf by radiogenic ingrowth of ¹⁷⁶Hf with time, opposite to 625 what it is observed.. Therefore, decoupling of Lu and Hf cannot be attributed to apatite 626 627 dissolution. The observed decoupling of Hf and Nd isotopic systems can be achieved 628 by the involvement of zircon. Zirconium and Hf are usually considered as two 629 geochemically coherent elements, which explains the very high D_{Hf} characterizing 630 zircon (D_{Hf} \approx 2420; Wang et al., 2010). The consequent very low Lu/Hf ratios characterizing zircon will allow, that by incomplete melting of zircon, Lu/Hf in the melt to 631 be distinct of that of the whole source rock, and that granites would evolve with time to 632 lower ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf ratios than the less evolved metatexites. Moreover, variable zircon 633 contribution to melt will contribute to the production of liquid batches characterized by 634 635 significantly different Lu/Hf ratios. This would be facilitated by incomplete zircon 636 dissolution, a process which has been demonstrated to frequently occur in 637 peraluminous granitic magmas where zircon saturation occurs at relatively low Zr concentrations (~78 ppm; Harrison and Watson, 1983; Hogan and Sinha, 1991; Tang 638 639 et al., 2014) and, also, by the fact that zircon is preferentially located inside biotite 640 which can protect it from dissolution (Bea, 1996) (see also 6.4). In opposition to the observed for Lu/Hf ratios, zircon only have a limited capability to fractionate Sm from 641 Nd ($D_{Sm/Nd} \approx 1$; Bea et al 1994), thus explaining the contrasting behaviour of Hf and Nd 642 643 present day isotope ratios depicted on Fig. 14a).

Each of the FCR-LAC metatexite/diatexite/granite groups defined on the ϵ Hf₃₂₀ vs. ϵ Nd₃₂₀ diagram (Fig. 9c) display a significant present-day ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr range at almost constant ϵ Nd (Fig. 14b). This suggests that Sr isotope signatures evolved under distinct ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr, allowing radiogenic ingrowth of ⁸⁷Sr at different rates. At odds with the Nd

648 and Hf systems, which are mainly controlled by the solubility of accessory phases, in 649 the Rb/Sr system the melt composition is constrained by the major mineral phases 650 involved in the melting reactions (Tang et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2019). In fact, an increase of (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr)₀ from metatexites to diatexites and then to granites is observed 651 (Fig. 14b), which indicates an increase of ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr in the melts progressively 652 generated at higher temperatures. If we take into account the melting reactions inferred 653 654 for the FCR-LAC (as in section 6.3), it is expected firstly a significant contribution of muscovite to the melt (reactions 1 and 2) and later a minor contribution of biotite, a 655 mineral usually characterized by significantly higher Rb/Sr than coexisting muscovite 656 657 (e.g. Neiva et al., 2002), which together can explain these isotopic ranges and 658 evolutions.

Nonetheless, the range in Sr isotopes is observed even when the initial values (⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr)₃₂₀ are considered (Fig. 9a). There are several ways to explain variation on the initial Sr isotopic composition: isotopic disequilibrium, hydrothermal alteration, assimilation/fractional crystallization (AFC), and source heterogeneity.

Isotopic disequilibrium taking place during the melting stages involving various 663 minerals characterized by significantly distinct ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr (plagioclase, muscovite, 664 665 biotite) is a plausible mechanism, especially in these settings (crustal anatexis). 666 However, these isotopic signatures had to be developed previously to melting to account for variable mineral ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr during radiogenic ingrowth (Farina and Stevens, 667 2011; Zeng et al., 2005b). Yet, at odds with the commonly observed in situations of 668 669 isotopic disequilibrium (e.g. Wolf et al., 2019), no systematic isotopic variation is 670 observed when considered the different lithotypes (metatexites, diatexites, and granites), but only a significant overlapping of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ characterizing metatexites 671 and granites. In this perspective, the ⁸⁷Sr/86Sr₃₂₀ variability could likely just be the result 672 673 of open-system processes, such as hydrothermal alteration and/or 674 assimilation/fractional crystallization (AFC). A hydrothermal overprint on these units would result in a lack of correlation between mobile and high field strength immobile 675

elements, such as LILE and Ti, respectively (e.g. Huang et al., 2019). This is not supported by our data, which show a clearly linear correlation between K, Sr, Ba *vs.* TiO_2 (Fig. 15a-c). Therefore, ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr_{320}$ variability as a result of post-magmatic overprint is not plausible.

AFC is not a very probable process in constraining the chemical variability of S-type 680 granitic systems (Clemens and Stevens, 2012; Yakymchuk, 2019). Indeed, as 681 682 suggested by Castro (2014), the small difference in density between melt and minerals 683 precociously crystallised, as well as the high viscosity of high silica melts, lead to consider as probably small and inefficient the role of fractional crystallization on granitic 684 685 magmas. In addition, it has been considered, based on thermodynamics, that granitic 686 melts have a limited capability of assimilation of crustal rocks, which is more evident for relatively low-temperature S-type magmas like those in this study (e.g. Glazner, 2007). 687 688 In agreement, no positive correlation is observed for the studied rocks between La/Sm and Th/Sc (Fig. 15d), both ratios being expected to increase during AFC processes 689 690 (Huang et al., 2019).

691 Given the lack of evidence for Sr isotopic disequilibrium and for the occurrence of hydrothermal alteration or AFC processes, the large variability of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ was 692 693 most probably caused by source heterogeneity. This is in agreement with geochemical 694 studies of the DBSG phyllites which have shown a much larger heterogeneity of the Sr isotope ratios than the observed for ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd (Teixeira, 2008), and in line with 695 Clemens and Stevens (2012) and Yakymchuk (2019) findings, who concluded that 696 697 source heterogeneity is an important factor on isotopic variations in granites in as much 698 it controls the type of peritectic minerals that will form.

On the ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb vs ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb diagram (Fig.14c), the studied rocks define a linear array close to the evolution line modelled for the upper continental crust by Zartman and Doe (1981). However, a significantly larger dispersion is observed in the ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb vs. ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb (Fig. 14d and Fig. 10b), suggesting decoupling of ²⁰⁶Pb and ²⁰⁷Pb (the final products of the decay chains of ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U, respectively) from ²⁰⁸Pb,

the final radiogenic product of ²³²Th. In granitic systems, the elemental Pb budget is 704 705 mainly controlled by K-feldspar, whereas accessory phases are the main repositories 706 of U and Th (e.g. Bea, 1996), which have the ability to strongly influence the long-term 707 lead isotope evolution (Hogan and Sinha, 1991; Wolf et al., 2019). Decoupling of 708 uranogenic lead from thorogenic lead (Fig. 10b) may then reflect the variable modal proportions of these phases in the source materials and also the variable contribution 709 710 to melts of U-rich (e.g.: zircon, apatite and monazite) and Th-rich (e.g.: monazite and 711 apatite) minerals.

712

713 6.6. Source rocks: some considerations

Based on the whole-rock geochemistry (e.g. Harker diagrams; Fig. 4 and 5), we can distinguish the geochemical evolution due to partial melting and the progressive residuum-melt separation, with the metapelitic rocks (phyllites) plotting at the origin of the metatexite-diatexite-granite sequence. This relationship is in clear agreement with field-based observations (as seen in section 3).

Despite the isotopic differences/variability between the phyllite N and phyllite S 719 (Douro-Beiras Supergroup; Fig. 9b; Teixeira, 2008), and even among the anatectic 720 complex lithologies, the Sr, Nd, and Hf isotopic compositions show a clear affinity 721 722 between migmatites and phyllites. This is more evident for the phyllite N sample with Sr and Nd signatures within the range of the FCR-LAC units (Fig. 9a and Table 5), than 723 724 for the phyllite S sample, where only the Hf initial composition is within analytical 725 uncertainty of the remaining anatectic units (Fig. 9c and Table 5). TDM₂ provenance ages of the metasedimentary protoliths of the anatectic complex broadly agree with the 726 727 phyllite units TDM₂ ages (Table 5), which reinforces the Douro-Beiras Supergroup as 728 representing the most probable protolith.

While we can not go too far beyond out current small dataset to draw further links between a definitive source to this anatectic complex (either phyllite N or phyllite S), it

reasonable to propose a stronger affinity with the Douro Group, sampled to the N. However, we cannot fully discard the involvement of the southern Beiras Group units (Tassinari et al., 1996) nor a minor contribution from the Ollo de Sapo magmatic rocks (Montero et al., 2017), particularly when the Nd initial isotopic compositions are taken into account (Fig. 9b). This is particularly the case of the IIγ late-tectonic granite, which exhibits isotopic compositions significantly different from the syn-tectonic granites (Fig. 9a and 9c; see Ferreira et al., 2019 for granite ages).

The contrasting Nd and Hf isotopic results between phyllites sampled from the northern ($\epsilon Nd_{320} = -10.07$) and southern ($\epsilon Nd_{320} = +3.28$) domains are striking, but in agreement with previously published data for the CIZ metasediments (Villaseca et al. (2014). This suggests source heterogeneities between both domains, with variable detrital inputs (more and less evolved crustal sources), which influenced the isotopic composition of the FCR-LAC units.

Further work is needed to clearly demonstrate: a) a systematic isotopic variability between the metasedimentary units to the North and South of the FCR-LAC; and b) the affinity of the anatectic complex with the Douro Group. This will further elucidate if the JPCSZ was a major paleogeographic boundary during the Precambrian/Cambrian, as proposed by Villaseca et al. (2014) and Iglesias and Ribeiro (1981).

749 7. Conclusions

This study shows the links between the distinct lithologies of the variscan Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo – Lumbrales Anatectic Complex of the Central Iberian Zone and evaluates the occurrence of isotopic disequilibrium during melting reactions from metatexites to diatexites and granites. The main conclusions are summarized below:

Based on whole-rock elemental and isotopic geochemistry a genetic link is
 definitively established between metatexites, diatexites and granites, which
 represent different evolutionary stages of the anatectic complex, culminating on
 the genesis of the S-type granites.

We demonstrate the existence of two geochemically distinct diatexite groups
(type-1 and type-2) generated at different stages of the partial melting process.
We show that type-1 diatexite, with high Rb concentration and fractionated
HREE is geochemically similar to the regional S-type granites, whereas type-2
diatexites are not linked to any of the outcropping granites.

763 3) Based on the mineral assemblage and whole-rock geochemistry we 764 demonstrated that type-1 diatexites-and granites were produced during dehydration-melting of muscovite and production of peritectic K-feldspar and 765 sillimanite plus melt. On the contrary, Rb-poor, unfractionated HREE type-2 766 diatexites required influx of externally derived fluids during melting, which 767 768 consumed more plagioclase than muscovite. The type-2 diatexites represent a 769 more evolved melt composition than the outcropping granites, being mainly 770 composed by guartz and feldspar.

4) Evidence of incipient biotite melting and Ti-in-zircon thermometry allow to
establish peak temperatures ranging from 783 to 836 °C. These conditions
resulted in the production of large volumes of melt, which explains the profusion
of S-type granites within the CIZ.

775 5) There are no signs of significant isotopic disequilibrium during melting in the 776 transition from metatexites to diatexites and, finally, to granites. This means that 777 the source minerals were in isotopic equilibrium at the onset of the melting process, either reflecting that they were formed shortly before melting, or, 778 alternatively, that isotopic homogenization during the high-grade metamorphism 779 780 preceding the melting process took place. However, the distinct contributions of 781 mineral phases to the melt produced batches of melt with distinct 782 parent/daughters' ratios, which resulted in distinct radiogenic ingrowth, and explain the present-day isotopic heterogeneity found in these units. 783

Rb/Sr ratios and the Pb budget of the successively generated lithotypes were
 mainly controlled by major minerals such as muscovite, K-feldspar and

plagioclase. The accessory phases were the main minerals controlling theSm/Nd, Lu/Hf ratios and the U and Th contents.

7) Two distinct groups, both comprising metatexites, diatexites and granites, were identified when comparing the initial isotopic ratios, testifying for the existence of source heterogeneity. This is consistent with the isotopic variability of metasediments considered to be the sources of the anatectic complex and may also explain the differences in the initial Hf and Nd isotopic compositions between late-tectonic granite-migmatite cluster and the syn-tectonic granitemigmatite cluster.

795 8) The genetic links with probable protoliths of the anatectic complex were also
796 explored. We showed that anatectic complex is sourced on the
797 Neoproterozoic/Lower Cambrian Douro-Beiras Supergroup, with possible minor
798 contribution of the Ordovician Ollo de Sapo magmatic rocks.

799

800 Acknowledgements: The corresponding author thanks the financial support of 801 Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through a doctoral grant 802 (PD/BD/114486/2016). The authors would also like to acknowledge the financial 803 support of FCT through project UIDB/50019/2020 – IDL. Inês Pereira acknowledges a 804 PhD bursary awarded by the University of Portsmouth. We would also like to thank the 805 University of Portsmouth for the access to their analytical facilities, including sample preparation, SEM and LA-ICP-MS instruments. We also acknowledge Editor Marco 806 807 Scambelluri, Chris Yakymchuk and Bruna Carvalho for their important inputs to this 808 article.

809

810 References

Alves Ribeiro, J., Monteiro-Santos, F.A., Pereira, M.F., Díez Fernández, R., Dias da
Silva, Í., Nascimento, C., Silva, J.B., 2017. Magnetotelluric imaging of the

- 813 lithosphere across the Variscan Orogen (Iberian autochthonous domain, NW
- 814 Iberia). Tectonics. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017TC004593
- Areias, M., Ribeiro, M.A., Santos, J.F., Dória, A., 2014. LP-HT anatectic processes and
- 816 lithological heterogeneity in the Mindelo Migmatite Complex (NW Portugal). Estud.
- 817 Geológicos 70, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.41730.323
- Ayres, M., Harris, N., 1997. REE fractionation and Nd-isotope disequilibrium during
- crustal anatexis: constraints from Himalayan leucogranites. Chem. Geol. 139,
- 820 249–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(97)00038-7
- 821 Barbero, L., Villaseca, C., Rogers, G., Brown, P.E., 1995. Geochemical and isotopic
- disequilibrium in crustal melting: an insight from the anatectic granitoids from
- Toledo, Spain. J. Geophys. Res. 100. https://doi.org/10.1029/95jb00036
- Bea, F., 1996a. Residence of REE, Y, Th and U in granites and crustal protoliths;
- implications for the chemistry of crustal melts. J. Petrol. 37, 521–552.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/37.3.521
- 827 Bea, F., 1996b. Controls on the trace element composition of crustal melts. Trans. R.
- Soc. Edinb. Earth Sci. 87, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263593300006453
- Bea, F., Pereira, M.D., Stroh, A., 1994. Mineral/leucosome trace-element partitioning in
- a peraluminous migmatite (a laser ablation-ICP-MS study). Chem. Geol. 117,
- 831 291–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)90133-3
- 832 Bento dos Santos, T., Rodrigues, J.F., Castro, P., Meireles, C., Ferreira, N., Ferreira,
- 833 P., Ferreira, J.A., Pereira, I., Ribeiro, A., Pereira, E., Guimarães, F., n.d.
- 834 Exhumation of an anatectic complex by channel flow and extrusion tectonics:
- 835 structural and metamorphic evidence from the Porto Viseu Metamorphic Belt,

836 Central-Iberian Zone. Int. J. Earth Sci.

837 Bento dos Santos, T.M., Munhá, J.M., Tassinari, C.C.G., Fonseca, P.E., 2011a. The

- 838 link between partial melting, granitization and granulite development in central
- 839 Ribeira Fold Belt, SE Brazil: New evidence from elemental and Sr-Nd isotopic
- geochemistry. J. South Am. Earth Sci. 31, 262–278.
- 841 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2011.01.004
- 842 Bento dos Santos, T.M., Munhá, J.M., Tassinari, C.C.G., Fonseca, P.E., Neto, C.D.,
- 2011b. Metamorphic P-T evolution of granulites in the central Ribeira Fold Belt,
- 844 SE Brazil. Geosci. J. 15, 27–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12303-011-0004-1
- 845 Blichert-Toft, J., Albarède, F., 1997. The Lu-Hf isotope geochemistry of chondrites and
- the evolution of the mantle-crust system. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 148, 243–258.
- 847 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(97)00040-X
- Brown, C.R., Yakymchuk, C., Brown, M., Fanning, C.M., Korhonen, F.J., Piccoli, P.M.,
- 849 Siddoway, C.S., 2016. From source to sink: Petrogenesis of cretaceous anatectic
- granites from the Fosdick migmatite-granite complex, West Antarctica. J. Petrol.

851 57, 1241–1278. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egw039

- Brown, M., 2013. Granite: From genesis to emplacement. Bull. Geol. Soc. Am. 125,
- 853 1079–1113. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30877.1
- Bucher, K., Grapes, R., 2011. Petrogenesis of Metamorphic Rocks 8th Edition, Journal
- of Chemical Information and Modeling. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74169-5
- 856 Burg, J.-P., Van den Driessche, J., Brun, J.-P., 1994. Syn-to post-thickening extension
- in the Variscan Belt of Western Europe: Modes and structural consequences.
- 858 Géologie la Fr. 3, 33–51.
- 859 Carríngton da Costa, J., Teixeira, C., 1957. Carta Geológica de Portugal, na escala
- 860 1/50.000, Notícia Explicativa da Folha 9-C. Serviços Geológicos Port. 39 pp.
- Castro, A., 2014. The off-crust origin of granite batholiths. Geosci. Front. 5, 63–75.
- 862 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GSF.2013.06.006

- Chappell, B.W., White, A.J.R., 2001. Two contrasting granite types: 25 years later.
 Aust. J. Earth Sci. 48, 489–499.
- Chappell, B.W., White, A.J.R., 1974. Two contrasting granite types. Pacific Geol. 8,
 173–174.
- Chauvel, C., Garçon, M., Bureau, S., Besnault, A., Jahn, B., Ding, Z., 2014. Constraints
 from loess on the Hf Nd isotopic composition of the upper continental crust.
- 869 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 388, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.11.045
- 870 Clemens, J., 2006. Melting of the continental crust: Fluid regimes, melting reactions,
- and source-rock fertility, Evolution and Differentiation of the Continental Crust.
- 872 Clemens, J.D., Stevens, G., 2012. What controls chemical variation in granitic
- 873 magmas? Lithos 134–135, 317–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2012.01.001
- Clemens, J.D., Vielzeuf, D., 1987. Constraints on melting and magma production in the
 crust. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 86, 287–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-
- 876 821X(87)90227-5
- 877 Costa, M.M., Neiva, A.M.R., Azevedo, M.R., Corfu, F., 2014. Distinct sources for
- 878 syntectonic Variscan granitoids: Insights from the Aguiar da Beira region, Central
- 879 Portugal. Lithos 196–197, 83–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.02.023
- 880 Davies, G.R., Tommasini, S., 2000. Isotopic disequilibrium during rapid crustal

anatexis: Implications for petrogenetic studies of magmatic processes. Chem.

- 882 Geol. 162, 169–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(99)00123-0
- Dias, R., Ribeiro, A., 1995. The Ibero-Armorican Arc: A collision effect against an
- irregular continent? Tectonophysics 246, 113–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/00401951(94)00253-6
- Bias, R., Ribeiro, A., Romão, J., Coke, C., Moreira, N., 2016. A review of the arcuate
 structures in the Iberian Variscides; constraints and genetic models.

888	Tectonophysics 681, 170–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.04.011
889	Díez Fernández, R., Pereira, M.F., 2016. Extensional orogenic collapse captured by
890	strike-slip tectonics: Constraints from structural geology and U[sbnd]Pb
891	geochronology of the Pinhel shear zone (Variscan orogen, Iberian Massif).
892	Tectonophysics 691, 290–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.10.023
893	Dou, J., Siebel, W., He, J., Chen, F., 2019. Different melting conditions and
894	petrogenesis of peraluminous granites in western Qinling, China, and tectonic
895	implications. Lithos 336-337, 97-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.04.003
896	Esteves, A.F., 2006. As rochas metamórficas da região de Viseu. University of Aveiro.
897	Farina, F., Stevens, G., 2011. Lithos Source controlled 87 Sr / 86 Sr isotope variability
898	in granitic magmas : The inevitable consequence of mineral-scale isotopic
899	disequilibrium in the protolith. LITHOS 122, 189–200.
900	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2011.01.001
901	Ferreira, J.A., Bento dos Santos, T., Pereira, I., Mata, J., 2019. Tectonically assisted
902	exhumation and cooling of Variscan granites in an anatectic complex of the
903	Central Iberian Zone , Portugal : constraints from LA - ICP - MS zircon and apatite
904	U – Pb ages. Int. J. Earth Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-019-01755-1
905	Ferreira, J.A., Ribeiro, M.A., Martins, H.C.B., 2014. The Pedregal granite (Portugal):
906	petrographic and geochemical characterization of a peculiar granitoid. Estud.
907	Geológicos 70, e019. https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.41730.321
908	Frost, B.R., Calvin, G.B., William, J.C., Arculus, R.J., Ellis, D.J., Frost, C.D., 2001. A
909	Geochemical Classification for Granitic Rocks. J. Petrol. 42, 2033–2048.
910	Gao, L.E., Zeng, L., Asimow, P.D., 2017. Contrasting geochemical signatures of fluid-
911	absent versus fluid-fluxed melting of muscovite in metasedimentary sources: The

912 Himalayan leucogranites. Geology 45. https://doi.org/10.1130/G38336.1

913	Griffin, W.L., Wang, X., Jackson, S.E., Pearson, N.J., O'Reilly, S.Y., Xu, X., Zhou, X.,
914	2002. Zircon chemistry and magma mixing, SE China: In-situ analysis of Hf
915	isotopes, Tonglu and Pingtan igneous complexes. Lithos 61, 237–269.
916	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(02)00082-8
917	Hart, S.R., Blusztajn, J., Dick, H.J.B., Meyer, P.S., Muehlenbachs, K., 1999. The
918	fingerprint of seawater circulation in a 500-meter section of ocean crust gabbros.
919	Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 4059–4080. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-
920	7037(99)00309-9.
921	Henrichs, I.A., O'Sullivan, G., Chew, D.M., Mark, C., Babechuk, M.G., McKenna, C.,
922	Emo, R., 2018. The trace element and U-Pb systematics of metamorphic apatite.
923	Chem. Geol. 483, 218–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.12.031
924	Iglesias, M., Ribeiro, A., 1981. La zone de cisaillement ductile de Juzbado
925	(Salamanca)-Penalva Do Castelo (Viseu): un linéament ancien réactivé pendant
926	l'orogénese hercynienne? Comun. dos Serviços Geológicos Port. 67(1), 89–93.
927	Inger, S., Harris, N., 1993. Geochemical Constraints on Leukogranite Magmatism in
928	the Langtang Valley, Nepal Himalaya. J. Petrol. 34, 345–368.
929	https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/34.2.345
930	Jacobsen, S.B., Wasserburg, G.J., 1980. Sm-Nd isotopic evolution of chondrites. Earth
931	Planet. Sci. Lett. 50, 139–155. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-
932	821X(80)90125-9
933	Johannes, W., Ehlers, C., Kriegsman, L.M., Mengel, K., 2003. The link between
934	migmatites and S-type granites in the Turku area , southern Finland. Lithos 68,
935	69–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(03)00032-X
936	Jung, S., 2005. Isotopic equilibrium/disequilibrium in granites, metasedimentary rocks
937	and migmatites (Damara orogen, Namibia) - A consequence of

- polymetamorphism and melting. Lithos 84, 168–184.
- 939 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2005.03.013
- Jung, S., Pfänder, J.A., 2007. Source composition and melting temperatures of
- 941 orogenic granitoids: constraints from CaO/Na2O, Al2O3/TiO2 and accessory
- 942 mineral saturation thermometry. Eur. J. Mineral. 19, 859–870.
- 943 https://doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2007/0019-1774
- Liew, T., Hofmann, A., 1988. Precambrian crustal components, plutonic associations,
- 945 plate environment of the Hercynian Fold Belt of central Europe: Indications from a
- 946 Nd and Sr isotopic study. Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol. 98, 129–138.
- 947 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00402106
- Lugmair, G.W., Marti, K., 1978. Lunar initial 143Nd/144Nd: Differential evolution of the
- 949 Iunar crust and mantle. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 39, 349–357.
- 950 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(78)90021-3
- 951 Martínez Catalán, J.R., Rubio Pascual, F.J., Montes, A.D., Fernández, R.D., Barreiro,
- J.G., Dias Da Silva, Í., Clavijo, E.G., Ayarza, P., Alcock, J.E., 2014. The late
- 953 Variscan HT/LP metamorphic event in NW and Central Iberia: relationships to
- 954 crustal thickening, extension, orocline development and crustal evolution. Geol.
- 955 Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 405, 225–247. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP405.1
- Martini, A., Fátima, M. De, Weinberg, R.F., Betino, G., Toni, D., Nardi, L.V.S., 2019.
- 957 From migmatite to magma crustal melting and generation of granite in the
- 958 Camboriú Complex , south Brazil. Lithos 340–341, 270–286.
- 959 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.05.017
- 960 Miller, C.F., McDowell, S.M., Mapes, R.W., 2003. Hot and cold granites: Implications of
- 261 zircon saturation temperatures and preservation of inheritance. Geology 31, 529–
- 962 532. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2003)031<0529:HACGIO>2.0.CO;2

- Milord, I., Sawyer, E.W., Brown, M., 2001. Formation of diatexite migmatite and granite
 magma during anatexis of semi-pelitic metasedimentary rocks: An example from
 St. Malo, France. J. Petrol. 42, 487–505.
- 966 https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/42.3.487
- 967 Montero, P., Talavera, C., Bea, F., 2017. Geochemical, isotopic, and zircon (U-Pb, O,
- 968 Hf isotopes) evidence for the magmatic sources of the volcano-plutonic Ollo de
- 969 Sapo Formation, Central Iberia. Geol. Acta 15, 245–260.
- 970 https://doi.org/10.1344/GeologicaActa2017.15.4.1
- 971 Morfin, S., Sawyer, E.W., Bandyayera, D., 2014. The geochemical signature of a felsic
- 972 injection complex in the continental crust: Opinaca Subprovince, Quebec. Lithos
- 973 196–197, 339–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.03.004
- 974 Nance, R.D., Gutiérrez-Alonso, G., Keppie, J.D., Linnemann, U., Murphy, J.B.,
- 975 Quesada, C., Strachan, R.A., Woodcock, N.H., 2010. Evolution of the Rheic
- 976 Ocean. Gondwana Res. 17, 194–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2009.08.001
- 977 Palme, H., O'Neil, H.S.C., 2003. Cosmochemical estimatess of mantle composition.
- 978 mantle core 2, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00201-1
- 979 Patiño Douce, A.E., Harris, N., 1998. Experimental Constraints on Himalayan Anatexis.
- 980 J. Petrol. 39, 689–710. https://doi.org/10.1093/petroj/39.4.689
- 981 Pereira, I., Dias, R., Bento dos Santos, T., Mata, J., 2017. Exhumation of a migmatite
- 982 complex along a transpressive shear zone: Inferences from the Variscan Juzbado-
- 983 Penalva do Castelo Shear Zone (Central Iberian Zone). J. Geol. Soc. London.
- 984 174, 1004–1018. https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2016-159
- 985 Perini, G., Cesare, B., Gömez-Pugnaire, M.T., Ghezzi, L., Tommasini, S., 2009.
- 986 Armouring effect on Sr-Nd isotopes during disequilibrium crustal melting: the case
- 987 study of frozen migmatites from El Hoyazo and Mazarrón, SE Spain. Eur. J.

988	Mineral. 21, 117–131. https://doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2009/0021-1882
989	Ribeiro, M.A., Sant'Ovaia, H., Dória, A., 2011. Litologias gnaisso-migmatíticas da faixa
990	Lavadores-Madalena: possível significado das paragéneses com hercinite.
991	Simpósio Model. Sist. Geológicos 343–351.
992	Ribeiro, M.L., 1978. Algumas observações sobre o metamorfismo na região de Tourém
993	(N de Portugal). Serviços Geológicos Port. 151–169.
994	Rosenberg, C.L., Handy, M.R., 2005. Experimental deformation of partially melted
995	granite revisited: implications for the continental crust. J. Metamorph. Geol. 23,
996	19–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1314.2005.00555.x
997	Sawyer, E.W., 2008. Atlas of Migmatites. NRC Research Press and Mineralogical
998	Association of Canada. https://doi.org/doi:10.1139/9780660197876
999	Sawyer, E.W., 1987. The role of partial melting and fractinal crystallization determining
1000	discordant migmatites leucosome compositions. J. Petrol. 28, 445–473.
1001	Sawyer, E.W., Cesare, B., Brown, M., 2011. When the continental crust melts.
1002	Elements 7, 229–234. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.7.4.229
1003	Schulmann, K., Schaltegger, U., Jezek, J., Thompson, A.B., Edel, J.B., 2002. Rapid
1004	burial and exhumation during orogeny: Thickening and synconvergent exhumation
1005	of thermally weakened and thinned crust (Variscan Orogen in Western Europe).
1006	Am. J. Sci. 302, 856–879. https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.302.10.856
1007	Silva, A., Ribeiro, M.L., 2000. Carta Geológica Simplificada do Parque Arqueológico do
1008	Vale do Côa. Vila Nova de Foz Côa.
1009	Söderlund, U., Patchett, P.J., Vervoort, J., Isachsen, C., 2004. The 176Lu decay
1010	constant determined by Lu-Hf and U-Pb isotope systematics of Precambrian mafic
1011	intrusions. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 219, 311–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-

1012 821X(04)00012-3

- 1013 Sola, A.M., Becchio, R.A., Pimentel, M.M., 2013. Petrogenesis of migmatites and
- 1014 leucogranites from Sierra de Molinos , Salta , Northwest Argentina : A petrologic
- 1015 and geochemical study Lithos Petrogenesis of migmatites and leucogranites from
- 1016 Sierra de Molinos, Salta, Northwest Argentina: A petrologic. LITHOS 177, 470-
- 1017 491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.07.025
- 1018 Spear, F.S., Kohn, M.J., Cheney, J.T., 1999. P T paths from anatectic pelites.
- 1019 Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol. 134, 17–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004100050466
- 1020 Steiger, R.H., Jäger, E., 1977. Subcommission on geochronology: Convention on the
- 1021 use of decay constants in geo- and cosmochronology. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 36,

1022 359–362. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(77)90060-7

- 1023 Tang, M., Wang, X., Shu, X., Wang, D., Yang, T., Gopon, P., 2014. Hafnium isotopic
- 1024 heterogeneity in zircons from granitic rocks : Geochemical evaluation and
- 1025 modeling of " zircon effect " in crustal anatexis. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 389, 188–

1026 199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.12.036

- Tassinari, C.C.G., Medina, J., Pinto, M.S., 1996. Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd geochronology and
 isotope geochemistry of central Iberian metasedimentary rocks (Portugal). Geol.
 en Mijnb. 75, 69–79.
- 1030 Teixeira, R.J.S., 2008. Mineralogia, petrologia e geoquímica dos granitos e seus
 1031 encraves da região de Carrazeda de Ansiães. Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e
 1032 Alto Douro.
- Tommasini, S., Davies, G.R., 1997. Isotope disequilibrium during anatexis: a case
 study of contact melting, Sierra Nevada, California. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 148,
 273–285.
- Trail, D., Watson, E., Tailby, N., 2012. Ce and Eu anomalies in zircon as proxies for
 oxidation state of magmas. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 97, 70–87.

1038 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.08.032

- Tuttle, O.F., Bowen, N.L., 1958. Origin of granite in the light of experimental studies in
 the system NaAlSi3O8-KAlSi3O8-SiO2-H2O, Geological Society of America
 Memoir.
- 1042 Vanderhaeghe, O., 2009. Migmatites, granites and orogeny: Flow modes of partially-
- 1043 molten rocks and magmas associated with melt/solid segregation in orogenic
- 1044 belts. Tectonophysics 477, 119–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.06.021
- 1045 Vernon, R.H., Clarke, G.L., 2008. Principles of metamorphic petrology. Cambridge
 1046 University Press.
- 1047 Vervoort, J.D., Blichert-Toft, J., 1999. Evolution of the depleted mantle: Hafnium

isotope evidence from juvenile rocks through time. \gca 63, 533–556.

- 1049 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00274-9
- 1050 Vielzeuf, D., Holloway, J., 1988. Experimental determination of the fluid-absent melting

relations in the pelitic system. Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol. 98, 257–276.

- 1052 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00375178
- 1053 Villar Alonso, P., Fernández Ruiz, J., Bellido, F., Carrasco, R., Rodriguez Fernández,
- 1054 L., 2000. Memoria del mapa geológico de España 1:50000, Lumbrales (Hoja
- 1055 475). Série magna, 1^ªed, 2^ªsérie,. Madrid.
- 1056 Villaros, A., Stevens, G., Moyen, J.F., Buick, I.S., 2009. The trace element
- 1057 compositions of S-type granites: Evidence for disequilibrium melting and
- 1058 accessory phase entrainment in the source. Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol. 158, 543–
- 1059 561. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-009-0396-3
- 1060 Villaseca, C., Barbero, L., Herreros, V., 1998. A re-examination of the typology of
- 1061 peraluminous granite types in intracontinental orogenic belts. Trans. R. Soc.
- 1062 Edinb. Earth Sci. 89, 113–119. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/S0263593300007045

- 1063 Villaseca, C., Merino, E., Oyarzun, R., Orejana, D., Pérez-Soba, C., Chicharro, E.,
- 1064 2014. Contrasting chemical and isotopic signatures from Neoproterozoic
- 1065 metasedimentary rocks in the Central Iberian Zone (Spain) of pre-Variscan
- 1066 Europe: Implications for terrane analysis and Early Ordovician magmatic belts.
- 1067 Precambrian Res. 245, 131–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2014.02.006
- 1068 Wang, X., Griffin, W.L., Chen, J., 2010. Hf contents and Zr/Hf ratios in granitic zircons.
- 1069 Geochem. J. 44, 65–72. https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.1.0043
- 1070 Watson, E.B., Harrison, T.M., 1983. Zircon saturation revisited: temperature and
- 1071 composition effects in a variety of crustal magma types. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 64,
- 1072 295–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(83)90211-X
- 1073 Weinberg, R.F., Hasalová, P., 2015. Water-fluxed melting of the continental crust: A
- 1074 review. Lithos. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.08.021
- 1075 White, W., 2010. Oceanic Island Basalts and Mantle Plumes: The Geochemical
- 1076 Perspective. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci 38, 133–160.
- 1077 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-152450
- 1078 Whitney, D.L., Teyssier, C., Vanderhaeghe, O., 2004. Gneiss domes and crustal flow.
- 1079 Spec. Pap. 380 Gneiss Domes Orogeny 15–33. https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-
- 1080 2380-9.15
- 1081 Winter, J.D., 2014. Principles of Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology John D. Winter1082 Second Edition.
- 1083 Wolf, M., Romer, R.L., Glodny, J., 2019. Isotope disequilibrium during partial melting of
- 1084 metasedimentary rocks. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 257, 163–183.
- 1085 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.05.008
- 1086 Yakymchuk, C., 2019. On Granites. J. Geol. Soc. India 94, 9–22.
- 1087 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-019-1261-2

- Yakymchuk, C., Acosta-Vigil, A., 2019. Geochemistry of phosphorus and the behavior
 of apatite during crustal anatexis: Insights from melt inclusions and
 nanogranitoids. Am. Mineral. 104, 1765–1780. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-20197054
- 1092 Yakymchuk, C., Brown, C.R., Brown, M., Siddoway, C.S., Fanning, C.M., Korhonen,
- 1093 F.J., 2015. Paleozoic evolution of western Marie Byrd Land, Antarctica. Bull. Geol.
- 1094 Soc. Am. 127, 1464–1484. https://doi.org/10.1130/B31136.1
- Yakymchuk, C., Brown, M., 2014. Consequences of open-system melting in tectonics.
 J. Geol. Soc. London. 171, 21–40. https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2013-039
- 1097 Yakymchuk, C., Clark, C., White, R.W., 2017. Phase Relations, Reaction Sequences

and Petrochronology. Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry 83, 13–53.

- 1099 https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2017.83.2
- 1100 Yakymchuk, C., Siddoway, C.S., Fanning, C.M., Mcfadden, R., Korhonen, F.J., Brown,

1101 M., 2013. Anatectic reworking and differentiation of continental crust along the

active margin of Gondwana: A zircon Hf-O perspective from West Antarctica.

1103 Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ. 383, 169–210. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP383.7

1104 Yang, L., Liu, X.-C., Wang, J.-M., Wu, F.-Y., 2019. Is Himalayan leucogranite a product

by in situ partial melting of the Greater Himalayan Crystalline? A comparative

1106 study of leucosome and leucogranite from Nyalam, southern Tibet. Lithos 342–

- 1107 343, 542–556.
- 1108 Zartman, R.E., Doe, B.R., 1981. Plumbotectonics the model. Tectonophysics 75,1109 135–162.
- Zeng, L., Asimow, P.D., Saleeby, J.B., 2005a. Coupling of anatectic reactions and
 dissolution of accessory phases and the Sr and Nd isotope systematics of
 anatectic melts from a metasedimentary source. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 69,

- 1113 3671–3682. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GCA.2005.02.035
- Zeng, L., Saleeby, Æ.J.B., Ducea, Æ.M., 2005b. Geochemical characteristics of crustal
 anatexis during the formation of migmatite at the Southern Sierra Nevada ,

1116 California. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-005-0010-2

1117 Figure Captions

Fig.1 – (a) Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo-Lumbrales Anatectic Complex in the Iberian
Variscan Belt setting (adapted from Dias et al., 2016); (b) Geological map of the
Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo-Lumbrales Anatectic Complex (modified from Silva and
Ribeiro, 2000).

Fig. 2 – Field observations: (a) phyllites with andalusite porphyroblasts; (b) metatexite with bands of peritectic sillimanite; (c) metatexite with boudinated leucosome veins; (d) leucosome pocket associated to metatexite; (e) restitic nodule in diatexite; (f) schlieren structures in diatexite; (g) nebulitic texture in diatexite; (h) and (i) two-mica granites with variable grain size.

Fig. 3 – Petrographic observations: (a) phyllite with foliated texture; (b) pseudomorph of andalusite in the phyllite; (c) alternation of leucosome and melanosome in the metatexite; (d) fibrolite included in the retrograde secondary muscovite in metatexite; (e) Corroded biotite and melt films evidences (red arrows) in diatexite; (f) secondary muscovite including fibrolite in diatexite; (g) granite texture and typical mineralogy; (h) granite with evidences of deformation.

1133 Fig. 4 – Harker diagrams for major elements for the host rocks (phyllites and 1134 quartzphyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites).

1135 Fig. 5 – Harker diagrams for trace elements for the host rocks (phyllites and 1136 quartzphyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites).

Fig. 6 – (a) Multi-element diagram for phyllites, quartzphyllites and metatexites; (b)
Multi-element diagram for type-1 diatexites, type-2 diatexites and granites. Chondrite
normalization values after Palme and O'Neil (2003).

Fig. 7 – (a) REE diagram for phyllites, quartzphyllites and metatexites; (b) REE
diagram for type-1 diatexites and type-2 diatexites; (c) REE diagram for granites.
Chondrite normalization values after Palme and O'Neil (2003).

Fig. 8 – (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) Sr, Y, Th, U and REE (ppm) *versus* SiO2 WR (Whole-Rock; wt. %), respectively; (f) Apatite REE pattern for phyllites, quartzphyllites, metatexites, type-1 diatexites, type-2 diatexites and granites; (g) Zircon REE pattern for metatexites, type-1 diatexites, type-2 diatexites and granites. Chondrite normalization values after Palme and O'Neil (2003).

Fig. 9 – (a) ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ versus εNd₃₂₀ diagram for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-1148 LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites); (b) ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₃₂₀ versus εNd₃₂₀ 1149 diagram for the host rocks (phyllites), FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and 1150 1151 granites) and comparison with other geological domains of the CIZ: Douro-Beiras Supergroup (Douro Group - Teixeira, 2008; Beiras Group - Tassinari et al., 1996; 1152 1153 South CIZ - Villaseca et al., 2014), Aguiar da Beira granitoids (biotite granodioritegranite and muscovite-biotite leucogranite; Costa et al., 2014), and Ollo de Sapo 1154 gneisses (Montero et al., 2017). The Aguiar da Beira granitoids were chosen because 1155 of its proximity to the FCR-LAC and their syn-tectonic character; (c) ENd₃₂₀ versus 1156 εHf₃₂₀ diagram for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, 1157 diatexites and granites). The green and the purple ellipses correspond to groups 1158 defined by very close ϵNd_{320} vs. ϵHf_{320} compositions. 1159

1160 Fig.10 – (a) ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ versus ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-1161 LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites); (b) ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{204}Pb_{320}$ versus

²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb₃₂₀ for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites,
diatexites and granites).

Fig. 11 – (a) Aluminium Saturation Index (ASI) classification for granitic and diatexitic rocks proposed by (Frost et al., 2001); (b) A-B granite classification diagram of Villaseca et al. (1998); (c) Ab-Or-Q ternary diagram (Tuttle and Bowen, 1958; Winter, 2014) for the FCR-LAC granites; (d) Na_2O+K_2O-CaO (wt. %) versus SiO_2 (wt. %) classification diagram (Frost et al., 2001) showing the ranges between alkalic and calcic composition for the FCR-LAC diatexites and granites.

Fig. 12 – (a) CaO/Na₂O versus Al₂O₃/TiO₂ (wt. %) distinguish between pelite-derived 1170 melts (CaO/Na₂O < 0.3) and melts derived from greywackes or igneous sources (Jung 1171 and Pfänder, 2007) for FCR-LAC granites and diatexites; (b) Rb/Sr versus Sr (ppm) 1172 1173 and (c) Rb/Sr versus Ba (ppm) for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites). The vectors represent the evolution of partial 1174 melting reactions (Inger and Harris, 1993): Mu(VP) - vapour-present muscovite 1175 1176 melting; Mu(VA) - vapour-absent muscovite melting; Bi(VA) - vapour-absent biotite 1177 melting; (d) Cao (wt. %) versus Eu/Eu* for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites). 1178

Fig. 13 – Apatite and zircon role during the partial melting process in the FCR-LAC: (a) P₂O₅ versus LREE (ppm); (b) Zr versus Hf (ppm); (c) Zr versus HREE (ppm); (d) Hf versus HREE (ppm).

Fig. 14 – (a) ϵ Nd₀ *versus* ϵ Hf₀ diagram for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites); (b) ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr₀ *versus* ϵ Nd₀ diagram for the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites); (b) and (c) Plumbotectonic model after Zartman and Doe (1981) applied to the host rocks (phyllites) and FCR-LAC lithologies (metatexites, diatexites and granites): A – mantle; B – orogene; C – upper crust; D – lower crust.

Fig. 15 – Covariation between LILE (K, Sr and Ba) and HFSE (TiO₂), (a), (b) and (c),
respectively; (d) AFC assessment – La/Sm *versus* Th/Sc (ppm).

1190

Table 1 – Modal mineralogical proportion for the host rocks (phyllites and
quartzphyllites) and rocks from the Figueira de Castelo Rodrigo-Lumbrales Anatectic
Complex (metatexites, diatexites and granites).

1194 Table 2 – Whole-rock chemical composition of phyllites, quartzphyllites (qtzphyllites)

and FCR-LAC rocks (metatexites, diatexites, and granites). Major elements in weight

1196 percentage (wt. %) and the trace elements expressed in parts per million (ppm).

- 1197 Table 3 Apatite trace element composition (ppm) from the host rocks (phyllites) and
- 1198 FCR-LAC rocks (metatexites, diatexites, and granites).
- Table 4 Zircon trace element composition (ppm) from FCR-LAC rocks (metatexites,
 diatexites, and granites).
- 1201 Table 5 Whole-rock Sr, Nd, Hf and Pb isotopic data for the host rocks (phyllites) and
- 1202 FCR-LAC rocks (metatexites, diatexites, and granites).

Table 1

	Phyllites	Quartzphyllites	Metatexites	Type-1 Diatexites	Type-2 Diatexites	Granites
Quartz	36	48	32	32	40	33
Plagioclase	10	-	14	22	28	23
K-feldspar	-	-	7	12	14	17
Biotite	30	30	22	9	8	12
Muscovite	19	19	21	20	9	12
Sillimanite	-	-	3	4	<1	3
Chlorite	4	2	-	-	-	-
Accessory minerals	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Table 2

Lithology	Phyl	Phyllite N Phyllite S		Qtzphyllite N	Qtzphyllite S						Metatex	tite					
Sample	JTJ-2	JTJ-6	JTJ-18	JTJ-19	JTJ-49	JTJ-41b	JTJ-8	JTJ-20	JTJ-22B	JTJ-24B	JTJ-25	JTJ-37	JTJ-48B	JTJ-53	JTJ-54B	JTJ-56A	JTJ-60A
SiO ₂	61.02	54.64	63.82	66.63	68.39	65.71	58.57	66.85	63.74	74.73	60.94	69.23	59.31	64.82	58.51	69.93	71.14
Al ₂ O ₃	18.02	23.40	17.68	14.94	14.18	16.15	20.42	16.58	17.78	12.47	18.57	15.08	18.69	15.58	20.42	14.10	15.38
Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	6.95	7.34	4.91	5.36	4.55	6.24	8.10	5.51	5.61	3.78	6.52	4.76	7.32	5.90	6.79	4.47	2.50
FeO	6.25	6.60	4.42	4.82	4.09	5.61	7.29	4.96	5.05	3.40	5.87	4.28	6.59	5.31	6.11	4.02	2.25
MnO	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08
MgO	2.40	2.06	1.75	1.67	1.65	2.00	2.43	1.74	2.01	1.25	2.24	1.84	2.70	2.16	2.73	1.69	0.73
CaO	1.36	0.30	0.10	0.15	2.36	0.44	0.55	0.79	0.35	0.76	0.51	1.13	0.54	0.23	0.69	0.74	0.60
Na₂O	1.75	1.14	1.18	1.35	2.52	1.75	1.57	2.30	1.18	2.18	1.40	2.65	1.21	1.45	1.82	2.80	3.04
K ₂ O	3.40	4.49	4.34	2.86	3.68	3.03	3.92	3.48	3.84	3.41	4.16	3.27	4.42	2.84	3.67	3.41	4.34
TiO ₂	0.87	0.82	0.76	0.86	0.76	0.89	0.84	0.86	0.70	0.58	0.78	0.64	0.82	0.70	0.74	0.60	0.32
P ₂ O ₅	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.24
LOI	3.65	5.09	4.22	5.02	1.01	3.60	3.72	2.25	3.69	1.23	4.51	1.53	4.43	4.72	4.75	1.63	1.37
Total	99.62	99.45	98.92	98.95	99.31	100.00	100.30	100.50	99.08	100.70	99.84	100.40	99.68	98.63	100.40	99.62	99.74
Sc	15.00	18.00	15.00	14.00	11.00	15.00	18.00	15.00	15.00	8.00	16.00	12.00	17.00	15.00	17.00	13.00	7.00
V	91.00	115.00	123.00	94.00	67.00	98.00	112.00	92.00	111.00	59.00	111.00	79.00	109.00	136.00	124.00	72.00	36.00
Ba	737.00	780.00	764.00	608.00	660.00	608.00	735.00	497.00	615.00	683.00	921.00	664.00	738.00	1038.00	1005.00	361.00	286.00
Sr	209.00	110.00	64.00	49.00	243.00	82.00	118.00	149.00	79.00	156.00	114.00	189.00	111.00	74.00	169.00	107.00	79.00
Y	32.00	29.00	28.00	34.00	28.00	33.00	29.00	22.00	24.00	21.00	26.00	29.00	28.00	33.00	29.00	26.00	14.00
Zr	203.00	133.00	211.00	218.00	386.00	285.00	142.00	188.00	162.00	274.00	142.00	200.00	140.00	155.00	140.00	240.00	114.00
Cr	90.00	110.00	90.00	80.00	60.00	90.00	100.00	80.00	80.00	50.00	90.00	70.00	100.00	100.00	90.00	70.00	40.00
Ni	40.00	40.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	50.00	30.00	20.00	< 20	30.00	< 20	40.00	50.00	30.00	30.00	< 20
Ga	25.00	32.00	23.00	20.00	19.00	21.00	29.00	22.00	23.00	16.00	25.00	19.00	26.00	23.00	28.00	21.00	22.00
Rb	154.00	184.00	174.00	116.00	154.00	110.00	189.00	167.00	180.00	186.00	170.00	150.00	234.00	170.00	281.00	279.00	260.00
Nb	15.00	14.00	11.00	12.00	13.00	12.00	15.00	13.00	11.00	8.00	12.00	10.00	14.00	11.00	12.00	16.00	19.00
Cs	4.90	9.90	7.60	4.80	8.80	2.10	16.30	12.60	33.30	20.60	9.30	9.40	28.50	13.30	44.10	58.40	42.00
La	51.00	53.90	42.80	46.40	50.90	38.60	61.10	34.70	34.50	43.60	46.40	38.20	45.90	44.70	46.90	40.80	17.70
Ce	92.40	104.00	83.00	93.20	103.00	77.60	114.00	73.00	69.20	91.10	92.10	78.50	90.60	88.30	92.80	82.70	35.00
Pr	11.30	11.90	10.30	10.70	11.40	9.28	13.20	8.22	8.26	9.82	10.90	8.68	10.00	10.30	10.40	9.44	4.03
Na	40.10	43.30	37.90	40.80	41.60	34.30	46.60	31.00	30.00	35.50	40.90	31.60	37.50	38.40	38.40	34.00	14.00
Sm	7.60	8.40	7.30	8.10	7.60	7.10	8.50	6.20	6.10	6.60	7.90	6.40	7.00	7.40	7.40	6.80	2.90
Eu	1.55	1.69	1.41	1.00	1.07	1.42	1.07	1.23	1.22	1.10	1.50	1.30	1.39	1.47	1.53	1.10	0.54
	0.30	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.30	0.70	0.00	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	2.30
	6.00	5.00	0.90	6.00	5.70	6.20	6.00	4.50	5.00	0.00	5.60	0.90	5.40	6.00	5.70	5.00	2.30
Dy Ho	0.00	1.10	1.10	1.30	1.10	1.20	1.10	4.30	0.00	4.30	3.00	1.10	1.00	1.20	1 10	1.00	2.70
Fr	3.30	3.20	3.10	3.70	3.10	3.70	3.10	2.50	2.80	2.30	2.00	3.40	3.00	3.40	3.10	2.80	1.40
Tm	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.54	0.45	0.53	0.46	0.37	0.41	0.33	0.40	0.51	0.43	0.49	0.43	0.41	0.21
Yb	3 10	3 20	3 10	3 50	3 10	3 50	2.90	2.60	2.90	2 20	2 70	3 40	2.90	3.20	2.90	2.80	1 40
	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.51	0.47	0.55	0.45	0.40	0.45	0.34	0.42	0.53	0.43	0.51	0.44	0.43	0.22
Hf	5.40	3.60	5.20	5.50	9.30	7.00	3.70	4.80	4.20	6.00	3.50	5.00	3.80	3.80	3.80	6.10	2.80
Та	1.30	1.20	1.10	1.30	1,20	1.00	1.20	1.50	2.10	1.00	0.90	1.10	1.40	0.90	1.00	2.40	4.90
Pb	23.00	21.00	10.00	11.00	22.00	15.00	25.00	20.00	17.00	28.00	21.00	29.00	22.00	22.00	25.00	17.00	21.00
Th	17.10	16.90	12.00	10.20	16.70	13.40	17.20	10.80	10.50	15.30	12.70	11.90	13.20	12.20	13.20	12.80	5.50
U	3.50	6.50	3.60	3.80	3.20	3.80	4.20	5.10	6.60	3.50	4.50	3.90	6.40	43.70	9.40	7.10	3.80
REE	225.68	245.34	203.33	225.90	237.39	191.88	266.68	171.52	168.04	204.49	220.32	185.78	212.65	213.17	218.20	193.68	83.60

LREE	202.40	221.50	181.30	199.20	214.50	166.88	243.40	153.12	148.06	186.62	198.20	163.38	191.00	189.10	195.90	173.74	73.63
HREE	23.28	23.84	22.03	26.70	22.89	25.00	23.28	18.40	19.98	17.87	22.12	22.40	21.65	24.07	22.30	19.94	9.97
LREE/HREE	8.69	9.29	8.23	7.46	9.37	6.68	10.46	8.32	7.41	10.44	8.96	7.29	8.82	7.86	8.78	8.71	7.39
La/Yb _N	11.08	11.34	9.30	8.93	11.06	7.43	14.19	8.99	8.01	13.35	11.57	7.57	10.66	9.41	10.89	9.81	8.51
La/Sm _N	4.22	4.03	3.69	3.60	4.21	3.42	4.52	3.52	3.56	4.15	3.69	3.75	4.12	3.80	3.98	3.77	3.84
Dy/Yb _N	1.26	1.20	1.15	1.28	1.19	1.17	1.34	1.12	1.12	1.33	1.35	1.07	1.21	1.22	1.28	1.16	1.25
Tb/Yb _N	1.42	1.38	1.28	1.51	1.42	1.38	1.52	1.35	1.37	1.60	1.63	1.16	1.52	1.38	1.52	1.41	1.57
Eu/Eu*	0.68	0.68	0.65	0.65	0.74	0.63	0.68	0.66	0.65	0.56	0.63	0.69	0.65	0.63	0.70	0.55	0.61

Table 2 continued

Lithology				Type-1	Diatexite							Type-2	Diatexite			
Sample	JTJ-27	JTJ-30	JTJ-31C	JTJ-43	JTJ-48A	JTJ-52	JTJ-55	JPC-48	JTJ-23A	JTJ-24A	JTJ-26	JTJ-28A	JTJ-51	JTJ-56B	JTJ-60B	JPC-45
SiO2	72.92	72.29	74.46	68.32	72.75	72.33	73.30	69.83	73.53	74.24	74.82	75.14	75.24	74.98	72.95	74.55
AI2O3	13.92	14.17	14.07	15.60	15.37	14.94	14.72	15.62	14.35	13.93	13.27	14.96	12.87	12.91	15.25	14.11
Fe2O3'	0.97	1.38	0.90	2.90	1.29	1.52	1.13	2.15	1.12	0.75	0.81	0.18	2.67	1.01	0.98	0.81
FeO ^T	0.87	1.24	0.81	2.61	1.16	1.37	1.02	1.93	1.01	0.67	0.73	0.16	2.40	0.91	0.88	0.73
MnO	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02
MgO	0.21	0.31	0.25	0.84	0.27	0.41	0.29	0.67	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.06	0.90	0.36	0.49	0.44
CaO	0.59	0.63	0.54	0.95	0.61	0.74	0.88	0.62	0.46	0.59	0.60	0.42	0.65	0.48	0.44	0.21
Na2O	3.07	3.12	3.13	2.80	3.39	2.98	3.56	2.91	3.57	3.66	3.15	2.57	2.31	3.16	3.89	2.96
K2O	5.31	5.64	5.50	5.71	4.82	5.44	4.18	5.93	4.28	4.97	4.50	6.16	3.77	4.55	3.91	5.27
TiO2	0.12	0.20	0.13	0.75	0.19	0.24	0.15	0.40	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.02	0.39	0.12	0.10	0.12
P2O5	0.36	0.47	0.30	0.44	0.42	0.39	0.25	0.40	0.24	0.25	0.18	0.34	0.14	0.17	0.24	0.20
LOI	1.10	0.93	0.83	1.41	1.05	1.15	1.21	1.23	1.35	0.72	0.98	0.81	1.47	1.50	1.20	1.19
Total	98.58	99.14	100.10	99.74	100.20	100.20	99.68	101.72	99.18	99.38	98.58	100.70	100.50	99.25	99.46	100.61
Sc	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	<7	4.00	3.00	5.00	< 1	8.00	3.00	3.00	<7
V	< 5	< 5	< 5	29.00	6.00	8.00	< 5	13.00	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5	38.00	9.00	9.00	5.00
Ва	196.00	217.00	232.00	640.00	205.00	282.00	468.00	353.00	146.00	203.00	200.00	358.00	582.00	482.00	187.00	220.00
Sr	57.00	56.00	61.00	150.00	50.00	74.00	139.00	89.00	43.00	79.00	63.00	111.00	128.00	115.00	69.00	82.00
Y	12.00	11.00	4.00	11.00	8.00	12.00	9.00	14.00	9.00	8.00	12.00	4.00	24.00	9.00	7.00	10.00
Zr	47.00	79.00	45.00	415.00	74.00	100.00	48.00	163.00	28.00	42.00	35.00	25.00	159.00	42.00	39.00	37.00
Cr	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	19.00	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	40.00	< 20	< 20	6.00
Ni	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	7.00	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	<7
Ga	18.00	21.00	19.00	26.00	24.00	20.00	17.00	23.00	16.00	12.00	16.00	17.00	16.00	12.00	15.00	14.00
Rb	239.00	303.00	275.00	331.00	315.00	282.00	143.00	290.00	238.00	170.00	183.00	234.00	165.00	154.00	145.00	205.00
Nb	6.00	7.00	4.00	6.00	10.00	7.00	6.00	10.37	9.00	4.00	3.00	< 1	7.00	5.00	5.00	4.98
Cs	10.20	8.60	6.60	7.80	20.30	17.10	14.00	-	34.80	9.70	5.00	6.00	10.10	8.60	6.00	-
La	7.20	15.60	5.90	145.00	14.70	20.40	21.00	36.96	3.50	3.60	4.60	1.10	36.60	7.70	6.80	3.79
Ce	15.40	35.10	13.40	368.00	32.20	44.70	41.70	79.12	7.80	7.20	9.60	2.20	74.20	15.20	13.10	7.77
Pr	1.85	4.23	1.65	46.50	3.78	5.19	4.80	9.79	0.88	0.79	1.11	0.28	8.21	1.64	1.49	0.95
Nd	6.70	16.00	6.10	175.00	14.40	19.50	17.10	36.54	3.40	2.90	4.10	1.10	29.20	6.60	5.20	3.46
Sm	1.80	4.10	1.80	25.40	3.90	5.10	4.10	8.99	0.80	0.70	1.10	0.40	5.90	1.30	1.00	0.98
Eu	0.41	0.45	0.39	1.06	0.33	0.55	0.79	0.61	0.25	0.40	0.44	0.55	1.08	0.56	0.34	0.43
Gd	1.90	3.60	1.80	9.50	3.50	4.70	3.30	6.86	1.00	0.80	1.30	0.50	4.80	1.30	0.90	1.12
Tb	0.40	0.60	0.30	0.80	0.50	0.70	0.50	0.85	0.30	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.80	0.20	0.20	0.24
Dy	2.20	2.90	1.10	3.10	2.10	3.10	2.10	3.52	1.70	1.30	2.00	0.60	4.70	1.40	1.10	1.70

Ho	0.40	0.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	0.49	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.10	0.90	0.20	0.20	0.35
Er	1.10	0.70	0.30	0.90	0.50	0.80	0.60	1.10	0.90	0.80	1.20	0.30	2.50	0.70	0.70	1.07
Tm	0.14	0.08	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.20	0.05	0.37	0.10	0.11	0.18
Yb	0.90	0.40	0.20	0.70	0.40	0.60	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.10	1.40	0.30	2.30	0.80	0.90	1.27
Lu	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.10	0.06	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.04	0.36	0.13	0.13	0.20
Hf	1.40	2.10	1.30	10.00	2.10	2.80	1.50	2.32	0.80	1.20	0.90	0.90	4.10	1.00	1.30	< 0.06
Та	0.70	0.80	0.60	0.50	1.90	0.90	1.10	1.03	1.90	1.30	0.30	< 0.1	0.90	1.00	1.40	0.37
Pb	32.00	33.00	33.00	41.00	27.00	36.00	36.00	39.00	36.00	41.00	31.00	43.00	28.00	37.00	28.00	38.00
Th	2.50	7.50	2.90	133.00	6.90	8.10	6.90	20.62	0.90	0.90	1.30	< 0,1	12.30	2.30	1.80	1.54
U	5.50	9.30	6.10	10.30	10.00	7.80	5.90	13.48	6.80	12.80	3.30	1.50	5.40	3.00	7.10	3.38
REE	40.52	84.17	33.20	776.57	76.62	105.95	96.93	186.13	22.12	20.40	27.97	7.62	171.92	37.83	32.17	23.52
LREE	32.95	75.03	28.85	759.90	68.98	94.89	88.70	171.40	16.38	15.19	20.51	5.08	154.11	32.44	27.59	16.96
HREE	7.57	9.14	4.35	16.67	7.64	11.06	8.23	14.73	5.74	5.21	7.46	2.54	17.81	5.39	4.58	6.57
LREE/HREE	4.35	8.21	6.63	45.58	9.03	8.58	10.78	11.64	2.85	2.92	2.75	2.00	8.65	6.02	6.02	2.58
La/Yb _N	5.39	26.27	19.87	139.50	24.75	22.90	28.29	24.80	2.36	2.20	2.21	2.47	10.72	6.48	5.09	2.01
La/Sm _N	2.51	2.39	2.06	3.59	2.37	2.51	3.22	2.58	2.75	3.23	2.63	1.73	3.90	3.72	4.27	2.43
Dy/Yb _N	1.59	4.71	3.57	2.88	3.41	3.36	2.73	2.28	1.10	0.77	0.93	1.30	1.33	1.14	0.79	0.87
Tb/Yb _N	1.96	6.60	6.60	5.03	5.50	5.13	4.40	3.72	1.32	0.80	0.94	1.47	1.53	1.10	0.98	0.83
Eu/Eu*	0.68	0.36	0.66	0.21	0.27	0.34	0.66	0.24	0.85	1.63	1.12	3.76	0.62	1.32	1.10	1.26

Table 2 continued

Lithology	Granite															
Sample	JTJ-31A	JTJ-32	JTJ-34	JTJ-40	JPC-49	JPC-56	JPC-57	JTJ-9	JTJ-10	JTJ-44	JTJ-45	JTJ-47	JTJ-50	JTJ-62	JPC-51	JPC-52
SiO2	73.04	71.18	70.97	74.07	71.66	72.13	71.99	73.37	71.55	71.54	73.60	70.78	72.76	73.31	71.53	71.37
AI2O3	15.07	14.88	15.14	14.33	14.95	14.88	15.02	14.56	14.70	15.20	14.40	14.89	14.50	15.40	14.92	15.19
Fe2O3	1.04	1.91	2.36	0.51	1.94	1.56	1.50	1.28	1.45	1.56	1.36	1.90	1.32	0.92	1.48	1.41
FeO ^T	0.94	1.72	2.12	0.46	1.75	1.40	1.35	1.15	1.30	1.40	1.22	1.71	1.19	0.83	1.33	1.27
MnO	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02
MgO	0.26	0.46	0.57	0.09	0.60	0.65	0.65	0.25	0.29	0.43	0.32	0.48	0.23	0.10	0.71	0.60
CaO	0.55	0.71	0.88	0.34	0.45	0.36	0.36	0.53	0.62	0.60	0.55	0.71	0.57	0.44	0.34	0.33
Na2O	2.90	2.51	2.61	1.99	2.67	3.14	3.03	2.98	3.30	3.04	2.79	2.83	3.15	3.92	3.87	3.04
K2O	5.24	5.43	5.98	7.57	5.61	5.38	5.54	4.99	5.02	5.34	5.28	5.56	5.01	4.05	5.47	6.09
TiO2	0.15	0.29	0.54	0.06	0.32	0.27	0.25	0.19	0.19	0.27	0.21	0.33	0.16	0.08	0.22	0.27
P2O5	0.38	0.48	0.41	0.55	0.33	0.37	0.39	0.36	0.40	0.44	0.41	0.35	0.35	0.45	0.38	0.33
LOI	0.94	1.28	1.20	0.90	1.34	1.09	1.13	1.61	0.96	1.25	1.30	1.11	0.96	1.23	0.98	1.19
Total	99.59	99.15	100.70	100.40	101.64	101.25	101.23	100.10	98.52	99.67	100.20	98.96	99.01	99.95	101.25	101.11
Sc	1.00	3.00	2.00	< 1	<7	<7	<7	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	<7	<7
V	8.00	10.00	17.00	< 5	11.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	5.00	11.00	7.00	13.00	< 5	< 5	7.00	8.00
Ва	211.00	279.00	357.00	143.00	258.00	235.00	217.00	243.00	225.00	262.00	206.00	263.00	139.00	46.00	213.00	261.00
Sr	60.00	68.00	84.00	54.00	69.00	67.00	62.00	57.00	57.00	64.00	54.00	80.00	48.00	27.00	62.00	79.00
Y	5.00	17.00	10.00	9.00	12.00	10.00	12.00	7.00	7.00	10.00	7.00	7.00	6.00	6.00	10.00	9.00
Zr	57.00	137.00	287.00	23.00	129.00	108.00	104.00	64.00	70.00	106.00	84.00	117.00	64.00	40.00	96.00	114.00
Cr	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	8.00	8.00	7.00	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	5.00	5.00
Ni	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	<7	<7	<7	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20	<7	<7
Ga	23.00	24.00	27.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	27.00	23.00	26.00	20.00	23.00
Rb	325.00	316.00	376.00	401.00	284.00	343.00	311.00	311.00	342.00	330.00	338.00	416.00	419.00	521.00	339.00	371.00

Nb	5.00	9.00	7.00	3.00	10.54	11.26	11.68	9.00	9.00	7.00	9.00	9.00	14.00	15.00	10.08	8.87
Cs	20.30	18.40	11.50	13.60	-	-	-	13.30	27.00	15.20	18.90	17.80	26.60	37.50	-	-
La	10.80	31.80	97.30	2.90	37.13	24.76	22.92	15.50	15.90	21.40	19.80	36.00	15.00	8.00	21.82	31.72
Ce	25.00	75.50	248.00	7.80	85.76	54.74	52.13	34.00	34.60	50.80	47.50	84.10	34.10	17.90	50.56	71.00
Pr	3.08	9.37	31.50	1.05	11.01	6.94	6.70	4.01	3.98	6.28	5.85	10.30	4.08	2.13	6.57	9.03
Nd	12.40	35.40	119.00	4.30	41.49	26.35	25.79	14.80	15.20	24.10	22.40	38.50	14.50	7.80	25.37	34.11
Sm	3.10	8.10	20.50	1.50	8.33	6.07	6.00	3.70	3.80	5.80	4.50	8.50	3.60	1.90	5.77	7.68
Eu	0.41	0.54	0.78	0.33	0.42	0.42	0.40	0.34	0.36	0.51	0.38	0.62	0.33	0.13	0.37	0.54
Gd	2.30	6.20	9.30	1.50	5.12	4.50	4.59	3.40	3.50	4.60	3.20	5.40	2.80	1.60	3.96	5.16
Tb	0.30	0.90	0.80	0.30	0.63	0.54	0.63	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.40	0.60	0.40	0.30	0.51	0.58
Dy	1.20	4.00	2.90	1.70	2.75	2.18	2.82	1.90	2.00	2.40	1.80	2.00	1.80	1.30	2.29	2.18
Ho	0.20	0.60	0.30	0.30	0.39	0.29	0.38	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.32	0.29
Er	0.40	1.30	0.70	0.70	0.84	0.58	0.78	0.40	0.40	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.56
Tm	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07
Yb	0.30	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.38	0.55	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.47	0.43
Lu	0.01	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06
Hf	1.50	3.70	7.00	1.00	1.55	<0.06	1.50	1.70	1.90	2.80	2.20	3.10	1.80	1.50	1.31	3.02
Та	0.90	1.10	0.80	0.40	0.58	1.14	1.14	1.80	1.90	1.20	1.50	1.00	3.30	4.90	1.20	0.86
Pb	28.00	36.00	39.00	43.00	38.00	35.00	35.00	27.00	27.00	31.00	29.00	36.00	32.00	16.00	36.00	43.00
Th	6.80	22.20	107.00	1.20	39.25	22.16	20.21	6.90	7.20	13.90	15.60	28.50	9.10	5.10	20.86	33.69
U	6.70	12.60	10.70	3.50	16.30	11.93	14.03	5.10	9.40	8.70	11.10	15.00	13.70	4.50	10.69	5.84
REE	59.55	174.76	531.74	23.02	194.63	127.86	123.85	79.15	80.83	117.92	107.16	187.22	77.71	42.28	118.85	163.41
LREE	54.38	160.17	516.30	17.55	183.71	118.86	113.54	72.01	73.48	108.38	100.05	177.40	71.28	37.73	110.09	153.54
HREE	5.17	14.59	15.44	5.47	10.92	9.00	10.31	7.14	7.35	9.54	7.11	9.82	6.43	4.55	8.76	9.88
LREE/HREE	10.52	10.98	33.44	3.21	16.83	13.20	11.01	10.09	10.00	11.36	14.07	18.07	11.09	8.29	12.57	15.55
La/Yb _N	24.24	26.77	131.06	3.91	41.48	43.79	27.82	34.80	35.69	36.03	33.34	80.82	33.67	13.47	31.35	49.25
La/Sm _N	2.19	2.47	2.98	1.22	2.80	2.56	2.40	2.63	2.63	2.32	2.77	2.66	2.62	2.65	2.38	2.59
Dy/Yb _N	2.60	3.25	3.77	2.21	2.96	3.72	3.30	4.11	4.33	3.90	2.92	4.33	3.90	2.11	3.18	3.27
Tb/Yb _N	4.40	4.95	7.04	2.64	4.57	6.24	5.00	7.33	7.33	6.60	4.40	8.80	5.87	3.30	4.82	5.83
Eu/Eu*	0.47	0.23	0.17	0.67	0.20	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.24	0.26

Table 2 continued

Lithology							Gra	anite						
Sample	JPC-53	JTJ-35	JPC-50	JTJ-11	JTJ-12	JPC-55	JTJ-59	JTJ-13	JPC-19	JPC-24	JPC-59	JPC-54	JTJ-36	JTJ-58
SiO2	71.62	70.63	71.41	71.13	71.39	70.32	71.96	71.78	70.64	72.31	75.24	73.33	72.64	73.41
AI2O3	15.20	15.10	15.05	14.71	15.01	15.51	14.50	14.60	15.15	14.64	13.95	14.72	14.91	14.81
Fe2O3 ^T	1.72	2.14	1.51	1.64	1.84	2.15	1.66	1.63	1.73	1.89	0.70	1.19	1.34	0.82
FeO ^T	1.55	1.93	1.36	1.48	1.66	1.93	1.49	1.47	1.56	1.70	0.63	1.07	1.21	0.74
MnO	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
MgO	0.70	0.59	0.55	0.43	0.41	0.75	0.54	0.36	0.63	0.64	0.36	0.48	0.31	0.19
CaO	0.40	0.72	0.26	0.73	0.61	0.58	1.01	0.58	0.46	0.37	0.09	0.24	0.63	0.55
Na2O	3.02	2.57	2.99	3.06	2.72	3.16	3.54	2.66	2.63	2.80	3.48	2.98	3.25	3.27
K2O	5.53	5.37	5.93	5.43	5.39	5.32	4.86	5.71	6.91	5.39	4.33	5.20	4.97	4.59
TiO2	0.31	0.44	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.42	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.31	0.10	0.19	0.19	0.10
P2O5	0.37	0.48	0.47	0.37	0.40	0.39	0.29	0.49	0.31	0.36	0.30	0.30	0.44	0.35
LOI	0.95	1.51	1.44	0.94	1.06	1.21	1.02	1.21	0.84	1.01	1.32	1.21	1.11	1.67

Total	101.39	99.58	101.21	98.71	99.14	101.77	99.70	99.31	101.28	101.45	100.52	100.93	99.82	99.78
Sc	<7	3.00	<7	2.00	2.00	<7	3.00	2.00	<7	<7	<7	<7	2.00	3.00
V	8.00	19.00	<5	11.00	9.00	18.00	17.00	10.00	8.00	9.00	<5	5.00	7.00	< 5
Ba	240.00	376.00	183.00	283.00	235.00	287.00	491.00	268.00	407.00	172.00	134.00	146.00	274.00	201.00
Sr	76.00	93.00	47.00	80.00	63.00	74.00	142.00	76.00	106.00	49.00	42.00	46.00	74.00	61.00
Y	10.00	10.00	14.00	9.00	11.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	9.00	11.00	5.00	6.00	9.00	7.00
Zr	123.00	211.00	86.00	112.00	116.00	198.00	130.00	113.00	193.00	130.00	35.00	72.00	74.00	46.00
Cr	9.00	< 20	6.00	< 20	< 20	11.00	< 20	< 20	6.00	7.00	<5	5.00	< 20	< 20
Ni	<7	< 20	<7	< 20	< 20	<7	< 20	< 20	<7	<7	<7	<7	< 20	< 20
Ga	24.00	25.00	23.00	22.00	22.00	25.00	23.00	25.00	23.00	24.00	20.00	22.00	23.00	18.00
Rb	371.00	358.00	403.00	334.00	357.00	369.00	276.00	381.00	305.00	378.00	277.00	293.00	288.00	281.00
Nb	11.61	7.00	14.99	8.00	10.00	9.94	10.00	8.00	4.32	15.97	12.91	7.92	10.00	9.00
Cs	-	10.60	-	14.80	18.30	-	19.10	13.00	-	-	-	-	17.00	18.90
La	33.65	65.40	16.95	26.60	30.40	64.65	38.80	31.20	61.40	37.77	5.03	14.98	12.40	8.50
Ce	75.62	162.00	39.29	60.00	72.70	151.70	77.60	72.00	135.11	87.83	11.04	33.71	26.90	17.70
Pr	9.74	20.40	5.00	7.08	8.82	19.91	8.80	8.88	16.41	11.35	1.36	4.24	3.27	2.09
Nd	36.91	75.60	18.49	26.50	33.50	75.41	30.80	33.80	61.23	43.58	5.09	15.65	12.40	7.50
Sm	8.17	12.90	3.94	6.30	7.00	12.56	5.50	7.40	13.18	9.40	1.22	3.56	3.30	2.20
Eu	0.50	0.74	0.25	0.57	0.45	0.59	0.75	0.56	0.79	0.46	0.11	0.23	0.34	0.51
Gd	5.30	6.40	2.91	4.50	5.10	6.18	3.70	4.80	7.47	5.84	1.20	2.62	3.00	2.10
Tb	0.61	0.70	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.68	0.40	0.60	0.70	0.72	0.19	0.34	0.50	0.30
Dy	2.43	2.80	2.66	2.20	2.80	2.60	1.90	2.10	2.39	2.92	0.95	1.46	2.30	1.80
Ho	0.30	0.40	0.41	0.30	0.40	0.38	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.43	0.15	0.18	0.30	0.30
Er	0.60	0.80	0.91	0.60	0.80	0.82	0.60	0.60	0.69	0.95	0.32	0.40	0.60	0.60
Tm	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.08
Yb	0.39	0.60	0.64	0.40	0.50	0.62	0.60	0.30	0.46	0.66	0.27	0.26	0.50	0.50
Lu	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.07
Hf	2.21	5.10	0.48	2.80	3.10	5.09	3.40	2.90	2.18	2.09	1.06	< 0.06	2.10	1.50
Та	1.05	0.80	1.61	1.10	1.60	1.27	1.40	0.90	0.52	1.23	2.09	1.07	2.10	2.50
Pb	39.00	34.00	34.00	36.00	33.00	36.00	34.00	37.00	53.00	34.00	21.00	33.00	26.00	42.00
Th	32.41	57.10	21.08	14.00	22.30	66.13	17.00	24.60	38.52	36.34	2.48	14.02	4.90	3.40
U	19.28	11.60	12.44	9.90	10.70	13.69	10.30	10.60	10.11	13.20	3.67	13.15	5.70	5.80
REE	174.34	348.94	92.13	135.78	163.34	336.28	169.93	162.66	300.24	202.10	27.00	77.70	65.95	44.25
LREE	164.08	336.30	83.67	126.48	152.42	324.23	161.50	153.28	287.33	189.93	23.74	72.14	58.27	37.99
HREE	10.26	12.64	8.46	9.30	10.92	12.05	8.43	9.38	12.91	12.17	3.27	5.56	7.68	6.26
LREE/HREE	15.99	26.61	9.89	13.60	13.96	26.90	19.16	16.34	22.27	15.61	7.27	12.98	7.59	6.07
La/Yb _N	58.29	73.41	17.92	44.79	40.95	69.91	43.55	70.04	90.16	38.33	12.66	38.24	16.70	11.45
La/Sm _N	2.59	3.19	2.70	2.65	2.73	3.23	4.43	2.65	2.93	2.53	2.60	2.64	2.36	2.43
Dy/Yb _N	4.06	3.03	2.71	3.57	3.64	2.71	2.06	4.55	3.39	2.86	2.31	3.58	2.99	2.34
Tb/Yb _N	6.94	5.13	3.45	6.60	6.16	4.82	2.93	8.80	6.72	4.78	5.69	3.12	4.40	2.64
Eu/Eu*	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.33	0.23	0.20	0.51	0.29	0.24	0.19	0.28	0.23	0.33	0.73

Table 3

Litholog y	9 Phyllite			Metatexite						Type-1	Diatexite		Type-2 Diatexite	Granite X	Granite IX	Granite VIII	Granite V	Granite III	Granite II
Sample	M1_2_ 1	M1_2_ 2	M1_2_ 3	M1_20_ 1	M2_25_ 1	M3_8_ 1	M4_60A_ 1	M5_53_ 1	M2_43_ 1	M2_43_ 2	M3_55_ 1	M3_55_ 2	M1_24A_1	M4_34_ 1	M3_10_1	M2_35_1	M1_12_ 1	M5_13_1	M4_36 _1
Sr	317	1471	333	167	243	487	113	183	112	115	144	131	86	78	99	96	74	76	133
Y	854	480	697	1973	1915	837	1618	1457	847	852	1569	1634	2026	908	542	1026	1546	1083	212
Th	27	97	18	1	1	50	1	0	15	21	1	0	1	7	5	5	19	5	4
U	8	34	18	223	32	17	91	46	33	47	135	123	185	49	51	62	74	75	81
La	386	1585	897	162	167	405	159	126	496	538	192	169	214	391	330	344	380	340	276
Ce	1124	2019	1672	547	559	921	503	425	1848	1924	654	557	574	1404	879	1230	1289	1129	561
Pr	175	174	179	91	97	107	83	74	329	336	114	96	79	241	120	214	215	181	66
Nd	931	630	747	513	565	455	457	435	1760	1808	643	533	348	1282	514	1115	1114	899	259
Sm	253	94	143	216	245	120	190	195	491	502	321	261	142	432	168	377	414	363	67
Eu	21	21	19	17	26	29	16	17	10	10	14	14	11	10	17	13	8	11	22
Gd	252	98	158	301	350	145	255	278	315	321	444	361	194	334	160	318	392	345	59
Tb	33	16	22	60	67	27	50	52	39	39	82	69	48	45	28	47	66	54	10
Dy	169	100	130	386	410	166	316	320	181	184	404	378	341	205	124	226	327	255	46
Ho	29	18	25	77	78	31	61	60	29	30	55	58	67	31	15	35	49	36	6
Er	67	42	60	199	190	78	155	146	68	68	101	130	192	64	31	75	104	73	12
Tm	8	5	8	28	25	11	22	19	9	9	11	17	32	8	4	9	13	8	2
Yb	51	33	46	183	148	73	145	120	52	53	64	111	231	41	24	53	70	46	10
Lu	7	4	7	25	19	9	19	16	7	7	8	14	31	5	3	6	8	5	1
LREE	2870	4503	3638	1529	1632	2008	1391	1255	4924	5108	1923	1615	1357	3750	2011	3279	3413	2911	1229
HREE	638	338	474	1275	1311	569	1039	1028	709	720	1183	1153	1147	742	407	783	1036	833	168
REE	3508	4841	4112	2804	2943	2577	2431	2283	5633	5829	3106	2768	2504	4493	2418	4062	4448	3744	1397
Eu/Eu*	0.25	0.67	0.39	0.20	0.27	0.67	0.22	0.22	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.19	0.08	0.33	0.11	0.06	0.09	1.06
La/Yb _N	5.1	32.6	13.0	0.6	0.8	3.7	0.7	0.7	6.4	6.8	2.0	1.0	0.6	6.5	9.1	4.3	3.7	5.0	19.1
La/Sm _N	1.0	10.6	3.9	0.5	0.4	2.1	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.6	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	2.6
Dy/Yb _N	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.7	2.3	2.2	4.1	2.2	1.0	3.3	3.3	2.8	3.0	3.6	3.1

Table 4

Lith olog y	Metatexite													Type-2 Type-1 Diatexite e			Granite X						
Sam ple	M1T_ 20_11 c - 1	M1T_ 20_25 c - 1	M1T_ 20_46 c - 1	M1T_ 20_57 c - 1	M1T_ 20_78 c - 1	M6T_ 25_1c - 1	M6T_ 25_2c - 1	M6T_ 25_3c - 1	M6T_ 25_4c - 1	M6T_ 25_5c - 1	M6T_ 25_7c - 1	M6T_ 55_10 c - 1	M6T_ 55_12 c - 1	M1T_2 4A_17c - 1	M6T_34 _10c - 1	M6T_34 _15c - 1	M6T_34 _23c - 1	M6T_34 _24c - 1	M6T_34 _26c - 1	M6T_34 _27c - 1	M6T_3 4_3c - 1	M6T _45_ 1 - 1	M6T_ 12_1c - 1
Hf	10140	11080	8180	12530	10000	1430 0	1329 0	1326 0	1330 0	1441 0	1436 0	12750	11060	11810	12850	11660	11990	12070	12430	12670	12650	1028 0	1195 0
U	1152	341	97	2171	851	1310	1137	1261	1378	1213	1239	475	1514	321	734	1258	1182	1603	1480	1000	190	898	2770
Th	419	288	57	520	253	4	3	6	4	4	5	28	117	37	84	314	284	398	7400	980	68	214	1630 0
Th/ U	0.36	0.85	0.58	0.24	0.30	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.25	0.24	0.25	5.00	0.98	0.36	0.24	5.88
Y	-	-	677	-	120	-	-	-	-	-	2074	-	-	610	469	855	797	1199	985	592	799	-	-
La	56	0.2	<lod< td=""><td>14</td><td>3</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	14	3	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>22</td><td>731</td><td>64</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<>	1	0.1	11	0.2	0.1	2	4	22	731	64	<lod< td=""><td>2</td><td>-</td></lod<>	2	-
Ce	95	23	22	70	29	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	5	1	54	15	2	17	38	260	-	64	5	17	-
Pr	8	0.2	0.1	12	3	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.02</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>33</td><td>377</td><td>115</td><td>0.1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.02</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>33</td><td>377</td><td>115</td><td>0.1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.02	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>33</td><td>377</td><td>115</td><td>0.1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1</td><td>0.1</td><td>11</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>33</td><td>377</td><td>115</td><td>0.1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td></lod<>	1	0.1	11	0.3	0.3	4	9	33	377	115	0.1	4	-
Nd	34	3	2	79	21	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	6	1	68	2	3	31	70	209	1920	950	1	27	-
Sm	18	6	3	57	18	1	1	1	1	1	5	2	47	2	6	26	50	90	1170	153	2	25	3440
Eu	4	1	1	15	4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	2	0.2	9	0.5	0.1	1	2	5	7	2	0.1	1	18

																	-				1	· · · ·	
Gd	57	30	15	131	54	12	10	12	12	10	24	14	144	12	23	49	70	106	450	89	13	75	1380
Tb	18	10	5	33	16	7	6	8	8	7	11	7	48	4	6	12	14	20	40	13	5	19	115
Dy	201	128	58	327	182	139	111	143	143	127	177	98	489	54	58	106	111	158	196	97	76	168	457
Ho	69	48	21	94	62	64	52	65	66	59	73	38	120	20	15	28	26	39	38	24	29	45	71
Er	309	230	105	391	288	388	320	394	407	354	419	188	369	101	55	104	91	136	124	89	131	180	200
Tm	63	49	23	77	62	112	94	112	118	103	115	44	55	23	10	19	16	24	21	17	26	35	31
Yb	534	439	215	647	552	1305	1090	1284	1368	1193	1307	434	381	225	80	146	118	178	157	132	214	296	231
Lu	104	92	48	122	116	297	248	290	309	269	295	91	64	48	14	25	20	30	27	23	39	58	38
LRE E	212	32	27	232	73	1	1	1	1	1	19	4	190	19	11	80	170	614	4198	1346	8	75	3440
HR EE	1357	1026	490	1837	1334	2324	1930	2308	2430	2122	2423	915	1679	488	261	490	468	696	1058	486	533	877	2540
REE	1569	1059	517	2069	1407	2325	1931	2309	2432	2124	2442	919	1869	507	272	570	638	1310	5256	1832	542	952	5980
Yb/ Gd _N	11.64	18.19	17.93	6.11	12.73	138.1 4	140.3 8	127.7 1	144.8 1	141.1 5	67.50	38.07	3.27	23.07	4.25	3.65	2.08	2.08	0.43	1.83	19.91	4.89	0.21
Ce/ YbN	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.000 03	0.000 02	0.000 02	0.000 01	0.000 01	0.001	0.001	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.4	-	0.1	0.01	0.01	-
Eu/ Eu*	0.34	0.30	0.51	0.52	0.43	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.44	0.14	0.33	0.26	0.03	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.02
Ce/ Ce*	1.09	26.09	-	1.30	2.49	-	-	-	-	-	1.15	3.77	1.22	14.55	2.43	1.39	1.52	2.34	-	0.18	-	1.43	-

Table 5

Lithology	Phyllite N	Phyllite S			Metatexite			Туре-1	Diatexite	Type-2 Diatexite	Granite X	Granite IX	Granite VIII	Granite V	Granite II
Sample	JTJ-2	JTJ-19	JTJ-20	JTJ-25	JTJ-48B	JTJ-53	JTJ-60A	JTJ-43	JTJ-55	JTJ-24A	JTJ-34	JTJ-45	JTJ-35	JTJ-12	JTJ-36
⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr	0.7250	0.7402	0.7245	0.7321	0.7318	0.7403	0.7489	0.7364	0.7340	0.7447	0.7648	0.7893	0.7636	0.7774	0.7578
±2σ	0.000013	0.000016	0.000019	0.000016	0.000013	0.000017	0.000034	0.000018	0.000065	0.000020	0.000015	0.000024	0.000025	0.000013	0.000021
⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr ₃₂₀	0.7153	0.7089	0.7097	0.7124	0.7039	0.7099	0.7053	0.7072	0.7204	0.7162	0.7055	0.7062	0.7126	0.7022	0.7063
¹⁴³ Nd/ ¹⁴⁴ Nd	0.5119	0.5126	0.5122	0.5121	0.5120	0.5121	0.5121	0.5120	0.5123	0.5122	0.5120	0.5121	0.5120	0.5120	0.5123
±2σ	0.000011	0.000006	0.000009	0.000010	0.000007	0.000009	0.000009	0.000009	0.000011	0.000007	0.000009	0.000008	0.000009	0.000012	0.000012
εNd	-13.42	0.15	-8.30	-10.91	-12.28	-10.57	-10.99	-12.77	-7.16	-8.28	-12.66	-11.06	-12.18	-11.51	-7.49
εNd ₃₂₀	-10.07	3.28	-5.21	-7.65	-8.86	-7.30	-8.08	-8.32	-5.05	-6.21	-8.89	-7.99	-8.36	-8.64	-6.03
Nd T _{DM2} (Ma) ^a	1826	779	1445	1637	1731	1609	1670	1524	1690	1433	1714	1734	1693	1664	1510
¹⁷⁶ Hf/ ¹⁷⁷ Hf	0.2823	0.2824	0.2825	0.2824	0.2824	0.2825	0.2824	0.2824	0.2825	0.2824	0.2823	0.2824	0.2824	0.2824	0.2825
±2σ	0.000005	0.000005	0.000004	0.000009	0.000005	0.000004	0.000005	0.000005	0.000005	0.000007	0.000006	0.000006	0.000005	0.000004	0.000005
εHf	-18.14	-11.40	-8.98	-11.41	-12.09	-9.44	-12.82	-14.92	-9.25	-12.02	-15.16	-13.99	-14.21	-14.06	-10.16
εHf ₃₂₀	-13.68	-7.16	-4.45	-7.99	-8.47	-6.45	-8.16	-8.16	-3.42	-9.25	-8.43	-7.78	-7.71	-7.70	-3.99

Hf T _{DM2} (Ma) ^b	2160	1747	1574	1800	1830	1701	1810	1880	1810	1508	1781	1828	1781	1786	1544
²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	38.7956	38.6236	38.6820	38.8925	39.2638	38.6856	38.4898	39.9730	38.4068	38.2375	42.1802	38.6802	39.2789	38.9877	38.3497
±2σ	0.0021	0.0023	0.0023	0.0021	0.0018	0.0013	0.0022	0.0022	0.0026	0.0024	0.0022	0.0021	0.0017	0.0014	0.0023
²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	18.3550	19.0401	18.7160	18.6505	18.6196	19.0338	18.5718	18.5110	18.4268	18.2206	19.0352	18.6156	18.6437	18.6888	18.6227
±2σ	0.0009	0.0010	0.0011	0.0010	0.0009	0.0005	0.0011	0.0010	0.0011	0.0009	0.0009	0.0010	0.0007	0.0006	0.0009
²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb	15.6924	15.6746	15.6434	15.6434	15.6485	15.6848	15.6502	15.6483	15.6407	15.6246	15.6830	15.6541	15.6583	15.6631	15.6618
±2σ	0.0008	0.0008	0.0009	0.0008	0.0007	0.0005	0.0009	0.0008	0.0010	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0007	0.0005	0.0008
²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	2.1136	2.0285	2.0668	2.0853	2.1087	2.0325	2.0724	2.1594	2.0843	2.0986	2.2159	2.0778	2.1068	2.0862	2.0593
±2σ	0.000029	0.000033	0.000037	0.000046	0.000034	0.000027	0.000037	0.000041	0.000032	0.000037	0.000030	0.000046	0.000039	0.000045	0.000086
²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	0.8549	0.8232	0.8358	0.8388	0.8404	0.8241	0.8427	0.8453	0.8488	0.8575	0.8239	0.8409	0.8399	0.8381	0.8410
±2σ	0.000011	0.000011	0.000013	0.000016	0.000010	0.00008	0.000011	0.000014	0.000010	0.000010	0.000010	0.000015	0.000010	0.000015	0.000024
²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb ₃₂₀	17.8770	17.9549	17.9149	17.9774	17.7057	12.7938	18.0033	17.7218	17.9120	17.2398	18.1733	17.4132	17.5719	17.6702	17.9340
²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb ₃₂₀	15.6671	15.6173	15.6011	15.6079	15.6002	15.3554	15.6201	15.6067	15.6135	15.5728	15.6375	15.5907	15.6017	15.6093	15.6254
²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁴ Pb ₃₂₀	38.0388	37.6798	38.1323	38.2769	38.6531	38.1212	38.2232	36.6712	38.2117	38.2151	39.3876	38.1326	37.5695	38.2999	38.1579

 ${}^{a}T_{DM2} = 1/\lambda \times In({}^{143}Nd/{}^{144}Nd - (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \times ({}^{147}Sm/{}^{144}Nd - {}^{147}Sm/{}^{144}Nd_{CC}) - {}^{143}Nd/{}^{144}Nd_{DM} / ({}^{147}Sm/{}^{144}Nd_{CC} - {}^{147}Sm/{}^{144}Nd_{DM}) + 1)$

 ${}^{b}T_{DM2} = 1/\lambda \times ln({}^{176}Hf/{}^{177}Hf-(e^{\lambda t}-1) \times ({}^{176}Lu/{}^{177}Hf-{}^{176}Lu/{}^{177}Hf_{CC})-{}^{176}Hf/{}^{177}Hf_{DM} / ({}^{176}Lu/{}^{177}Hf_{CC}-{}^{176}Lu/{}^{177}Hf_{DM}) + 1)$

λRb - Steiger and Jäger (1977)

λSm - Lugmair and Marti (1978)

λLu - Söderlund et al. (2004)

 λU and λTh - Steiger and Jäger (1977)

 $^{147} {\rm Sm}/^{144} {\rm Nd}_{\rm CHUR}$ and $^{143} {\rm Nd}/^{144} {\rm Nd}_{\rm CHUR}$ - Jacobsen and Wasserburg (1980)

 $^{147}\text{Sm}/144\text{Nd}_{\text{DM}}, 143\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}_{\text{DM}},$ and $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}_{\text{CC}}$ - Liew and Hofmann (1988)

 $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{\text{CHUR}}$ and $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{\text{CHUR}}$ - Blichert-Toft and Albarède (1997)

 $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{\text{DM}}$ and $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{\text{DM}}$ - Vervoort and Blichert-Toft (1999)

 $^{176}\text{Lu}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{\text{CC}}$ - Griffin et al. (2002)

























Fig.12







