

Survey and additional properties on the transcendence order over Q p in C p.

Alain Escassut

▶ To cite this version:

Alain Escassut. Survey and additional properties on the transcendence order over Q p in C p.. p-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications, 2015. hal-01918228

HAL Id: hal-01918228 https://uca.hal.science/hal-01918228

Submitted on 10 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Survey and additional properties on the transcendence order over \mathbb{Q}_p in \mathbb{C}_p .

by Alain Escassut

Abstract The paper is aimed at recalling the notion of transcendence order over \mathbb{Q}_p and its main properties. Proofs are more detailed than in the paper published in Journal of Number Theory. The main results: the order always is ≥ 1 and we construct a number *b* that is of order $1 + \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. If *a* is of order $\leq t$ and if *b* is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p but algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}_p(a)$, then *b* is of order $\leq t$ too. Finally, numbers of infinite order are constructed.

Introduction and results

We denote by \mathbb{C}_p the completion of the algebraic closure of the field \mathbb{Q}_p [1], [4]. The transcendence order of a number a in \mathbb{C}_p was introduced in [2]. This notion, which is specific to p-adic numbers, looks a bit like that of transcendence type [5] but it is quite different because this concerns transcendence over \mathbb{Q}_p , not over \mathbb{Q} . In 1978 the notion of transcendence order was defined and we showed the existence of numbers with transcendence order $\leq 1 + \epsilon$. This is a result that we will describe and here with some improvements. The existence of numbers with transcendence order 1 was communicated to me and stated in [2] but a mistake in the proof puts in doubt the result even though it seems likely. The order of transcendence is stable through an algebraic extension. Finally, in Theorem 4 we construct numbers with an infinite order of transcendence.

Definitions and notation: Given a field E, we denote by E(X) the field of rational functions with coefficients in E. We denote by $| . |_p$ the p-adic absolute value defined on \mathbb{C}_p and by \log_p the real logarithm function of base p. We then define $\Psi_p(x) = \log_p(|x|_p)$. We denote by || . || the Gauss norm defined on $\mathbb{C}_p[X]$

by
$$\|\sum_{j=0} a_j X^j\| = \max_{0 \le j \le n} |a_j|_p.$$

Let $\tau \in]0, +\infty[$. Let F be a transcendental extension of \mathbb{Q}_p provided with an absolute value $| \cdot |$ extending that of \mathbb{Q}_p . An element $a \in F$ will be said to have transcendence order $\leq \tau$ or order $\leq \tau$ in brief, if there exists a constant $C_a \in]0, +\infty[$ such that every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ satisfies $\log_p(|P(a)|) \geq \log_p(|P||) - C_a(\deg(P))^{\tau}$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ the set of numbers $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ having transcendence order $\leq \tau$.

We will say that a number $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ is of infinite transcendence order if it does not belong to $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$

Given $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and r > 0 we denote by d(a, r) the disk $\{x \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |x - a| \le r\}$ and by $d(a, r^-)$ the disk $\{x \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |x - a| < r\}$. We will denote by U the disk d(0, 1).

Remark: By definition, an element $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$ having transcendence order $\leq \tau$ is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Theorem 1: Let $\tau \in]0, +\infty[$. If $S(\tau) \neq \emptyset$ then $\tau \ge 1$.

Theorem 2: There exists $b \in \mathbb{C}_p$, transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p , of order $\leq 1 + \epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Remark: In [2] the existence of numbers of transcendence order 1 is stated. Unfortunately, the proof is wrong because it is not possible to compose two \mathbb{Q}_p -isomorphisms defined in an extension of \mathbb{C}_p . Therefore, the question of existence of numbers transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p of order 1 remains open.

Theorem 3: Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ belong to $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ ($\tau \geq 1$) and let $y \in \mathbb{C}_p$ be transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p but algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$. Then y also belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$.

Corollary 3.1: Let $a \in S(\tau)$ and let E be an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p(a)$ and let $h(X) \in E(X) \setminus E$. Then h(a) belongs to $S(\tau)$.

Particularly, we notice that if $a \in \mathcal{S}(\tau)$ then $\frac{1}{a}$ and a^n belong to $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$.

Theorem 4: There exists numbers in \mathbb{C}_p having infinite order.

The proofs

Notation: We denote by $| \cdot |_{\infty}$ the Archimedean absolute value defined on IR.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$, $a \neq 0$, be trenscendental over \mathbb{Q}_p and have transcendence order $\leq \tau$. We can find $b \in \Omega_p$, $(b \neq 0)$ such that $|a - b|_p < 1$. Consider the minimal polynomial Q of b over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $b_2, ..., b_q$ be the conjugates of b over \mathbb{Q}_p and set $b_1 = b$. Since \mathbb{Q}_p is complete, we notice that all conjugates b_j of b over \mathbb{Q}_p satisfy $|b_j|_p = |b|_p = |a|_p$. Suppose first that $|a|_p \leq 1$. Since $|b_j|_p = |b|_p = |a|_p \leq 1$, all coefficients of Q belong to \mathbb{Z}_p . Obviously Q is monic, hence ||Q|| = 1. By hypothesis, there exists $C_a \in]0, +\infty[$ such that $\Psi_p(P(a)) \geq \log_p(||P||) - C_a(\deg(P))^{\tau} \forall P \in$ $\Phi_p[x]$. Consequently, $-n\Psi_p(Q(a)) = -\Psi_p((Q(a))^n) \leq C_a(n\deg(Q))^{\tau} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since Q(b) = 0 and since, by Q is clearly 1-Lipschitzian in the disk U, we have $-\Psi_p(Q(a)) > 0$ and therefore, if $\tau < 1$, the inequality $-n\Psi_p(Q(a)) \leq C_a(n\deg(Q))^{\tau} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is impossible when n tends to $+\infty$.

Suppose now $|a|_p > 1$. Set $Q(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} c_k X^k$. Since the b_j satisfy $|b_j|_p = |a|_p$, $(1 \le j \le q)$, we have $|c_k|_p \le (|a|_p)^{q-k}$ and particularly $|c_0|_p = \prod_{j=1}^{q} |b_j|_p = |a|^p$. Consequently, $||Q|| = (|a|_p)^q$ and therefore, considering the sequence $(Q^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we have,

 $(1) - n\log_p(|Q(a)|_p) \le -nq\log_p(|a|_p) + C_a(nq)^{\tau}.$

On the other hand, $Q(a) = Q(a) - Q(b) = (a - b) \sum_{k=1}^{q} c_k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j b^{k-j-1} \right)$ and

hence $|Q(a)|_p \leq |a-b|_p(|a|_p)^{q-1}$. Consequently, we obtain $-n\log_p(|a-b|_p) - n(q-1)\log_p(|a|_p) \leq -n\log_p(|Q(a)|_p)$ and hence, by (1): $-n\log_p(|a-b|_p) - n(q-1)\log_p(|a|_p) \leq -nq\log_p(|a|_p) + C_a(nq)^{\tau}$. Finally, $n(\log_p(|a|_p) - \log_p(|a-b|_p)) \leq C_a(nq)^{\tau}$. Since $|a|_p > 1$ and $|a-b|_p < 1$, this inequality is impossible again when n tends to $+\infty$, which ends the proof.

Lemma A: Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and let $a \in U$, let t = X - a and let Q(t) = P(X). Then ||P|| = ||Q||.

Lemma B: Let $b \in \mathbb{C}_p$ be transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p and let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. There exists a real constant m > 0 such that $|Q(b)|_p \ge m$ for every polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that ||Q|| = 1 and $\deg(Q) \le q$.

Proof: Suppose that Lemma B is wrong and let $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ be a sequence of $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that $||Q_n|| = 1$, $\deg(Q_n) \leq q \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Q_n(b) = 0$. We then can extract from the sequence (Q_n) a subsequence converging to a polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that ||Q|| = 1 and Q(b) = 0, a contradiction since b is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma C: Let $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$ be algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p , $\log_p(|a|)$ is of the form $\frac{\lambda}{t}$, with

 λ and t in \mathbb{N} . Take $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $\log_p(|b|)$ is of the form $\frac{u}{w}$ with $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}$ prime, prime with u and such that $w > \max(m, n, t)$. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}_p[a]$ be such that $|fb^m|_p = |gb^n|_p$. Then m = n.

Proof: We notice that for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p[a]$, $\log_p(|x|)$ is of the form $\frac{\ell}{t}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $\log_p(|f|)$ is of the form $\frac{h}{t}$ and $\log_p(|g|)$ is of the form $\frac{k}{t}$ with h and $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Consequently, $\log_p(|fb^m|) = \frac{h}{t} + \frac{mu}{w}$ and $\log_p(|gb^n|) = \frac{k}{t} + \frac{nu}{w}$ and therefore, due to the equality $|fb^m|_p = |gb^n|_p$, we have (h - k)w = ut(n - m). But since w > t, it is prime with ut, hence it must divide n - m, which is impossible because $\max(m, n) < w$, except if m = n.

Proof of Theorem 2: Consider first a strictly decreasing sequence $(\epsilon_n)_{n\in}$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \epsilon_n \log_p(n) = +\infty$.

We can always divide any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ by some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $|\lambda|_p = ||P||$ and hence we go back to the hypothesis ||P|| = 1. So, if we can find some $b \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ and show that for every $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that ||P|| = 1, we have $-\log_p(|P(b)|_p) \leq C(\varepsilon)(\deg(P))^{1+\varepsilon}$, Theorem 2 will be proven.

By induction we can define a strictly increasing sequence $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{Q} and a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{C}_p with $r_n = \frac{u_n}{v_n}$, irreducible and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a strictly increasing sequence of prime numbers and a sequence $(l_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ satisfying further the following properties:

- i) $\lim_{n \to +\infty} r_n = +\infty$,
- ii) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n^{\epsilon_n} < r_n < (n+1)^{\epsilon_n}$,
- iii) $v_n > \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j$
- iv) $(a_n)^{v_n} = p^{u_n}$
- v) $(a_n)^{l_n} = a_{n-1}$

By construction, the sequence $(|a_n|_p)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing and tends to 0 and all terms belong to U. Set $b = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. Now, let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We will show that b is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p and has a transcendence order $\leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Since the sequence (ϵ_n) tends to 0, we can find an integer $t(\varepsilon)$ such that $\epsilon_m < \varepsilon \ \forall m \ge t(\varepsilon)$. Thus, as a first step, let us take $q \ge t(\varepsilon)$ and let us find a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$, not depending on b, such that for every $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ satisfying ||P|| = 1 and $\deg(P) = q$, we have $-\log_p(|P(b)|_p) \le C(\varepsilon)q^{1+\varepsilon}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set $b_n = \sum_{m=1}^n a_m$. Since the sequence $(|a_m|_p)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing, we have $|b - b_n|_p = |a_{n+1}|_p$ and since P is obviously 1-Lipschitzian in the disk U, we have $|P(b) - P(b_n)|_p \leq |a_{n+1}|_p$ hence

(1)
$$\log_p(|P(b) - P(b_n)|_p) \le \log_p(|a_{n+1}|_p) = -r_{n+1}.$$

Now, since the sequence $\epsilon_n \log_p(n)$ tends to $+\infty$, we can choose n(q) such that $(n(q)+1)^{\epsilon_{n(q)+1}} > (q+1)^{1+\varepsilon}$. Then by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(2)\log_p(|P(b)-P(b_{n(q)})|_p) < \log_p(|a_{n(q)+1}|_p) = -(r_{n(q)+1}) < -(n(q)+1)^{\epsilon_{n(q)+1}} < -(q+1)^{1+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show the following inequality (3)

(3) $-\log_p(|P(b_{n(q)})|_p) \le (q+1)^{1+\varepsilon}.$

Thus, suppose (3) is wrong. Set $h_q = \sum_{m=q}^{n(q)} a_m$. Then $b_{n(q)} = b_{q-1} + h_q$. Now, developping P at the point b_{q-1} , we have

(4)
$$\log_p(|P(b_{n(q)})|_p) = \log_p\left(\left|\sum_{m=0}^q \frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!}(h_q)^m\right|_p\right) < -(q+1)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

Consider now the sum $\sum_{m=0}^{q} \frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!} (h_q)^m$. Since the sequence $|a_m|_p$ is strictly decreasing, we have $|h_q|_p = |a_q|_p$, hence $\log_p(|h_q|_p) = -r_q$. On the other hand, due to the hypothesis $r_q = \frac{u_q}{v_q}$, it appears that v_q is a prime integer, prime to u_q and bigger than q and than $\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} v_j$. And thanks to the hypothesis v), each $P(b_m)$ is a polynomial in a_{q-1} . Consequently, we can apply Lemma C and we can see that for each m = 0, ..., q - 1, all the $\left| \frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!} (h_q)^m \right|_p$ are pairwise distinct. Consequently we have

(5)
$$\left|\sum_{m=0}^{q} \frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!} (h_q)^m\right|_p = \max_{1 \le m \le q} \left|\frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!} (h_q)^m\right|_p.$$

Next, since $-\log_p(|h_q|_p) = r_q < (q+1)^{\varepsilon}$, for each integer m = 1, ..., q, we have $\log_p(|(h_q)^m|_p) = -mr_q > -m(q+1)^{\varepsilon} \ge -q(q+1)^{\varepsilon}$, hence

(6)
$$\log_p(|(h_q)^m|_p) \ge -q(q+1)^{\varepsilon} > -(q+1)^{1+\varepsilon} \ \forall m \le q.$$

Consequently, by (4), (5) and (6), the polynomial $Q(X) = \sum_{m=0}^{q} \frac{P^{(m)}(b_{q-1})}{m!} (X)^{m}$

has all coefficients in $d(0, 1^-)$ and hence we have ||Q|| < 1. But since $|b_{q-1}|_p < 1$, by Lemma A, we have ||P|| = ||Q|| < 1, a contradiction to the hypothesis ||P|| =1. Therefore, Relation (3) is proven for every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ of degree $q \ge t(\varepsilon)$, such that ||P|| = 1. Consequently, by (3) we obviously have a constant C > 0, not depending on b, such that $-\log_p(|P(b)|_p) \le C(\deg(P))^{1+\varepsilon}$ for every $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that $\deg(P) \ge t(\varepsilon)$ and ||P|| = 1.

Particularly b is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p because if it were algebraic, the degrees of polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that P(b) = 0 wouldn't be bounded. Finally, by Lemma B there exists a constant m > 0 such that $|Q(b)|_p \ge m$ for every polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ of degree $q \le t(\varepsilon)$ and ||Q|| = 1. Therefore b is clearly of order $\le 1 + \varepsilon$.

Lemma D: Let F be an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of transcendence degree 1 containing Ω_p and provided with an absolute value $| \cdot |_F$ that extends the absolute value of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let \mathcal{H} be the residue class field of F. If \mathcal{H} is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p , then any $t \in F$ transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p has transcendence order 1 over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof: Suppose \mathcal{H} is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p and let $t \in F$ be transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p . Since F has a transcendence degree 1 over \mathbb{Q}_p , F is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p(t)$. Let us show that t has transcendence order 1.

Suppose first that $|t|_F \leq 1$. Then, by a classical result (Corollary 6.8 in [3]) the field \mathcal{H} is algebraic over the residue class field \mathcal{Q}_t of the field $\mathfrak{Q}_p(t)$ with the absolute value of F, since F is algebraic over $\mathfrak{Q}_p(t)$. Now by hypothesis \mathcal{H} is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p hence \mathcal{Q}_t must also be transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . Suppose now that t belongs to the closure of Ω_p in F with respect to the topology of F. The natural isomorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}_p(t)$ into Ω_p makes an isomorphism from \mathcal{Q}_t into the residue class field of Ω_p . But the residue class field of Ω_p is algebraic over \mathbb{F}_p , hence so is \mathcal{Q}_t , a contradiction. So, we have proven that t does not belong to the closure of Ω_p in F. Therefore, there exists a disk d(t,r) in F (with $0 < r \leq 1$) such that $d(t,r) \cap \Omega_p = \emptyset$.

Let $Q(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ be of degree n, such that ||Q|| = 1 and consider its factorization in $\Omega_p[X]$: $\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k X - b_k)$ with $a_k, b_k \in \Omega_p$ and $||a_k X - b_k|| =$ $1 \ \forall k = 1, ..., n.$ Let Ξ be the mapping defined on F as $\Xi(z) = \log_p(|z|_F)$. Since $F \supset \Omega_p$, we know that the absolute value $| \cdot |_F$ of F induces $| \cdot |_p$ on Ω_p because the extension of the absolute value $| \cdot |_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p to Ω_p is unique.

If $|a_k|_p = 1$, then $|a_kt - b_k|_F = |t - \frac{b_k}{a_k}|_F \ge r$ and if $|a_k|_p < 1$, then $|a_kt - b_k|_F = |b_k|_p = 1 \ge r$. Thus, in all cases, we have $|Q(t)|_F \ge r^{\deg(Q)}$ hence $\Xi(Q(t)) \ge (\log_p r) \deg(Q)$. Consequently, we have proven that t has transcendence order ≤ 1 whenever $|t|_F \le 1$.

Suppose now that $|t|_F > 1$. We can set $Q(t) = t^n G(\frac{1}{t})$ with $G \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ and $\deg(G) \leq \deg(Q)$. Since $|t|_F > 1$, we have $\Xi(G(\frac{1}{t})) \geq (\log_p r) \deg(G) \geq (\log_p r) \deg(Q)$ and therefore $\Xi(Q(t)) \geq (\log_p r) \deg(Q) + \deg(Q) \log_p(|t|_F)$, which finishes proving that t has transcendence order ≤ 1 .

Proof of Theorem 3: Let $E = \mathbb{Q}_p(x, y)$ and let $m = [E : \mathbb{Q}_p(x)]$. Let $y_1 = y$ and $y_2, ..., y_m$ be the conjugates of y in \mathbb{C}_p .

We set $(t - y_1) \cdots (t - y_m) = \sum_{i=0}^m S_i t^{m-i}$ in order to define the *i*-th symmetric function $S_i(y_1, ..., y_m)$, with respect to the extension E over $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$.

We know that the S_i belong to $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$ and hence are of the form $\frac{f_i(x)}{G(x)}$ with $f_i(x)$, $G(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ and ||G|| = 1. Let t_i be the degree of f_i and set $A = \max(t_i \mid 1 \le i \le m)$. Let \mathcal{N} denote the $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$ -algebraic norm defined on E. Now, take $Q(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ with ||Q|| = 1 and $\deg(Q) = q$. We can write

 $Q(X) = a \prod_{k=1}^{q} (X - c_k) \text{ with } a \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ and } c_k \in \Omega_p \text{ and } ||X - c_k|| = 1, \ k = 1, ..., q.$ Set $R(x) = \mathcal{N}((G(x))^q Q(y)) = (G(x))^{mq} \mathcal{N}(Q(y)).$ We have $R(x) = (G(x))^{mq} (a)^m \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le q, \\ 1 \le i \le m}} (y_i - c_k)$ Hence

$$R(x) = (-1)^{mq} (a)^m (G(x))^{mq} \prod_{1 \le k \le q} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m (c_k)^{m-i} S_i(y_1, ..., y_m) \right)$$
$$= (-1)^{mq} (a)^m (G(x))^{(m-1)q} \prod_{1 \le k \le q} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m (c_k)^{m-i} f_i(x) \right).$$

We now set $J = (m-1) \deg(G) + A$. Then $J \ge 1$ and we have

(1) $\deg(R) \le J \deg(Q)$.

Consider the absolute value ϕ on $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ induced by the Gauss norm $\| \cdot \|$ defined on the algebra of polynomials $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]$. Then, ϕ admits an extension $\hat{\phi}$ to an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$. Particularly, $\hat{\phi}$ is defined on E and obviously satisfies $\hat{\phi}(x) = \phi(x) = 1$ since ϕ is induced by the Gauss norm on $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$. Let \mathcal{E} be the residue class field of E equiped with $\hat{\phi}$ and let \overline{x} be the residue class of x in \mathcal{E} . By construction, the image of $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ in \mathcal{E} is $\mathbb{F}_p[\overline{x}]$ and \overline{x} is obviously transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . Let Ξ be the mapping defined on E as $\Xi(z) = \log_p(\hat{\phi}(z))$. Then, by definition, for all $F(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, we have $\Xi(F(x)) = \log_p(\|F\|)$.

On the other hand, by hypothesis, y is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p and so is y_j , j = 2, ..., m. We can apply Lemma D because the Gauss norm defined in $\mathbb{Q}_p(t)$ induces the *p*-adic value on \mathbb{Q}_p . Therefore, by Lemma D there exists a constant B > 0 such that $\Xi(P(y_j)) \ge -B \deg(P)$ for every polynomial $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ such that $||P|| \ge 1$ $(1 \le j \le m)$.

Particularly, here, we have $\Xi(Q(y_j)) \ge -B \deg(Q)$ and therefore $\Xi(\mathcal{N}(Q(y))) \ge -mB \deg(Q)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\log_p(\|R\|) = \Xi((G(x))^{mq} \mathcal{N}(Q(y))) \ge -mb \deg(Q) \log_p(\|(G(x))^{mq}\|).$$

But since ||G|| = 1, we can derive $\Xi(R(x)) \ge -mB \deg(Q)$ and hence

(2) $\log_p(\|R(x)\|) \ge -mB \deg(Q).$

Let us consider now the p-adic absolute value $| . |_p$ defined on \mathbb{C}_p together with the function Ψ_p that is associated. Set $\ell = \max(0, \Psi_p(y_1), ..., \Psi_p(y_m))$. According to the definition of R, we have

(3)
$$\Psi_p(Q(y)) = \Psi_p(R(x)) - m\Psi_p(G(x))(\deg(Q)) - \sum_{j=2}^m \Psi_p(Q(y_j))$$

 $\geq \Psi_p(R(x)) - \deg(Q)(\Psi_p(G(x)) + \ell)(\deg(Q)).$

Now, since x has transcendence order $\leq \tau$ in \mathbb{C}_p , with respect to $| . |_p$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\Psi_p(P(x)) \geq \log_p(||P||) - C(\deg(P))^{\tau}$ for every $P \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, hence $\Psi_p(R(x)) \geq \log_p(||R||) - C(\deg(R))^{\tau}$ and hence by (2), we can derive $\Psi_p(R(x)) \geq -mB \deg(Q) - C(\deg R)^{\tau}$. Then by (1), since by Theorem 1, $\tau \geq 1$, we obtain $\Psi_p(R(x)) \geq -(mB + C)J^{\tau}(\deg(Q))^{\tau}$.

Now, let $D = (B+C)J^{\tau} + m(\Psi_p(G(x)) + \ell)$. We can check that D does not depend on Q. Then by (3) we have $\Psi_p(Q(y)) \ge -D(\deg(Q))^{\tau}$ because $\tau \ge 1$ again. Since we have taken Q such that $\|Q\| = 1$, that ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, take a primitive root u_n of order n of 1, set $c_n = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n p^{(k!)} u_k$, $c = \lim_{n \to +\infty} c_n$ and let P_n be the minimal polynomial of c_n over \mathbb{Q}_p . Clearly, each u_n is integral over \mathbb{Z}_p , hence c_n belongs to the integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p . Consequently, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, P_n belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and therefore $||P_n|| = 1$.

Now, we have $P_n(c) = P_n(c_n) + (P_n(c) - P_n(c_n)) = P_n(c) - P_n(c_n)$. But since P_n is obviously 1-Lipschitzian in U, we notice that $|(c^k) - (c_n)^k|_p \le |c - c_n|_p \le p^{-(n+1)!}$ and hence $|P_n(c) - P_n(c_n)|_p \le p^{-(n+1)!}$. Consequently,

(1) $\Psi_p(P_n(c)) \le -(n+1)!.$

On the other hand, since $[\mathbb{Q}_p[u_n] : \mathbb{Q}_p] = n$, each c_n is at most of degree n!over \mathbb{Q}_p , hence $\deg(P_n) \leq n!$. Consequently, by (1) we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left(\frac{-\Psi_p(P_n(c))}{\deg(P_n)} \right) = +\infty,$$

which proves that c has infinite order.

Acknowledgements: I am particularly grateful to Bertin Diarra and to the anonymous referee for their help. I want to thank also Robert Guralnick for suggesting a better proof for Theorem 3 in the initial paper [2].

References

- [1] Amice, Y. Les nombres p-adiques, P.U.F. (1975).
- [2] Escassut, A. Transcendence order over \mathbb{Q}_p in \mathbb{C}_p , Journal of Number Theory, Vol 16, N. 3, p. 395-402 (1982).
- [3] Escassut, A. Analytic Elements in p-adic Analysis. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, (1995).
- [4] Krasner, M. Nombre des extensions d'un degré donn'e d'un corps padique. Les tendances géométriques en algèbre et théorie des nombres, Clermont-Ferrand, p. 143-169 (1964). Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (1966), (Colloques internationaux de C.N.R.S. Paris, 143).
- [5] Waldschmidt, M. Nombres transcendants, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 402, (1974).

Alain ESCASSUT Laboratoire de Mathematiques UMR 6620 Université Blaise Pascal Les Cézeaux 63171 AUBIERE FRANCE alain.escassut@math.univ-bpclermont.fr