

# Pre-eruptive magmatic processes associated with the historical (218 $\pm$ 14 aBP) explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru)

Nélida Manrique, Pablo Samaniego, Etienne Médard, Federica Schiavi, Jersy Mariño, Céline C. Liorzou

## ▶ To cite this version:

Nélida Manrique, Pablo Samaniego, Etienne Médard, Federica Schiavi, Jersy Mariño, et al.. Pre-eruptive magmatic processes associated with the historical ( $218\pm14$  aBP) explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru). Bulletin of Volcanology, 2020, 82 (1), 10.1007/s00445-019-1335-4. hal-02427506

# HAL Id: hal-02427506 https://uca.hal.science/hal-02427506

Submitted on 23 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Pre-eruptive magmatic processes associated with the historical (218 $\pm$ 14 aBP)
2	explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru)
3	
4	Nélida Manrique <sup>1</sup> , Pablo Samaniego <sup>2</sup> , Etienne Médard <sup>2</sup> , Federica Schiavi <sup>2</sup> ,
5	Jersy Mariño <sup>1</sup> , Céline Liorzou <sup>3</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Observatorio Vulcanológico del INGEMMET, Dirección de Geología Ambiental y Riesgo
8	Geológico, Urb. Magisterial B-16, Umacollo, Arequipa, Peru
9	<sup>2</sup> Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, F-63000
10	Clermont-Ferrand, France
11	<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire Géosciences Océan, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de
12	Bretagne Occidentale, Rue Dumont d'Urville, 29280 Plouzané, France
13	
14	Corresponding author: pablo.samaniego@ird.fr
15	
16	Abstract
17	Magma recharge into a differentiated reservoir is one of the main triggering mechanisms
18	for explosive eruptions. Here we describe the petrology of the eruptive products of the last
19	explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru) in order to constrain the pre-eruptive
20	physical conditions (P-T- $X_{\rm H2O}$ ) of the Tutupaca dacitic reservoir. We demonstrate that prior to

1	Pre-eruptive magmatic processes associated with the historical (218 $\pm$ 14 aBP)
2	explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru)
3	
4	Nélida Manrique <sup>1</sup> , Pablo Samaniego <sup>2</sup> , Etienne Médard <sup>2</sup> , Federica Schiavi <sup>2</sup> ,
5	Jersy Mariño <sup>1</sup> , Céline Liorzou <sup>3</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Observatorio Vulcanológico del INGEMMET, Dirección de Geología Ambiental y Riesgo
8	Geológico, Urb. Magisterial B-16, Umacollo, Arequipa, Peru
9	<sup>2</sup> Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, F-63000
10	Clermont-Ferrand, France
11	<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire Géosciences Océan, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de
12	Bretagne Occidentale, Rue Dumont d'Urville, 29280 Plouzané, France
13	
14	Corresponding author: pablo.samaniego@ird.fr
15	
16	Abstract
17	Magma recharge into a differentiated reservoir is one of the main triggering mechanisms
18	for explosive eruptions. Here we describe the petrology of the eruptive products of the last
	explosive eruption of Tutupaca volcano (southern Peru) in order to constrain the pre-eruptive
19	
20	physical conditions (P-T-X <sub>H2O</sub> ) of the Tutupaca dacitic reservoir. We demonstrate that prior to

the paroxysm, magma in the Tutupaca dacitic reservoir was low-temperature and high viscosity 21 (735 ± 23°C), with a mineral assemblage of plagioclase, low-Al amphibole, biotite, titanite and 22 Fe-Ti oxides, located at  $8.8 \pm 1.6$  km depth (233  $\pm$  43 MPa). The phenocrysts of the Tutupaca 23 dacites show frequent disequilibrium textures such as reverse zonation, resorption zones and 24 overgrowth rims. These disequilibrium textures suggest a heating process induced by the 25 recharge of a hotter magma into the dacitic reservoir. As a result, high-Al amphibole and 26 relatively high-Ca plagioclase phenocryst rims and microlites were formed and record high 27 temperatures from just before the eruption (840  $\pm$  45°C). Based on these data, we propose that 28 the recent eruption of Tutupaca was triggered by the recharge of a hotter magma into a highly 29 crystallized dacitic magma reservoir. As a result, the resident dacitic magma was reheated and 30 remobilized by a self-mixing process. These magmatic processes induced an enhanced phase of 31 dome growth that provoked destabilization of the NE flank, producing a debris avalanche and its 32 accompanying pyroclastic density currents. 33

34

35

Keywords: Tutupaca, Peru, sector collapse, recharge, thermobarometry

36

37

#### Introduction

Constraining pre-eruptive magmatic processes is a key step toward understanding the triggering mechanisms of explosive eruptions. Several processes have been invoked as triggers of such events, including: (1) recharge of a differentiated reservoir by primitive magmas followed by magma mixing (e.g. Pinatubo 1991; Pallister et al. 1992), (2) self-mixing between magmas with the same composition but different temperatures and volatile contents (e.g.

- 43 Soufriere Hills, Montserrat 1995, Couch et al. 2001; Tungurahua 2006, Samaniego et al. 2011;
- 44 Ubinas 2006, Rivera et al. 2014); (3) the occurrence of large regional earthquakes (e.g. Puyehue-
- 45 Cordon Caulle 1960, Lara et al. 2004); and (4) the existence of a volatile-saturated magma
- 46 reservoir (e.g. Galeras 1990, Stix et al. 1997; Chaitén 2008, Castro and Dingwell 2009; Calbuco
- 47 2015, Castruccio et al. 2016).

57

61

62

63

- 48 Tutupaca volcano, located in the southern part of the Peruvian volcanic arc (Central
- 49 Volcanic Zone of the Andes) comprises a dacitic dome complex of Holocene age, which
- 50 experienced a large explosive eruption in historical times ( $218 \pm 14$  aBP; Samaniego et al. 2015).
- 51 This eruption was characterized by a sector collapse of the NE flank of the volcano, with the
- 52 subsequent generation of a debris avalanche and a large sequence of pyroclastic density currents
- 53 (Samaniego et al. 2015; Valderrama et al. 2016). The association between a debris avalanche and
- a collapse-triggered explosive eruption has been described in the literature (cf. Belousov et al.
- 55 2007), most notably for the recent eruptions of Mt. St. Helens in 1980 (Hobblit et al. 1981),
- 56 Bezymianny in 1956 (Belousov 1996) and Soufrière Hills in 1997 (Voight et al. 2002). The
  - triggering mechanisms invoked for these eruptions include the presence of a pressurized magma
- 58 body located at very shallow levels (cryptodome) for the Mt. St. Helens and Bezymianny
- 59 eruptions, and a reinforced phase of dome growth for Soufrière Hills volcano.
- In order to understand the magmatic processes and the triggering mechanism at work
  - before the last Tutupaca eruption, we use the work of Samaniego et al. (2015) and Valderrama et
  - al. (2016) as a foundation for a petrological study of the eruptive products of this eruption,
  - focusing on samples of the pyroclastic density current deposits as well as on the pre-collapse
  - domes. We also investigated some scarce magmatic enclaves found in the younger pre-collapse
- 65 domes. Based on these data, we constrain the pre-eruptive physical conditions of the magmas (P-

 $T-X_{H2O}$ ), identify the petrological processes that occurred in the shallow reservoir, and propose a model in which magma recharge and self-mixing in a dacitic reservoir are the triggering mechanisms associated with this eruption.

69

70

83

84

85

86

87

#### Geological setting

The Tutupaca volcanic complex (TVC, 17°01'S, 70°21'W) is located in the southern part 71 72 of the Peruvian volcanic arc (Fig. 1a). It is part of the Central Volcanic Zone of the Andes, which results from subduction of the Nazca plate beneath the South American lithosphere. The TVC is 73 constructed on top of a Mio-Pliocene plateau formed of ignimbritic and volcano-sedimentary 74 deposits (Fidel and Zavala 2001; Thouret et al. 2007; Mamani et al. 2010). It is composed of a 75 basal, lava-dominated, hydrothermally altered and glaciated edifice, as well as two younger 76 cones here called the Western and Eastern edifices, located to the north of the complex 77 (Samaniego et al. 2015). Structural development of the volcanic complex is controlled by 78 regional normal faults with a sinistral component and a roughly N140° direction that have been 79 mapped around Suches lake (Fig. 1b; Mariño et al. 2019). These structures are roughly parallel to 80 81 the Incapuquio fault system, which is located in a forearc setting (not shown, Benavente et al. 2017). 82

Eastern Tutupaca (5815 meters above sea level) is the youngest edifice of the complex and is constructed on top of the hydrothermally-altered Basal Tutupaca edifice. It is composed of at least seven coalescent domes of dacitic composition (64-66 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) that are not affected by Pleistocene glaciations, suggesting a Holocene age (domes I to VII, Fig. 1b, 2a). The youngest dome (dome VII) contains scarce mafic enclaves (only 5 small enclave samples were collected

during our fieldwork). The most conspicuous characteristic of this edifice is a 1 km-wide 88 horseshoe-shaped amphitheatre open to the NE. Eastern Tutupaca was affected by at least two 89 sector collapses, whose deposits were dispersed in different directions: the older deposit was 90 channelized through the Basal Tutupaca glacial valleys located to the E and SE of the volcano; 91 whereas the younger deposit crops out immediately to the NE of the amphitheatre. A large 92 explosive eruption of Tutupaca was radiocarbon dated at 218 ± 14 aBP (Samaniego et al. 2015). 93 This age is corroborated by historical accounts describing a sustained explosive activity in the 94 95 period between CE 1787 and 1802 (Zamácola y Jaúregui 1804; Valdivia 1847). This eruption was characterized by a sector collapse that triggered a debris avalanche and an associated 96 explosive eruption (Fig. 1b) whose deposits spread out into the Paipatja plain to the NE of the 97 complex. On the basis of detailed field work, Samaniego et al. (2015) and Valderrama et al. 98 (2016) described the following deposits for the historical eruptions of Tutupaca: (1) a sequence 99 of pre-avalanche block-and-ash flows exposed in the Zuripujo valley to the east of the Eastern 100 Tutupaca summit (the Z-PDC deposits, Fig. 1b); (2) a debris avalanche (DA) deposit with two 101 main units of different compositions and dynamic behaviours, which is well exposed toward the 102 NE of the complex; and (3) a pyroclastic density current deposit, interlayered with the DA 103 deposit, and covering the Paipatja plain to the NE of the volcano (the P-PDC deposit, Fig. 1b, 104 2a). 105

106

107 Figure 1

108 Figure 2

#### Sampling and analytical methods

Based on the studies of Samaniego et al. (2015) and Valderrama et al. (2016), we focus here on the historical volcanic products of the Eastern Tutupaca edifice. Two samples with contrasting textures, including a pumiceous bomb from the P-PDC (TU-12-06A) and a dense block from a pre-avalanche dome (TU-12-42), were used for modal analyses. We used high-resolution (1200 dpi) images of thin sections scanned between two polarized sheets and Adobe Photoshop® software to do the image analysis, following the procedure described by Zhang et al. (2014). A different shade of grey was attributed to each mineral (plagioclase, amphibole, biotite, titanite, clinopyroxene, quartz, and Fe-Ti oxides), and these were distinguished from the matrix and the vesicles. We calculated the number of pixels for each mineral, as well as the total number of pixels in the image, to obtain the 2D modal percentages. Following Zhang et al. (2014) this method yields low (± 3%) discrepancies with respect to 3D estimates.

Major and trace-element whole-rock analyses (Table 1) were obtained from agate-crushed powders of 37 samples at the Laboratoire Géosciences Océan, Université de Bretagne Occidentale (Brest, France), using an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP-AES) and following the analytical procedure described by Cotten et al. (1995). Relative standard deviation (2 sigma) is ≤1% for SiO<sub>2</sub>, ≤2% for the other major elements and ≤5% for trace elements. Major element compositions of minerals and glasses (Tables 2 to 6) were obtained at the Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Clermont Auvergne (Clermont-Ferrand, France), using a CAMECA SX-100 electron microprobe. Additional information concerning the analytical methods is included in the Supplementary material 1. Trace element compositions for selected phenocrysts (Supplementary material 2) were obtained by Laser-Ablation-ICP-MS analyses, using a 193 nm Resonetics M-50E excimer laser coupled to an

Agilent 7500cs ICP-MS. This technique uses calcium as an internal standard and measurements were calibrated relative to the NIST-612 glass. Standards NIST-612 and BCR was also measured to check the reliability of the results. The typical analytical error for most trace elements is < 10%.

Water content in melt inclusions (Table 6) was determined at the Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans using a Renishaw InVia confocal microspectrometer equipped with a 532 nm diode laser (200 mW output power), a Peltier-cooled CCD detector, a motorized XYZ stage and a Leica DM2500 M optical microscope. We used an external calibration procedure and a set of hydrous glass standards (see Schiavi et al. 2018 for details about the method). Standard glasses were analysed at the same conditions as the samples. Under these analytical conditions the precision, calculated from repeated standard measurements (relative uncertainty), is usually better than 6 %.

145

146

148

149

151

152

153

154

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

#### Petrological data

#### Petrography 147

The dome rocks, the juvenile blocks of the DA deposits and the dense blocks of the P-PDC deposits (Fig. 3a-f) are dark grey, porphyritic (~35 vol.% phenocrysts), partially vesiculated (~5 vol.%) dacites with phenocrysts of plagioclase (15-20 vol.%), amphibole (10-15 vol.%), 150 biotite (3-5 vol.%), clinopyroxene (2-3 vol.%) and Fe-Ti oxides (1-3 vol.%), and with titanite, apatite and quartz as accessory phases (<1 vol.%). The matrix is composed of interstitial glass, with abundant microlites (<100 µm) of plagioclase, amphibole and Fe-Ti oxides. The P-PDC deposits also contain breadcrust-type bombs (Fig. 2c,d) that are light grey, vesiculated (~15 155 vol.%), have porphyritic textures (~15-20 vol.% phenocrysts) and an identical mineral assemblage to the dense blocks. The scarce mafic magmatic enclaves are fine-grained and 156 157 rounded (2-10 cm in diameter), with a quench-textured groundmass constituted of randomly oriented, interlocking, elongate or acicular crystals (Fig. 3g). The main phases are tabular 159 plagioclase (150 to 600 µm), acicular amphibole (100 to 350 µm) and biotite (100 to 250 µm) and scarce Fe-Ti oxides (100-150 µm) in a mostly glassy, vesicular matrix. Also present in the 160 enclaves are some large plagioclase phenocryst with sieve textures and subhedral amphiboles (up to 1-2 mm), probably derived from the host dacite. In addition, a silicic enclave (50 x 30 cm in 163 diameter) was sampled in a block from the older debris avalanche deposit of Eastern Tutupaca. This enclave has a microgranular texture (Fig. 3h), and is composed of euhedral to subhedral 164 zoned plagioclase (40-45 vol.%) with amphibole inclusions, subhedral quartz (5-7 vol.%) with 166 resorption rims, amphibole (3 vol.%) with reaction rims, Fe-Ti oxides (1-2 vol.%), biotite and titanite (<1 vol.%). This enclave has a glassy matrix with abundant microlites of plagioclase, amphibole and Fe-Ti oxides. 168

169

158

161

162

165

167

Figure 3

171

173

174

175

176

170

#### 172 Whole-rock major and trace elements

The Holocene Tutupaca eruptive products are high-K calc-alkaline dacites (Fig. 4, Table 1) according to the classification of Peccerillo and Taylor (1976). All samples from the Eastern Tutupaca domes show very restricted dacitic compositions (64.4-66.1 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, normalized on an anhydrous basis). Dense juvenile blocks included in the DA and the P-PDC deposits have similar chemical characteristics (64.5–65.9 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>). In contrast, the bombs of the P-PDC deposits, especially the scarce pumiceous samples (67-68 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>), and the silicic enclave (68 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) have slightly higher silica contents. The fine-grained enclaves are of basalticandesitic or andesitic compositions (53.0-57.9 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>).

Comparisons between the younger (Eastern) and the older (Western and Basal Tutupaca) edifices of the Tutupaca complex show that, for most major elements, Eastern Tutupaca samples lie on the trend defined by the Basal and Western Tutupaca edifices (Fig. 4). This trend is characterized by a progressive decrease in major elements with silica increase, except for K<sub>2</sub>O that display a positive correlation with silica. Similarly, the overall Tutupaca magmatic trend is characterized by negative correlations of Sr, some transition metals (e.g. V, the Middle Rare Earth Elements (MREE, e.g. Dy) and the Heavy Rare Earth Elements (HREE, e.g. Yb) with silica content. In contrast, the Large Ion Lithophile Elements (LILE, e.g. Th) are positively correlated with silica content (Fig. 4), whereas some scattering is observed for the Light Rare Earth Elements (LREE, e.g. La, Nd), and the High Field Strength Elements (HFSE, e.g. Zr, Nb, not shown). The most striking characteristic of Eastern Tutupaca eruptive products, however, is a slight enrichment in LREE (e.g. La) and Sr, and a notable depletion in the MREE (e.g. Dy) and HREE (e.g. Yb), which results in high La/Yb ratios (41-83) and Dy/Yb ratios (2.5-3.4) for the Eastern Tutupaca samples as compared to the whole Tutupaca volcanic complex (Fig. 4). Lastly, the mafic enclaves represent the most primitive compositions of this volcanic complex, and extend the Tutupaca magmatic trend towards low-silica concentrations.

197

198

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

Figure 4

199 Table 1

200

201 Mineral textures and chemistry

202 Plagioclase is the most abundant mineral in Tutupaca dacites (15-20 vol.%) and appears as 203 euhedral and subhedral phenocrysts and microlites. Phenocrysts have a wide range of compositions from An<sub>24</sub> to An<sub>61</sub>, while microlites have more restricted compositions from An<sub>32</sub> 204 205 to An<sub>52</sub>. Two different groups of plagioclase phenocrysts are identified on the basis of textural characteristics: (1) a dominant first group (10-15 vol.%), which includes euhedral and subhedral 206 phenocrysts with normal zoning (e.g. An<sub>45→22</sub>) and no evidence of disequilibrium (Fig. 3a), and 207 (2) a subordinate group (5-10 vol.%), which includes subhedral plagioclase with concentric 208 resorption zones and/or overgrowth rims 10 to 35 µm-thick with An-rich compositions (An<sub>42</sub> to 209 An<sub>61</sub>) and higher values of FeO\* (0.4 to 0.7 wt.%, see below; Fig. 3b), phenocrysts with sieve 210 textures, and oscillatory (e.g.  $An_{26\rightarrow35\rightarrow51\rightarrow30}$ ) or reverse (e.g.  $An_{26\rightarrow55}$ ) zoning patterns (Fig. 5a,b; 211 212 Table 2).

213

Table 2

215

216

217

218

214

Amphibole is the second most abundant mineral phase in Tutupaca dacites (5-10 vol.%).

According to the classification scheme of Leake et al. (1997), amphibole phenocrysts from the P-PDC, the lava domes and the enclaves include magnesio-hornblende, tschermakite, magnesio-

219 hastingsite and some scarce edenite compositions, whereas microlites from P-PDC samples are

largely magnesio-hastingsite. Amphibole phenocrysts are commonly fresh (unaltered) and euhedral, although a small subset (see below) has oxidized cores and rims, and rarely the crystals are totally replaced by an aggregate of Fe-Ti oxides, pyroxenes and plagioclase (gabbroic-type alteration, Rutherford and Hill 1993; de Angelis et al. 2013).

Three different populations have been identified. Group 1 (3-7 vol.%) includes euhedral 224 and subhedral amphiboles (Fig. 3c, 300-1000 µm) with low-Al compositions (6-7 wt.% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), 225 low Mg# of 66 to 57, with decreasing Mg# toward the rim [where Mg# =  $100*Mg/(Mg + Fe^{T})$ , 226 in mol% and Fe<sup>T</sup> is total Fe]. The second group (2-3 vol.%) is composed of phenocrysts with thin 227 high-Al rim overgrowths (10-20 μm; 8-11 wt.% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) mantling a low-Al core (Fig. 3d, 5e,f), 228 and displaying a sharp increase in Mg# from core to rim (from 50 to 70; Fig. 5f; Table 3). 229 Included in this group are amphibole microlites with high-Al compositions, similar to those of 230 the phenocryst overgrowth rims. The third group corresponds to amphibole from the fine-grained 231 mafic magmatic enclaves (Fig. 3g), which have high-Al compositions and normal Mg# zoning 232 233 (e.g. Mg# 68→62).

234

- 235 Figure 5
- 236 Table 3
- 237 Table 4

238

- Biotite appears as euhedral and subhedral phenocrysts (3 vol.%, up to 2 mm in diameter) as well
- as inclusions in plagioclase and amphibole phenocrysts. Some phenocrysts display a variation in

- 241 FeO\* (e.g. 15-19 wt.%, Table 4) and MgO (e.g. 12-17 wt.%). Some P-PDC biotites are oxidized,
- 242 although the degree of oxidation varies from severe to mild from one crystal to another.

243

- 244 Clinopyroxene (3 vol.%) is present as subhedral to euhedral phenocrysts (Fig. 3e, 100-300 μm),
- as well as microlites (10-50 μm). It is also observed as a reaction product of amphibole
- breakdown. Following the classification scheme of Morimoto et al. (1988), clinopyroxene
- 247 phenocrysts are augites with largely uniform Wo<sub>41-44</sub>En<sub>41-45</sub>Fe<sub>12-16</sub> compositions (Table 5) and
- commonly show slight reverse zoning with higher Mg# rims (70 $\rightarrow$ 80).

249

- 250 Titanite is an accessory phase (< 1 vol.%) that appears as brown, zoned microphenocrysts and
- 251 phenocrysts (Fig. 3b, 200-800 µm) with euhedral, rhombic habits. Titanite phenocrysts contain
- 252 abundant inclusions of Fe-Ti oxides, while some titanite crystals also have reaction rims
- 253 composed of Fe-Ti oxides. They display reverse (e.g. 1.3→1.8 wt.% FeO\*, Table 5) and
- 254 oscillatory (e.g. 1.3→1.8→1.4 wt.% FeO\*) zoning. Titanite does not appear in the mafic
- 255 enclaves.

- 257 Fe-Ti oxides (1-2 vol.%) are present as subhedral microphenocryst and microlites (< 100 μm)
- dispersed in the groundmass and as inclusions in some phenocrysts like plagioclase, amphibole
- 259 and titanite. Two types of oxides have been identified. Titanomagnetite display TiO2
- 260 concentrations ranging between 3.8 and 11.4 wt.%, and ilmenite shows TiO<sub>2</sub> values of 34.1-50.6
- 261 wt.%.

263 Table 5

#### Trace elements in minerals

Trace element concentrations were measured in selected plagioclase, amphibole, titanite, biotite (not shown) and clinopyroxene phenocrysts from P-PDC and DA samples (Fig. 6, Supplementary material 2). The selected plagioclases correspond to the previously defined first group and exhibit a LREE enriched spectrum with a positive Eu anomaly and low concentrations of MREE and HREE. The compositional spectra for clinopyroxene are uniform and concave, showing high concentrations of MREE compared to LREE and HREE, and no Eu anomaly. Concerning amphibole, the high-Al phenocrysts display very homogeneous, REE fractionated, concave spectra with a small negative Eu anomaly, whereas the low-Al amphiboles display a wide REE variability, with LREE-enriched, HREE-depleted spectra and a strong negative Eu anomaly. Lastly, titanite analyses exhibit REE-fractionated spectra, characterized by extremely high values of all REE, and a slight negative Eu anomaly. In addition, for the plagioclase and amphibole phenocrysts, the core compositions display lower REE values than those of the rims (Fig. 6). It should be noted that the low-Al amphibole, and to a lesser extent high-Al amphibole and titanite, exhibit Eu negative anomalies, which indicates the contemporaneous crystallization of plagioclase, amphibole and titanite.

Figure 6

284

Chemical composition of interstitial glass and melt inclusions

285 Interstitial matrix glasses (MG) (Table 6) from recent dacitic samples display rhyolitic compositions (70-78 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fig. 7) with an average value of  $74.4 \pm 2.3$  wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> (n=21, n 286 being the number of analyses) for P-PDC, and  $73.8 \pm 2.8$  wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> (n=2) for recent domes and 287 288 debris avalanche blocks. When plotted on Harker diagrams, MG compositions show a wide scattering for some major elements (K<sub>2</sub>O, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO; Fig. 7) but they form an extension to the 289 whole-rock data toward silica-rich compositions. These glass compositions are enriched in K2O 290 (3.3-6.4 wt.%, Fig. 7) compared to whole-rocks, and they show low concentrations of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 291 (9.9-17.1 wt.%), FeO\* (0.7-1.6 wt.%), CaO (0.2-3.4 wt.%), and MgO (0.0-0.7 wt.%). These 292 features suggest that scattering probably results from the presence of tiny (a few µm) plagioclase 293 microlites on the analysed spot. Plagioclase- and amphibole-hosted melt inclusions (MI) display 294 much more uniform values (74-78 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>), and they also plot at the high-silica end of the 295 296 whole-rock magmatic trend. Water contents measured by Raman spectroscopy in plagioclasehosted MI range between 1.5 and 2.5 (2.1 ± 0.3; n=11) wt.%, whereas amphibole-hosted MI 297 display slightly higher values, ranging from 1.5 to 3.7 (2.5  $\pm$  0.8; n=9) wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O. 298

299

300 Figure 7

301 Table 6

302

303

#### Pre-eruptive P-T-X<sub>H2O</sub> conditions

#### Experimental constraints

304

Pre-eruptive physical conditions (P-T-X<sub>H2O</sub>) can be constrained by comparison of the 305 306 mineral assemblage observed in Tutupaca dacites with experimental studies on analogous magma compositions. The dacitic magmas of Mt. St. Helens (Rutherford and Devine 1988), 307 Pinatubo (Prouteau and Scaillet 2003) and Unzen (Holtz et al. 2005) have a similar major 308 element composition to those of Tutupaca. Amphibole crystallization in these dacitic magmas 309 places strong constraints in terms of P-T-X<sub>H2O</sub>. Amphibole is stable at <900°C, 160-300 MPa, 310 and a water content of between 4-6 wt.% (Scaillet and Evans 1999; Prouteau and Scaillet 2003; 311 Holtz et al. 2005). Biotite is stable at lower temperatures (775-800°C) and pressures (100-200 312 MPa), while the water content can range between 5 and 7 wt.% (Holtz et al. 2005; Castro and 313 314 Dingwell 2009). The presence of titanite in dacitic magmas also provides additional constraints about the crystallization conditions. Experimental work on the Fish Canyon Tuff dacite (Hayden 315 et al. 2008) shows that titanite crystallized at 745-780°C, and a pressure of between 100-300 316 317 MPa. Based on these experimental data, we suggest that Tutupaca dacitic magmas should have equilibrated at 750-800°C, 100-300 MPa and should contain at least 4-6 wt.% water. We should 318 stress that these are first-order estimates. Taking advantage of the ubiquitous presence of 319 amphibole on Tutupaca samples, we applied several amphibole-based thermobarometers in order 320 321 to better constrain the P-T-X<sub>H2O</sub> conditions of Tutupaca dacites.

322

323

324

325

#### Amphibole stoichiometry

Amphibole compositions in calc-alkaline rocks are controlled by different substitutions that are function of pressure, temperature, and melt composition (Poli and Schmidt 1992;

Bachmann and Dungan 2002; de Angelis et al. 2013). The main exchange mechanisms are: (1) the Tschermack substitution  $[Si_{(Tsite)} + Mg_{(Csite)} = {}^{IV}Al_{(Tsite)} + {}^{VI}Al_{(Csite)}]$ ; (2) the Ti-Tschermack substitution  $[2 Si_{(Tsite)} + Mg_{(Csite)} = 2 {}^{IV}Al_{(Tsite)} + Ti_{(Csite)}]$ ; and (3) the edenite substitution  $[Si_{(Tsite)} + \Box_{(Asite)} = {}^{IV}Al_{(Tsite)} + (Na+K)_{(Asite)}]$ . The Tschermack substitution is correlated with crystallization pressure, whereas the Ti-Tschermack and edenite substitutions are mostly sensitive to temperature variations (Blundy and Holland 1990; Poli and Schmidt 1992; Holland and Blundy 1994).

Tutupaca amphiboles plot in two different groups defined by their <sup>IV</sup>Al, Si and Na+K contents, whereas <sup>VI</sup>Al and Mg# are almost constant (Fig. 8). The difference between the two groups corresponds to an edenite substitution, implying a strong difference in temperature, but little variations in pressure. For the recent dacitic rocks (RD and P-PDC), the phenocrysts show both low and high <sup>IV</sup>Al (0.8 – 1.3 and 1.5 – 2.0 atoms per formula unit –a.p.f.u.), whereas microlites show only high <sup>IV</sup>Al content (1.7 – 2.0) (i.e. high temperature). Amphiboles from the fine-grained mafic enclaves also have high <sup>IV</sup>Al values (1.5 – 2.1 a.p.f.u.), and show a weak increase in <sup>VI</sup>Al (up to 0.4 a.p.f.u.).

342 Figure 8

#### Temperature

The paragenesis of Tutupaca dacites is suitable for temperature estimates using amphibole-plagioclase geothermometry (Blundy and Holland 1990). The edenite-richterite and edenite-tremolite formulations of Holland and Blundy (1994) apply to silica undersaturated

(quartz-free) and silica saturated assemblages, respectively. Although the Tutupaca dacites (and 348 the silicic enclave) do contain scarce anhedral to subhedral quartz crystals (Fig. 3f), their 349 rounded shapes and corrosion gulfs suggest these crystals might not be at equilibrium with the 350 rest of the paragenesis. We thus applied the quartz-free edenite-richterite formulation to 351 amphibole-plagioclase pairs that demonstrate textural evidence of equilibrium, including 352 touching euhedral crystals, or inclusions of amphibole in plagioclase phenocrysts. In addition, 353 because this thermometer is not very sensitive to pressure changes, we fixed the equilibrium 354 pressure to 250 MPa (see below). Different temperature estimates were obtained from low-Al 355 amphiboles (phenocryst cores) and high-Al amphiboles (overgrowth rims of phenocrysts and 356 microlites). Low-Al amphibole-plagioclase pairs yield temperatures in the range of 703 to 797°C 357 (mean value of 735  $\pm$  23°C, n=20), whereas rather higher temperatures of 789 to 921°C (835  $\pm$ 358 41°C, n=20) were obtained for high-Al amphibole-plagioclase pairs. In addition, amphibole-359 plagioclase pairs from the mafic enclaves also yield high temperatures (791-921°C, 840 ± 45°C, 360 n=11), consistent with values obtained from high-Al amphibole rims and microlites. Variations 361 in <sup>IV</sup>Al vs. temperature (T) in both amphibole groups (Fig. 9a) show similar relationships 362 between the calculated T and the Mg# (Fig. 9b), with the higher temperatures corresponding to 363 those amphiboles that have both higher Al content and Mg#. This behaviour has been previously 364 described for amphibole phenocrysts from Soufrière Hills volcano by Rutherford and Devine 365 366 (2003).

Temperatures estimated using amphibole and plagioclase were corroborated with the Zrin-titanite thermometer (Hayden et al. 2008), which was calibrated for magmatic titanite in
equilibrium with zircon, quartz and rutile. The Zr content in titanite is strongly dependent on the
temperature and magma composition. We consider that the interstitial melt in Tutupaca dacitic

367

368

369

magma is close to  $SiO_2$  and  $TiO_2$  saturation (70-78 wt.%  $SiO_2$  and up to 0.52 wt.%  $TiO_2$ ), and thus its  $TiO_2$  and  $SiO_2$  activities are almost 1. Zr concentrations of titanite measured by LA-ICP-MS for two phenocrysts range from 488 to 588 ppm and yield temperatures around 747  $\pm$  4°C (n=4). This is similar to the temperatures calculated for the low-Al amphiboles (735  $\pm$  23°C).

376 Figure 9

In summary, amphiboles that record the highest temperatures display high-Al compositions and correspond to the overgrowth rims of phenocrysts or the microlites in dome rocks and the P-PDC products, as well as the amphiboles from the fine-grained mafic enclaves. In contrast, phenocrysts with low-Al compositions show globally lower temperatures, and correspond to the phenocryst cores from the lava domes and the P-PDC, as well as amphiboles in the silicic enclave. Thus, the aluminium reverse zoning patterns described in amphiboles from the second group (Fig. 5e,f) indicate an increase in temperature. These results confirm the existence of two different parageneses in Tutupaca magmas: (1) a dominant low-temperature paragenesis (735  $\pm$  23°C), represented by the phenocryst cores of the dacites and the silicic enclave (low-Al amphibole + plagioclase + biotite + titanite + Fe-Ti oxides  $\pm$  quartz); and (2) a high-temperature paragenesis (840  $\pm$  45°C), represented by phenocryst rims of the dacites and the mafic enclaves (high-Al amphibole + plagioclase + Fe-Ti oxides  $\pm$  clinopyroxene).

Pressure

The Al-in-hornblende geobarometer has been calibrated experimentally by several 392 authors (i.e., Johnson and Rutherford 1989; Schmidt 1992; Mutch et al. 2016) and is widely used 393 to estimate the crystallization pressure of calc-alkaline magmas. This formulation postulates that the Al content (Altotal) of amphibole is controlled by total pressure when buffered by a mineral 395 assemblage composed of plagioclase, biotite, quartz, sanidine, titanite and Fe-Ti oxides. Altotal, however, is also sensitive to changes in temperature (edenite and Ti-Tschermack substitutions) 397 (Poli et Schmidt 1992; Anderson et Smith 1995; Bachmann et Dungan 2002), therefore Al-inhornblende barometers can only be used within the specific temperature range at which they 399 have been calibrated. Recently, Ridolfi et al. (2010) and Ridolfi and Renzulli (2012) proposed 400 new calibrations to estimate temperature, pressure, fO2, melt H2O, and melt major oxide 401 compositions from amphiboles crystallized over a large compositional range (from basalts to 402 dacites). This model, however, does not take into account the influence of temperature on Altotal, and its validity has been challenged (e.g., Erdmann et al. 2015, Kiss et al. 2014; Shane and Smith 404 2013). Improved calibrations either take into account the influence of temperature on Altotal 405 (Anderson and Smith 1995), or separate the influence of temperature and pressure by using only 406 VIAl for geobarometry (Médard and Le Pennec 2019, submitted). 407

394

396

398

403

The low-temperature (735  $\pm$  23°C) paragenesis observed in the phenocryst cores of the 408 Tutupaca dacites and in the silicic enclave fit the requirements for use of the traditional Al-in-409 hornblende calibrations. Using 127 amphibole analyses from the P-PDC deposit and the 410 youngest domes gives pressure estimates of  $246 \pm 27$  MPa with the best-suited barometer (Mutch 411 et al. 2016, calibrated at ~733°C). The calibrations of Anderson and Smith (1995) and Médard 412 and Le Pennec (2019) give identical results and are all consistent within errors (Table 7). Pressure estimates for the silicic enclave are within error of those for the Tutupaca dacites (Table 7).

Pressure estimates for the high-temperature ( $840 \pm 45^{\circ}$ C) phase assemblage are more difficult to compute, because the equilibrium paragenesis used for Al-in-hornblende geobarometry is not present. Based on 72 high-Al amphibole compositions from the Paipatja pyroclastic density currents and the youngest domes, the temperature-corrected calibration of Anderson and Smith (1995) and the temperature-independent calibration of Médard and Le Pennec (2019) give pressure estimates within error of those for the low-temperature mineral assemblage. The calibration of Ridolfi and Renzulli (2012) specifically excluded low-temperature data ( $< 800^{\circ}$ C), so it cannot be used for the low-temperature amphiboles. Although it should be used with caution due to temperature effects on Al-in-amphibole barometer, results for high-temperature amphiboles are in agreement with other barometers (Table 7).

In the absence of a better barometer for the mafic enclaves (neither the calibration of Anderson and Smith (1995) nor those of Médard and Le Pennec (2019) have been calibrated for andesitic compositions), the calibration of Ridolfi and Renzulli (2012) gives pressure estimates of  $254 \pm 59$  MPa, within error of estimates made from the low-temperature paragenesis. All these measurements are consistent with a single depth of magma storage below Tutupaca volcano prior to the latest eruption. The most accurate determinations are those made on the low-Al amphiboles, because of the better-constrained calibration for low-temperature, low-variance mineral assemblages. The average pressure from all three calibrations used on low-Al amphiboles is  $233 \pm 43$  MPa. Assuming lithostatic conditions and considering an upper crust bulk density of  $2700 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (Kono et al. 1989), the depth of the magma storage region under Tutupaca is calculated between 7 and 11 km (8.8  $\pm$  1.6 km).

438 Table 7

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

#### Water content

Lange et al. (2009) proposed a hygrometer based on the plagioclase-melt exchange reaction between the anorthite and albite components. More recently, Waters and Lange (2015) recalibrated this hygrometer for a temperature range of 750 to 1244 °C, and pressures of up to 350 MPa with a standard error of 0.35 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O. Using our temperature estimates obtained from the amphibole-plagioclase thermometer we applied this formulation to 18 matrix glass plagioclase rim pairs from Tutupaca dacites (RD, DA and P-PDC), which yielded water contents between 3.7 to 6.4 wt.%. Applying the same method to 11 melt inclusions in plagioclase phenocrysts from P-PDC (sample TU-12-77C) yielded similar results (3.5-4 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O). Water contents measured by Raman spectroscopy in selected plagioclase-hosted melt inclusions are noticeably lower than those estimated by the Waters and Lange (2013) hygrometer. We suggest these relatively low water contents can be explained by post-entrapment water loss due to rapid diffusion and re-equilibration into the gas bubble or the host crystal during magma ascent (Chen et al. 2011; Gaetani et al. 2012; Lloyd et al. 2012). For this reason, the measured water content in MI are considered minimum values. In summary, we conclude that the pre-eruptive water content for Tutupaca dacite was at least 4 and probably 6 wt.%, in good agreement with experimental phase equilibrium for dacitic systems.

457

458

### Pre-eruptive magmatic processes

#### Origin of the dacitic magma reservoir

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

Based on the geochemical and mineralogical characteristics of Tutupaca eruptive products, we can reconstruct the magmatic processes that produced the dacitic magmas. The decrease in CaO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 4) and Na<sub>2</sub>O contents with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> suggest progressive crystallization of plagioclase, whereas the decrease in TiO2, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>\* and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> with differentiation suggests fractionation of titanite, titanomagnetite, and apatite. Trace element systematics also provide some key constraints on the differentiation process. A decrease in Sr, Eu and Yb suggest a significant role of plagioclase and amphibole crystallization, while the negative trends for Ni, Sc, V are attributed to fractionation of clinopyroxene. Thus, the dacitic Tutupaca magma was generated by a fractional crystallization process involving plagioclase, amphibole and biotite with minor amounts of clinopyroxene, titanite, apatite and Fe-Ti oxides. Although a more detailed investigation of the evolution of the Tutupaca magmas would be interesting, it is beyond the scope of this paper. We do note, however, some striking differences between the older Basal and Western Tutupaca and the younger Eastern Tutupaca dacites (Fig. 4). The recent Eastern Tutupaca samples display high La/Yb and Dy/Yb ratios that cannot be explained by an upper crustal fractional crystallization process. The only mineral able to produce such a REE fractionation is garnet, which is stable only at very high pressures, probably at the base of the Andean arc crust (cf. Mamani et al. 2010). In addition to this fractional crystallization sequence, crustal assimilation took place during ascent of the magmas through the thick Central Andean crust of the Peruvian arc (cf. Mamani et al. 2010; Samaniego et al. 2016; Rivera et al. 2017).

479

480

Recharge processes into the dacitic magma chamber

Tutupaca samples are characterized by the occurrence of frequent and conspicuous disequilibrium textures suggesting that recharge and mixing processes occurred prior to eruption.

The main characteristics we observed in Tutupaca dacites are:

- Reverse (An<sub>27→61</sub>) and oscillatory (An<sub>32→44→31→55</sub>) zoning patterns in plagioclase phenocrysts (second group), which display frequent dissolution surfaces and 10-35 μm overgrowth rims. These rims are enriched in CaO (An<sub>42-61</sub>) and show relatively high FeO\* contents (0.4-0.6 wt.%). Some plagioclase phenocrysts also display sieve or spongy textures as concentric alteration zones or rims.
- Reverse zoning and overgrowth rims on amphibole phenocrysts (described as the second group). These rims are enriched in Al (8-11 wt.% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) relative to the cores (6-7 wt.% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), and display high MgO concentrations (Mg# 50-70). Amphibole microlites also have high-Al and -Mg contents, indicating that they grew at higher temperature and from a more mafic melt. Some amphiboles show reaction rims composed of Fe-Ti oxides, pyroxene and plagioclase (e.g. gabbroic-type alteration, de Angelis et al. 2013).
- Clinopyroxene phenocrysts also show slight reverse zoning with a core-to-rim increase in MgO and CaO.
- Titanite phenocrysts display reverse and oscillatory zoning, with an increase in FeO\* from core to rim. Some phenocrysts show reaction rims formed mainly by Fe-Ti oxides.
- Quartz xenocrysts (or antecrysts) in dacites are anhedral with rounded rims and
   embayments that suggest they suffered resorption process.
- Presence of scarce mafic enclaves, which is indicative of magma mingling.

Such disequilibrium features in arc magmatic systems are generally explained as having resulted from two different processes (Couch et al. 2001; Ruprecht and Wörner 2007): (1) physical or compositional mixing between two magmas of different composition and physical properties; and (2) thermal or self-mixing, which means that a hot and/or mafic magma is intruded into the base of a more silicic magma chamber, resulting in heating-induced convection of the magma reservoir without physical mixing between the mafic magma and the pre-existing silica-rich magma.

In order to discriminate between these mechanisms, some researchers use the systematic variations in An and FeO\* (and to a lesser extent MgO) contents in plagioclase (Hattori and Sato 1996; Ruprecht and Wörner 2007; Humphreys et al. 2009; Ruprecht et al. 2012). The Fe partitioning in plagioclase varies as a function of the An content, as well as of temperature, degree of oxidation and water content of the co-existing magma (Bindeman et al. 1998; Ginibre et al. 2002). However, the predicted effects of these parameters on plagioclase FeO\* content are null or relatively small (Ruprecht and Wörner 2007; Humphreys et al. 2009; Hattori and Sato 1996). Thus, an increase of FeO\* correlated with An contents reflects a change in the host magma composition. This change can be a consequence of physical mixing between a differentiated and a mafic magma. On the contrary, a mostly flat trend, characterized by a change in the An content without a FeO\* increase, mostly reflects a thermal or self-mixing process. We should stress that these are non-exclusive processes, because the heat source for self-mixing is often associated with a recharge event (Couch et al. 2001). In addition, heating may dissolve mafic phenocrysts and change the composition of the interstitial melt, thus potentially resulting in changes in plagioclase compositions. As we see in the following paragraph, magmatic systems

display intermediate situations in which both physical (compositional) and thermal (self-) mixing occur.

In Tutupaca dacites we observe a rough positive trend between An and FeO\* in plagioclase with a quite limited chemical variation (An<sub>25-60</sub> and 0.1-0.6 wt.% FeO\*, Fig. 10). This pattern differs from those observed at others well-studied magmatic systems such as Pinatubo (Hattori and Sato 1996), Quizapu (Ruprecht et al. 2012), Misti-Andahua (Ruprecht and Wörner 2007; Tepley et al. 2013), Soufrière Hills (Humphreys et al. 2009) or Tungurahua (Samaniego et al. 2011). For example, plagioclase compositions for the Misti-Andahua and Soufriere Hills volcanic systems show a larger chemical variation (An<sub>25-90</sub> and 0.1-1.2 wt.% FeO\*) and a complex behaviour, with a rough An-FeO\* positive correlation below An<sub>60</sub> and a double trend above An<sub>60</sub> (increase in An at almost constant FeO\*, and high FeO\* at almost constant An, Fig. 10). We thus suggest that Tutupaca plagioclases record a limited physical mixing process compared to other systems, whereas self-mixing is the dominant process, as evidenced by the scarcity of mafic enclaves.

539 Figure 10

#### Time constraints

Geochronological data reported by Samaniego et al. (2015) show identical ages for the pre-avalanche (i.e. Z-PDC) and the syn-avalanche pyroclastic events (i.e. P-PDC). This suggests that the time between these two eruptions is too small to be resolved by radiocarbon dating, arguing for a time frame of some years to a few decades. In order to confirm this hypothesis, we

investigated the sharp core-to-rim transitions observed in plagioclase and amphibole phenocrysts (Figures 5b,f). These transitions indicate that little diffusion happened between the crystallisation of the rim and the eruption. To better constrain this timing, we modelled Mg diffusion at the interface between the core and the rim of a selected crystal, whose major elements composition and core-rim transition is representative of the group 2 plagioclases. A simple model of diffusion in an infinite slab, starting with a step function (Lasaga 1998), diffusion coefficients from Van Orman et al. (2014), an average An content of 60 %, and a temperature of 835 °C, produced modelled diffusion times of 1 year (corresponding figure in Supplementary material 3), with acceptable values between a few months and 10 years. The same calculation done for K, using the diffusion coefficients of Giletti and Shanahan (1997) also requires diffusion times shorter than 10 years, with a best fit for a diffusion time of 1 year. We propose that after injection of new magma into the base of the reservoir, the resident magma gets heated by about 100 °C, and then starts cooling and recrystallizing. The modelled timescales represent the time between the beginning of the recrystallization of the magma and its emplacement at the surface, and thus provide a maximum value for the duration of the eruptive phase. Although there are large uncertainties on the measurements and models, these data would indicate that the entire eruptive phase lasted at most 10-20 years, and more likely a few years.

563

564

565

566

567

568

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

#### Triggering mechanism of the eruption

The recharge of a hot magma in a differentiated reservoir is a recurrent process in many volcanoes around the world, such as Soufriere Hills (Murphy et al. 2000; Couch et al. 2001; Rutherford and Devine 2003), Unzen (Holtz et al. 2005), Quizapu (Ruprecht and Bachmann 2010), Tungurahua (Samaniego et al. 2011, Andujar et al. 2017) and Ubinas (Rivera et al. 2014).

This process produces re-heating of the "resident" magma, generating volatile exsolution and a 569 subsequent pressurization of the magma reservoir (Murphy et al. 2000; Rubin et al. 2017). In 570 addition, hot magma recharge decreases the viscosity of a differentiated magma, enabling 571 remobilization and convection in the reservoir, and triggering explosive (i.e. Soufriere Hills, 572 Murphy et al 2000; Couch et al. 2001) or effusive eruptions (i.e. Quizapu, Ruprecht and 573 574 Bachmann 2010). At Tutupaca volcano, the dacitic reservoir is located at 7-11 km depth (233 ± 43 MPa), at an initial temperature of  $735 \pm 23^{\circ}$ C, with a water content of at least 4-6 wt.%. The 575 presence of reverse zoning and overgrowth rims in plagioclase and amphibole suggests that these 576 577 disequilibrium textures were produced by an increase in temperature of the magmatic system. This change in temperature was due to intrusion of a hotter magma (Fig. 11) that is represented 578 by the scarce fine-grained mafic enclaves, which yield the highest estimated temperatures (840 ± 579 45°C). Following the magma intrusion at the base of the dacitic reservoir, magma viscosity 580 decreased and strong convection began, enhancing magma ascent and degassing, which could 581 582 explain the lower water content measured in melt inclusions (< 4 wt.%). Due to the viscosity decrease and degassing, the highly crystallized dacitic magma became susceptible to eruption, 583 magma ascent increased and as a result an enhanced dome-forming eruption begun, which was 584 responsible for the development of the pre-avalanche dome complex. The rapid dome growth, 585 confirmed by the short timescale (some years up to a few decades) between magmatic recharge 586 and eruption, resulted in gravitational instability that subsequently triggered sector collapse of 587 Tutupaca's North-East flank (Samaniego et al. 2015; Valderrama et al. 2016), generating a debris 588 avalanche and its accompanying pyroclastic density currents. 589

590

591

Figure 11

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

#### Conclusions

The erupted products of the Tutupaca historical eruption are chemically homogeneous (63.2-68.0 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>), porphyritic (20-35 vol.%) dacites with a mineral assemblage composed of plagioclase, hornblende, biotite, clinopyroxene and Fe-Ti oxides together with some accessory minerals such as titanite, apatite and quartz. The phenocrysts from the Tutupaca dacites show common disequilibrium textures such as reverse zonation, resorption zones and overgrowth rims. These disequilibrium textures suggest a heating process triggered by the intrusion of a hot magma into a dacitic magma reservoir. Based on thermobarometric analysis, the initial temperature of the dacitic reservoir is estimated to be between 703 and 797°C (with an average of  $735 \pm 23$  °C) at a pressure of  $230 \pm 58$  MPa, corresponding to a depth range between 7 and 11 km, and a water content between 4 and 6 wt.%. The few fine-grained mafic enclaves (53-57 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>), which are present in the younger dacite domes, give a higher range of temperature between 789 and 921  $^{\circ}$ C (840  $\pm$  45  $^{\circ}$ C). Based on these data, we propose that the recent eruption of Tutupaca was triggered by the recharge of a hotter magma into a highly crystallized dacitic magma reservoir. As a result, the resident dacitic magma was reheated and remobilized by a selfmixing process that include little, if any, physical mixing with the mafic magma. These magmatic processes induced an enhanced dome-growth phase that destabilized the NE flank of the volcano and produced the debris avalanche and its accompanying pyroclastic density currents.

611612

613

#### Acknowledgements

This work is part of a Peruvian-French cooperation programme carried out between the
Instituto Geológico, Minero y Metalúrgico (INGEMMET, Peru) and the Institut de Recherche
pour le Développement (IRD, France). We warmly thank F. van Wyk de Vries for improvements
to the English in the manuscript. We are grateful to P. Ruprecht and an anonymous reviewer for
their constructive comments and J. Fierstein for the editorial handling. This is Laboratory of
Excellence ClerVolc contribution n° XX.
References
Anderson JL, Smith DR (1995) The effects of temperature and fO2 on the Al-in-hornblende
barometer. Am Mineral 80:549 – 559
Andujar J, Martel C, Pichavant M, Samaniego P, Scaillet B, Molina I (2017) Structure of the
plumbing system at Tungurahua volcano, Ecuador: Insights from phase equilibrium
experiments on July-August 2006 eruption products. J Petrol 58:1249-1278
Bachmann O, Dungan MA (2002) Temperature-induced Al-zoning in horblendes of the Fish
Canyon magma, Colorado. Am Mineral 87:723 – 738
Belousov A (1996) Deposits of the 30 March 1956 directed blast at Bezymianny volcano. Bull
Volcanol 57:649–662
Belousov A, Voight B, Belousova M (2007) Directed blasts and blast-generated pyroclastic
density currents: a comparison of the Bezymianny 1956, Mount St Helens 1980, and
Soufrière Hills, Montserrat 1997 eruptions and deposits. Bull Volcanol 69:701-740

- 634 Benavente C, Zerathe S, Audin L, Hall SR, Robert X, Delgado F, Carcaillet J, ASTER Team
- 635 (2017) Active transpressional tectonics in the Andean forearc of southern Peru quantified
- by 10Be surface exposure dating of an active fault scarp. Tectonics 36,
- 637 doi:10.1002/2017TC004523.
- 638 Bindeman IN, Davis AM, Drake MJ (1998) Ion microprobe study of plagioclase- basalt partition
- experiments at natural concentration levels of trace elements. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta
- 640 62:1175-1193
- 641 Blundy J, Holland T (1990) Calcic amphibole equilibria and a new amphibolc-plagioclase
- geothermometer. Contrib Mineral Petrol 104:208-224
- 643 Castro JM, Dingwell DB (2009) Rapid ascent of rhyolitic magma at Chaitén volcano, Chile,
- 644 Nature 461:780-783
- 645 Castruccio A, Clavero J, Segura A, Samaniego P, Roche O, Le Pennec JL, Droguett B (2016)
- Eruptive parameters and dynamics of the April 2015 sub-Plinian eruptions of Calbuco
- volcano (southern Chile). Bull Volcanol 78:62
- 648 Chen Y, Provost A, Schiano P, Cluzel N (2011) The rate of water loss from olivine-hosted melt
- inclusions. Contrib Mineral Petrol 162: 625–636
- 650 Cotten J, Le Dez A, Bau M, Caroff M, Maury RC, Dulski P, Fourcade S, Bohn M, Brousse R
- 651 (1995) Origin of anomalous rare-earth element and Yttrium enrichments in subaerial
- exposed basalts: evidence from French Polynesia. Chem Geol 119:115–138
- 653 Couch S, Sparks RSJ, Carroll MR (2001) Mineral disequilibrium in lavas explained by
- convective self-mixing in open magma chambers. Nature 41:1037-1039

- 655 De Angelis SH, Larsen J, Coombs M (2013) Pre-eruptive magmatic conditions at Augustine
- volcano, Alaska, 2006: Evidence from amphibole geochemistry and textures. J Petrol
- 657 54:1939-1961
- 658 Erdmann S, Martel C, Pichavant M, Kushnir A (2014) Amphibole as an archivist of magmatic
- 659 crystallization conditions: problems, potential, and implications for inferring magma storage
- prior to the paroxysmal 2010 eruption of Mount Merapi, Indonesia. Contrib Mineral Petrol
- 661 167:1016
- 662 Fidel L, Zavala B (2001) Mapa preliminar de amenaza volcánica del volcán Tutupaca. Boletín
- 24, Serie C: Geodinámica e Ingeniería Geológica, INGEMMET, 109 p
- 664 Gaetani GA, O'Leary JA, Shimizu N, Bucholv CE, Newville M (2012) Rapid re-equilibration of
- 665 H<sub>2</sub>O and oxygen fugacity in olivine-hosted inclusions. Geology 40:915-918
- 666 Giletti BJ, Shanahan TM (1997) Alkali diffusion in plagioclase feldspar. Chemical Geology
- 667 139:3-20
- 668 Ginibre C, Wörner G, Kronz A (2002) Minor- and trace-element zoning in plagioclase:
- 669 implications for magma chamber processes at Parinacota Volcano, northern Chile.
- 670 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 143:300-315
- 671 Hattori K, Sato H. (1996) Magma evolution recorded in plagioclase zoning in 1991 Pinatubo
- eruption products. American Mineralogist 81: 982-994
- 673 Hayden LA, Watson EB, Wark DA (2008) A thermobarometer for sphene (titanite). Contrib
- 674 Mineral Petrol 155:529–540
- 675 Hoblitt RP, Miller CD, Vallance JW (1981) Origin and stratigraphy of the deposit produced by

- the May 18 directed blast. In: Lipman PW, Mullineaux DR (eds) The 1980 eruptions of
- Mount St. Helens, Washington. USGS Prof Paper 1250:401–419
- 678 Holland T, Blundy J (1994) Non-ideal interactions in calcic amphiboles and their bearing on
- amphibole-plagioclase thermometry. Contrib Mineral Petrol 116:433–447
- 680 Holtz F, Sato H, Lewis J, Behrens H, Nakada S (2005) Experimental Petrology of the 1991 -
- 681 1995 Unzen Dacite, Japan. Part I: Phase Relations, Phase Composition and Pre-eruptive
- 682 Conditions. J Petrol 46:319–337
- 683 Humphreys MCS, Christopher T, Hards V (2009) Microlite transfer by disaggregation of mafic
- 684 inclusions following magma mixing at Soufrière Hills volcano Montserrat. Contrib.
- 685 Mineral. Petrol. 157:609–624. doi:10.1007/s00410-008-0356-3
- 686 Johnson MC, Rutherford MJ (1989) Experimental calibration of the aluminum-in-hornblende
- 687 geobarometer with application to Long Valley caldera (California) volcanic rocks. Geology
- 688 17:837-841
- 689 Kiss B, Harangi S, Ntaflos T, Mason PRD, Pál-Molnár E (2014) Amphibole perspective to
- 690 unravel pre-eruptive processes and conditions in volcanic plumbing systems beneath
- intermediate arc volcanoes: a case study from Ciomadul volcano (SE Carpathians). Contrib
- 692 Mineral Petrol 167:986
- 693 Kono M, Fukao Y, Yamamoto A (1989) Mountain building in the Central Andes. J Geophys Res
- 694 94:3891-3905
- 695 Lange R, Frey H, Hector J (2009) A thermodynamic model for the plagioclase-liquid
- hygrometer/thermometer. Am Mineral 94:494-506

- 697 Lara LE, Naranjo JA, Moreno H (2004) Rhyodacitic fissure eruption in Southern Andes (Cordón
- 698 Caulle; 40.5°S) after the 1960 (Mw:9.5) Chilean earthquake: a structural interpretation. J
- Volcanol Geotherm Res 138:127–138
- 700 Lasaga AC (1998). Kinetic theory in the earth sciences. Princetown series in geochemistry.
- 701 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- 702 Leake BE, Woolley AR, Arps CES, Birch WD, Gilbert MC, Grice JD, Hawthorne FC, Kato A,
- 703 Kisch HJ, Krivovichev VG, Linthout K, Laird J, Mandarino JA, Maresch WV, Nickel EH,
- Rock NMS, Schumacher JC, Smith DC, Stephenson CN, Ungaretti L, Whittaker EJW,
- 705 Youzhi G (1997) Nomenclature of amphiboles: report of the Subcommittee on Amphiboles
- 706 of the International Mineralogical Association, commission on new minerals and minerals'
- 707 names. Am Mineral 82:1019–1037
- 708 Lloyd AS, Plank T, Ruprecht P, Hauri EH, Rose W (2012) Volatile loss from melt inclusions in
- pyroclasts of differing sizes. Contrib Mineral Petrol 165:129-153
- 710 Mamani M, Wörner G, Sempere T (2010) Geochemical variation in igneous rocks of the Central
- Andean orocline (13 °S to 18 °S): tracing crustal thickening and magmas generation
- through time and space. Geological Society of America Bulletin 97:241–254
- 713 Mariño J, Samaniego P, Manrique N, Valderrama P, Macedo L (2019) Geología y mapa del
- 714 Complejo Volcánico Tutupaca. INGEMMET, Boletín, Serie C: Geodinámica e Ingeniería
- 715 Geológica, 66, 165 p., 2 mapas.
- 716 Médard E, Le Pennec JL, Francomme JE, Temel A, Nauret F (2013) Reconstructing the magma
- feeding system of the Cappadocian ignimbrites (Turkey) through amphibole
- thermobarometry. Goldschmidt conference, Florence, Italy

- 719 Médard E, Le Pennec JL (2019) Petrologic imaging of the magma chambers that feed super-
- 720 eruptions. Submitted
- 721 Morimoto N, Fabries J, Ferguson AK, Ginzburg IV, Ross M, Seifert FA, Zussman J (1988)
- Nomenclature of pyroxenes. Am Mineral 73:1123-1133
- 723 Murphy MD, Sparks RSJ, Barclay J, Carroll MR, Brewer TS, Gene DE (2000) Remobilization
- of Andesite Magma by Intrusion of Mafic Magma at the Soufriere Hills Volcano,
- Montserrat, West Indies. J Petrol 41: 21–42
- 726 Mutch EJF, Blundy JD, Tattitch BC, Cooper FJ, Brooker RA (2016) An experimental study of
- 727 amphibole stability in low-pressure granitic magmas and a revised Al-in-hornblende
- geobarometer. Contrib Mineral Petrol 171:85
- Pallister JS, Hoblitt RP, Reyes AG (1992) A basalt trigger for the 1991 eruptions of Pinatubo
- 730 volcano? Nature 356:426-428
- Peccerillo P, Taylor SR (1976) Geochemistry of Eocene calc-alkaline volcanic rocks from the
- 732 Kastamonu area, northern Turkey. Contrib Mineral Petrol 58:63–81
- 733 Poli S, Schmidt MW (1992) A comment on Calcic amphibole equilibria and a new amphibole-
- 734 plagioclase thermometrer by JD Blundy and TJB Holland. Contrib Mineral Petrol 104:208-
- 735 224
- 736 Prouteau G, Scaillet B (2003) Experimental constraints on the origin of the 1991 Pinatubo dacite.
- 737 J Petrol 44:2203-2241
- 738 Ridolfi F, Renzulli A, Puerini M (2010) Stability and chemical equilibrium of amphibole in calc-
- alkaline magmas: an overview, new thermobarometric formulations and application to

- subduction-related volcanoes. Contrib Mineral Petrol 160:45–66
- 741 Ridolfi F, Renzulli A (2012) Calcic amphiboles in calc-alkaline and alkaline magmas:
- thermobarometric and chemometric empirical equations valid up to 1130oC and 2.2 GPa.
- 743 Contrib Mineral Petrol 163:877–895
- 744 Rivera M, Thouret JC, Samaniego P, Le Pennec JL (2014) The 2006-2009 activity of Ubinas
- volcano (Peru): petrology of the 2006 eruptive products and insights into genesis of andesite
- magmas, magma recharge and plumbing system. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 270:122-141
- 747 Rivera M, Martin H, Le Pennec JL, Thouret JC, Gourgaud A, Gerbe MC (2017) Petro-
- 748 geochemical constraints on the source and evolution of magmas at El Misti volcano (Peru).
- 749 Lithos 268-271:240-259
- 750 Rubin AE, Cooper KM, Till CB, Kent AJR, Costa F, Bose M, Gravley D, Deering C, Cole J
- 751 (2017) Rapid cooling and cold storage in a silicic magma reservoir recorded in individual
- 752 crystals. Science 356:1154-1156
- 753 Ruprecht P, Bachmann O (2010) Pre-eruptive reheating during magma mixing at Quizapu
- volcano and the implications for the explosiveness of silicic arc volcanoes. Geology
- 755 38:919-922
- 756 Ruprecht P, Wörner G (2007) Variable regimes in magma systems documented in plagioclase
- 757 zoning patterns: El Misti stratovolcano and Andahua monogenetic cones. J Volcanol
- 758 Geotherm Res 165:142–162
- 759 Ruprecht P, Bergantz G, Cooper K, Hildreth W (2012) The crustal magma storage system of
- Volcán Quizapu, Chile, and the effects of magma mixing on magma diversity. Journal of

- 761 Petrology 53: 801-840. doi:10.1093/petrology/egs002.
- Rutherford MJ, Devine JD (1988) The May 18, 1980, Eruption of Mount St. Helens 3. Stability
- and chemistry of amphibole in the magma chamber. J Geophys Res 93:11949-11959
- 764 Rutherford MJ, Hill P (1993) Magma ascent rates from amphibole breakdown: An experimental
- 765 study applied to the 1980-1986 Mount St. Helens eruptions. Journal of Geophysical
- 766 Research 981. 10.1029/93JB01613
- 767 Rutherford MJ, Devine JD (2003) Magmatic conditions and magma ascent as indicated by
- hornblende phase equilibria and reactions in the 1995–2002 Soufrière Hills magma. J Petrol
- 769 44:1433-1454
- 770 Samaniego P, Le Pennec JL, Robin C, Hidalgo S (2011) Petrological analysis of the pre-eruptive
- 771 magmatic process prior to the 2006 explosive eruptions at Tungurahua volcano (Ecuador). J
- Volcanol Geotherm Res 199:69-84
- 773 Samaniego P, Valderrama P, Mariño J, van Wyk de Vries B, Roche O, Manrique N, Chédeville
- 774 C, Liorzou C, Fidel L, Malnati J (2015) The historical (218 ± 14 aBP) explosive eruption of
- 775 Tutupaca volcano (Southern Peru). Bull Volcanol 77:51
- 776 Samaniego P, Rivera M, Mariño J, Guillou H, Liorzou C, Zerathe S, Delgado R, Valderrama P,
- 777 Scao V (2016) The eruptive chronology of the Ampato-Sabancaya volcanic complex
- (Southern Peru). J Volcanol Geoth Res 323:110–128
- 779 Scaillet B, Evans BW (1999) The 15 June 1991 Eruption of Mount Pinatubo: I. Phase equilibria
- and pre-eruption P–T–fO<sub>2</sub>–fH<sub>2</sub>O conditions of the dacite magma. J Petrol 40:381–411
- 781 Schiavi F, Bolfan-Casanova N, Withers AC, Médard E, Laumonier M, Laporte D, Flaherty T,

- 782 Gomez-Ulla A (2018) Water quantification in silicate glasses by Raman spectroscopy:
- 783 correcting for the effects of confocality, density and ferric iron. Chemical Geology 483:312-
- 784 331
- 785 Schmidt MW (1992) Amphibole composition in tonalite as a function of pressure: an
- experimental calibration of the Al-in-hornblende barometer. Contrib Mineral Petrol
- 787 110:304-310
- 788 Shane P, Smith VC (2013) Using amphibole crystals to reconstruct magma storage temperatures
- 789 and pressures for the post-caldera collapse volcanism at Okataina volcano. Lithos 156-159:
- 790 159-170
- 791 Stix J, Torres R, Narváez L, Cortés G, Raigosa J, Gómez D, Castonguay R (1997) A model of
- vulcanian eruptions at Galeras volcano, Colombia. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 77:285–303
- 793 Sun SS, McDonough WF (1989) Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts:
- 794 implications for mantle composition and processes. In: Saunders AD, Norry MJ (eds)
- 795 Magmatism in the ocean basins. Special Publication 42, Geological Society, London, pp
- 796 313–345
- 797 Tepley FJ, de Silva S, Salas G (2013) Magma dynamics and petrological evolution leading to the
- VEI 5 2000 BP eruption of El Misti volcano, Southern Peru. J. Petrol 54:2033-2065
- 799 Thouret J, Wörner G, Gunnell Y, Singer B, Zhang X, Souriot T (2007) Geochronologic and
- 800 stratigraphic constraints on canyon incision and Miocene uplift of the Central Andes in
- Peru. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 263:151–166.
- 802 Valderrama P, Roche O, Samaniego P, van Wyk de Vries B, Bernard K, Mariño J (2016)

803	Dynamic implications of ridges on a debris avalanche deposit at Tutupaca volcano
804	(southern Peru). Bull Volcanol 78:14
805	Valdivia JG (1847) Fragmentos para la historia de Arequipa. Folletín de "El Deber", Arequipa,
806	109–111 p
807	Van Orman JA, Cherniak DJ, Kita NT (2014) Magnesium diffusion in plagioclase: Dependence
808	on composition, and implications for thermal resetting of the 26Al-26Mg early solar
809	system chronometer. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 385:79-88
810	Voight B, Komorowski JC, Norton GE, Belousov AB, Belousova M, Boudon G, Francis PW,
811	Franz W, Heinrich P, Sparks RSJ, Young SR (2002) The 1997 Boxing Day Sector Collapse
812	and Debris Avalanche, Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat, W.I. In: Druitt T, Kokelaar BP
813	(eds), The eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from 1995 to 1999. Mem Geol
814	Soc London 21:363–407
815	Waters LE, Lange RA (2015) An updated calibration of the plagioclase-liquid hygrometer-
816	thermometer applicable to basalts through rhyolites. Am Mineral 100:2172–2184
817	Zamácola y Jaúregui JD (1804) Apuntes para la historia de Arequipa. Imp. De La Bolsa-
818	Guañamarca, N. 49. 1888
819	Zhang X, Liu B, Wang J, Zhang Z, Shi K, Wu S (2014) Adobe photoshop quantification (PSQ)
820	rather than point-counting: A rapid and precise method for quantifying rock textural data
821	and porosities. Computers and Geosciences 69:62-71
822	

823 Figure Captions

Fig. 1 a Location of the Tutupaca Volcanic Complex (TVC) in the Peruvian volcanic arc. b 824 Geological map of the Eastern Tutupaca edifice (modified after Samaniego et al. 2015). RD -825 Recent domes, P-PDC - Paipatja pyroclastic density currents deposits, DA - Debris avalanche 826 deposits, Z-PDC - Zuripujo pyroclastic density current deposits. Regional faults come from 827 Mariño et al. (2019) 828

829

Fig. 2 a View southwest of Tutupaca with the DA and P-PDC deposits (Photo by P. 830 Valderrama). Photos of the different eruptive products, b large dome block in the DA deposits, 831

note the person for scale, c-d breadcrust bombs in the P-PDC deposits

832 833

838

840

842

834 Fig. 3 a Microphotograph of a block in the debris avalanche deposit (TU-12-37) showing a large plagioclase phenocryst (first group as described in text) and a small amphibole phenocryst (first 835 group) in a microlite-rich matrix. b Microphotograph of a recent dome sample (Dome V, TU-12-836 837 70) showing a porphyritic texture with a partially vesiculated, microlite-rich matrix and amphibole (amph), plagioclase (pl) and titanite (tnt) phenocrysts. Note the thin overgrowth rim of the plagioclase crystal (second group described in text). c-d Amphibole phenenocrysts (Dome 839 I, TU-12-42 and Dome V, TU-12-70) of the first (c) and second (d) groups. e Clinopyroxene phenocrysts in a P-PDC dacite sample (TU-12-77C). f Anhedral quartz (qtz) crystal in a P-PDC 841 dacite sample (TU-12-77C). g Mafic enclave hosted in a dacite (TU-14-01). h Silicic enclave 843 showing subhedral quartz, and inter-growing of amphibole and plagioclase into a crystallized plagioclase-rich matrix (TU-14-08). All images are in polarized light 844

Fig. 4 Major (a-d) and trace element concentrations (e-j) and ratios (k-l) for eruptive products of 846 847 the Recent (Eastern) Tutupaca, plotted versus SiO2 as a differentiation index. Subdivision in K2O vs. SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram is from Peccerillo and Taylor (1976). B - basalt, BA - basaltic andesite, A -848 849 andesite, D - dacite, MK - medium potassium, KH - high potassium. RD - Recent domes, P-PDC - Paipatja pyroclastic density currents deposits, DA - Debris avalanche deposits, Z-PDC -850 Zuripujo pyroclastic density currents deposits, ME – Mafic enclaves, SE – Silicic enclaves. Grey 851 fields represent data from Western and Basal Tutupaca (65 unpublished data, Mariño et al. 852 2019), with individual data points plotted only in a for clarity; Western and Basal Tutucapa (dark 853 and light grey diamonds, respectively) 854

855

856 Fig. 5 a Plagioclase phenocryst with an overgrowth rim corresponding to the second group (dome VII, sample TU-12-14). b Rim-core-rim profile showing an increase in Anorthite and 857 FeO\* in the overgrowth rim. c Plagioclase phenocryst with oscillatory zoning correspond to the 858 859 first group (P-PDC, TU-12-06A). d Core-rim profile showing a decrease Anorthite and FeO\* in the rim. e Amphibole phenocryst with an overgrowth rim, corresponding to the second group 860 (dome I, sample TU-12-42). f Profile of the amphibole showing an increase in IVAl and Mg# 861 towards the rim. g Zoned amphibole (dome I, sample TU-12-42). h Profile of the amphibole 862 showing a decrease in <sup>IV</sup>Al and an increase Mg# towards the rim. White dots in a, c, e and g 863 correspond to analysis points 864

- 866 Fig. 6 Rare earth element diagrams normalized to chondrites (Sun and McDonough 1989) for
- selected minerals. a High-Al amphibole, b Low-Al amphibole c plagioclase, d clinopyroxene e
- 868 titanite

869

- Fig. 7 a K<sub>2</sub>O (wt.%), b CaO (wt.%), c Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (wt.%) and d MgO (wt.%) compositions plotted
- against SiO<sub>2</sub> (wt.%) for interstitial matrix glasses (MG) and melt inclusions (MI). Subdivision in
- 872 K<sub>2</sub>O vs. SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram is from Peccerillo and Taylor (1976). Same symbols and fields as in Fig.
- 4. Note that MG and MI data roughly fall in the high-silica extension of the whole-rock Tutupaca
- 874 magmatic series

875

- 876 Fig. 8 a Na+K vs. IVAl, bVIAl vs. IVAl, and c Mg# vs. Si diagrams for Tutupaca amphiboles (after
- Poli and Schmidt 1992). C Core, R Rim, M Microlite, a.p.f.u. atoms per formula unit. d
- Amphibole microlite with an overgrowth rim, corresponding to the second group (sample TU-
- 879 12-70). TR, tremolite; ED, edenite; PG, pargasite; TS, tschermakite; HB, hornblende. Vectors
- 880 represent the exchange reactions discussed in the text

881

- Fig. 9 a Temperature (°C) vs. <sup>IV</sup>Al for the two amphibole groups. b Temperature (°C) vs. Mg#.
- 883 Symbols correspond to rim analyses. Note that rims exhibit a coupled increase of <sup>IV</sup>Al and Mg#
- with temperature

Fig. 10 Diagram of a FeO\* (wt.%) and b MgO (wt.%) against An (mol.%) for plagioclase. Same symbols as Fig. 8. Fields for Soufrière Hills (Humphreys et al. 2009) and Misti-Andahua volcanoes (Ruprecht and Wörner 2007, Tepley et al. 2013) for comparison. We observe a rough positive trend in FeO\* vs. An, whereas some scattering is observed in MgO vs. An. Note that Tutupaca plagioclases display a limited chemical variation as compared with other well-studied volcanic systems plotted here


Fig. 11 Schematic conceptualization of the magmatic plumbing system below Tutupaca a, before and, b, after hot and/or mafic magma recharge into the base of the dacitic reservoir. Magma recharge triggers a thermal or "self-mixing" process that results in heating-induced convection of the magma reservoir without physical mixing between the mafic magma and the pre-existing dacitic magma. "Self-mixing" is the repercussion on the silicic magma of the close and sudden contact with hotter and more mafic magma. The orange colour of the reservoir represents the magma before recharge; the red colour the recharged magma, and the brown colour represents the magma after the recharge event

Supplementary material 1. Description of the analytical methods

**Supplementary Material 2.** Trace elements composition in selected minerals from Tutupaca dacites. PL – plagioclase, AMPH – amphibole, TTN – titanite, CPX – clinopyroxene. C – core, I

905 - interior, R - rim

Supplementary Material 3. a XAn and minor elements profiles through the sharp interface between the outermost An-rich zone and the next An-poor inner zone of a plagioclase phenocryst in a pumice from the P-PDC deposit. The ~10 µm outer zone records the last magmatic event that affected the Tutupaca system, but more complex zoning is also present in the inner parts of the crystal (see Fig. 5). b Magnification of the MgO profile (Profile 1), together with another MgO profile taken in the same crystal. Diffusion modelling suggests a timescale of less than 10 years. Error bars are 2 sigma analytical errors from the electron microprobe






































Table 1 Whole-rock major (wt.%) and trace elements (ppm) analyses for Eastern Tutupaca samples. \*all iron as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Sample No.	TI I.12.04B	TU-12-05	TU-12-37	TU-12-78	TU-12-09	TU-12-38	TU-12-06A	TI L12.06B	TIL-12-06C	TU-12-22	TU-12-50	TU-12-66A	TU-12-69	TU-12-77A	TI 1-12-77C	TU-12-52	TU-12-68A	TU-12-76	TU-12-17	TU-13-22
Unit	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	P-PDC	Old DA	Old DA	Old DA	Old DA	Old DA
UTM North		8119960	8120709	8119412	8119422	8120301	8119537	8119537	8119537	8119036	8119458	8117932	8117789	8119045	8119045	8115103	8117375	8115272	8116326	8115279
UTM East	358990	357916	356952	357525	357418	356943	361158	361158	361158	362231	356938	359601	360112	362215	362215	353690	359411	356407	357225	354328
Altitude	4760	4846	4904	4858	4902	4916	4641	4641	4641	4595	4933	4814	4694	4680	4680	4960	4747	5218	5039	5099
Millioge	41.00	4010	4004	1000	4002	4010	4041	4041	4041	4000	4000	40.14	4004	4000	4000	4000		02.10	0000	
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	63:4	64.2	64.3	66.3	64.4	64.4	64.6	65.3	64.1	64.4	66.3	64.3	64.6	65.9	66.9	64.0	63.5	63.9	64.5	66.1
TIO,	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	16.0	15.9	16.0	16.1	15.3	15.6	15.6	15.6	16.0	14.9	14.5	15.6	15.3	16.0	15.9	15.3	15.7	15.3	15.6	15.8
Fe,O,*	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.3
MnO	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MgO	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.4	1.8
CaO	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.0	2.9	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.8
Na <sub>2</sub> O	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.1
K <sub>2</sub> O	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4
P,0,	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
LOI	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.1	0.2	2.5	1.6	0.1	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.3
Total	98.6	99.3	99.4	101.8	98.3	98.9	99.2	98.2	98.6	98.5	99.1	98.2	98.3	101.1	101.7	98.4	98.5	98.4	97.9	100.9
Sc (ppm)	6.7	6.7	7.3	7.0	5.8	7.6	5.4	4.7	5.5	4.1	5.8	4.7	5.6	6.8	5.9	6.7	6.7	7.2	4.6	6.2
٧	92.6	91.8	87.1	86.0	82.7	79.0	88.3	78.8	90.5	77.0	76.5	76.0	81.7	89.7	85.0	85.1	83.7	88.9	78.5	84.1
Cr	12.8	11.9	10.7	12.8	9.4	12.3	10.9	9.4	11.7	8.0	7.7	8.4	11.0	12.0	9.8	11.7	10.6	11.6	8.9	8.6
Co	11.3	11.5	13.6	12.0	14.0	12.5	12.2	10.2	10.0	11.7	11.6	10.4	12.6	21.8	11.9	12.6	12.5	13.0	9.6	11.4
Ni	10.1	10.4	12.1	10.0	7.4	12.6	11.2	8.2	9.8	8.1	9.2	6.9	8.3	9.5	8.1	7.9	8.6	10.2	7.9	9.8
Rb	97.8	98.7	91.0	107.1	102.8	86.3	109.8	99.9	102.3	124.9	109.4	95.1	99.7	99.8	109.4	96.3	93.5	98.6	112.8	95.0
Sr	836.4	831.1	769.7	777.8	774.7	706.2	716.6	754.1	798.9	609.6	562.3	888.1	745.7	785.9	693.8	796.1	842.9	751.0	810.7	766.4
Y	9.1	8.5	8.5	10.5	8.8	9.4	7.4	6.7	7.9	6.6	7.3	7.7	8.6	10.3	9.6	9.3	9.6	9.2	8.0	10.5
Zr	148.8	142.2	129.6	151.5	134.7	82.5	134.4	90.9	134.3	143.9	151.7	144.9	145.9	141.9	144.8	150.5	148.1	142.6	129.9	148.8
Nb	8.5	7.8	7.6	8.8	9.0	8.4	6.8	7.3	8.3	6.2	7.9	9.4	8.9	8.9	8.0	8.6	9.0	8.4	8.1	8.8
Ва	1275.4	1374.6	1204.6	1341.5	1334.1	1113.9	1235.7	1295.9	1320.8	1302.4	1223.2	1297.7	1235.7	1230.9	1255.6	1262.0	1368.5	1292.2	1375.5	1323.0
La	39.5	38.1	35.5	41.1	40.6	30.9	35.5	34.2	37.3	35.4	35.5	41.3	38.8	38.5	37.7	39.8	41.6	38.9	41.8	41.8
Ce	78.7	74.6	72.4	78.9	79.5	62.9	67.3	65.9	70.0	66.4	67.8	79.1	76.3	74.3	71.9	79.6	79.6	78.2	80.3	79.7
Nd	31.8	30.2	28.1	33.5	32.0	25.5	26.3	24.8	28.7	25.5	26.0	30.8	31.0	31.2	29.5	32.8	33.4	31.3	32.9	33.2
Sm	6.0	4.8	4.6	5.8	5.2	4.5	4.2	3.8	5.0	4.3	3.7	4.7	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.9	5.3	5.4	5.7	5.5
Eu	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4
Gd	3.5	3.3	3.4	4.1	3.6	3.2	3.1	2.7	3.0	2.9	2.7	3.2	3.2	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.9
Dy	2.0	1.7	1.7	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.4	1.8	1.7	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	2.2
Er	0.7	0.6	0.4	1.3	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.4	1.0
Yb	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.7
Th	8.3	8.3	8.0	9.3	9.7	9.4	9.1	9.6	8.0	9.2	10.0	8.7	9.4	8.8	9.4	9.3	8.7	8.9	9.3	9.4

Table 1 Continued

Sample No.		TU-13-21A			TU-12-27A		TU-12-14	TU-12-18	TU-12-42	TU-12-43	TU-12-70	TU-12-74	TU-13-08	TU-13-09	TU-13-19	TU-13-20	TU-14-01A			
Unit	Old-DA	Old-DA	Old-DA	Z-PDC	Z-PDC	Z-PDC	RD	RD-enclave	RD-enclave	RD-enclave										
North	8115487	8115315	8115315	8117158	8118729	8116684	8117106	8116280	8117747	8117129	8115564	8115419	8117602	8117732	8116741	8116798	8117382	8117382	8117382	
East	356817	354585	354585	357878	363116	363178	356850	356820	355675	355997	356205	355656	354814	355047	354679	354594	355852	355852	355852	357155
Altitude	5150	5140	5140	4882	4463	4460	5003	5071	5185	5417	5139	5298	5420	5417	5451	5468	5239	5239	5239	5038
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	64.9	67.0	66.3	64.7	64.3	66.6	63.7	64.4	64.5	64.5	63.5	65.3	64.5	64.8	64.7	64.2	55.3	53.0	57.9	68.3
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.5
AI,O,	15.6	15.2	15.2	15.5	15.5	15.7	15.8	15.8	15.5	15.5	15.8	15.3	15.7	15.9	15.6	15.9	17.4	19.1	17.7	15.5
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.5	9.0	9.3	8.1	3.7
MnO	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MgO	1.8	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.9	3.8	4.1	3.2	1.4
CaO	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.9	7.1	7.6	6.5	3.4
Na <sub>2</sub> O	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.6	4.6	4.0	4.1
K,0	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.3	3.5	2.2	1.5	2.2	3.3
P205	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2
LOI	1.4	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.6	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.3
Total	100.3	100.9	99.9	98.4	98.8	100.6	98.3	98.6	98.9	98.9	98.9	98.9	99.5	100.3	99.0	99.6	100.7	101.0	101.1	100.9
Sc (ppm)	6.1	4.8	4.9	5.0	6.2	5.4	6.5	5.6	7.3	7.6	7.8	6.2	6.9	7.5	6.8	7.0	13.4	18.4	13.1	5.1
V	87.8	80.0	75.4	83.5	82.0	75.3	91.0	93.2	78.1	89.4	96.5	79.3	87.4	93.0	91.6	92.8	220.8	230.8	160.4	76.1
Cr	12.7	8.2	7.6	9.7	9.4	10.3	11.7	11.6	9.3	11.8	12.6	9.3	13.5	14.0	10.5	11.2	10.9	23.8	1.3	10.7
Co	16.6	13.7	10.9	9.9	10.5	12.3	11.7	11.2	11.7	11.8	13.8	10.3	11.3	13.5	13.2	12.3	24.0	25.9	22.6	9.5
Ni	8.8	10.0	9.2	7.2	9.3	6.7	11.9	10.7	8.8	11.9	13.0	8.8	17.5	17.8	13.8	11.7	18.5	26.8	10.0	7.9
Rb	107.4	112.0	106.7	109.3	112.1	115.5	104.7	104.1	103.3	95.5	88.7	94.8	89.7	85.2	93.0	99.2	51.5	36.6	73.4	77.5
Sr	745.7	685.8	681.2	840.7	774.6	715.0	764.1	763.5	772.4	737.1	778.8	811.0	694.2	729.0	713.3	796.5	964.5	1080.0	915.9	592.1
Y	10.1	9.4	8.9	8.4	8.3	8.7	8.2	8.3	8.9	8.8	9.4	9.2	9.3	9.6	8.7	10.2	16.3	12.2	15.8	9.4
Zr	143.2	168.1	164.2	153.0	161.1	143.2	128.0	138.6	135.4	129.5	131.9	159.3	105.3	102.4	131.7	146.1	146.6	27.7	52.4	24.5
Nb	8.6	9.6	9.2	9.3	7.4	8.8	7.5	7.8	8.3	7.0	7.0	8.3	7.5	7.2	7.2	9.2	9.1	4.1	7.2	6.9
Ва	1337.9	1416.3	1390.1	1461.8	1357.4	1324.9	1289.4	1309.7	1321.0	1199.5	1247.3	1343.4	1129.3	1118.2	1261.1	1352.9	872.9	787.7	972.7	1048.7
La	41.1	42.0	40.5	42.9	41.9	38.7	35.7	37.3	38.8	34.2	36.6	42.3	29.1	28.5	33.8	39.6	28.4	23.4	26.8	29.2
Ce	79.4	79.2	75.5	81.2	828	94.2	69.2	70.6	75.7	67.3	72.0	82.8	57.9	56.0	66.3	76.2	59.2	46.0	50.9	53.6
Nd	34.2	31.9	30.8	32.8	33.0	30.2	27.8	28.6	31.0	27.5	30.3	34.4	23.7	23.0	27.3	33.6	32.7	21.9	26.5	22.4
Sm	6.1	5.3	5.0	5.7	5.6	5.2	4.7	5.5	5.3	4.7	5.3	5.9	3.8	4.2	4.7	5.5	7.2	4.3	5.7	3.7
Eu	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.5	1.1
Gd	4.3	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.6	4.3	5.1	3.7	4.4	3.4
Dy	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	2.3	3.3	2.3	3.1	1.8
Er	1.3	0.7	0.9	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.2
Yb	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.7
Th	9.3	10.4	10.0	9.6	9.0	9.9	8.3	8.4	9.2	8.3	8.1	9.1	9.2	8.7	7.8	9.4	4.8	1.4	5.0	9.0

Table 2 Selected planticulate compositions (in wt %) for samples of Tutunana Pt - Planticulate C: Cova + Interior R: Rim \*all iron as EaC

Sample No.	TU-12-14				TU-12-22				TU-12-42				TU-12-77C				
Description	RD block				RD block				RD block				P-PDC bomb				
Analyse No.	PL1-C	PL1-R	PL2-C	PL2-R	PL1-C	PL1-R	PL2-C	PL2-R	PL2-C	PL2-R	PL4-C	PL4-R	PL1-C	PL1-R	PL2-C	PL2-I	PL2-R
SiO <sub>2</sub>	60.8	54.2	61.2	54.9	61.7	60.1	55.2	56.0	59.8	59.7	61.6	61.7	61.8	57.5	56.8	61.6	56.8
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
AJ <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	24.4	28.2	23.6	26.8	23.7	24.4	27.7	27.5	25.0	24.5	23.8	23.9	24.0	25.8	27.5	24.1	25.6
FeO*	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.5
MnO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MgO	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
CaO	6.0	11.2	5.8	10.3	5.6	6.7	10.4	10.0	6.7	6.6	5.3	5.8	5.8	8.2	9.7	5.8	9.0
Na <sub>2</sub> O	7.5	4.9	7.7	5.3	7.7	7.3	5.2	5.5	7.1	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.7	6.2	5.6	7.6	5.3
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.7
Total	99.8	99.3	99.3	98.2	99.7	99.5	99.3	100.0	99.5	99.0	99.3	100.0	100.2	98.9	100.2	100.2	98.2
An (%)	29.0	55.0	27.9	50.5	27.1	32.5	51.2	48.8	32.8	32.2	26.4	28.1	28.0	40.6	47.8	28.3	46.4
Ab (%)	66.0	43.0	67.1	47.2	67.9	63.4	46.4	48.6	63.4	63.6	67.9	67.3	67.2	55.8	50.1	67.2	49.4

## Table 2 Continued

Sample No.	TU-12-06A									TU-14-01A				TU-14-08			
Description	P-PDC bomb									Enclave in RD	block			Siuliceous encl	ave in RD block		
Analyse No.	PL2-C	PL2-R	PL4-C	PL4-R	PL18-C	PL18-I	PL18-R	PL19-C	PL19-R	PL4-C	PL4-R	PL5-C	PL5-R	PL4-C	PL4-R	PL5-C	PL5-R
SiO <sub>2</sub>	61.4	54.7	60.9	54.7	60.9	60.2	59.3	58.5	59.8	61.7	59.9	54.3	60.6	60.6	59.3	61.3	61.2
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	23.8	27.8	24.8	27.9	24.5	24.5	25.0	25.4	25.0	23.7	24.7	28.4	24.5	24.7	25.8	24.5	24.6
FeO*	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
MnO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MgO	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CaO	5.7	10.6	6.7	11.1	6.3	6.7	7.6	8.0	7.2	5.6	6.7	11.0	6.5	6.5	7.7	6.1	6.4
Na <sub>2</sub> O	7.7	5.1	6.1	4.7	7.4	7.0	6.6	6.2	6.6	7.7	7.0	5.0	7.1	7.3	6.9	7.3	7.5
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7
Total	99.5	99.3	99.4	99.4	100.0	99.3	99.8	99.5	100.2	99.7	99.2	99.5	99.8	100.1	100.3	100.2	100.7
An (%)	27.8	52.1	55.0	55.0	30.6	33.2	36.9	39.4	35.2	27.1	33.2	54.0	32.0	31.8	37.3	30.3	30.8
Ab (%)	67.6	45.5	42.5	42.5	64.9	62.6	57.9	55.3	58.3	67.9	62.7	44.5	63.9	63.9	59.8	65.5	65.3

Table 3 Selected amphibole compositions (in wt.%) for samples of Tutupaca. AMPH: Amphibole, C: Core, R: Rim. \* all iron as FeO

Sample No.	TU-12-14				TU-12-42				TU-12-70				TU-12-06A			
Description	RD block				RD block				RD block				P-PDC bomb			
Analyse No.	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH2-C	AMPH2-R	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH2-MC	AMPH2-MR	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH3-MC	AMPH3-MR	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH4-C	AMPH4-R
SiO,	46.0	46.3	47.2	45.5	46.9	46.3	46.6	42.9	46.3	47.8	42.6	42.5	41.9	40.6	47.1	43.4
TiO,	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	3.3	1.4	1.1	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.0	1.2	2.9
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	7.6	7.3	6.9	7.7	7.0	7.3	7.2	10.5	7.7	6.7	10.5	10.8	12.0	12.0	7.0	10.1
FeO*	15.4	14.9	14.8	15.5	14.8	14.6	15.1	12.3	15.2	13.8	12.2	11.6	13.0	15.6	14.3	13.4
MnO	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2
MgO	12.7	12.8	13.2	12.6	12.9	13.0	13.0	13.8	12.5	13.8	13.8	14.4	13.0	11.0	13.3	13.3
CaO	11.7	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.8	11.3	11.6	11.5	11.9	11.7	11.2	11.5	11.6	11.5	11.5	11.2
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3	2.3	1.5	1.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.2	1.7	2.3
к,о	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.9
Total	97.3	96.9	97.7	97.1	97.2	96.5	97.3	97.6	97.6	97.4	97.0	97.4	98.1	97.1	97.2	97.6
Mg#	59.5	60.4	61.3	59.1	60.9	61.3	60.6	66.6	59.5	64.1	66.8	68.9	64.1	55.7	62.4	63.9
<sup>™</sup> AI	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.1	1.6
MAI.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1

## Table 3 Continued

Sample No.	Tu-12-77C								TU-14-01				TU-14-08			
Description	P-PDC bomb								Enclave in RD	block			Siliceous encla	ve in RD block		
Analyse No.	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH2-C	AMPH2-R	AMPH3-C	AMPH3-R	AMPH4-C	AMPH4-R	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH3-C	AMPH3-R	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R	AMPH1-C	AMPH1-R
SiO,	46.6	45.4	46.9	42.4	48.3	46.5	45.4	43.3	42.4	42.5	42.5	42.5	47.6	46.7	49.7	47.3
TIO,	1.1	1.3	1.4	3.0	1.0	1.3	1.5	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.8	3.0	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.3
AI <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7.1	7.7	6.8	10.9	5.6	7.4	8.2	10.6	11.3	11.8	12.0	11.5	7.1	7.5	5.7	7.2
FeO*	15.1	15.7	15.1	13.6	14.0	14.6	15.7	12.5	11.6	13.9	13.8	11.8	14.9	15.2	14.0	15.1
MnO	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5
MgO	12.8	12.3	13.0	13.0	14.3	12.8	12.4	13.6	14.2	12.7	12.7	13.7	13.3	12.8	14.2	13.0
CaO	11.3	11.5	11.3	11.1	11.5	11.3	11.2	11.1	11.0	11.1	11.2	11.3	11.8	11.8	11.6	11.4
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.3	1.6	1.3	2.3	1.1	1.3	1.5	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.4
K,0	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.7
Total	96.7	96.7	96.8	97.3	96.9	96.4	97.2	97.2	96.9	97.8	98.3	97.1	98.4	97.8	98.4	97.9
Mg#	60.1	58.2	60.6	63.1	64.5	61.0	58.5	66.0	68.6	62.0	62.1	67.4	61.3	60.1	64.4	60.5
<sup>™</sup> AI	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.8	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.1
MAI.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Table 4 Selected biotite compositions (in wt%) for samples of Tutupaca. BIO: Biotite, C: Core, R: Rim. \*all iron as FeO

Sample No.	TU-12-14						TU-12-42		TU-12-70		TU-12-06A				TU-12-77C	
Description	RD block						RD block		RD block		P-PDC bomb				P-PDC bomb	
Analyse No.	BIO1-C	BIO1-R	BIO2-C	BI02-R	BIO3-C	BIO3-R	B(01-C	BIO1-R	BIO1-C	BIO1-R	BIO1-C	BIO1-R	BI02-C	BIO2-R	BIO1-C	BIO1-R
SiO <sub>2</sub>	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.1	36.4	36.1	36.7	36.6	36.8	36.7	37.2	37.4	36.7	38.3	36.7	37.0
TiO,	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.2
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	13.5	13.3	13.2	13.3	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.5	13.5	13.2	13.4	13.7	13.5	14.3	13.4	13.1
FeO*	18.5	17.2	17.6	16.6	19.4	18.8	18.2	16.8	18.6	18.6	18.4	15.1	18.4	10.8	19.1	19.0
MnO	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
MgO	12.6	13.2	13.4	13.6	12.0	12.6	12.4	13.5	12.4	12.5	12.8	15.1	12.6	17.8	12.6	12.7
CaO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.4
K,0	9.1	9.0	9.0	8.8	9.1	9.2	9.1	9.2	9.4	9.3	9.8	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.1	9.5
Total	95.6	94.5	95.0	93.9	95.5	95.3	94.6	94.8	96.0	95.8	96.7	96.0	95.5	95.8	95.8	96.3

Table 5 Selected clinopyroxene, titanite and Fe-Ti oxydes compositions (in wt.%) for samples of Tutupaca. CPX: Clinopyroxene, TiT: Titanite, IL: Ilmenite, MAG: Magnetite, C: Core, R: Rim. 'all iron as FeO

Sample No.	TU-12-42				Tu-12-06A				TU-12-06A		TU-12-42			
Description	RD block				P-PDC bomb				P-PDC bomb		RD block			
Analyse No.	CPX1-C	CPX1-R	CPX2-C	CPX2-R	CPX1-C	CPX1-R	CPX2-C	CPX2-R	TIT-C1	TIT-B1	TIT-C1	TIT-B1	TIT-C2	TIT-B2
SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.6	51.0	53.4	53.2	51.5	52.9	50.9	52.1	29.7	30.1	29.5	29.5	29.8	30.1
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	38.0	37.3	37.4	37.4	36.6	37.8
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.8	3.2	0.5	0.7	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.5	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.1
FeO*	9.5	8.4	9.2	10.2	8.0	7.4	8.5	8.0	1.3	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.3
MnO	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MgO	16.6	14.6	14.6	15.1	15.0	15.8	15.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CaO	17.9	20.3	21.2	19.5	20.4	20.8	20.2	20.0	27.4	27.7	27.0	27.0	26.8	27.6
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	99.6	99.1	99.8	99.8	99.5	100.3	99.2	99.3	97.5	98.0	96.6	96.6	96.2	98.1
En (%)	47.6	43.0	41.8	43.3	44.0	45.2	43.8	44.9	-	2	-	ū.		
Fs	15.4	13.8	14.8	16.4	13.2	11.9	13.9	13.2	15	- 2	+	H	8	
Wo	37.0	43.1	43.4	40.3	42.8	42.9	42.3	41.9	100	-				150
Mg#	75.6	75.7	73.9	72.5	76.9	79.2	75.9	77.3	14	-	-			

## Table 5 Continued

Sample No.	TU-14-08				TU-12-77C		TU-12-14		TU-12-42		TU-14-01		
Description	Siliceous encla	ve in RD block			P-PDC bomb		RD block				Enclave in RD	block	
Analyse No.	TTN-C1	TTN-B1	TTN-C2	TTN-B2	IL-C1	IL-B1	MAG-C2	MAG-B2	MAG-C1	MAG-B1	IL-C1	IL-C2	IL-B2
SiO <sub>2</sub>	29.8	29.8	29.4	29.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
TiO <sub>2</sub>	37.2	36.6	36.9	37.4	35.0	35.2	3.8	3.7	8.5	11.4	44.6	34.1	50.6
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.3	0.2	1.2	1.5	1.9	1.4	0.1	0.4	0.1
FeO*	1.4	1.9	1.5	1.5	58.2	59.3	87.2	84.9	79.9	79.1	52.4	57.5	45.6
MnO	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6
MgO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.2
CaO	27.1	27.3	26.6	27.4	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	96.7	97.0	95.5	97.5	96.3	96.6	93.6	91.9	93.0	94.5	99.7	93.7	98.2
Mg#	(4)	-	2			20	(4)	190			-	(4)	-

 Table 6 Matrix glass and melt inclusions compositions (average ± standard deviation) for Tutupaca samples. \*all iron as FeO

Sample No.	TU-12-42	TU-12-06A	TU-12-77C	TU-12-78	TU-14-01	TU-12-77C
Description	RD block	P-PDC bomb	P-PDC bomb	DA block	Enclave in RD block	MI in plagioclase
SiO <sub>2</sub>	$73.8 \pm 2.8$	$75.3 \pm 2.5$	75.6 ± 2.1	$75.4 \pm 2.8$	77.0 ± 1.6	$76.4 \pm 1.4$
TiO <sub>2</sub>	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	0.2 ±0.1	$0.1 \pm 0.06$
$Al_2O_3$	15.1 ± 2.8	12.1 ± 1.7	11.7 ± 1.5	13.4 ± 1.5	12.6 ±0.9	$12.3 \pm 0.3$
FeO*	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.01 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$1.04 \pm 0.3$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$
MnO	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.04$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.02 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.04$
MgO	$0.1 \pm 0.05$	$0.17 \pm 0.19$	$0.1 \pm 0.06$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$
CaO	$1.7 \pm 1.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.8$	$0.7 \pm 0.5$	$1.0 \pm 0.8$	0.5 ±0.1	$0.4 \pm 0.1$
Na₂O	$3.7 \pm 1.2$	$3.1 \pm 0.7$	$2.5 \pm 0.8$	3.1 ± 1.1	$2.3 \pm 1.0$	$3.4 \pm 0.2$
K₂O	$4.9 \pm 1.9$	$5.3 \pm 0.5$	$5.3 \pm 0.5$	5.0 ± 1.0	$5.4 \pm 0.7$	5.1 ± 0.22
Total	100.6	98.5	97.5	99.6	99.3	98.6 ± 1.1

H₂O (analysed by Raman spectrioscopy)

 $2.1 \pm 0.3$ 

Table 7 Pressure estimates based on Al-in-hornblende geobarometers. Barometers using total aluminium concentrations are temperature-dependent and should only be used for temperatures close to their calibration range. Barometers calibrated on rhyolitic and dacitic compositions cannot be used for the andesitic enclaves. Calculations that fit those quality criteria are reported in bold.

		Batometers	calibrated for dacites	s to rhyolites		basalts to andesites
Reference	Schmidt (1992)	Mutch et al. (2016)	Johnson and Rutherford (1989)	Anderson and Smith (1995)	Médard and Le Pennec (2019)	Ridolfi and Renzulli (2012)
Calibration T	~ 669 °C	~ 733 °C	~ 760 °C	T corrected	T independent	~ 936 °C
Dacites, low AI (735 °C)	291 ± 47 MPa	246 ± 27 MPa	180 ± 42 MPa	222 ± 44 MPa	230 ± 58 MPa	97 ± 20 MPa
Dacites, high AI (835 °C)	561 ± 134 MPa	440 ± 105 MPa	420 ± 111 MPa	210 ± 83 MPa	253 ± 55 MPa	218 ± 80 MPa
Silicic enclave, low Al (735 °C)	305 ± 48 MPa	254 ± 28 MPa	193 ± 43 MPa	227 ± 44 MPa	244 ± 18 MPa	106 ± 19 MPa
Mafic enclave, high AI (840 °C)				292 ± 45 MPa	359 ± 40 MPa	254 ± 59 MPa