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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a complete algebraically closed p-adic field of characteristic zero. Let $f, g$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions in the whole field $\mathbb{K}$ or meromorphic functions in an open disk that are not quotients of bounded analytic functions. Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{K}$ or in an open disk and let $\alpha$ be a small meromorphic function with regards to $f$ and $g$. Here we present the following results: if $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ counting multiplicity, then we show that $f=g$ provided that the multiplicity order of zeros of $P^{\prime}$ satisfy certain inequalities. If $\alpha$ is a Moebius function or a non-zero constant, we can obtain more general results on $P$. Further, when $f, g$ are entire analytic functions or analytic functions inside an open disk, we can obtain a new result improving that published by the third author


## 1 Introduction and Main Results

Let $f, g$ be two meromorphic functions in a $p$-adic field. Here we study polynomials $P$ such that, when $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function $\alpha$, then $f=g$. Problems of uniqueness on meromorphic functions were examined first in $\mathbb{C}$ [10], [11], [13], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [25], [26], [27] and next in a p-adic field [1], [5], [6], [7], [14], [15], [21], [22], [23], [24]. After examining problems of the form $P(f)=P(g)$, several studies were made on the equality $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)=g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$, or value sharing questions: if $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a value, or a small function, do we have $f=g$ ? Here we recall results previously obtained no matter what the number of zeros of $P^{\prime}$. Results also apply to meromorphic functions inside an open disk. They were published in [2]. Moreover, here we will examine the particular case of analytic functions and will improve results of [23].

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for an ultrametric absolute value denoted by $|$.$| . We denote by \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of entire functions in $\mathbb{K}$, by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ the field of meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{K}$, i.e. the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ and by $\mathbb{K}(x)$ the field of rational functions.

[^0]Let $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $R \in] 0,+\infty[$. We denote by $d(a, R)$ the closed disk $\{x \in \mathbb{K}:|x-a| \leq R\}$ and by $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$the "open" disk $\{x \in \mathbb{K}:|x-a|<R\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the set of analytic functions in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, i.e. the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(x-a)^{n}$ converging in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$and by $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the field of meromorphic functions inside $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, i.e. the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the $\mathbb{K}$ - subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$consisting of the bounded analytic functions in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, i.e. which satisfy $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n}<+\infty$. And we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the set of unbounded analytic functions in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \backslash \mathcal{A}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Similarly, we set $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \backslash \mathcal{M}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

The problem of value sharing a small function by functions of the form $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ was examined first when $P$ was just of the form $x^{n}$ [10], [21], [27]. More recently it was examined when $P$ was a polynomial such that $P^{\prime}$ had exactly two distinct zeros [18], [20], [23], both in complex analysis and in p-adic analysis. In [18], [20] the functions where meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, with a small function that was a constant or the identity. In [23], the problem was considered for analytic functions in the field $\mathbb{K}$ : on one hand for entire functions and on the other hand for unbounded analytic functions in an open disk.

Actually solving a value sharing problem involving $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f), g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ requires to know polynomials of uniqueness $P$ for meromorphic functions.

In [23] the third author studied several problems of uniqueness and particularly the following:
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental (resp. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$be a small function, such that $f^{n}(f-a)^{k} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-a)^{k} g^{\prime}$ share $\alpha$, counting multiplicity, with $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ (see Theorems D and E below).

Here we consider functions $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ or $f, g \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and ordinary polynomials $P$ : we must only assume certain hypotheses on the multiplicity order of the zeros of $P^{\prime}$. The method for the various theorems we will show is the following: assuming that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function, we first prove that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)=g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$. Next, we derive $P(f)=P(g)$. And then, when $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for the functions we consider, we can conclude $f=g$.

Now, in order to define small functions, we have to briefly recall the definitions of the classical Nevanlinna theory in the field $\mathbb{K}$ and a few specific properties of ultrametric analytic or meromorphic functions [4].

Let log be a real logarithm function of base $b>1$ and let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$ having no zero and no pole at 0 . Let $r \in] 0,+\infty[$ (resp. $r \in] 0, R[$ ) and let $\gamma \in d(0, r)$. If $f$ has a zero of order $n$ at $\gamma$, we put $\omega_{\gamma}(h)=n$. If $f$ has a pole of order $n$ at $\gamma$, we put $\omega_{\gamma}(f)=-n$ and finally, if $f(\gamma) \neq 0, \infty$, we set $\omega_{\gamma}(f)=0$

We denote by $Z(r, f)$ the counting function of zeros of $f$ in $d(0, r)$, counting multiplicity, i.e.

$$
Z(r, f)=\max \left(\omega_{0}, 0\right) \log r+\sum_{\omega_{\gamma}(f)>0,0<|\gamma| \leq r} \omega_{\gamma}(f)(\log r-\log |\gamma|) .
$$

Similarly, we denote by $\bar{Z}(r, f)$ the counting function of zeros of $f$ in $d(0, r)$, ignoring multiplicity, and set

$$
\bar{Z}(r, f)=u \log r+\sum_{\omega_{\gamma}(f)>0,0<|\gamma| \leq r}(\log r-\log |\gamma|)
$$

with $u=1$ when $\omega_{0}(f)>0$ and $u=0$ else.

In the same way, we set $N(r, f)=Z\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{N}(r, f)=\bar{Z}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right)$ to denote the counting function of poles of $f$ in $d(0, r)$, counting multiplicity (resp. ignoring multiplicity).

For $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$having no zero and no pole at 0 , the Nevanlinna function is defined by $T(r, f)=\max \{Z(r, f), N(r, f)\}$.

Now, we must recall the definition of a small function with respect to a meromorphic function and some pertinent properties.
Definition. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. let $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) such that $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$. A function $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) having no zero and no pole at 0 is called a small function with respect to $f$, if it satisfies $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{T(r, \alpha)}{T(r, f)}=0 \quad$ (resp. $\lim _{r \rightarrow R^{-}} \frac{T(r, \alpha)}{T(r, f)}=0$ ).

If 0 is a zero or a pole of $f$ or $\alpha$, we can make a change of variable such that the new origin is not a zero or a pole for both $f$ and $\alpha$. Thus it is easily seen that the last relation does not really depend on the origin.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) the set of small meromorphic functions with respect to $f$ in $\mathbb{K}\left(\right.$ resp. in $\left.d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$.
Remark 1. Thanks to classical properties of the Nevanlinna function $T(r, f)$ with respect to the operations in a field of meromorphic functions, such as $T(r, f+g) \leq T(r, f)+T(r, g)+O(1)$ and $T(r, f g) \leq T(r, f)+T(r, g)+O(1)$, for $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ and $r>0$, it is easily proved that $\mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$is a subfield of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) and that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(d(0, R)))$ is a transcendental extension of $\mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K})\left(\right.$ resp. of $\left.\mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$[9].

Let us remember the following definition.
Definition. Let $f, g, \alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. let $f, g, \alpha \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$). We say that $f$ and $g$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M., if $f-\alpha$ and $g-\alpha$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicity in $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. in $\left.d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

Recall that a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ is called a polynomial of uniqueness for a class of functions $\mathcal{F}$ if for any two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ the property $P(f)=P(g)$ implies $f=g$.

The definition of polynomials of uniqueness was introduced in [19] by P. Li and C. C. Yang and was studied in many papers [12], [13] for complex functions and [1], [6], [7], [14], [15], [17], [24], for p -adic functions.

Actually, in a p-adic field, we can obtain various results, not only for functions defined in the whole field $\mathbb{K}$ but also for functions defined inside an open disk because the p-adic Nevanlinna Theory works inside a disk, for functions of $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

The following theorem is classical for analytic functions [26] and was proved for meromorphic functions in [7] and in [14] but it is also a consequence of Theorem 1 in [24].

Theorem A: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $P^{\prime}$ has exactly two distinct zeros $\gamma_{1}$ of order $c_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ of order $c_{2}$. Then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, if $\min \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\} \geq 2$, then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$.

Another way to obtain polynomials of uniqueness was given in [7]. It also applies to meromorphic functions inside an open disk.

Notation: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x] \backslash \mathbb{K}$ and let $\Xi(P)$ be the set of zeros $c$ of $P^{\prime}$ such that $P(c) \neq P(d)$ for every zero $d$ of $P^{\prime}$ other than $c$. We denote by $\Phi(P)$ the cardinal of $\Xi(P)$.

Remark 2. If $\operatorname{deg}(P)=q$ then $\Phi(P) \leq q-1$.
From [7] we have the following results:
Theorem B: Let $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$be an open disk in $\mathbb{K}$ and $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$. If $\Phi(P) \geq 2$ then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\Phi(P) \geq 3$ then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for both $\mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\Phi(P) \geq 4$ then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

We can find the statements of Theorem C in [23]:
Theorem C: Let $P \in K[x]$ such that $P^{\prime}$ admits exactly 2 distinct zeros of respective order $n$ and $k$.
i) Suppose $k=2, n \geq 3$. Then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$.
ii) Suppose $k=1, n \geq 1$. Then $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

In [23], the third author proved the following theorems $D$ and $E$ concerning entire functions and analytic functions in a disk when the polynomial $P$ has only two distinct zeros:

Theorem D: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental such that $f^{n}(f-a)^{k} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-a)^{k} g^{\prime}$ share the function $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ C.M. with $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$. If $n \geq \max \{6-k, k+1\}$, then $f=g$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ and $n \geq \max \{5-k, k+1\}$, then $f=g$.

Theorem E: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f^{n}(f-a)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-a)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and $n \geq 4$, then $f=g$. Moreover, if $f^{n}(f-a) f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n}(g-a) g^{\prime}$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and $n \geq 5$, then again $f=g$.

We acn now state our main results and we will givea proof for those that are not published
Theorem F: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, l \geq 2, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \geq 10+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right), \\
& n \geq k+2, \\
& \text { if } l=2 \text {, then } n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1, \\
& \text { if } l=3, \text { then } n \neq 2 k+1,3 k_{i}-k \forall i=2,3 . \\
& \text { Let } f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}) \text { be transcendental and let } \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K}) \text { be non-identically zero. If } \\
& f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f) \text { and } g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g) \text { share } \alpha \text { C.M., then } f=g .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem B, we have Corollary F.1:
Corollary F.1: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 3$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, $l \geq 3, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
n \geq 10+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)
$$

$$
n \geq k+2
$$

if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 k+1,3 k_{i}-k \forall i=2,3$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

And by Theorem A we also have Corollary F.2.
Corollary F.2: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n}\left(x-a_{2}\right)^{k}$ with $\min (k, n) \geq$ 2.

Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 10+\max (0,5-k)$,
$n \geq k+2$,
$n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem G: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, l \geq 2, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 9+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)$,
$n \geq k+2$,
if $l=2$, then $n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 k+1,3 k_{i}-k \forall i=2,3$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a Moebius function. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

By Theorem B, we have Corollary G.1.
Corollary G.1: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 3$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$,
$l \geq 3, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 9+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)$,
$n \geq k+2$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 k+1,3 k_{i}-k \forall i=2,3$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a Moebius function. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

And by Theorem A, we have Corollary G.2.
Corollary G.2: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n}\left(x-a_{2}\right)^{k}$ with $\min (k, n) \geq$ 2 and with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 9+\max (0,5-k)$,
$n \geq k+2$,
$n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1$,
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a Moebius function. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem H: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, l \geq 2, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
n \geq k+2
$$

$$
n \geq 9+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)
$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a non-zero constant. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

By Theorem B, we have Corollary H.1.
Corollary H.1: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 3$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, $l \geq 3, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions: $n \geq k+2$,
$n \geq 9+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a non-zero constant. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

And by Theorem A, we have Corollary H. 2
Corollary H.2: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n}\left(x-a_{2}\right)^{k}$ with $k \geq 2$ and with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 9+\max (0,5-k)$,
$n \geq k+2$,
$n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a non-zero constant. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem I: Let $a \in K$ and $R>0$. Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, l \geq 2, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:
$n \geq 10+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)$,
$n \geq k+3$,
if $l=2$, then $n \neq 2 k, 2 k+1,3 k+1$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 k+1,3 k_{i}-k \forall i=2,3$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

By Theorem B we can state Corollary I.1.

Corollary I.1: Let $a \in K$ and $R>0$. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 4$, let $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, l \geq 4, k_{i} \geq k_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq l-1$ and let $k=\sum_{i=2}^{l} k_{i}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
n \geq 10+\sum_{i=3}^{l} \max \left(0,4-k_{i}\right)+\max \left(0,5-k_{2}\right)
$$

$n \geq k+3$,
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

And by Theorem C we have Corollary I.2:
Corollary I.2: Let $a \in K$ and $R>0$. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n}\left(x-a_{2}\right)^{2}$ with $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$. Suppose $P$ satisfies
$n \geq 10+\max (0,5-k)$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem J: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form
$b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 3, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, satisfying:
$n \geq l+10$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 l-1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

By Theorem B, we have Corollary J.1:
Corollary J.1: Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 3$ and be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form
$b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 3, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ satisfying:
$n \geq l+10$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 l-1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem K: Let $a \in K$ and $R>0$. Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$ such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 3, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ satisfying:
$n \geq l+10$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 l-1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

By Theorem B, we have Corollary K.1:
Corollary K.1: Let $a \in K$ and $R>0$. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ satisfy $\Phi(P) \geq 4$ and be such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 4, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ and $n \geq l+10$.

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be non-identically zero. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Example: Let $P(x)=\frac{x^{18}}{18}-\frac{2 x^{17}}{17}-\frac{x^{16}}{16}+\frac{2 x^{15}}{15}$. Then $P^{\prime}(x)=x^{17}-2 x^{16}-x^{15}+2 x^{14}=$ $x^{14}(x-1)(x+1)(x-2)$. We check that:
$P(0)=0$,
$P(1)=\frac{1}{18}-\frac{2}{17}-\frac{1}{16}+\frac{2}{15}$,
$P(-1)=\frac{1}{18}+\frac{2}{17}-\frac{1}{16}-\frac{2}{15} \neq 0, P(1)$, and $P(2)=\frac{2^{18}}{18}-\frac{2^{18}}{17}-\frac{2^{16}}{16}+\frac{2^{16}}{15} \neq 0, P(1), P(-1)$. Then $\Phi(P)=4$. So, $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for both $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Moreover, we have $n=14, l=4$, hence we can apply Corollaries J. 1 and K.1.

Given $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ transcendental or $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$such that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function $\alpha$ C.M., we have $f=g$.

Theorem L: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 3, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ satisfying $n \geq l+9$,
if $l=3$, then $n \neq 2 l-1$.
Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a Moebius function. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Theorem M: Let $P$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $P^{\prime}$ is of the form $P^{\prime}=b\left(x-a_{1}\right)^{n} \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)$ with $l \geq 3, b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ satisfying $n \geq l+9$.

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha$ be a non-zero constant. If $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share $\alpha$ C.M., then $f=g$.

Example: Let $P(x)=x^{q}-a x^{q-2}+b$ with $a \in K^{*}, b \in K$, with $q \geq 5$ an odd integer. Then $q$ and $q-2$ are relatively prime and hence by Theorem $3.21[15] P$ is a uniqueness polynomial for $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ and $P^{\prime}$ admits 0 as a zero of order $n=q-3$ and two other zeros of order 1.

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be a small function such that $f, g$ share $\alpha$ C.M.

Suppose first $q \geq 17$. By Theorem J we have $f=g$. Now suppose $q \geq 15$ and suppose $\alpha$ is a Moebius function or a non-zero constant. Then by Theorem L and M , we have $f=g$.

Theorem N: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-identically zero. Let $a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f^{\prime} f^{n}(f-a)$ and $g^{\prime} g^{n}(g-a)$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and if $n \geq 12$, then either $f=g$ or there exists $h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ such that $f=\frac{a(n+2)}{n+1}\left(\frac{h^{n+1}-1}{h^{n+2}-1}\right) h$ and $g=$ $\frac{a(n+2)}{n+1}\left(\frac{h^{n+1}-1}{h^{n+2}-1}\right)$. Moreover, if $\alpha$ is a constant or a Moebius function, then the conclusion holds whenever $n \geq 11$.

Inside an open disk, we have a version similar to the general case in the whole field.

Theorem O: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$, and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$be nonidentically zero. Let $a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f^{\prime} f^{n}(f-a)$ and $g^{\prime} g^{n}(g-a)$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and $n \geq 12$, then either $f=g$ or there exists $h \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$such that $f=\frac{a(n+2)}{n+1}\left(\frac{h^{n+1}-1}{h^{n+2}-1}\right) h$ and $g=\frac{a(n+2)}{n+1}\left(\frac{h^{n+1}-1}{h^{n+2}-1}\right)$.

Remark 3. In Theorems $N$ and $O$, the second conclusion does occur. Indeed, let $h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. let $h \in \mathcal{M}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Now, let us precisely define $f$ and $g$ as: $g=\left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)\left(\frac{h^{n+1)}-1}{h^{n+2}-1}\right)$ and $f=h g$. Then by Remark 1 we can see that the polynomial $P(y)=\frac{1}{n+2} y^{n+2}-\frac{1}{n+1} y^{n+1}$ satisfies $P(f)=P(g)$, hence $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)=g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$, therefore $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ trivially share any function.

Remark 4. All theorems above have suggested results on complex meromorhic functions, with figures that are just slightly less fine [3].

In order to prove Theorem P, we wiil prove the following three lemmas:
Lemma 1: Let $R \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Then $f g$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$if and only if so do both $f, g$.

Lemma 3 is known in the Nevanlinna Theory [4], [8].
Lemma 2: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$) have no zero and no pole at 0 . Let $G(Y) \in \mathcal{M}_{f}(K)(Y),\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G \in \mathcal{M}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)(Y)\right)$, let $n=\operatorname{deg}(G)$. Then $T(r, G(f))=n T(r, f)+$ $o(T(r, f))$.
Lemma 3: Let $P \in K[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(P)>1$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K) \backslash K\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)\right)$ be such that $P(f)=P(g)+c, c \in K$. Then $c=0$.
Proof: Let $P(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}$ with $a_{n} \neq 0$. For each $k=1, \ldots, n-1$, let $Q_{k}(x, y)=a_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} x^{j} y^{k-j}$. Then $P(x)-P(y)=(x-y)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(x, y)\right)$. Suppose first $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$. Since $(f-g)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(f, g)\right)$ is a constant, we know that both $\left.f-g, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(f, g)\right)$ are constants. Thus we have $g=f+b$ with $b \in K$. Let $\left.G(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(x, x+b)\right)$. We can check that $G$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$. And since $G(f)$ is a constant, we have $n-1=0$, a contradiction. Thus, $f=g$.

Similarly, suppose now $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 1 both $\left.f-g, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(f, g)\right)$ are bounded, so we have $g=f+h$, with $h \in \mathcal{A}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Consider the polynomial $B(x)=$ $\left.\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k}(x, x+h)\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)(x)$. Then $B(x)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathcal{M}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(B))$ is $n-1$ hence by Lemma 2 we have $T(r, B(f))=(n-1) T(r, f)+S_{f}(r)$. But since $B(f)$ is bounded, it belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{b}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)(x)$, hence $n=1$, a contradiction again.

Theorem P: Let $P(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}(K)$ (resp. for $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right.$)), let $P^{\prime}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ be transcendental (resp. let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$)
such that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}(K) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}(K)\left(\right.$ resp. $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}\left(d\left(, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{A}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i} \geq 2 l+2$ then $f=g$. Moreover, if $f, g$ belong to $\mathcal{A}(K)$, if $\alpha$ is a constant and if $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i} \geq 2 l+1$ then $f=g$.
Proof: Set $F=\frac{f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)}{\alpha}$ and $G=\frac{g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)}{\alpha}$, so $F$ and $G$ share the value 1 C.M. Since $F, G$ share $\alpha$ C.M., the function $\frac{F-\alpha}{G-\alpha}$ has no zero and no pole, hence it is a constant $\phi \in K^{*}$ (resp. an invertible function $\phi \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$). Suppose $\phi \neq 1$ We have $F-\alpha=\phi(G-\alpha)$, hence $F=\phi G+\alpha(1-\phi)$. Now applying the Second main Theorem to $F$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, F) \leq \bar{Z}(r, F)+\bar{Z}(r, G)+o(T(r, F)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $m=\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i}$. By Lemma 2 we have $T(r, F) \geq m T(r, f)+o(T(r, f)), T(r, G) \geq$ $m T(r, g)+o(T(r, g))$. Consequently, since $f, g$ are transcendental (resp. unbounded), so are $F$ and $G$.

On the other hand, since $T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) \leq T(r, f)-\log r+O(1), T\left(r, g^{\prime}\right) \leq T(r, g)-\log r+O(1)$, we have $\bar{Z}(r, F)+\bar{G}(r, F) \leq(l+1)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-2 \log r+o(T(r, f))$. We also notice that $o(T(r, F))=o(T(r, f)), o(T(r, G))=o(T(r, g))$. Consequently, by (1), we obtain $m T(r, f) \leq$ $l(T(r, f+T(r, g))+o(T(r, f)$ and similarly, $m T(r, g) \leq l(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+o(T(r, g)$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq(2 l+1)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+o(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2) we have $m \leq 2 l+2$. Moreover, we notice that if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ and if $\alpha$ is a constant, (1) gets

$$
T(r, F) \leq \bar{Z}(r, F)+\bar{Z}(r, G)-2 \log r+O(1)
$$

hence $m \leq 2 l$.
Thus, if $m \geq 2 l+2$, or if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ and if $\alpha$ is a constant and $m \geq 2 l+1$, we have $\phi=1$. We can then assume that $\phi=1$, therefore $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)=g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ and hence $P(f)-P(g)$ is a constant $b \in K$. But then, by Lemma $3, b=0$. Finally, since $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right.$), we can conclude $f=g$.

Corollary P.1: Let $P(x) \in K[x]$ be such that $\Upsilon(P) \geq 2$, let $P^{\prime}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ and let $f, g \in$ $\mathcal{A}(K)$ be transcendental such that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}(K) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}(K)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i} \geq 2 l+2$ then $f=g$. Moreover, if $\alpha$ is a constant and if $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i} \geq 2 l+1$ then $f=g$.

Corollary P.2: Let $P(x) \in K[x]$ be such that $\Upsilon(P) \geq 3$, let $P^{\prime}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(x-a_{i}\right)^{k_{i}}$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$be such that $f^{\prime} P^{\prime}(f)$ and $g^{\prime} P^{\prime}(g)$ share a small function $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{A}_{g}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{l} k_{i} \geq 2 l+2$ then $f=g$.

Theorem Q: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ be transcendental such that $(f-a)^{n}(f-b)^{k} f^{\prime}$ and $(g-a)^{n}(g-$ $b)^{k} g^{\prime}$ share the function $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}(\mathbb{K})$ C.M. with $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}^{*} \backslash\{0\}$. Then $f=g$.
Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume $a=0$ and $b=1$. Let $P$ be the primitive of $x^{n}(x-1)^{k}$ that admits 0 as a zero of order $n+1$. By Lemma 3, we have $P(f)=P(g)$. Now, we can check that $P(1) \neq 0$. Indeed, if 1 is a zero of $P$, then it is a zero of order $k+1$ and hence $\operatorname{deg}(P)=n+k+2$, a contradiction. Consequently, $\Phi(P)=2$ and therefore, by Theorem B, $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}(K)$. Hence $f=g$.

Theorem R: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{A}_{g}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$and let $a, b \in$ $K \backslash\{0\}, a \neq b$. If $(f-a)^{n}(f-b)^{2} f^{\prime}$ and $(g-a)^{n}(g-b)^{2} g^{\prime}$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and $n \geq 3$, then $f=g$. Moreover, if $(f-a)^{n}(f-b) f^{\prime}$ and $(g-a)^{n}(g-b) g^{\prime}$ (with $\left.n \geq 1\right)$ share the function $\alpha$ C.M. and if $n \geq 1$, then again $f=g$.
Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume $a=0$ and $b=1$. Let $P$ be the primitive of $x^{n}(x-1)^{k}$ such that $P(0)=0$. If $k=2$ and $n \geq 3$, we can apply Theorem C showing that $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$and then, by Theorem P we have $f=g$.

And now suppose $k=1$. Then $P^{\prime}(x)$ has two distinct zeros, one of order 1 and hence by Theorem C, $P$ is a polynomial of uniqueness for $\mathcal{A}_{u}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Consequently, by Theorem P, we have $f=g$.
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