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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a complete algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in $\mathbb{K}$. A conjecture suggests that $f^{\prime}$ takes every values infinitely many times, what was proved when $f$ has finitely many multiple poles. Here we can generalize the conclusion just by assuming that there exists positive constants $c, d$ such that number of multiple poles inside the disk $|x| \leq r$ is less than $c r^{d}$ for all $r \geq 1$. Applications are given to entire functions $g$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $g^{\prime}$ divides $g$, to links between residues and zeros of functions admitting primitives and finally to the $p$-adic Hayman conjecture in the cases that are not yet solved.


## 1 Introduction and results.

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 , complete with respect to a $p$-adic absolute value denoted by $||.\left(\right.$ example $\left.\mathbb{C}_{p}\right)$. Given $a \in \mathbb{K}$ and $r>0$ we denote by $d(0, r)$ the disk $\{x \in \mathbb{K}||x-a| \leq r\}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of entire functions in $\mathbb{K}$ i.e. the set of power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ converging in all $\mathbb{K}$ and we denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ the field of meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{K}$, i.e. the field of fraction of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$, we denote by $W(f, g)$ the Wronskian of $f$ and $g$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ be non-constant. We can factorize $f(x)$ in the form

$$
f(x)=c x^{n_{0}} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega, \omega \neq 0}\left(1-\frac{x}{\omega}\right)^{n_{\omega}}
$$

where $c$ is a non zero constant, $\Omega$ is the set of distinct zeros of $f$ and $n_{0}=0$ if 0 is not a zero of $f$. We set $\bar{f}=x^{m_{0}} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega, \omega \neq 0}\left(1-\frac{x}{\omega}\right)$, with $m_{0}=0$ if 0 is not a zero of $f$ and $m_{0}=1$ else. So, the function $\bar{f}$ is an entire function admitting as zeros the distinct zeros of $f$, all with order 1 .

We can then set $f=\bar{f} \widetilde{f}$ where the function $\widetilde{f}$ is an entire function admitting for zeros the multiple zeros of $f$, each with order $q-1$ when it is a zero of $f$ of order $q$. Particularly, if $f$ is constant, we set $\bar{f}=1$ and $\widetilde{f}=f$.

[^0]Now, $f(x)$ is a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ of infinite radius of convergence. According to classical notation [7], we set $|f|(r)=\sup \{|f(x)|| | x \mid \leq r\}$. We know that

$$
|f|(r)=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n}=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow r,|x| \neq r}|f(x)| .
$$

That notation defines an absolute value on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ and has continuation to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ as $\left|\frac{f}{g}\right|(r)=\frac{|f|(r)}{|g|(r)}$ with $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. In the paper [4], the following Theorems A and B are proven:

Theorem A: Let $f, g$ be entire functions on $\mathbb{K}$ such that $W(f, g)$ is a non-identically zero polynomial. Then both $f, g$ are polynomials.
(Theorem A has been recently generalized to several functions [2])
Theorem B is an easy consequence of Theorem A:
Theorem B: Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ having finitely many multiple poles. Then $f^{\prime}$ takes every value infinitely many times.

That has suggested the following conjecture:
Conjecture: Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros. Then $f$ is a rational function.

This paper is aimed at proving the following theorem which generalizes Theorem B. For this we will define new expressions.

Notation: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$. For each $r>0$, we denote by $\psi_{f}(r)$ the number of multiple zeros of $f$ in $d(0, r)$, each counted with its multiplicity and we set $\phi_{f}(r)=\psi_{\frac{1}{f}}(r)$. Similarly, we denote by $\theta_{f}(r)$ the number of zeros of $f$ in $d(0, r)$, taking multiplicity into account and set $\tau_{f}(r)=\theta_{\frac{1}{f}}(r)$.

Theorem 1: Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that, for some $c, d \in] 0,+\infty\left[, \phi_{f}\right.$ satisfies $\phi_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. If $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros, then $f$ is a rational function.

Corollary 1: Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that, for some $c, d \in] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, $\phi_{f}$ satisfies $\phi_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. If for some $b \in \mathbb{K} f^{\prime}-b$ has finitely many zeros, then $f$ is a rational function.

Corollary 2: Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\tau_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $\left[1,+\infty[\right.$ for some $c, d \in] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$. Then $f^{(k)}$ takes every value in $\mathbb{K}$ infinitely many times, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

Corollary 3: Let $h$ be a transcendental entire function on $\mathbb{K}$ and $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$. The differential equation $y^{\prime} h=y P$ admits no entire solution $f$ different from 0 , such that, $f$ for some $\left.c, d \in\right] 0,+\infty[$, $\psi_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $[1,+\infty[$.

By Main Theorem of [5] we can derive this corollary:
Corollary 4: Let $f$ be a a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that, for certain $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of poles of order $\geq 3$, counted each with its multiplicity, in each disk $d(0, r)$ is bounded by $r^{d}$ for all $r \in[1,+\infty[$ and such that all residues at poles are null. Then for every $b \in \mathbb{K}, f-b$ has infinitely many zeros.

According to the $p$-adic Hayman conjecture, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} f^{\prime} f^{n}$ takes every non-zero value infinitely many times. Here Theorem 1 has an immediate application to that conjecture in the cases $n=1$ or $n=2$ which are not yet solved, except with additional hypotheses [2], [3], [4], [8].

Corollary 5: Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that there exists $c, d \in] 0,+\infty[$, such that $\tau_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d} \forall r \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. If $f^{\prime} f^{n}-b$ has finitely many zeros for some $b \in \mathbb{K}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $f$ is a rational function.

Corollary 5 may be writen in another way:
Corollary 6: Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that there exists $c, d \in] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, such that $\theta_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d} \forall r \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 3$ and for all $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$, $f^{\prime}-b f^{m}$ admits infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$.

Corollary 7: Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that there exists $c, d \in] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, such that $\psi_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d} \forall r \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Then, for all $b \in \mathbb{K}, \frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}-b$ has infinitely many zeros.

Remark: Using Corollary 7 to study zeros of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ that are not zeros of $f$ is not so immediate, as done in Theorems 3, 4,5 [3], because of residues of $f$ at poles of order 1 .

Theorem 2: Let $f$ be a transcendental meromorphic function on $\mathbb{K}$ such that, for some $c, d \in$ $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, we have $\theta_{f^{\prime}}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Then for every $b \in \mathbb{K}, b \neq 0, f^{\prime}-b$ has infinitely many zeros.

## 2 Preliminary results

We will need several lemmas.
Lemma 1: Let $U, V \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ have no common zero and let $f=\frac{U}{V}$. If $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros, there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that $U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}=P \widetilde{V}$

Proof: If $V$ is a constant, the statement is obvious. So, we assume that $V$ is not a constant. Now $\widetilde{V}$ divides $V^{\prime}$ and hence $V^{\prime}$ factorizes in the way $V^{\prime}=\widetilde{V} Y$ with $Y \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Then no zero of $Y$ can be a zero of $V$. Consequently, we have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}}{V^{2}}=\frac{U^{\prime} \bar{V}-U Y}{\bar{V}^{2} \widetilde{V}}
$$

The two functions $U^{\prime} \bar{V}-U Y$ and $\bar{V}^{2} \widetilde{V}$ have no common zero since neither have $U$ and $V$. Consequently, the zeros of $f^{\prime}$ are those of $U^{\prime} \bar{V}-U Y$ which therefore has finitely many zeros and consequently is a polynomial.

Lemma 2 is known as the $p$-adic Schwarz Lemma (Lemma 23.12 [6]). Lemmas 3 and 4 are immediate corollaries:

Notation: Let $\left.a \in \mathbb{K}, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime} \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ with $r^{\prime}<r^{\prime \prime}$. We denote by $\Gamma\left(a, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the annulus $\left\{x \in \mathbb{K}\left|r^{\prime}<|x-a|<r^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right.$.

Lemma 2: Let $r, R \in] 0,+\infty[$ be such that $r<R$ and let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K})$ admit $s$ zeros and $t$ poles in $d(0, r)$ and no zero and no pole in $\Gamma(0, r, R)$. Then $\frac{|f|(R)}{|f|(r)}=\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{s-t}$.
Lemma 3: Let $r, R \in] 0,+\infty[$ be such that $r<R$ and let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ have $q$ zeros in $d(0, R)$. Then

$$
\frac{|f|(R)}{|f|(r)} \leq\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{q}
$$

Lemma 4: Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $f$ is a polynomial of degree $q$ if and only if there exists a constant $c$ such that $|f|(r) \leq c r^{q} \forall r \in[1,+\infty[$.

Notation: Let $d\left(a, r^{-}\right)$be the disk $\left\{x \in \mathbb{K}||x-a|<r\}\right.$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the $\mathbb{K}$ algebra of analytic functions in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$i.e. the set of power series in $x-a$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ whose radius of convergence is $\geq R$ and we denote by $\mathcal{M}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$the field of meromorphic functions in $d\left(a, R^{-}\right)$, i.e. the field of fraction of $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(a, R^{-}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 5: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all $\left.r \in\right] 0, R[$, we have

$$
\left|f^{(n)}\right|(r) \leq|n!| \frac{|f|(r)}{r^{n}}
$$

Proof: Suppose first $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$and set $f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} x^{k}$. Then

$$
f^{(n)}(x)=\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(n!)\binom{k}{n-k} a_{k} x^{k-n}
$$

The statement then is immediate. Consider now the general case and set $f=\frac{U}{V}$ with $U, V \in$ $\mathcal{A}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$. The stated inequality is obvious when $n=1$. So, we assume it holds for $q \leq n-1$ and consider $f^{(n)}$. Writing $U=V\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)$, by Leibniz Theorem we have

$$
U^{(n)}=\sum_{q=0}^{n}\binom{n}{q} V^{(n-q)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}
$$

and hence

$$
V\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(n)}=U^{(n)}-\sum_{q=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{q} V^{(n-q)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}
$$

Now, $\left|U^{(n)}\right|(R) \leq|n!| \frac{|U|(R)}{R^{n}}$ and for each $q \leq n-1$, we have $\left|V^{(n-q)}\right|(R) \leq|(n-q)!| \frac{|V|(R)}{R^{n-q}}$ and $\left|\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}\right|(R) \leq|q!| \frac{|U|(R)}{|V|(R) R^{q}}$. Therefore, we can derive that terms on the right hand side are upper bounded by $|n!| \frac{|U|(R)}{|V|(R) R^{n}}$ and hence the conclusion holds for $q=n$.

Notation: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we set $\lambda_{n}=\max \left\{\frac{1}{|k|}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$.

Remark: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we have $\lambda_{n} \leq n$ because $k|k| \geq 1 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. The equality holds for all $n$ of the form $p^{h}$.

Lemma 6: Let $U, V \in \mathcal{A}\left(d\left(0, R^{-}\right)\right)$. Then for all $\left.r \in\right] 0, R[$ and $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\left|U^{(n)} V-U V^{(n)}\right|(r) \leq|n!| \lambda_{n} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{r^{n-1}}
$$

More generally, given $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left|U^{(j)} V^{(l)}-U^{(l)} V^{(j)}\right|(r) \leq|(j!)(l!)| \lambda_{j+l} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{r^{j+l-1}}
$$

Proof: Set $g=\frac{U}{V}$ and $f=g^{\prime}$. Applying Lemma 5 to $f$ for $k-1$, we obtain

$$
\left|g^{(k)}\right|(r)=\left|f^{(k-1)}\right|(r) \leq|(k-1)!| \frac{|f|(r)}{r^{k-1}}=|(k-1)!| \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{\left|V^{2}\right|(r) r^{k-1}}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5 , we set $U=V\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)$. By Leibniz formula again, now we can obtain

$$
U^{(n)}=\sum_{q=1}^{n}\binom{n}{q} V^{(n-q)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}+V^{(n)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(n)}-V^{(n)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{n}\binom{n}{q} V^{(n-q)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have

$$
\left|\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}\right|(r)=\left|g^{(q)}\right|(r) \leq|(q-1)!| \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{\left|V^{2}\right|(r) r^{q-1}}
$$

and

$$
\left|V^{(n-q)}\right|(r) \leq|(n-q)!| \frac{|V|(r)}{r^{n-q}}
$$

Consequently, the general term in (1) is upper bounded as

$$
\left|\binom{n}{q} V^{(n-q)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(q)}\right|(r) \leq \frac{|(n!)((n-q)!)((q-1)!)|}{|(q!)((n-q)!)|} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{|V|(r) r^{n-1}} \leq \lambda_{n} \frac{|n!|\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{|V|(r) r^{n-1}}
$$

Therefore by (1) we obtain

$$
\left|U^{(n)}-V^{(n)}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)\right|(r) \leq|n!| \lambda_{n} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{|V|(r) r^{n-1}}
$$

and finally

$$
\left|U^{(n)} V-V^{(n)} U\right|(r) \leq|n!| \lambda_{n} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(r)}{r^{n-1}}
$$

We can now generalize the first statement. Set $P_{j}=U^{(j)} V-U V^{(j)}$. By induction, we can show the following equality that already holds for $l \leq j$ :

$$
U^{(j)} V^{(l)}-U^{(l)} V^{(j)}=\sum_{h=0}^{l}\binom{l}{h}(-1)^{h} P_{j+h}^{(l-h)}
$$

Now, the second statement gets just an application of the first.
Remark: Suppose the residue characteristic is $p \neq 0$ and $U(x)=1, V(x)=x^{p}, n=p$. Then, for all $R>0$, the inequality $\left|U^{(p)} V-U V^{(p)}\right|(R) \leq|(p!)| \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)}{R^{p-1}}$ is not satisfied. So, we can't eliminate the factor $\lambda_{n}$.
Lemma 7: Let $U, V \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K})$ and let $r, R \in] 0,+\infty[$ satisfy $r<R$. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|x| \leq R$ and $|y| \leq r$, we have the inequality:

$$
|U(x+y) V(x)-U(x) V(x+y)| \leq \frac{R\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)}{e(\log R-\log r)}
$$

Proof: By Taylor's formula at the point $x$, we have

$$
U(x+y) V(x)-U(x) V(x+y)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{U^{(n)}(x) V(x)-U(x) V^{(n)}(x)}{n!} y^{n}
$$

Now, $\left|\frac{U^{(n)}(x) V(x)-U(x) V^{(n)}(x)}{n!} y^{n}\right| \leq \lambda_{n} \frac{\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)}{R^{n-1}} r^{n}$. But as remarked above, we have $\lambda_{n} \leq n$, hence

$$
\left|\frac{U^{(n)}(x) V(x)-U(x) V^{(n)}(x)}{n!} y^{n}\right| \leq n R\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n}
$$

And we notice that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} n\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n}=0$. Consequently, we can define $B=\max _{n \geq 1}\left(n\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n}\right)$ and we have $|U(x+y) V(x)-U(x) V(x+y)| \leq B R\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R) \forall x \in d(0, R), y \in d(0, r)$.

We can check that the function $h$ defined in $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ as $h(t)=t\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{t}$ reaches it maximum at the point $u=\frac{1}{(\log R-\log r)}$. Consequently, $B \leq \frac{1}{e(\log R-\log r)}$ and therefore

$$
|U(x+y) V(x)-U(x) V(x+y)| \leq \frac{R\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)}{e(\log R-\log r)}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Suppose $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros. If $V$ is a constant, the statement is immediate. So, we suppose $V$ is not a constant and hence it admits at least one zero $a$. By Lemma 1, there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that $U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}=P \widetilde{V}$. Next, we take $r, R \in[1,+\infty[$ such that $|a|<r<R$ and $x \in d(0, R), y \in d(0, r)$. By Lemma 7 we have

$$
|U(x+y) V(x)-U(x) V(x+y)| \leq \frac{R\left|U^{\prime} V-U V^{\prime}\right|(R)}{e(\log R-\log r)}
$$

Notice that $U(a) \neq 0$ because $U$ and $V$ have no common zero. Now set $l=\max (1,|a|)$ and take $r \geq l$. Setting $c_{1}=\frac{1}{e|U(a)|}$, we have

$$
|V(a+y)| \leq c_{1} \frac{R|P|(R)|\widetilde{V}|(R)}{\log R-\log r}
$$

Then taking the supremum of $|V(a+y)|$ inside the disk $d(0, r)$, we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
|V|(r) \leq c_{1} \frac{R|P|(R)|\widetilde{V}|(R)}{\log R-\log r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us apply Lemma 3, by taking $R=r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}$, after noticing that the number of zeros of $\tilde{V}(R)$ is bounded by $\psi_{V}(R)$. So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{V}|(R) \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)^{\psi_{V}\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)}|\widetilde{V}|(r) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, due to the hypothesis: $\psi_{V}(r)=\phi_{f}(r) \leq c r^{d}$ in $[1,+\infty$ [, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)^{\psi_{V}\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)} \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)^{\left[c\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)^{d}\right]}=\exp \left[c\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)^{d} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $h(r)=c\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)^{d} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)$ is continuous on $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ and equivalent to $\frac{c}{r}$ when $r$ tends to $+\infty$. Consequently, it is bounded on $[l,+\infty[$. Therefore, by (2) and (3) there exists a constant $M>0$ such that, for all $r, R \in[l,+\infty[, r<R$ by (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{V}|\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right) \leq M|\widetilde{V}|(r) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\log \left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)-\log r=\log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right)$ clearly satisfies an inequality of the form $\log \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{d+1}}\right) \geq \frac{c_{2}}{r^{d+1}}$ in $\left[l,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ with $c_{2}>0$. Moreover, we can obviously find positive constants $c_{3}, c_{4}$ such that

$$
\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right)|P|\left(r+\frac{1}{r^{d}}\right) \leq c_{3} r^{c_{4}}
$$

Consequently, by (1) and (4) we can find positive constants $c_{5}, c_{6}$ such that $|V|(r) \leq c_{5} r^{c_{6}}|\widetilde{V}|(r) \forall r \in$ $\left[l,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Thus, writing again $V=\bar{V} \widetilde{V}$, we have $|\bar{V}|(r)|\widetilde{V}|(r) \leq c_{5} r^{c_{6}}|\widetilde{V}|(r)$ and hence $|\bar{V}|(r) \leq$ $c_{5} r^{c_{6}} \forall r \in\left[l,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Consequently, by Lemma $4, \bar{V}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq c_{6}$ and hence it has finitely many zeros and so does $V$. And then, by Theorem $\mathrm{B}, f$ must be a rational function.

Proof of Corollary 1: Suppose $f^{\prime}-b$ has finitely many zeros. Then $f-b x$ satisfies the same hypothesis as $f$, hence it is a rational function and so is $f$.

Proof of Corollary 2: Indeed, if $k=1$, the statement just comes from Theorem 1. Now suppose $k \geq 2$. Each pole $a$ of order $n$ of $f$ is a pole of order $n+k$ of $f^{(k)}$ and $f^{(k)}$ has no other pole. Consequently, we have $\phi_{f^{k-1}}(r)=\tau_{f^{(k-1)}}(r) \leq k c r^{d}$. So, we can apply Theorem 1 to $f^{(k-1)}$ to show the claim.

Proof of Corollary 3: Suppose $V$ is an entire solution of the equation $V^{\prime} h=V P$ such that $\psi_{V}(r) \leq c r^{d} \forall r \in\left[1,+\infty\right.$ [. If $V$ is a rational function, so is $\frac{V P}{V^{\prime}}$, a contradiction because $h$ is transcendental. Suppose now $V$ is transcendental and apply Theorem 1: then $\left(\frac{1}{V}\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{V^{\prime}}{V^{2}}=\frac{-P}{h V}$ admits infinitely many zeros, a contradiction since $P$ is a polynomial.

Definition: Given a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{K}$, we call exceptional value of $f$ (or Picard value of $f$ ) a value $b \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $f-b$ has no zero. And, if $f$ is transcendental, we call quasi-exceptional value a value $b \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $f-b$ has finitely many zeros.

Proof of Corollary 4: By the Main Theorem of [5], $f$ admits a primitive $F$ and then, by hypothesis, we have $\phi_{F}(r) \leq r^{d}$. Consequently, by Corollary $1 F^{\prime}-b=f-b$ has infinitely many zeros.

Proof of Corollary 5: Suppose $f$ is transcendental. Due to hypothesis, $f^{n+1}$ satisfies $\theta_{\frac{1}{f^{n+1}}}(r)=$ $\tau_{f^{n+1}}(r) \leq c(n+1) r^{d} \forall r \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ hence by Theorem $1, f^{\prime} f^{n}$ has no quasi-exceptional value.

Proof of Corollary 6: We set $g=\frac{1}{f}$. Then by Corollary $2, g^{\prime} g^{m-2}$ has no quasi-exceptional value. Consequently, given $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}, g^{\prime} g^{m-2}+b$ has infinitely many zeros and hence $f^{\prime}-b f^{m}$ has infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$.

Proof of Corollary 7: Set $g=\frac{1}{f}$ again. Since the poles of $g$ are the zeros of $f$, we have $\phi_{g}(r) \leq c r^{d}$. Consequently, by Theorem $1, g^{\prime}$ has no quasi-exceptional value.

Proof of Theorem 2: Suppose $f^{\prime}$ admits a quasi-exceptional value $b \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$. Then $f^{\prime}$ is of the form $\frac{P}{h}$ with $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ and $h$ a transcendental entire function. Consequently there exists $S>0$ such that $\frac{|P|(r)}{|h|(r)}<|b| \forall r>S$ and hence $\left|f^{\prime}\right|(r)=|b| \forall r>S$. Then by Lemma 2, the numbers of zeros and poles of $f^{\prime}$ in disks $d(0, r)$ are equal when $r$ when $r>S$. So, there exists $S^{\prime} \geq S$ such that for every $r>S^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{f^{\prime}}(r)=\theta_{f^{\prime}}(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, of course we have $\tau_{f}(r)<\tau_{f^{\prime}}(r)$, hence by (1) and by hypothesis of Theorem 2 , we have $\tau_{f}(r)<r^{d}$. Therefore by Theorem 1 , $f^{\prime}$ has no quasi-exceptional value, a contradiction.
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