

Review of Advances in Precipitation Enhancement Research

Andrea Flossmann, Michael Manton, Ali Abshaev, Roelof Bruintjes, Masataka Murakami, Thara Prabhakaran, Zhanyu Yao

▶ To cite this version:

Andrea Flossmann, Michael Manton, Ali Abshaev, Roelof Bruintjes, Masataka Murakami, et al.. Review of Advances in Precipitation Enhancement Research. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, In press, 10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0160.1. hal-02125271

HAL Id: hal-02125271 https://uca.hal.science/hal-02125271v1

Submitted on 10 May 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Review of Advances in Precipitation Enhancement Research 1 2 3 Andrea I. Flossmann⁽¹⁾ (Corresponding author), Michael Manton⁽²⁾, Ali Abshaev⁽³⁾, Roelof Bruintjes⁽⁴⁾, Masataka Murakami⁽⁵⁾, Thara 4 Prabhakaran⁽⁶⁾, Zhanyu Yao⁽⁷⁾ 5 6 (1) : Université Clermont Auvergne/CNRS/Laboratoire de Météorologie 7 Physique, Clermont-Ferrand, France 8 9 Email: andrea.flossmann@uca.fr 10 (2) School of Earth Atmosphere and Environment, Monash University, 11 12 Australia 13 14 (3) Hail Suppression Research Center, Nalchik, Russia 15 (4) National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder CO, USA 16 17 18 (5) Institute for Space-Earth Environment Research, Nagoya University, 19 Nagoya, Japan 20 21 (6) Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune, India 22 23 (7) Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, China 24

25 Abstract

26

27 This paper provides a summary of the assessment report of the World 28 Meteorological Organization (WMO) Expert Team on Weather Modification 29 that discusses recent progress on precipitation enhancement research. 30 The progress has been underpinned by advances in our understanding of cloud processes and interactions between clouds and their environment 31 32 which, in turn, have been enabled by substantial developments in 33 technical capabilities to both observe and simulate clouds from the 34 microphysical to the mesoscale. 35 36 We focus on the two cloud types most seeded in the past: winter orographic cloud systems and convective cloud systems. A key issue for 37 38 cloud seeding is the extension from cloud-scale research to water 39 catchment-scale impacts on precipitation on the ground. Consequently, the requirements for the design, implementation and evaluation of a 40 41 catchment-scale precipitation enhancement campaign are discussed. 42 43 The paper concludes by indicating the most important gaps in our knowledge. Some recommendations regarding the most urgent research 44 topics are given to stimulate further research. 45 46 47 48

49 **Capsules**

- 50 The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Expert Team on Weather
- 51 Modification has assessed recent progress on precipitation enhancement
- 52 research.
- 53
- 54

55 1. Introduction

56

In response to shortages of reliable water resources and other societal 57 58 needs, often amplified in a changing climate, an increasing number of 59 countries are now planning or actually conducting precipitation enhancement activities. As sometimes desperate activities are based on 60 empty promises rather than sound science, the World Meteorological 61 Organization (WMO) Expert Team on Weather Modification recently 62 63 reviewed progress on precipitation enhancement research since the last 64 assessments published in WMO workshop reports (WMO 2000, 2010) and in a USA National Research Council report (2003). The main findings and 65 recommendations from this new WMO peer-reviewed report (2018a) are 66 summarized below. The annex of the WMO report lists some of the 67 68 science-based cloud seeding activities carried out across the world. In 69 order to limit the length of the text in this summary, the list of references is not exhaustive but highlights a few recent publications. A more 70 71 complete list of references can be found in WMO (2018a).

72

In the last two decades, there have been major developments in
modelling, analytical and observational capabilities, furthering our
understanding of individual cloud processes and their potential
interactions. Due to numerous aerosol-cloud interaction studies for climate
purposes, we better understand now that, when serving as cloud
condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nucleating particles (INP), the ambient

79 aerosol particles influence the number and size distribution of the hydrometeors and consequently the chain of precipitation mechanisms. 80 The huge energy associated with natural cloud systems means that any 81 82 attempt to enhance precipitation at the ground must be based on a 83 precise knowledge of the system and it must involve a careful intervention (such as seeding with appropriate aerosol particles that augment or 84 substitute for natural particles) that takes advantage of a "surgical" 85 opportunity for some clouds. 86

87

88 This paper focuses exclusively on the scientific basis of precipitation enhancement: hail suppression, fog dispersion or harvesting as well as 89 90 subjects related to geoengineering are not discussed. We consider the two cloud types most seeded in the past: winter orographic clouds and 91 92 convective clouds. The seeding of wintertime orographic clouds with 93 glaciogenic seeding particles has the potential to trigger snowfall, in order to increase snowpack in mountainous water reservoir regions. The second 94 95 type concerns liquid and mixed phase convective clouds that are seeded with hygroscopic or glaciogenic particles in order to trigger liquid or mixed 96 97 phase precipitation.

98

99 2. Natural cloud systems and their variability

100

101 2.1 Microphysics of clouds

102

Aerosol particles are ubiquitous, and they vary in size from a few nanometres to tens of micrometres. They are essential for the formation of clouds as they provide the surface on which liquid condensation or solid deposition commences. The basis for most cloud seeding is the addition of specific aerosol particles that compete with the naturally available particles for water vapour.

From Köhler theory (Pruppacher and Klett 1997) the nucleation of droplets
on a subset of available aerosol particles (CCN) is relatively well
understood as a function of their size distribution, chemical composition,
updraft velocity and resulting supersaturation.

113

114 In order to form ice crystals outside the homogeneous (without any solid nucleating surface) nucleation region (T<-35°C) another subset of aerosol 115 116 particles (INP) are necessary. In their presence, ice can form by 117 deposition nucleation from vapour or by freezing nucleation from liquid (Vali et al. 2015). The number concentration of INP increases rapidly with 118 119 decreasing temperature and increasing supersaturation (Pruppacher and 120 Klett 1997). However, our current understanding of nucleation processes 121 is limited, and we cannot use the aerosol particle number concentration 122 and chemistry to precisely predict INP concentration or even the specific ice formation mechanism (Hoose and Möhler 2012; Kanji et al. 2017). 123 124 Owing to an absence of reliable monitoring of INP concentration and 125 composition, these limitations result in uncertainties in the spatial and 126 temporal variability of natural INP.

127 A further difficulty in relating observed ice number concentration to INP 128 arises in some clouds from ice multiplication processes (Field et al. 2017) 129 that can occur naturally in specific temperature ranges, or as an artefact 130 during sampling (Baumgardner et al. 2017).

131

132 Nucleated liquid cloud particles may grow to precipitation-sized drops 133 through condensation and then collision-coalescence processes. The 134 collision efficiency depends strongly on the difference between terminal 135 velocities of drops, and hence on the drop size distribution (Twomey, 136 1977). The transition from droplets to raindrops is efficient when 137 nucleation occurs on few CCN with a large variance in their sizes. On the 138 other hand, many small droplets with a narrow size distribution will often 139 be activated in a polluted environment, decreasing the likelihood of 140 collision and coalescence and resulting potentially in a reduction in the 141 efficiency of the transition to rain (e.g., Flossmann and Wobrock 2010). 142

For clouds that extend into or develop above the freezing level, additional
processes contribute to the formation and growth of mixed-phase and ice
hydrometeors. Following nucleation, ice particles grow by vapour
diffusion, leading to rapid depositional growth while there is liquid water to
evaporate and maintain the supersaturation conditions for ice (the
Wegener-Bergeron-Findeisen process: WBF; Pruppacher and Klett 1997).

150 In summary, water vapour diffusion alone is often not efficient enough to generate precipitation within the lifetime of a cloud, and so collision and 151 coalescence between droplets are essential to produce precipitation-sized 152 153 drops. In addition, supercooled drops can be captured and frozen 154 (completely or partially) by ice crystals. The resulting frozen particles can 155 grow by riming or mixed phase processes to form graupel or hail. The ice 156 particles themselves can collide to form aggregates. All these processes 157 are influenced by the size and dynamics of the hydrometeors, as well as 158 environmental factors, such as the surrounding water vapour and electric 159 fields (Pruppacher and Klett 1997).

160

161 2.2 Dynamics of the cloud systems of interest in precipitation 162 enhancement

163

164 Wintertime frontal systems passing over mountainous areas generally show greater precipitation on the windward side than on the leeward side. 165 166 Even in essentially stratiform clouds, convection is often embedded in 167 these cloud systems. On many occasions the low-level stratification and 168 winds are such that the air rises over (rather than moves around) the orography. The rising moist air on the windward side generates 169 170 condensation or freezing. Provided that the freezing level is below the 171 peak of the ranges and that the temperatures around the peak of the 172 ranges are not too low, supercooled liquid water (SLW) rather than ice 173 particles tend to be generated.

174 The distribution of orographic precipitation is controlled by microphysical processes, airflow dynamics, and moist-air thermodynamics (Houze 175 176 2012). Watson and Lane (2014) and Geerts et al. (2015) demonstrate 177 that orographic precipitation is sensitive to the terrain geometry (aspect 178 ratio) and the low-level stability of the flow (Froude number). Thus, 179 provided that any embedded convection is not too intense, the spatial and temporal distribution of precipitation on the ground can be estimated with 180 181 some accuracy.

182

183 Surface heating is usually significant in the generation of *convective cloud* systems suitable for seeding. There is a wide range in the scale of 184 convective cloud systems that have been seeded, extending "from small 185 fair-weather cumulus (with spatial scale of a few kilometres and lifetime of 186 187 tens of minutes) to deep cumulus or deep thunderstorms and mesoscale convective complexes (100 km wide and timescale of several hours)" 188 189 (WMO 2018a). In order to be a candidate for hygroscopic seeding, liquid-190 cloud processes need to be important in the initial development of these 191 clouds, with a substantial depth between cloud base and the freezing 192 level. Convection in these clouds can be enhanced by the release of latent 193 heat, as CCN and INP concentrations will influence the development of the 194 cloud microphysics. In particular, cloud droplets can be converted to ice 195 particles, when the clouds rise above the freezing level.

Convective clouds are greatly influenced by surface fluxes, boundary layerdynamics, entrainment, wind shear, moisture availablilty and the strength

of capping inversions. They develop precipitation that is highly variable in
space and time, and this variability of the natural precipitation provides a
challenge for the detection of any increase in local precipitation due to
cloud seeding.

202

3. Potential for precipitation enhancement

204

Table 1 summarizes the main published seeding methods used for
precipitation enhancement. Some comments in Table 1 are discussed
further in the following text. The figures 1, 2 and 3 support visually the
description.

209

3.1 Winter orographic cloud systems

211

212 Tessendorf et al. (2019) summarise the progress that has been made in 213 recent years on orographic cloud seeding. For wintertime orographic 214 clouds, precipitation is influenced by the local orography, with SLW being 215 generated as moist air near the freezing level rises over the ranges. The 216 basic hypothesis of orographic cloud seeding is that the introduction of 217 artificial INP (usually silver iodide, AgI) to the orographically-generated SLW promotes the formation of ice particles at relatively warm (around -5 218 219 °C) temperatures, and that these particles subsequently grow by 220 deposition and collision leading to enhanced precipitation on the ground over the ranges (see figure 1a and b for a schematic illustration). This 221

glaciogenic seeding hypothesis has been confirmed by observational andmodelling research (French et al. 2018).

224 Geerts et al. (2010) follow the impact of ground-based seeding of AgI particles on the microphysics of orographic clouds associated with the 225 226 Wyoming Weather Modification Pilot Project (WWMPP; Breed et al. 2014). 227 The seeding impact is identified by comparing the radar data from seeded and unseeded flight legs using contoured frequency – altitude displays 228 229 (CFADs), developed by Yuter and Houze (1995). The impact of seeding 230 shown by the CFADs increases with Froude number, that is with 231 decreasing flow stability. Building on such studies, French et al. (2018) 232 provide a detailed record of the processes associated with the 233 enhancement of precipitation from wintertime orographic cloud systems for the mountain ranges of south western Idaho, USA. 234 235 French et al. (2018) describe two strategies for aircraft-based seeding 236 with AqI. For the burn-in-place strategy, the seeding aircraft flies at the height where seeding is expected to be effective. In this approach, the 237 238 artificial INP are transported essentially horizontally into the cloud from 239 the aircraft using acetone burners or burn-in-place flares. The second 240 strategy has the seeding aircraft deploying ejectable flares at or above the height that contains the supercooled water. This approach is clearly 241 242 advantageous in mountainous terrain, but the flares may burn out before 243 falling to the optimal level if the aircraft is too high.

244

245 A third strategy for the injection of seeding material into cloud involves various ground-based techniques. In addition to ground-based acetone 246 247 burners, artillery shells and rockets (including high-altitude fireworks) 248 have been used for cloud seeding (Abshaev et al. 2006). The challenge for 249 all ground-based techniques is to ensure that the INP reach the 250 appropriate cloud level for seeding. Remote sensing (Tessendorf et al. 251 2019) allows to follow the propagation of the seeded material in the cloud. Observation and modelling studies (Bruintjes et al. 1995; Xue et al. 2013) 252 253 suggest that direct seeding strategies are more effective than ground-254 based generators.

255

256 **3.2 Convective cloud systems**

257

258 For convective clouds driven by surface heating, the complex interactions between dynamics and microphysics can lead to a variety of potential 259 260 opportunities to enhance precipitation. Two main strategies are commonly 261 used to seed these clouds. Hygroscopic seeding involves the introduction 262 of (generally large) CCN to enhance the formation of large drops near 263 cloud base and to activate coalescence processes. Glaciogenic seeding involves the introduction of INP in order to promote ice and mixed phase 264 265 processes.

266

267 *Hygroscopic seeding* particles tend to be salts with sizes in the range of
268 0.1-10 μm (e.g., Segal et al. 2004; Drofa et al. 2013), and they are

269 dispersed from an aircraft as micro-powders, through burn-in-place flares (Bruinites at al. 2012) or through ejectable flares. Ground based flares, 270 271 ground-based rockets and artillery shells can also be used to disperse 272 seeding material into convective clouds (Abshaev et al. 2014). This 273 seeding approach is potentially applicable to cloud systems with more 274 than about 1 km of cloud depth below the freezing level (Silverman and Sukarnjanaset 2000), with a lack of large CCN in the natural aerosol 275 276 particles, and with updrafts near cloud base exceeding 1 m/s (Tessendorf 277 et al. 2012). Seeding particles that are larger and more hygroscopic than 278 the background particles are expected to grow more rapidly through condensation and subsequently through collision with other droplets (see 279 280 figure 2 for a schematic illustration). In addition to this 'tail' effect, such artificial CCN also have the 'competition' effect of preventing the 281 282 nucleation of small or less soluble CCN (Segal et al. 2007) by suppressing 283 the peak supersaturation in the cloud.

If the cloud depth extends above the freezing level, then the effects of artificial CCN from the warm phase can extend into the mixed and ice phases of cloud (Lawson et al. 2015). A number of research experiments in different countries suggest that hygroscopic seeding might increase rainfall from continental storms (e.g., Prabha et al. 2011; Tessendorf et al. 2012).

290

For *glaciogenic seeding*, materials such as AgI and dry ice are injected into cloud in order either to increase the concentration of INP (as in

seeding wintertime orographic cloud) or to increase the buoyancy of the
cloud through the release of latent heat from the freezing of SLW (see
figure 3 for a schematic illustration). As the introduction of ice particles
('static' effect) leads to increased latent heat release ('buoyancy' effect),
both effects are expected to occur simultaneously.

298 When seeding of convective cloud systems extends into the regime of 299 mixed-phase processes the interactions between cloud dynamics, cloud 300 microphysics and cloud environment (entrainment) become still more 301 complex. Despite numerous experiments over several decades, the 302 documenting and understanding of the chain of processes from aerosol 303 particles to precipitation on the ground remain outstanding. For example, 304 merging of individual clouds is known to lead to a substantial increase in precipitation, maximum cloud area, radar echo top and maximum radar 305 306 reflectivity (e.g., Popov and Sinkevich 2017). However, the influence of 307 seeding on these processes are not well understood or even well 308 documented.

309

310 **4. Advances in observations**

311

There have been great advances in technologies for observing clouds in recent decades, especially in ground-based, aircraft-based and satellitebased remote sensing, and these technologies have played a major role in furthering our understanding of the physical processes associated with

- precipitation enhancement. Laboratory measurements also provide
 important support for precipitation enhancement research (WMO 2018a).
- 318

319 **4.1 Precipitation on the ground**

320

321 Accurate observation of the natural precipitation and any artificial enhancement is essential to precipitation enhancement research. 322 323 However, this remains a challenge due to the high spatial and temporal 324 variability of precipitation, especially in convective systems. Ground-based 325 precipitation gauges provide the most accurate measurements of precipitation over a catchment-scale area. Villarini et al. (2008) confirm 326 327 that sampling errors for precipitation increase as the temporal integration 328 time decreases. The specified periods when seeding may occur in a cloud 329 seeding project are known as experimental units (EUs), and they can 330 currently be as short as a few hours (Manton et al. 2011; Breed et al. 2014). The uncertainties associated with precipitation measurements over 331 332 an EU duration need to be taken into account when evaluating cloud 333 seeding projects.

334

Measurement uncertainties are greatly increased when precipitation falls as snow. Rasmussen et al. (2012) summarise the current state of knowledge in snowfall measurement, where strong winds and turbulence lead to substantial under-catch. They recognise that the benchmark Double Fence Intercomparison Reference wind shield is not always

feasible at remote sites, and so understanding the uncertainties of suboptimal but practical techniques is essential. Indeed Kochendorfer et al.
(2018) use results from the World Meteorological Organization Solid
Precipitation Intercomparison Experiment (WMO-SPICE) to show that the
more effective the wind shield the more accurate are bias adjustments for
under-catch.

346

Scanning radars can be used to estimate precipitation over a large area,
especially where the terrain is steep and rough. While progress continues
to be made in reducing the uncertainties from radar reflectivity (e.g.,
Hasan et al. 2016), the application of dual-polarisation radar to
precipitation estimation has been a major development (Brandes et al.
2002), so that information on hydrometeor phase and shape can be used
when calibrating radars against local disdrometers.

354

355 Krajewski et al. (2010) identify improvements in radar-based estimates of 356 precipitation over the last forty years. They find that the mean difference 357 between radar and rain gauges has been reduced by about 21%, but 358 there is little change in the difference when the estimates are bias-359 adjusted. Indeed, they note that "the comprehensive characterization of 360 uncertainty of radar-rainfall estimation has not been achieved." However, 361 most recent work in this area has been focused on precipitation estimation 362 with a multi-sensor approach in which radar, rain gauge and satellite data

are used together, especially for convective precipitation (Zhang et al.2016).

365

366 **4.2 Synoptic environment**

367

The development of a cloud system, especially for winter orographic 368 369 clouds, is substantially controlled by the synoptic environment, which generally determines when clouds are suitable for seeding. Routine 370 371 operational analysis and prediction systems provide essential information 372 on these conditions, but they should be supplemented by dedicated upper-air soundings during cloud seeding projects (Manton et al. 2011; 373 374 Breed et al. 2014). These data can be supplemented by microwave radiometers and wind profilers to yield additional information on local 375 376 temperature, humidity and wind profiles.

377

378 **4.3 Cloud dynamics**

379

For some decades, software systems (Dixon and Wiener 1993; Abshaev et
al. 2010) have provided detailed information on the initiation and
development of cloud cells, based on radar reflectivity only. Advances in
Doppler and dual-polarisation capabilities have led to major improvements
in the observation of the dynamics of clouds. Pokharel et al. (2014) show
that seeding-induced changes in cloud structure can be identified through
a combination of aircraft-based and ground-based radars. Portable X-band

Doppler-on-Wheels radars (French et al. 2018) and K-band micro rain radars (Maahn and Kollias, 2012) can be used in mountainous terrain to provide comprehensive information on the evolution of cloud systems in seeded and unseeded conditions. Imaging radars (generally using phased array methods) are being developed to yield three-dimensional data with scan times on the order of 10 s (e.g., Kurdzo et al. 2017).

393

Radars are complemented by the recent generation of geostationary
satellites in providing information on cloud structure and microphysics to
support decision making and analysis in cloud seeding projects. These
satellites have spatial resolution of about 1 km, around 16 spectral
channels, and scanning intervals on the order of 10 minutes (Bessho et al.
2016; Schmit et al. 2017).

400

401 **4.4 Microphysics**

402

As precipitation enhancement involves the inducement of changes in cloud
microphysics, comprehensive and systematic measurements must be
taken to identify the chain of processes extending from aerosol properties
to precipitation at the ground.

407

408 Cloud seeding involves the introduction of artificial CCN or INP. Thus,

409 measurements of the properties of aerosol particles in both seeded and

- 410 unseeded areas are necessary. The optical and electrical mobility
 - 18

411 properties of particles can be used to monitor the aerosol size distribution 412 from aircraft (Wang et al. 2012). A particular challenge arises with the 413 measurement of INP. Here, also complementary laboratory studies are 414 essential to identify the underlying processes of ice nucleation and 415 multiplication.

416

Precipitation enhancement often involves the transformation of SLW to
ice, and so detailed measurement of SLW is needed for research as well as
for any operational cloud seeding. Total liquid water path is effectively
measured by microwave radiometry (Osburn et al. 2016), except when
rain affects the radiometer radome (Araki et al. 2015).

422

423 Aircraft-based (Geerts et al. 2010; French et al. 2018) and ground-based 424 (Delanoë et al. 2016) radars and lidars can readily identify changes in 425 cloud microphysics from unseeded to seeded conditions. However, in situ measurements are needed to obtain detailed information on 426 427 hydrometeors. A challenge arises from the large range of hydrometeors in 428 size, shape and concentration. Forward-scattering and particle-imaging 429 probes are used to take in situ measurements of cloud droplets, ice particles and raindrops that extend in size from 2 to 10,000 μ m. The 430 formation of drizzle requires accurate measurement of large particles at 431 432 low concentrations (Baumgardner et al. 2017); this is especially important 433 in hygroscopic cloud seeding where large drops in the tail of the cloud 434 drop distribution play an essential role in the development of precipitation.

435 Baumgardner et al. (2017) also describe the challenges associated with the shattering of ice particles as they impact the measuring instrument, 436 437 leading to bias in the measurement of small particles. A range of airborne probes is therefore needed to properly support a cloud seeding 438 439 programme. For a list of current *in situ* cloud particle probes see 440 Baumgardner et al. (2017, their Table 9-1).

441

5. Advances in modelling 442

443

444 In recent years there has been significant progress in the modelling of clouds. Ready access to models like the Weather Research and 445 446 Forecasting (WRF) Model (Liu et al. 2008) means that three-dimensional mesoscale modelling of entire cloud systems embedded in a large-scale 447 448 flow is now standard practice. These models are driven by numerical 449 weather prediction (NWP) model output, with nesting capabilities that support zooming into a region of interest at grid sizes approaching Large-450 451 Eddy Simulation (LES) scales (Chu et al. 2017a; 2017b; Xue et al. 2016). 452 The models include multi-moment or bin-resolved microphysics schemes. 453 Model complexity can be further increased by combining the atmospheric model results with snowpack, snowmelt and runoff models (Yoshida et al. 454 2009) to assess the impact of seeding on seasonal time scales. 455 456

- 457 5.1 Modelling of Microphysics
- 458

459 For precipitation enhancement research, the cloud microphysical parameterization is critical as it greatly affects the accuracy of numerical 460 model seeding experiments. Various cloud microphysical 461 462 parameterizations are currently coupled to non-hydrostatic models 463 (NHMs). For bulk cloud microphysical parameterizations, hydrometeors 464 are separated into distinct categories and the size distribution of each category is represented by an inverse exponential function or a gamma 465 466 function. The change in the total mass, total number and/or radar 467 reflectivity (single, double or third moment bulk schemes) of the particles 468 in each category is predicted (e.g., Khain et al. 2015; Lompar et al. 2017). More detailed approaches have been proposed by Saleeby and 469 470 Cotton (2004) or Morrison and Milbrandt (2015), e.g.

471

472 For bin spectral microphysical parameterizations, the change in 473 hydrometeor size distribution is simulated in detail: each category is divided into several size ranges (bins), and the change in particle number 474 475 (single-moment scheme) or in particle number and mass (double-moment 476 scheme) is calculated for each bin of each category. Such models allow 477 explicit representation of the nucleation of water drops and ice particles on an ambient aerosol population and more realistically simulate clouds 478 under differing pollution conditions (e.g. Planche et al. 2010). Moreover, 479 480 the nucleation of ice particles through different INP modes can now be 481 considered (Hiron and Flossmann 2015). Some bin microphysics models

482 even include a bin-resolved representation of aerosol particles (e.g.,
483 Flossmann and Wobrock 2010).

484

An outstanding source of uncertainty in cloud models is the sensitivity of the results to variations in microphysics parameterizations (Geresdi et al. 2017). Sensitivities are found for both bin microphysics (Khain et al. 2015) and bulk models (Morrison and Grabowski 2007). Model intercomparison studies may help resolve these uncertainties, especially if they are accompanied by detailed field observations that can validate the simulated processes.

492

493 **5.2 Modelling of seeding**

494

A key assumption of cloud seeding is that the seeding particles dominate
over the effects of the natural aerosol. However, most NWP models
currently do not consider the ambient background aerosol population
when calculating water drop and ice particle nucleation, and so this
deficiency limits their usefulness for cloud seeding simulations where the
competition between the natural and seeding aerosols is essential.

501

502 Numerical modelling of seeding with dry ice or AgI is currently practical,

503 but there remains uncertainty in the modelling of liquid CO₂ (e.g., Xue et

al. 2013; Geresdi et al. 2017). On the other hand, current AgI seeding

schemes in models are based on experimental results from the 1990s and

do not reflect advances in knowledge since that time; for example, theydo not take into account the potential for INP to act also as CCN.

508

509 It is generally found from model simulations that hygroscopic particles 510 need to be larger than about a micrometre in order to generate raindrops 511 (e.g., Segal et al. 2004). It follows that salt micro-powder seeding of warm clouds is usually more effective than hygroscopic flare seeding 512 513 (Kuba and Murakami 2010). However, the effect of hygroscopic seeding 514 on rainfall on the ground is found to be dependent on details such as the 515 type of cloud and the type of seeding material. Consequently, consistent 516 results from modelling are still lacking. The application of three-517 dimensional NHMs to hygroscopic seeding has been limited, and the hygroscopic seeding schemes in NHMs have been rather crude. 518 519 For example, a model seeding scheme should account for the CCN and 520 INP capabilities of particles generated from the combustion agent of hygroscopic flares as well as the capabilities of the anti-caking agents 521 522 included in the salt micro-powder. Moreover, the dispersion of both 523 hygroscopic and glaciogenic seeding materials tends to be over-estimated 524 because of the relatively coarse resolution of current 3D NHMs. This problem can be alleviated through the use of LES models for cloud 525 seeding simulations, in order to follow accurately the dispersion of the 526 527 seeding material.

528

529 6. Catchment-scale research projects

531 Comprehensive field programs and modelling studies have now shown 532 that cloud seeding can affect the development of precipitation in some 533 cloud systems. However, these effects need to act over substantial time 534 periods and spatial regions in order to accrue economic and societal value. 535 That is, it is necessary to extend the seeding effects documented in 536 individual clouds to areas comparable with water catchments and over 537 seasonal or longer time scales.

538

539 Three major catchment-scale experiments are reported in the recent scientific literature. The Snowy Precipitation Enhancement Research 540 541 Project (SPERP) in the Snowy Mountains of south eastern Australia had two phases: SPERP-1 from 2005 to 2009 (Manton et al. 2011) and SPERP-542 543 2 from 2010 to 2013 (Manton et al. 2017). With two sites in Wyoming, 544 USA, the Wyoming Weather Modification Pilot Project (WWMPP) ran from 2008 to 2014 (Breed at al. 2014; Rasmussen at al. 2018). The Israel-4 545 546 experiment commenced in northern Israel in 2013 (Freud et al. 2015). 547 While other catchment-scale experiments have been or are currently 548 being carried out in other countries (WMO 2018a), we focus below on these three experiments. 549

550

551 Weather modification has had a colourful history (Fleming 2010), largely 552 because it is difficult to conclusively detect the enhancement of 553 precipitation on the ground over a significant area and time period. Such

530

detection requires careful statistical analysis over many EUs. A statistically
robust and efficient analysis in turn requires the experimental procedure
to be consistently maintained over the duration of the project. The
inclination of a scientist to adjust an experiment in the light of new
knowledge can thus jeopardise the outcome of a precipitation
enhancement project.

560

561 **6.1 Economic issues**

562

Increased water on the ground is the basis of the economic benefit of
precipitation enhancement. However, the scientific justification for any
economic benefit depends upon understanding of the chain of physical
interactions extending across a large range of spatial and temporal scales.
The design of an experiment that scales up from earlier exploratory
studies should account for the interactions between all these scales.

570 Convective clouds pose a major challenge for scaling up from exploratory studies. For example, Terblanche et al. (2000) showed that scaling up the 571 572 apparently positive impact of seeding at storm scales to what would be 573 required to achieve catchment-scale impacts led to a "two orders of 574 magnitude challenge", as about 6000 storms would need to be seeded. Similarly, Silverman and Sukarnjanaset (2000) found that the 575 methodology of seeding individual mixed-phase clouds is unlikely to be 576 577 economically viable. Further studies by Terblanche et al. (2005) and

578 Shippey et al. (2004) also concluded that more efficient ways to deliver 579 seeding material into clouds would be required to achieve impacts at 580 catchment scales.

581

In estimating the economic benefit of cloud seeding, it is necessary to also account both for the benefits of additional water over the seeded area and for the total costs of a continuing operational project. Those costs include actions needed to obviate any potential environmental risks (WMO 2018a).

587

588 **6.2 Preliminary studies**

589

590 A catchment-scale project typically is preceded by a series of exploratory studies to characterise the clouds of the region of interest and to assess 591 592 their suitability for seeding. For example, Koshida et al. (2012) investigate 593 the suitability of clouds in Japan for hygroscopic and glaciogenic seeding, 594 and Geerts et al. (2010) report on aircraft-based observations of 595 glaciogenic seeding in the mountains of Wyoming, USA. Observational and modelling studies should be carried out on wintertime orographic clouds to 596 ensure that SLW occurs at least upwind of the mountains. 597

598

599 Similar studies are also needed for convective clouds (especially with

600 mixed-phase) to explore the relationships between the local aerosol

601 particles and cloud microphysics. The Cloud Aerosol Interaction and

Precipitation Enhancement Experiment (CAIPEEX) investigates warm and
mixed-phase convective clouds during the Indian monsoon season
(Prabha et al. 2011; Kulkarni et al. 2012) as a basis for cloud seeding.
Preliminary results show that pollution aerosols over continental areas
tend to increase the depth of cloud and hence to delay the onset of warm
rain.

608

Field and modelling studies are required to determine the optimal seeding strategy. This strategy is dependent upon factors such as the availability of seeding material and associated infrastructure, as well as studies to ensure that the seeding material will reach the appropriate part of clouds with a proper dosage within a reasonable time.

Once it is clear that clouds suitable for seeding occur in the region of interest, seeding simulations using historical climate data should be carried out to test whether the impact of seeding is likely to be detected within a few years (e.g., Manton et al. 2011). The probability of detection increases with the number of seedable events and the expected seeding impact. Modelling studies (Ritzman et al. 2015) have also been used to estimate seeding opportunities.

621

622 6.3 Randomised design

623

The duration of an EU is limited by the nature of the local precipitationand the available infrastructure. Owing to limitations on the availability of

precipitation data, EUs in the past tended to be at least one day in
duration. More recently, short-term precipitation is readily recorded and
monitored, so that the duration of an EU is decided by the expected
duration of consistent conditions for cloud seeding; for example, 4-hour
EUs were used for WWMPP (Breed et al. 2014).

631 A key challenge for catchment-scale projects is that the signal-to-noise ratio is invariably very small. This difficulty arises because the natural 632 633 variability of precipitation is high (especially for short-term EUs), while the 634 average impact of seeding is relatively low (below 20%). The design of a 635 catchment-scale project therefore needs to include a randomisation 636 process to select which EUs are seeded and which are not seeded (unseeded). As with medical trials, scientists involved with decision 637 making for the project should not be aware of the seeding sequence. 638 639 An important decision of the randomisation process is the specification of 640 the seeding ratio: the ratio of the number of seeded events to the number of unseeded events. A seeding ratio larger than one leads to the range of 641 642 environmental conditions for unseeded EUs being smaller than for seeded 643 EUs, causing greater statistical uncertainty (Manton and Warren 2011). 644

A catchment-scale project aims to enhance precipitation in a target area of at least 1000 km². It is usual to also identify a control area, that is used to predict the natural precipitation in the target area. A control area must have precipitation that is highly correlated with that in the target area (so that the control is an effective predictor of target precipitation), and the

650 control area must not be impacted by seeding material (so that it represents the natural precipitation of the target). A range of target-651 652 control configurations is used: fixed target and control areas are used in 653 SPERP, crossover target and control is used in WWMPP, and a single area 654 is used for target and control in Israel-4 (Freud et al. 2015). Each 655 configuration has challenges: finding a suitable control can be difficult for 656 fixed target-control; inadvertent contamination of the control area is likely 657 for a crossover design; ensuring equivalent synoptic conditions across 658 seeded and unseeded EUs is difficult for single area designs.

659

660 **6.4 Seedability conditions**

661

Especially for short-duration EUs, it is essential to have well-defined 662 663 environmental conditions for starting an EU. For glaciogenic seeding, these seeding criteria need to ensure that SLW is available, that seeding 664 665 material will disperse to the SLW, that ice particles nucleated by the 666 seeding material will grow sufficiently to ultimately fall into the target area, that suitable conditions for seeding will persist for the duration of an 667 668 EU, and that material from a seeded EU will not contaminate a following 669 unseeded EU. Preliminary observational and modelling studies provide the 670 basis for the specification of the seedability conditions (e.g., Manton et al. 2011; Breed et al. 2014; Freud et al. 2015). Cloud seeding operations 671 672 should also be supported by model-based forecasts (Murakami et al. 673 2011; Breed at al. 2014; Hashimoto et al. 2017; French et al. 2015).

675 **6.5 Indicators of seeding impact**

676

It is essential to initially specify indicators of seeding impact that assess 677 678 the success of a catchment-scale experiment across all EUs. The large 679 number of potential indicators means that statistical multiplicity (where 680 the application of several statistical tests can lead to some positive results 681 by chance) can be a problem for cloud seeding experiments. The problem is overcome by specifying a small number of primary indicators to assess 682 683 success, while listing a range of secondary indicators to provide 684 supplementary evidence on the physical basis of the primary indicators. 685 For example, Manton et al. (2011) specify two primary indicators for 686 SPERP-1: one related to targeting of seeding material and the other 687 related to the average enhancement of precipitation. Estimates of the 688 increase in natural precipitation of suitable clouds vary from a negligible 689 fraction to over 20% (Ryan and King 1997). Manton et al. (2017) suggest 690 that substantial uncertainty in these estimates arises from the methods 691 used to estimate the natural precipitation in the target area.

692

The number of potential secondary indicators is limited only by the range
of observing systems used in an experiment. We distinguish between
indicators based on data observed consistently for each EU (for example,
the ordered residual diagrams of Manton et al. (2017) showing systematic
seeding impacts) and those based on data observed during special

observing periods (for example, the aircraft data of Miao and Geerts
(2013) showing changes in cloud microphysics due to seeding in some
EUs).

701

702 **7. Conclusions and recommendations**

703

704 Recognising the impacts of climate change and the increasing scarcity of 705 reliable water resources, the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) 706 Expert Team on Weather Modification has reviewed the progress made on 707 the scientific aspect of precipitation enhancement (WMO 2018a). 708 Exploiting the insight gained by aerosol-cloud-climate research regarding 709 the role of aerosol particles, sophisticated remote sensing and *in situ* 710 observational capacities, and increasing computer power, we have significantly advanced our understanding of cloud processes in the global 711 712 water cycle as well as on a regional and local scale, even though gaps 713 remain.

714

The distribution of natural precipitation in wintertime orographic clouds suitable for seeding is largely determined by the orography interacting with synoptic-scale systems. Thus, the spatial and temporal distribution of precipitation on the ground can often be estimated with sufficient accuracy to allow the impact of seeding to be quantified. Seeding with glaciogenic particles near mountainous water catchments aims to convert orographically-induced supercooled liquid water to ice, which in turn leads

722 to snowfall and increased precipitation on the ground. Careful analysis of randomized campaigns identifies a possible increase of precipitation 723 724 ranging from essentially zero to more than 20%. Higher values tend to be associated with aircraft-based seeding using AgI. However, the reasons 725 726 for the large variation in impacts are not well understood and estimates of 727 impact are sensitive to the estimation of the natural precipitation in the target area. The most promising results are obtained for clouds that have 728 729 already a natural tendency for precipitation formation (WMO 2018a).

730

731 Mixed phase convective clouds have also been seeded with hygroscopic or glaciogenic particles with the aim of triggering liquid or mixed phase 732 733 precipitation. As these clouds are generally driven by surface heating, the variability of their natural precipitation means that it is difficult to identify 734 735 any increase in local precipitation due to seeding. Moreover, when seeding 736 mixed-phase clouds, the interactions between clouds must be followed, 737 because the main effects of the seeding can occur some hours after 738 seeding in distant clouds spawned by earlier clouds. The complexity of 739 cloud systems means that any seeding strategy requires a precise 740 knowledge of the system and a careful injection of appropriate aerosol 741 particles that augment or substitute for natural particles to enhance the 742 natural precipitation.

743

744 Exploratory studies are needed to document the processes associated with745 natural precipitation in the region of interest and to estimate their

746 sensitivity to seeding. Once the range of exploratory studies has been completed and deemed successful, the seeding can be extended to larger 747 areas and time periods to obtain an economic benefit. This upscaling of an 748 749 exploratory seeding campaign to a catchment basin-sized region requires 750 again a strict protocol. Before a catchment-scale experiment is 751 undertaken, historical data should be analysed to estimate the probability 752 of detection of enhanced precipitation, that is, to determine the minimum 753 duration of the experiment. Randomization of seeding and a consistent 754 methodology are essential to support a rigorous statistical analysis of the 755 data collected during an experiment. High-resolution modelling can be used to support all phases of an experiment. Furthermore, possible 756 757 toxicological, ecological, sociological and legal issues, as well as extraarea effects need to be considered. 758

759

There have been advances in our understanding of a cloud within its
synoptic environment. However, there remain unresolved issues
associated with the interactions between the natural aerosol particles
(that provide the CCN and INP for hydrometeors), cloud microphysics and
cloud dynamics.

Our knowledge of microphysical processes remains incomplete, especially on the formation and growth of solid hydrometeors. In particular, the basis of secondary ice multiplication processes in cloud is poorly understood. The interactions between cloud microphysics and dynamics and their consequences for the precipitation efficiency, as well as with the

770 dynamics regarding all scales, need to be further investigated. The location, timing and methodology of seeding have to be adapted to the 771 772 local conditions. Observation capacities (including robust open-source 773 software) as well as high resolution, three-dimensional mesoscale 774 modelling of dynamics, microphysics and aerosol processes need to be 775 advanced, particularly in relation to competition between natural and 776 seeded particles. Model intercomparison projects would identify optimal 777 approaches to modelling cloud microphysics. These projects should include 778 observational datasets obtained during measurement campaigns.

779

The uncertainties that limit the scientific foundation for cloud seeding, especially for mixed-phase convective clouds, will be reduced through international analysis and model intercomparison workshops (McFarquhar et al. 2017; Grabowski 2015), promotion of best-practice, and the publication of the data and results of relevant research in the international scientific literature.

While water shortage has motivated cloud seeding initiatives in the past, accelerating climate change has added a renewed urgency, but also an additional complexity due to the uncertain regionalisation of its effects.

789

790 The recommendations in the AMS Statement on Planned Weather

791 Modification through Cloud Seeding

792 (https://www.ametsoc.org/index.cfm/ams/about-ams/ams-

793 <u>statements/statements-of-the-ams-in-force/planned-weather-</u>

794	modification-through-cloud-seeding/) are supported by the findings of this	
795	assessment. More detailed references on the science of cloud seeding are	
796	given in WMO (2018a).	
797		
798	Acknowledgements	
799		
800	The WMO Expert Team on Weather Modification acknowledges the support	
801	provided by the National Center of Meteorology, Abu Dhabi, UAE under	
802	the UAE Research Program for Rain Enhancement Science.	
803		
804		
805	References	
806		
807	Abshaev, M.T., A.M. Abshaev, G.K. Sulakvelidze, I.I. Burtsev and A.M.	
808	Malkarova, 2006: Development of rocket and artillery technology for	
809	hail suppression. Achievements in Weather Modification, Department	
810	of Atmospheric Science, Abu Dhabi, 109-127.	
811	Abshaev, M.T., A.M. Abshaev, A.M. Malkarova and M.V. Zharashuev,	
812	2010: Automated radar identification, measurement of parameters,	
813	and classification of convective cells for hail protection and storm	
814	warning. Russian Meteorology and Hydrology, 35(3):182-189. DOI:	
815	https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068373910030040	

816	Abshaev M.T., A.M. Abshaev, M.V. Barekova and A.M. Malkarova, 2014:
817	Manual on organization and execution of hail suppression projects,
818	Nalchik, 508 pages.
819	Adzhiev A.K., R.K. Kalov, 2015: Studying the influence of electric charges
820	and fields on the efficiency of ice formation with silver iodide
821	particles, Collected papers "Problems of cloud physics" in the memory
822	of N.O. Plaude, Russian Hydrometeorological Service, Central
823	Aerological Observatory, Moscow, p. 73-88, ISBN: 978-5-901579-62-
824	6.
825	Araki, K., M. Murakami, H. Ishimoto and T. Tajiri, 2015: Ground-based
826	microwave radiometer variational analysis during no-rain and rain
827	conditions. SOLA, 11:108-112. DOI:
828	https://doi.org/10.2151/sola.2015-026
829	Baumgardner, D., S.J. Abel, D. Axisa, R. Cotton, J. Crosier, P. Field, C.
830	Gurganus, A. Heymsfield, A. Korolev, M. Krämer, P. Lawson, G.
831	McFarquhar, Z. Ulanowski and J. Um, 2017: Cloud ice properties: In
832	situ measurement challenges. Meteorological monographs, 58:9.1-
833	9.23.
834	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-16-0011.1
835	Bessho, K., K. Date, M. Hayashi. A. Ikeda, T. Imai, H. Inoue, Y. Kumagai,
836	T. Miyakawa, H. Murata, T. Ohno, A. Okuyama, R. Oyama, Y. Sasaki,
837	Y. Shimazu, K. Shimoji and Y. Sumida, 2016: An introduction to

838	Himawari-8/9 - Japan's new-generation geostationary meteorological
839	satellites. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, 94, 151-
840	183.

Brandes, E.A., G. Zhang and J. Vivekanandan, 2002: Experiments in

- rainfall estimation with a polarimetric radar in a subtropical
- 843 environment. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
- **41(6):674-685**.
- 845 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 846 0450(2002)041<0674:EIREWA>2.0.CO;2
- 847 Breed, D., R. Rasmussen, C. Weeks, B. Boe and T. Deshler, 2014:
- 848 Evaluating winter orographic cloud seeding: Design of the Wyoming
- 849 Weather Modification Pilot Project (WWMPP). Journal of Applied
- 850 Meteorology and Climatology, 53(2):282-299.DOI:
- 851 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-0128.1</u>
- 852 Bruintjes, R. T., Clark, T. L., Hall, W. D., 1995: The dispersion of tracer
- 853 plumes in mountainous regions in central Arizona: Comparisons
- between observations and modeling results. *Journal of Applied*
- 855 *Meteorology*, *34*(4), 971-988.
- Bruintjes, R.T., V. Salazar, T.A. Semeniuk, P. Buseck, D.W. Breed and J.
- 857 Gunkelman, 2012: Evaluation of hygroscopic cloud seeding flares.
- The Journal of Weather Modification,44(1):69-94. DOI:

- http://www.weathermodification.org/~weatherm/publications/index.p
 hp/JWM/article/view/85
- Chu, X., B. Geerts, L. Xue and B. Pokharel, 2017*a*: A case study of cloud
- radar observations and large eddy simulations of a shallow stratiform
- 863 orographic cloud, and the impact of glaciogenic seeding. *Journal of*
- 864 *Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 56:1285-1304. DOI:
- 865 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0364.1
- 866 Chu, X., B. Geerts, L. Xue and R. Rasmussen, 2017b: Large eddy
- simulations of the impact of ground-based glaciogenic seeding on
- shallow orographic convection: A case study. *Journal of Applied*
- 869 *Meteorology and Climatology*, 56:69-84.
- 870 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0191.1
- B71 Delanoë, J., A. Protat, J.-P. Vinson, W. Brett, C. Caudoux, F. Bertrand, J.P.
 B72 du Chatelet,
- 873 R. Hallali, L. Barthes, M. Haeffelin and J.-C. Dupont, 2016: BASTA: A
- 874 95-GHz FMCW Doppler radar for cloud and fog studies. *Journal of*
- Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 33(5):1023-1038. DOI:
- 876 https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-15-0104.1
- 877 Dessens, J., J.L. Sánchez, C. Berthet, L. Hermida and A. Merino, 2016:
- 878 Hail prevention by ground-based silver iodide generators: Results of
- historical and modern field projects. *Atmospheric Research*, 170:98-
- 880 111. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.11.008
 - 38

- Dixon, M. and G. Wiener, 1993: TITAN: Thunderstorm Identification,
- 882 Tracking, Analysis, and Nowcasting A radar-based methodology.
- Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 10(6):785-797.
- 884 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 885 0426(1993)010<0785:TTITAA>2.0.CO;2
- B86 Drofa, A.S., V.G. Eran'kov, V.N. Ivanov, A.G. Shilin and G.F. Iskevich,
- 2013: Experimental investigations of the effect of cloud-medium
- 888 modification by salt powders. *Izvestiya, Atmospheric and Oceanic*

889 *Physics*, 49(3):298-306.

- B90 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001433813030043
- Field, P.R., R.P Lawson, P.R.A. Brown, G. Lloyd, C. Westbrook, D.
- Moisseev, A. Miltenberger, A. Nenes, A. Blyth, T. Choularton, P.
- 893 Connolly, J. Buehl, J. Crosier, Z. Cui, C. Dearden, P. DeMott, A.
- Flossmann, A. Heymsfield, Y. Huang, H. Kalesse, Z.A. Kanji, A.
- 895 Korolev, A. Kirchgaessner, S. Lasher-Trapp, T. Leisner, G.
- McFarquhar, V. Phillips, J. Stith and S. Sullivan, 2017: Secondary ice
- 897 production: Current state of the science and recommendations for the
- future. *Meteorological Monographs*, 58:7.1-7.20
- 899 Fleming, J.R., 2010: "Fixing the Sky". *Columbia University Press*, pp 801.
- 900 Flossmann, A.I. and W. Wobrock, 2010: A review of our understanding of
- 901 the aerosol cloud interaction from the perspective of a bin resolved

902	cloud scale modelling. <i>Atmospheric Research</i> , 97(4):478-497. DOI:
903	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.05.008
904	French J.R., K. Friedrich, S.A. Tessendorf, R.M. Rauber, B. Geerts, R.M.
905	Rasmussen, L. Xue, M.L. Kunkel and D.R. Blestrud, 2018:
906	Precipitation formation from orographic cloud seeding. Proceedings
907	of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
908	America, 201716995; published ahead of print January 22, 2018.
909	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716995115
910	Freud, E., H. Koussevitzky, T. Goren and D. Rosenfeld, 2015: Cloud
911	microphysical background for the Israel-4 cloud seeding experiment.
912	Atmospheric Research, 158-159:122-138. DOI:
913	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.02.007
914	Geerts, B., Q. Miao, Y. Yang, R. Rasmussen and D. Breed, 2010: An
915	airborne profiling radar study of the impact of glaciogenic cloud
916	seeding on snowfall from winter orographic clouds. Journal of the
917	Atmospheric Sciences, 67(10):3286-3302. DOI:
918	https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3496.1
919	Geerts, B., Y. Yang, R. Rasmussen, S. Haimov and B. Pokharel, 2015:
920	Snow growth and transport patterns in orographic storms as
921	estimated from airborne vertical-plane dual- doppler radar data.
922	Monthly Weather Review, 143(2):644-665.
923	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-14-00199.1

925	cloud seeding using a bin microphysics scheme: Two-dimensional
926	approach. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
927	56(5):1443-1462. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0045.1
928	Ghosh,S., A. Sharma, S. Arora and G. Desouza, 2016: A geoengineering
929	approach toward tackling tropical cyclones over the Bay of Bengal,
930	Atmospheric Science Letters, 17, 208-215. DOI: 10.1002/asl.644
931	Grabowski, W.W., 2015: Untangling microphysical impacts on deep
932	convection applying a novel modeling methodology. Journal of
933	Atmospheric Sciences, 72, 2446-2464. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-
934	D-14-0307.1
935	Hasan, M.M., A. Sharma, G. Mariethoz, F. Johnson and A. Seed, 2016:
936	Improving radar rainfall estimation by merging point rainfall
937	measurements within a model combination framework. Advances in
938	Water Resources, 97:205-218.
939	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2016.09.011
940	Hashimoto, A., N. Orikasa, T. Tajiri, and M. Murakami, 2017: Numerical
941	prediction experiment over the United Arab Emirates by using JMA-
942	NHM. CAS/JSC WGNE Research Activities in Atmospheric and Oceanic
943	Modelling 47, 5-07
944	Hiron, T. and A. I. Flossmann, 2015: A study of the role of the
945	parameterization of heterogeneous ice nucleation for the modeling of

Geresdi, I., L. Xue and R. Rasmussen, 2017: Evaluation of orographic

41

- 946 microphysics and precipitation of a convective cloud. *Journal of the*
- 947 *Atmospheric Sciences*, 72:3322–3339.
- 948 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0026.1
- 949 Hoose, C. and O. Möhler, 2012: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on
- 950 atmospheric aerosols: A review of results from laboratory
- 951 experiments. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(20):9817-9854.
- 952 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012</u>
- 953 Houze Jr., R.A., 2012: Orographic effects on precipitating clouds. *Reviews*
- 954 *of Geophysics*, 50(1):1-47. DOI:
- 955 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000365</u>
- 956 Kanji Z.A., L.A. Ladino, H. Wex, Y. Boose, M. Burkert-Kohn, D.J. Cziczo
- 957 and M. Krämer, 2017: Overview of ice nucleating particles.
- 958 *Meteorological Monographs*, 58:1.1-1.33. DOI:
- 959 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-16-0006.1</u>

- 961 Khain, A. P., K. D. Beheng, A. Heymsfield, A. Korolev, S. O. Krichak, Z.
- 962 Levin, M. Pinsky, V. Phillips, T. Prabhakaran, A. Teller, et al. (2015),
- 963 Representation of microphysical processes in cloud-resolving models:
- 964 Spectral (bin) microphysics versus bulk parameterization, Review of
- 965 Geophysics., 53, 247–322. doi:10.1002/2014RG000468.

967	Kochendorfer, J., R. Nitu, M. Wolff, E. Mekis, R. Rasmussen, B. Baker,
968	M.E. Earle, A. Reverdin, K. Wong, C.D. Smith, D. Yang, Y.A. Roulet,
969	T. Meyers, S. Buisan, K. isaksen, R. Braekkan, S. landolt and A.
970	Jachisl, 2018: Testing and development of transfer functions for
971	weighing precipitation gauges in WMO-SPICE. Hydrol. Earth. Syst.
972	<i>Sci.</i> , 22: 1437-1452.
973	Koshida, T., M. Murakami, K. Yoshida, F. Fujibe and K. Takahashi, 2012:
974	Assessment of Clouds Suitable for Summertime Precipitation
975	Augmentation over Shikoku Island. SOLA, 8, 160-164,
976	DOI:10.2151/sola.2012-039.
977	Krajewski W.F., G. Villarini and J.A. Smith, 2010: Radar-rainfall
978	uncertainties: Where are we after thirty years of effort? Bulletin of
979	the American Meteorological Society, 91, 87-94.
980	Kuba, N. and M. Murakami, 2010: Effect of hygroscopic seeding on warm
981	rain clouds - numerical study using a hybrid cloud microphysical
982	model. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10:3335-3351. DOI:
983	https://www.atmos-chem- hys.net/10/3335/2010/acp-10-3335-
984	2010.pdf
985	Kulkarni, J.R., R.S. Maheskumar, S.B. Morwal, B.P. Kumari, M. Konwar,
986	C.G. Deshpande, R.R. Joshi, R.V. Bhalwankar, G. Pandithurai, P.D.
987	Safai, S.G. Narkhedkar, K.K. Dani, A. Nath, S. Nair, V.V. Sapre, P.V.
988	Puranik, S.S. Kandalgaonkar, V.R. Mujumdar, R.M. Khaladkar, R.

989	Vijayakumar, T.V. Prabha and B.N. Goswami, 2012: The Cloud	
990	Aerosol Interaction and Precipitation Enhancement Experiment	
991	(CAIPEEX): Overview and preliminary results. Current Science,	
992	102(3)413-425. DOI: http://repository.ias.ac.in/93580/1/7-p.pdf	
993	Kurdzo, J.M., F. Nai, D.J. Bodine, T.A. Bonin, R.D. Palmer, B.L. Cheong, J.	
994	Lujan, A. Mahre and A.D. Byrd, 2017: Observations of severe local	
995	storms and tornadoes with the atmospheric imaging radar. Bulletin	
996	the American Meteorological Society, 98(5): 915-935. DOI:	
997	https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00266.1	
998	Lawson, R.P., S. Woods and H. Morrison, 2015: The microphysics of ice	
999	and precipitation development in tropical cumulus clouds. Journal of	
1000	the Atmospheric Science, 72(6):2429-2445. DOI:	
1001	https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0274.1	
1002	Leisner, T., D. Duft, O. Möhler, H. Saathoff, M. Schnaiter, S. Henin, K.	
1003	Stelmaszczyk, M. Petrarca, R. Delagrange, Z. Hao, J. Luder, Y. Petit,	
1004	P. Rohwetter, J. Kasparian, JP. Wolf and L. Woste, 2013: Laser-	
1005	induced plasma cloud interaction and ice multiplication under cirrus	
1006	cloud conditions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of	
1007	the United States of America, 110 (25) 10106-10110.	
1008	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222190110	

1009 Liu, Y., T.T. Warner, J.F. Bowers, L.P. Carson, F. Chen, C.A. Clough, C.A

1010 Davis, C.H. Egeland, S.F. Halvorson, T.W. Huck Jr., L. Lachapelle,

- 1011 R.E. Malone, D.L. Rife, R.-S. Sheu, S.P. Swerdlin and D.S.
- 1012 Weingarten, 2008: The operational mesogamma-scale analysis and
- 1013 forecast system of the U.S. Army test and evaluation command. Part
- 1014 I: Overview of the modeling system, the forecast product, and how
- 1015 the products are used. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and*
- 1016 *Climatology*, 47(4):1077-1092. DOI:
- 1017 https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1653.1
- 1018 Lompar, M., M. Ćurić and D. Romanic, 2017: Simulation of a severe
- 1019 convective storm using a numerical model with explicitly incorporated
- aerosols. *Atmospheric Research*, 194, 164-177.
- 1021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.04.037
- 1022 Maahn, M. and P. Kollias, 2012: Improved micro rain radar snow
- 1023 measurements using Doppler spectra post-processing. *Atmospheric*
- 1024 *Measurements Techniques*, 5(11):2661-2673. DOI:
- 1025 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2661-2012
- 1026 Manton, M.J., L. Warren, S.L. Kenyon, A.D. Peace, S.P. Bilish and K.
- 1027 Kemsley, 2011: A confirmatory snowfall enhancement project in the
- 1028 snowy mountains of Australia. Part I: Project design and response
- 1029 variables. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
- 1030 50(7):1432-1447. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JAMC2659.1
- 1031 Manton, M.J. and L. Warren, 2011: A confirmatory snowfall enhancement
- 1032 project in the snowy mountains of Australia. Part II: Primary and

- associated analyses. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
- 1034 50(7):1448-1458.
- 1035 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JAMC2660.1
- 1036 Manton, M.J., A.D. Peace, K. Kemsley, S. Kenyon, J.C. Speirs, L. Warren
- and J. Denholm, 2017: Further analysis of a snowfall enhancement
- project in the snowy mountains of Australia. *Atmospheric Research*,
 1039 193:192-203.
- 1040 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.04.011
- 1041 McFarquhar, G.M., D. Baumgardner, A. Bansemer, S.J. Abel, J. Crosier, J.
- 1042 French, P. Rosenberg, A. Korolev, A. Schwarzoenboeck, D. Leroy, J.
- 1043 Um, W. Wu, A.J. Heymsfield, C. Twohy, A. Detwiler, P. Field, A.
- 1044 Neumann, R. Cotton, D. Axisa and J. Dong, 2017: Processing of ice
- 1045 cloud in situ data collected by bulk water, scattering, and imaging
- 1046 probes: Fundamentals, uncertainties, and efforts toward consistency.
- 1047 *Meteorological Monographs*, 58:11.1-11.33.
- 1048 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/AMSMONOGRAPHS-D-16-0007.1
- 1049 Miao, Q. and B. Geerts, 2013: Airborne measurements of the impact of
- 1050 ground-based glaciogenic cloud seeding on orographic precipitation.
- 1051 Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, 30(4)1025-1038.
- 1052 Morrison, H. and W. W. Grabowski, 2007: Comparison of Bulk and Bin
- 1053 Warm-Rain Microphysics Models Using a Kinematic Framework. J.
- 1054 Atmos. Sci., 64 (2007), 2839-2861, 10.1175/JAS3980
 - 46

1055	Morrison, H. and J.A. Milbrandt, 2015: Parameterization of cloud
1056	microphysics based on the prediction of bulk ice particle properties.
1057	Part I: Scheme description and idealized tests. Journal of the
1058	Atmospheric Sciences, 72:287-311.
1059	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0065.1
1060	Murakami, M. and JCSEPA research group, 2011: Japanese Cloud Seeding
1061	Experiments for Precipitation Augmentation (JCSEPA) –New
1062	approaches and some results from wintertime and summertime
1063	weather modification programs. Proceedings of 10th WMO Scientific
1064	Conference on Weather Modification, Bali, Indonesia, 4-7 October
1065	2011.
1066	https://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/wwrp/new/documents/OBS.M
1067	urakami_Japan.pdf
1068	National Research Council, 2003: Critical issues in weather modification
1069	research. National Academy Press, USA. 131 pp.
1070	Osburn, L., T. Chubb, S. Siems, M. Manton and A.D. Peace, 2016:
1071	Observations of supercooled liquid water in wintertime alpine storms
1072	in south east Australia. Atmospheric Research, 169, 345-356.
1072	Plancho C. W. Wohrock, A.I. Elocomann, E. Tridon, J. Van Baclon, V.
10/3	FIGHCHE, C., W, WODFOCK, A.I. FIOSSHIGHH, F. HHUOH, J. VAH DAEIEH, Y.
1074	Pointin and M. Hagen, 2010: The influence of aerosol particle number

- 1075 and hygroscopicity on the evolution of convective cloud systems and
- 1076 their precipitation: A numerical study based on the COPS

1077	observations on 12 August 2007. Atmospheric Research, 98(1):40-
1078	56. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.05.003
1079	Pokharel, B., B. Geerts, X. Jing, K. Friedrich, J. Aikins, D. Breed, R.
1080	Rasmussen and A. Huggins, 2014: The impact of ground-based
1081	glaciogenic seeding on clouds and precipitation over mountains: A
1082	multi-sensor case study of shallow precipitating orographic cumuli.
1083	Atmospheric Research, 147-148:162-182.
1084	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.05.014
1085	Popov, V.B., and A.A. Sinkevich, 2017: Investigation of Cu Murger in the
1086	North-West of Russia, Trudy MGO, v, 585 p. 39-55.
1087	
1088	Prabha, T.V., A. Khain, R.S. Maheshkumar, G. Pandithurai, J.R. Kulkarni,
1089	M. Konwar and B.N. Goswami, 2011: Microphysics of premonsoon
1090	and monsoon clouds as seen from in situ measurements during the
1091	Cloud Aerosol Interaction and Precipitation Enhancement Experiment
1092	(CAIPEEX). Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(9):1882-
1093	1901.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JAS3707.1
1094	Pruppacher, H.R. and J.D. Klett, 1997: Microphysics of Clouds and
1095	Precipitation: With an Introduction to Cloud Chemistry and Cloud
1096	Electricity, 2nd ed., Atmospheric and Oceanographic Sciences Library,
1097	Kluwer Academic Publishers. 954 pp.

1098	Rasmussen, R., B. Baker, J. Kochendorfer, T. Meyers, S. Landolt, A.P.
1099	Fischer, J. Black, J.M. Thériault, P. Kucera, D. Gochis, C. Smith, R.
1100	Nitu, M. Hall, K. Ikeda and E. Gutmann, 2012: How well are we
1101	measuring snow: The NOAA/FAA/NCAR winter precipitation test bed.
1102	Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93(6):811-829. DOI:
1103	https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00052.1
1104	Rasmussen, R.M., S. Tessendorf, L. Xue, C. Weeks, K. Ikeda, S. Landolt,
1105	D. Breed, T. Deshler, B. Lawrence, 2018: Evaluation of the Wyoming
1106	Weather Modification Pilot Project (WWMPP) using two approaches:
1107	traditional statistics and ensemble modeling. J. Appl. Meteor.
1108	Climatol., 57: 2639-2660. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-
1109	0335.1
1110	Ritzman, J.M., T. Deshler, K. Ikeda and R. Rasmussen, 2015: Estimating
1111	the fraction of winter orographic precipitation produced under
1112	conditions meeting the seeding criteria for the Wyoming weather
1113	Modification Pilot Project. Journal of Applied Meteorology and
1114	Climatology, 54:1202-1215. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-</u>
1115	<u>14-0163.1</u>
1116	Ryan, B.F., and W.D. King, 1997: A critical review of the Australian
1117	experience in cloud seeding. Bull. Americ. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 239-
1118	254.

- 1119 Saleeby, S.M. and W.R. Cotton, 2004: A large-droplet mode and
- 1120 prognostic number concentration of cloud droplets in the Colorado
- 1121 State University Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS). Part
- 1122 I: Module descriptions and supercell test simulations. *Journal of*
- 1123 Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 43:182-195. DOI:
- 1124 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 1125 0450(2004)043<0182:ALMAPN>2.0.CO;2
- 1126 Schmit, T.J., P. Griffith, M.M. Gunshor, J.M. Daniels, S.J. Goodman and
- 1127 W.J. Lebair, 2017: A closer look at the ABI on the GOES-R series.
- Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 98(4):681-698. DOI:
- 1129 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00230.1
- 1130 Segal, Y., A. Khain, M. Pinsky and D. Rosenfeld, 2004: Effects of
- 1131 hygroscopic seeding on raindrop formation as seen from simulations
- using a 2000-bin spectral cloud parcel model. *Atmospheric Research*,
- 1133 **71(1):3-34**.
- 1134 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.03.003
- Segal, Y., M. Pinsky, and A. Khain, 2007: The role of competition effect in
 the raindrop formation. Atmospheric Research, 83, 106–118.
- 1137 Seto, J., K. Tomine, K. Wakimizu and K. Nishiyama, 2011: Artificial cloud
- seeding using liquid carbon dioxide: Comparison of experimental data
- and numerical analyses. Journal of Applied Meteorology and

- 1140 Climatology, 50(7):1417-1431. DOI:
- 1141 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JAMC2592.1</u>
- 1142 Shippey, K., A. Gorgens, D. Terblanche and M. Luger, 2004:
- 1143 Environmental challenges to operationalisation of South African
- rainfall enhancement. *Water SA*, Vol. 30 No. 5; 88-92,
- 1145 http://www.wrc.org.za
- 1146 Silverman, B.A. and W. Sukarnjanaset, 2000: Result of the Thailand
- 1147 warm-cloud hygroscopic particle seeding experiment. *Journal of*
- 1148 Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 39(7):1160-1175.
- 1149 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 1150 0450(2000)039<1160:ROTTWC>2.0.CO;2
- 1151 Tai, Y., H. Liang, A. Zaki, N. El Hadri, A.M. Abshaev, B.M. Huchinaev, S.
- 1152 Griffiths, M. Jouiad, and L. Zou, 2017: Core/shell microstructure
- induced synergistic effect for efficient water-droplet formation and
- cloud-seeding application. ACS Nano, 11(12):12318- 12325. DOI:
- 1155 http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsnano.7b06114
- 1156 Tan, X., Y. Qiu, Y. Yang, D. Liu, X. Lu and Y. Pan, 2016: Enhanced growth
- of single droplet by control of equivalent charge on droplet. *IEEE*
- 1158 *Transactions on Plasma Science*, 44 (11), 2724-2728.
- 1159 Terblanche, D.E., F.E. Steffens, L. Fletcher, M.P. Mittermaier and R.C.
- 1160 Parsons, 2000: Toward the operational application of hygroscopic
- 1161 flares for rainfall enhancement in South Africa. *Journal of Applied*

- 1162 *Meteorology and Climatology*, 39(11):1811-1821.
- 1163 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 1164 0450(2001)039<1811:TTOAOH>2.0.CO;2
- 1165 Terblanche, D.E., M.P. Mittermaier, R.P. Burger, K.J.P. De Waal and X.G.
- 1166 Ncipha, 2005: The South African rainfall enhancement programme:
- 1167 1997-2001. *Water SA*, 31(3):291-298. DOI:
- 1168 http://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC116273
- 1169 Tessendorf, S.A., R.T. Bruintjes, C. Weeks, J.W. Wilson, C.A. Knight, R.D.
- 1170 Roberts, J.R. Peter, S. Collis, P.R. Buseck, E. Freney, M. Dixon, M.
- 1171 Pocernich, K. Ikeda, D. Axisa, E. Nelson, P.T. May, H. Richter, S.
- 1172 Piketh, R.P. Burger, L. Wilson, S.T. Siems, M. Manton, R.C. Stone, A.
- 1173 Pepler, D.R. Collins, V.N. Bringi, M. Thurai, L. Turner and D. McRae,
- 1174 2012: The Queensland cloud seeding research program. Bulletin of
- the American Meteorological Society, 93, 74-90.
- 1176 Tessendorf, S., J. R. French, K. Friedrich, B. Geerts, R. M. Rauber, R. M.
- 1177 Rasmussen, L. Xue, and K. Ikeda, D. R. Blestrud, M. L. Kunkel, and
- 1178 S. Parkinson, J. R. Snider, J. Aikins, S. Faber, A. Majewski, C.
- 1179 Grasmick, and P. T. Bergmaier, A. Janiszeski , A. Springer, C. Weeks,
- 1180 D. J. Serke, and R. Bruintjes, 2019: Transformational approach to
- 1181 winterorographic weather modification research: The
- 1182 SNOWIEProject., Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 71-92,
- 1183 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0152.1

- 1184 Twomey, S., 1977: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of
- clouds. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 34(7):1149-1152. DOI:
- 1186 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
- 1187 <u>0469(1977)034<1149:TIOPOT>2.0.CO;2</u>
- 1188 Vali, G., P.J. DeMott, O. Mohler and T.F. Whale, 2015: Technical Note: A
- 1189 proposal for ice nucleation terminology. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
- 1190 *Physics*, 15, 10263-10270. <u>http://www.atmos-chem-</u>
- 1191 phys.net/15/10263/2015/
- 1192 Villarini, G., P.V. Mandapaka, W.F. Krajewski and R.J. Moore, 2008:
- 1193 Rainfall and sampling uncertainties: A rain gauge perspective. *Journal*
- 1194 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D11). DOI:
- 1195 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009214
- 1196 Watson, C.D. and T.P. Lane, 2014: Further sensitivities of orographic
- 1197 precipitation to terrain geometry in idealized simulations. *Journal of*
- the Atmospheric Sciences, 71:3068-3089. DOI:
- 1199 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0318.1</u>
- 1200 Wang, Z., J. French, G. Vali, P. Wechsler, S. Haimov, A. Rodi, M. Deng, D.
- 1201 Leon, J. Sinder, L. Peng and A.L. Pazmany, 2012: Single aircraft
- integration of remote sensing and in situ sampling for the study of
- 1203 cloud microphysics and dynamics. *Bulletin of the American*
- 1204 *Meteorological Society*, 93(5):653-668. DOI:
- 1205 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00044.1

1206	Wieringa, J. and I. Holleman, 2006: If cannons cannot fight hail, what		
1207	else? Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 15(6):659-669, DOI:		
1208	https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0147		
1209	World Meteorological Organization, 2000: Report on the WMO		
1210	International Workshop on Hygroscopic Seeding: experimental		
1211	results, physical processes, and research needs. WMO Report No. 35,		
1212	WMO/TD No. 1006, 68 pp. Geneva, Switzerland.		
1213	World Meteorological Organization, 2010: WMO DOCUMENTS ON		
1214	WEATHER MODIFICATION; Updated in the meeting of the Expert		
1215	Team on Weather Modification Research; Abu Dhabi, 22-24 March		
1216	2010;		
1217	http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep//wwrp/new/documents/WMR_		
1218	documents.final_27_April_1.FINAL.pdf		
1219	World Meteorological Organization, 2018a: Peer Review Report on Global		
1220	Precipitation Enhancement Activities; WWRP 2018 – 1;		
1221	http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/wwrp/new/documents/FINAL_		
1222	WWRP_2018_1.pdf		
1223			
1224	World Meteorological Organization, 2018b: Plans and Guidance for		

- 1225 weather Modification Activities in: Executive Council Sixty-ninth
- session: Abridged final report with resolutions and decisions;
- 1227 https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=3645
- 1228

1229 Xue, L., S.A. Tessendorf, E. Nelson, R. Rasmussen, D. Breed, S.

- 1230 Parkinson, P. Holbrook and D. Blestrud, 2013: Implementation of a
- silver iodide cloud-seeding parameterization in WRF. Part II: 3D
- simulations of actual seeding events and sensitivity tests. Journal of
- 1233 Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 52(6):1458-1476.DOI:
- 1234 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-0149.1
- 1235 Xue, L., X. Chu, R. Rasmussen, D. Breed and B. Geerts, 2016: A case
- 1236 study of radar observations and WRF LES simulations of the impact of
- 1237 ground-based glaciogenic seeding on orographic clouds and
- 1238 precipitation. Part II: AgI dispersion and seeding signals simulated by
- 1239 WRF. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55(2):445-
- 1240 464. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0115.1
- 1241 Yoshida, Y., M. Murakami, Y. Kurumisawa, T. Kato, A. Hashimoto, T.
- 1242 Yamazaki and N. Haneda, 2009: Evaluation of snow augmentation by
- 1243 cloud seeding for drought mitigation. Journal of the Japan Society of
- 1244 Hydrology and Water Resources, 22(3):209-222. DOI:
- 1245 <u>https://doi.org/10.3178/jjshwr.22.209</u>
- 1246 Yuter, S.E. and R.A. Houze Jr., 1995: Three-dimensional Kinematic and
- 1247 microphysical evolution of Florida cumulonimbus. Part II: Frequency
- distributions of vertical velocity, reflectivity, and differential
- reflectivity. Monthly Weather Review, 123(7):1941-1963.DOI:
- 1250 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
- 1251 <u>0493(1995)123<1941:TDKAME>2.0.CO;2</u>

- 1253 Zhang, J., Howard, K., Langston, C., Kaney, B., Qi, Y., Tang, L., ... &
- 1254 Arthur, A. (2016). Multi-Radar Multi-Sensor (MRMS) quantitative
- 1255 precipitation estimation: Initial operating capabilities. *Bulletin of the*
- 1256 American Meteorological Society, 97(4), 621-638.

1257

1258

Table 1: Compilation of current seeding methods, including comments on

some of the methods (more references can be found in WMO (2018a).

Seeding	Hypothesized	Some method	Some recent
agent	functioning and	details and	references (for
	delivery method	comments	more references
			see WMO (2018a)
AgI, AgIO ₃	Glaciogenic	Mean size of	Abshaev, M.T. et
	seeding, aircraft,	0.1µm; can also	al. 2006 ;
	ground burner,	act as CCN in	Dessens et al.
	rocket, cannon;	liquid clouds	2016
	pyrotechnic flares		
	with 10 to 100 g		
	of seeding agent		
	per minute		
Liquid CO ₂	Glaciogenic	Cools down to -	Seto et al. 2011
	seeding, aircraft	80°C and triggers	
		homogeneous	
		nucleation	
Dry ice (solid	Glaciogenic	Pelletized	Seto et al. 2011
CO ₂)	seeding, aircraft	(diameters of 0.6	
		to 1 cm and 0.6 to	
		2.5 cm) or small	

		particles	
Hygroscopic	Hygroscopic	Sodium chloride,	Ghosh et al.
flares	seeding; aircraft	potassium	2016 ; Bruintjes
		chloride, or	et al. 2012
		calcium chloride	
		particles; size	
		range 0.1-10 µm	
		diameter	
Micro-	Hygroscopic	Optimum	Drofa et al. 2013
powders	seeding, aircraft	suggested size of	
		NaCl crystals is	
		7.5-10 μm	
Core/shell	Hygroscopic	Adsorbes ~295	Tai et al. 2017
NaCl/TiO ₂	seeding	times more water	
(CSNT)		vapor at 20% RH	
particle		than NaCl	
Ionization of	Negative ions are	No scientific basis	Tan et al. 2016
aerosols and	generated from a	that this could	
clouds	corona discharge	increase	
	wire array; the	precipitation	
	ions become then		
	attached to		
	particles in the		
	1	1	

	atmosphere,		
	which later act as		
	CCN		
Electrification	Electric	No studies have	Adzhiev and
of clouds	discharges under	addressed	Kalov, 2015
	certain conditions	quantitatively how	
	can lead to	this would impact	
	temperature	precipitation at the	
	increase of drop	surface	
	freezing		
Laser-	Triggering	Condensation has	Leisner et al.
induced	condensation in	been shown to	2013
condensation	sub-saturated	occur on very local	
	conditions	scales; problem of	
		converting	
		droplets into	
		precipitation in a	
		dry atmosphere	
		remains	
		unaddressed	
Hail or	Shock wave	No scientific basis	Wieringa and
acoustic	generator using a		Holleman, 2006
cannon	mixture of		

acetylene and	
oxygen to	
increase collision	
coalescence	
growth of water	
droplets	

1268 Figure Caption list:

1269

Figure 1 (a) glaciogenic seeding of an orographic winter time cloud; in red: the supercooled seeded area and the seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b) the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added INP form crystals that grow via WBF effect and riming to form snow; the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud; the arrow indicates the sense of the space and time evolution.

1277

Figure 2 (a) hygroscopic seeding of a convective cloud; in red: the seeded area and seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b) the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added large CCN form drops that grow via condensation and trigger collision and coalescence to form rain; the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud.

1284

Figure 3 (a) glaciogenic seeding of a convective cloud; in red: the seeded area and seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b): the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added INP form crystals that grow via WBF and riming and melt below the 0°C isotherm; the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud.

1290



- 1291 1292
- Figure 1 (a) glaciogenic seeding of an orographic winter time cloud; in red: the supercooled seeded area and the seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b) the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added INP form crystals that grow via WBF effect and riming to form snow; the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud; the arrow indicates the sense of the space and time evolution.
- 1300 1301
- 1302
- 1303



- Figure 2 (a) hygroscopic seeding of a convective cloud; in red: the seeded area and seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b) the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added large CCN form drops that grow via condensation and trigger collision and coalescence to form rain; the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud.
- 1312 1313



- Figure 3 (a) glaciogenic seeding of a convective cloud; in red: the seeded area and seeding material to be added by plane, burner or rocket; (b): the intended outcome of the seeding in red, when the added INP form crystals that grow via WBF and riming and melt below the 0°C isotherm;
- 1323 the additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud.