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# Survey on Bezout rings of $p$-adic analytic functions 

Bertin Diarra and Alain Escassut


#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a complete ultrametric algebraically closed field and let $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}(D)$ ) be the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of analytic functions in $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. inside an open disk $D$ ). Following results in a paper by M. Lazard, we show that these algebras are Bezout rings, a property that is not showed in that paper. Moreover, the main results leading to the Bezout property is based upon a Mittag-Leffler theorem for meromorphic functions which is not proven in Lazard's paper. Furthermore, that Mittag-Leffler theorem (which is different from Krasner's Mittag-Leffler theorem for analytic elements) lets us find a shorter proof to show that the meromorphic functions admitting primitives are those whose residues are null.


## 1 Introduction and main results

Let us recall that the ring of analytic functions on a region of the complex number field is well known to be a Bezout ring. The two fondamental theorems necessary for a proof are the Weierstrass factorization theorem and the Mittag-Leffler theorem, (see for instance [6]- Exercice 4 -Chapter 8).

According to results of the paper Les zéros des fonctions analytiques sur un corps valué complet by Michel Lazard [7], it appears that in several hypotheses, rings of analytic functions on complete ultrametric algebraically closed fields are Bezout rings. However, that interesting property is not stated. Moreover, it derives from a Mittag-Leffler Theorem refered in general topology whose justification is not relevant. Here we plan to give proofs of all these properties, using results on quasi-invertible analytic elements [3], [4], [5] and on a Mittag-Leffler theorem for meromorphic functions similar to this of complex analysis, but is quite different from Krasner's Mittag-Leffler theorem for analytic elements on an infraconnected subset of $\mathbb{K}$.

Definitions and notation: Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{K}$ a complete alebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . Given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R>0$, we denote by $d(a, R)$ the disk $\{x \in$ $\mathbb{K}||x-a| \leq R\}$ and by $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$the disk $\{x \in \mathbb{K}||x-a|<R\}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of entire functions in $\mathbb{K}$ and given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R>0$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of power series converging in the disk $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$. The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ is provided with the topology of unifom convergence in all bounded subsets of $\mathbb{K}$ i.e. the topology of unifom convergence in all disks $d(0, R)$. The neighborhoods of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ are the sets $\mathcal{W}(f, r, \epsilon)=\{h \in\{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})| | f-h \mid(r) \leq \epsilon\}$, with $r>0, \epsilon>0$. Similarly, given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R>0$, the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$is provided with the following topology of $\mathbb{K}$-algebra: given $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$, the neighborhoods of $f$ are the sets $\mathcal{W}(f, r, \epsilon)=\left\{h \in\left\{\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)| | f-h \mid(r) \leq \epsilon\right\}\right.$, with $0<r<R, \epsilon>0$.

[^0]We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ are called meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{K}$.

In the same way, given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $r>0$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, r^{-}\right)\right)$the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, r^{-}\right)\right)$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, r^{-}\right)\right)$are called meromorphic functions in $d\left(a, r^{-}\right)$. Given a pole $b$ of order $q$ of a meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$), there exists a unique rational function $g$ of the form $\frac{Q(x)}{(x-b)^{q}}$ with $Q \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, $\operatorname{deg}(Q) \leq q-1$, called the singular part of $f$ at the pole $b$.

The topology defined on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ has expansion to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$. The neighborhoods of a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ are the sets $\mathcal{W}(f, r, \epsilon)=\{h \in\{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})| | f-h \mid(r) \leq \epsilon\}$, with $r>0, \epsilon>0$, which implies that $f$ and $h$ have the same singular part at each pole in $d(0, r)$. Similarly, given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R>0$, the topology defined on $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$has expansion to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ : given $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$, the neighborhoods of $f$ are the sets $\mathcal{W}(f, r, \epsilon)=\left\{h \in\left\{\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)| | f-h \mid(r) \leq \epsilon\right\}\right.$, with $0<r<R, \epsilon>0$, which implies that $f$ and $h$ have the same singular part at each pole in $d(0, r)$.

We shall define divisors of $\mathbb{K}$ or in a disk $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$. We then shall define the divisor of an analytic function and of an ideal of an algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ or $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, r^{-}\right)\right)$. Given a divisor $T$ on $\mathbb{K}$, there is no problem to construct an entire function whose divisor is $T$. But given a divisor $T$ on a disk $d\left(a, r^{-}\right)$, it is not always possible to find an analytic function (in that disk) whose divisor is $T$. This is Lazard's problem that we will recall.

Definition: We call a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. a divisor of a disk $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$) a mapping $T$ from $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. from $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$to $\mathbb{N}$ whose support is countable and has a finite intersection with each disk $d(a, r), \quad \forall r>0$ (resp. $\forall r \in] 0, R[)$. Thus, a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. of $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$is characterized by a sequence $\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $a_{n} \in \mathbb{K}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{n}\right|=\infty$, (resp. $\left.a_{n} \in d\left(a, R^{-}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{n}-a\right|=R\right)$, $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|a_{n+1}\right|$ and $q_{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, we will frequently denote a divisor by the sequence $\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which characterizes it.

The set of divisors of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$) is provided with a natural multplicative law that makes it a semi-group. It is also provided with a natural order relation: given two divisors $T$ and $T^{\prime}$, we can set $T \leq T^{\prime}$ when $T(\alpha) \leq T^{\prime}(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$. Moreover, if $T, T^{\prime}$ are two divisors such that $T(\alpha) \geq T^{\prime}(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in d\left(0, R^{-}\right)$, we can define the divisor $\frac{T}{T^{\prime}}$.

Given $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$), we can define the divisor of $f$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}(f)$ on $\mathbb{K}\left(\right.$ resp. of $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$as $\mathcal{D}(f)(\alpha)=0$ whenever $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(f)(\alpha)=s$ when $f$ has a zero of order $s$ at $\alpha$.

Similarly, given an ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) we will denote by $\mathcal{D}(I)$ the lower bound of the the $\mathcal{D}(f) f \in I$ and $\mathcal{D}(I)$ will be called the divisor of $I$.

Remark: Let $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\mathcal{D}(f)$. Then $\omega_{a_{n}}(f)=q_{n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_{\alpha}(f)=0 \forall \alpha \in d\left(a, R^{-}\right) \backslash\left\{a_{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Theorem 1 Let $a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f, g \in \operatorname{cal} A\left(\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) be such that $\mathcal{D}(f) \geq \mathcal{D}(g)$. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $h \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) such that $f=g h$.

Corollary 1.1 Let $a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. an ideal of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and suppose that there exists $g \in I$ such that $\mathcal{D}(g)=\mathcal{D}(I)$. Then $I=g \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.I=g \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$.

Theorem 2: Let $T=\left(\alpha_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$. The infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{x}{\alpha_{n}}\right)^{q_{n}}$ is
uniformly convergent in all bounded subsets of $\mathbb{K}$ and defines an entire function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(f)=T$. Moreover, given $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(g)=T$, then $g$ is of the form $\lambda f$.

Recall the following theorem [5]:
Theorem 3: Suppose that $\mathbb{K}$ is spherically complete, let $a \in \mathbb{K}$, let $R>0$ and let $T$ be a divisor of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$. There exists $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$such that $\mathcal{D}(f)=T$.

Notation: Given a divisor $E$ of $\mathbb{K}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{T}(E)$ the ideal of the $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(f) \geq E$. Similarly, given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R>0$ and a divisor $E$ of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, we will denote by $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ the ideal of the $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$such that $\mathcal{D}(f) \geq E$.

Theorem 4: For every divisor $E$ of $\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{T}(E)$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}$ is a bijection from the set of divisors of $\mathbb{K}$ onto the set of closed ideals of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Further, given a closed ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$, then $I=\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{D}(I))$.

Corollary 4.1: Every closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ is principal.
Proof. Indeed, consider a closed ideal $I$ and let $E=\mathcal{D}(I)$. By Theorem $4 I$ is of the form $\mathcal{T}(E)$ with $E=\mathcal{D}(I)$. By Theorem 2 there exists $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(g)=E$ and of course, $g$ belongs to $I$. Hence $g \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K}) \subset I$. Now, let $f \in I$. Then $\mathcal{D}(f) \geq E$, hence by Theorem $1, f$ factorizes in the form $g h$ with $h \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, hence $I=g \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$.

Similarly, we have Theorem 5:
Theorem 5: Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and take $R>0$. For every divisor $E$ of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right), \mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Moreover $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}$ is a bijection from the set of divisors of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$onto the set of closed ideals of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Further, given a closed ideal I of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$, then $I=\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(\mathcal{D}(I))$.

Corollary 5.1: Suppose $\mathbb{K}$ is spherically complete. Then all closed ideals of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$are principal.

Proof. Let $I$ be a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $E=\mathcal{D}(I)$. By Theorem 5 we have $I=$ $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$. Now, by Theorem 3 there exists $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\mathcal{D}(g)=E$ and of course $g$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ hence to $I$. Consequently, $g \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \subset I$. Conversely, by Corollary 1.1, we have $I=g \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

The following Mittag-Leffler for meromorphic functions is similar to the classical Mittag-Leffler theorem for complex meromorphic functions [2] and is quite different from the Mittag-Leffler theorem for analytic elements due to Mark Krasner [5], [3], [4]. The justification given in [6] does not seem relevant.

Theorem 6 (Mittag-Leffler theorem for meromorphic functions): Let $\left(a_{m}, q_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a divisor of $\mathbb{K}\left(\right.$ resp. of $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$, $a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0$ ) and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Q_{m} \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be of degree $<q_{m}$. There exists $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right.$)) admitting for poles each $a_{m}$ of order $q_{m}$ and no other pole and such that its singular part at $a_{m}$ is $\frac{Q_{m}}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}}}$.

Thanks to Theorem 6, we can derive an easy proof of the characterization of meromorphic functions admitting primitives, a property proven in [1] with a longer proof.
Theorem 7: $\quad \mathbb{K}$ is supposed to have characteristic 0 . A function $f \in \mathcal{M}((\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f \in$ $\mathcal{M}\left(\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right), \quad a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0\right)$ admits primitives in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{M}\left(\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)\right.$) if and only if all residues of $f$ are null.

Theorem 8: Every ideal of finite type of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\left.\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right), a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0\right)$ is closed and is of the form $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)\right)$ with $E$ a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$).

Corollary 8.1: $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ is a Bezout ring.
Proof. Indeed, consider an ideal of finite type $I$. By Theorem 8, it is closed and hence, by Corollary 4.1 it is principal.

And by Corollary 5.1, we have Corollary 8.2
Corollary 8.2: Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and let $R>0$. If $\mathbb{K}$ is spherically complete, $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$is a Bezout ring.
Proof. Indeed, consider an ideal of finite type $I$. By Theorem 8, it is of the form $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ with $E$ a divisor of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$and hence, by Theorem 5 , it is closed. But then, by Corollary 5.1, it is principal.

## 2 The proofs

Recall that given a closed bounded subset $D$ of $\mathbb{K}$, we denote by $R(D)$ the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of rational function $h \in \mathbb{K}(x)$ with no pole in $D$. That algebra is provided with the norm of uniform convergence $\|.\|_{D}$ on $D$ that makes it a normed $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. We then denote by $H(D)$ the Banach $K$-algebra completion of $R(D)$ with respect to that norm, whose elements are called analytic elements on $D$.

By Theorem 24.3 in [3], we can derinve the following (well known) lemma:
Lemma 1: Let $\alpha \in \stackrel{\circ}{D}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and let $\left(g_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $H(D)$ such that the sequence $(x-\alpha)^{q} g_{n}$ converges in $H(D)$. Then the sequence $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges in $H(D)$.

Now, the proof of Theorem 1 is immediate:
Proof. Let $T=\mathcal{D}(g)=\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let us fix $r>0$ (resp. $\left.r \in\right] 0, R[$ ), let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq r \forall n \leq s$ and $\left|a_{n}\right|>r \forall n>s$. Let $P_{r}(x)=\prod_{n=0}^{s}\left(1-{\frac{x}{a_{n}}}^{q_{n}}\right.$. We can factorise $f$ in the form $P_{r} \hat{f}$ and similarly, we can factorize $g$ in the form $P_{r} \hat{g}$, hence $\frac{f}{g}=\frac{\hat{f}}{\hat{g}}$. Since $\hat{g}$ has no zero in $d(0, r)$ it is invertible in $H(d(0, r))$, hence $\frac{f}{g}$ belongs to $H(d(0, r))$. This is true for all $r>0$ (resp. for all $r \in] 0, R[)$ and hence $\frac{f}{g}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. to $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$).

We can now prove Theorem 4 and 5:
Proof. (Theorems 4 and 5) Let $E$ be a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. of $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$). First, let us check that $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ) is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Let $E=\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $\left(f_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ) converging to a limit $f$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each $f_{m}$ admits $a_{n}$ as a zero of order at least $q_{n}$, hence by Lemma 1 , so does $f$. Consequently, $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ).

Now, let us show that $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}$ ) is injective Let $E, F$ be two distinct divisors of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. of $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $E$ admits a pair $(b, s)$ with $s>0$ and that $F$ either does not admit any pair $(b, m)$ or admits a pair $(b, m)$ with $m<s$. Let $f \in \mathcal{T}(F)$ (resp. let $f \in \mathcal{T}_{a, R}(F)$ and suppose that $\omega_{b}(f) \geq s$. Then by Lemma $1 f$ factorizes in the form $(x-b)^{s-m} g$ with $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $g \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and of course $g$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}(F)\left(\right.$ resp.to $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(F)$ ). But by construction, $g$ does not belong to $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. to $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ) because $\omega_{b}(g)<s$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}(E) \neq \mathcal{T}(F)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E) \neq \mathcal{T}_{a, R}(F)\right)$. So, $\mathcal{T}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{T}_{a, R}\right)$ is injective.

Let us show that it is also surjective. Let $I$ be a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and let $E=\mathcal{D}(I)$. Then $E$ is of the form $\left(a_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|a_{n+1}\right|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|=+\infty$ (resp. $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|=R$ ), hence there is a unique $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n} \in d(0, r) \forall n \leq s$ and $a_{n} \notin$ $d(0, r) \forall n>s$.

Let $J=\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. $J=\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ). Then of course, $I \subset J$. Let us show that $J \subset I$. Let $f \in J$ and take $r>0$. Denoting by $P_{r}$ the polynomial $\prod_{i=0}^{s}\left(X-a_{i}\right)^{q_{i}}$ by Theorem 27.2, $I \cap H(d(0, r))=P_{r}(x) H(d(0, r))$. But now all functions $g \in J \cap H(d(0, r))$ also are of the form $P_{r}(x) h(x)$ with $h \in H(d(0, r))$. Consequently, in $H(d(0, r))$ we can write $f$ in the form $f=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j} h_{j}$ with $g_{j} \in I$ and $h_{j} \in H(d(0, r))$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be fixed.

For each $j=1, \ldots, m$, narrowing each $h_{j}$ by a polynomial $\ell_{j}$ in $H(d(0, r))$, we can find $\ell_{j} \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that $\left|g_{j}\left(h_{j}-\ell_{j}\right)\right|(r) \leq \epsilon$. Now, let $\phi_{r}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j} \ell_{j}$. Then $\phi_{r}$ belongs to $I$ and satisfies $\left|\phi_{r}-f\right|(r) \leq \epsilon$. This is true for each $r>0$ and for every $\epsilon>0$. Consequently, since $I$ is closed, $f$ belongs to $I$. This finishes proving that $\mathcal{T}$ (rep. $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}$ ) is surjective. Further, we have proven that $I=\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{D}(I))\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.I=\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(\mathcal{D}(I))\right)$.

We will now prove Theorem 6:
Proof. The proof is similar to that in the complex case [2]. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\left|a_{m}\right| \leq\left|a_{m+1}\right|$. Let $(t(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the strictly increasing sequence such that $a_{t(n)} \mid<$ $\left|a_{t(n+1)}\right|$ and let $r_{n}=\left|a_{t(n)}\right| n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $S_{m}(x)=\frac{Q_{m}(x)}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}}}$. Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can set $f_{n}=\sum_{m \in L_{n}} S_{m}(x)$. So, by construction, $f_{n}$ belongs to $H\left(d\left(0, r_{n-1}\right)\right)$ hence there exists $P_{n} \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{n}-P_{n}\right\|_{d\left(0 ; r_{n-1}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left(f_{n}-P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Set $f(x)=f_{1}(x)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(f_{n}(x)-P_{n}(x)\right)$. By construction, $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, r^{-}\right)\right) \forall r>0$, hence $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. to $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Moreover, the poles of $f$ are the points $a_{m}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us take $q \geq 1$ and $\rho>0$ such that $\left|a_{m}-a_{q}\right| \geq \rho \forall m \neq q$. Then $f-S_{q}$ belongs to $H(d(a, \rho))$, so $S_{q}$ is the singular part of $f$ at $S_{q}$.

## Proof of Theorem 7:

Proof. Let $a$ be a pole of $f$. According to the Laurent series of $f$ at $a$, if $f$ admits primitives then $f$ has no residue different from zero at $a$ because the function $\frac{1}{x-a}$ has no primitive in $\mathcal{M}(d(a, r))$ (whenever $r>0$ ). Now let $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of poles of $f$, each of respective degree $q_{m}$ and suppose that suppose that $\operatorname{res}\left(f, a_{m}\right)=0$.Since $\operatorname{res}_{a_{m}}(f)=0$, the singular part of $f$ at $a_{m}$ is of the form $\frac{\left.Q_{m}\left(x-a_{m}\right)\right)}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}}}$ with $q_{m} \geq 2$ and $Q_{m}(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq q_{m}-2$. Consequently, the singular part of $f$ at $a_{m}$ admits a primitive of the form $\frac{P_{m}\left(x-a_{m}\right)}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}-1}}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{m}(X)\right) \leq q_{m}-2$. Then by Theorem 6, there exists $G \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ (resp. $G \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) admitting the $a_{m}$ for poles with respective singular part $\frac{P_{m}}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}-1}}$ and no other pole. By construction, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the singular part of $G^{\prime}$ at $a_{m}$ is $\frac{\left.Q_{m}\left(x-a_{m}\right)\right)}{\left(x-a_{m}\right)^{q_{m}}}$ hence $G^{\prime}-f$ has no pole at $a_{m}$ and hence has no pole in $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$). Consequently, $G^{\prime}-f$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. to $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). But then $G^{\prime}-f$ admits a primitive $L \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $L \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and hence the function $F=G-L$ is a primitive of $f$ that belongs to $L \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. to $L \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$).

In the proof of Theorem 8 we will need the following lemma
Lemma 2: Let $E$ be a divisor of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. a divisor of $\left.d\left(a, R^{-}\right), a \in \mathbb{K}, R>0\right)$ and for each $r>0$ (resp. $r \in] 9, R\left[\right.$ ), let $g_{r} \in H(C(0, r))$. There exists $g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $g \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$), not depending on $r$, such that $\mathcal{D}\left(g-g_{r}\right) \geq E_{r}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) be such that $\mathcal{D}(f) \geq E$. By Theorem 6 , there exists $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ whose principal parts at the poles located in $C(0, r)$ are respectively the same as those of $g_{r} f^{-1}$ for each $r>0$. Then $f F$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. to $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Putting $g=f F$, we can see that $\mathcal{D}\left(g-g_{r}\right) \geq E_{r}$, which ends the proof.

We can now prove Theorem 8:
Proof. Let $I$ be an ideal of finite type of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$) generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}$ and let $E=\mathcal{D}(I)$. By Theorem 4 the closure $J$ of $I$ is $\mathcal{T}(E)$ (resp. by Theorem 5 the closure $J$ of $I$ is $\mathcal{T}_{a, R}(E)$ ). Consequently, we can see that $E=\min \left(\mathcal{D}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{D}\left(f_{q}\right)\right)$. Let us fix $r>0$. In $H(C(0, r))$, there exist $g_{1, r}, \ldots, g_{q, r} \in H(C(0, r))$ such that $g=\sum_{j=1}^{q} g_{j, r} f_{j}$. For each $j=2, \ldots, q$, let $f_{j, r}$ be the polynomial of the zeros of $f_{j}$ in $C(0, r)$. By Lemma 2 there exists $g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $g_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$, not depending on $r$, such that $g_{j, r}-g_{j}$ be divisible in $H(C(0, r))$ by $\mathcal{D}\left(f_{1, r}\right)$.

Now, set $h=g-\sum_{j=2}^{q} g_{j} f_{j}$. We have $\mathcal{D}(h) \geq \mathcal{D}\left(f_{1}\right)$ hence $h$ factorizes in the form $g_{1} f_{1}$ with $g_{1} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.g_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$and then

$$
g=h+\sum_{j=2}^{q} g_{j} f_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} g_{j} f_{j} .
$$
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