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Venting of gases by convective clouds

Andrea I. Flossmann and Wolfram Wobrock
Laboratoire de Méteorologie Physique, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS, OPGC, Aubière, France

Abstract. A two-dimensional dynamic model with spectral microphysics and a spectral treatment of aerosol particle and gas scavenging (DESCAM) was used to estimate the transport of gases from the marine boundary layer to the free troposphere by a medium-sized warm precipitating convective cloud. In the simulation, three gases were considered, covering a range of Henry's law constants: an inert tracer, SO₂, and H₂O₂. SO₂ was also used as the inert tracer by artificially suppressing any interaction with the cloud drops. The horizontal and vertical fluxes, their vertical means and the transport across the cloud boundaries were studied. It was calculated that for SO₂ as an inert tracer 37 kg, for SO₂ as a scavenged gas 34 kg, and for H₂O₂ 12 kg were transported from the marine boundary layer across cloud base to the free troposphere for an estimated three-dimensional cloud. This represents a depletion of the marine boundary layer in the vicinity of the cloud by about 60%. After about half an hour of cloud life time, however, only 75% of the SO₂ and only 50% of the H₂O₂ transported aloft still existed in the cloudy air. These residual gases could eventually participate in a long range transport if the cloud would dissipate. The rest had been scavenged by the cloud.

Introduction

Convective clouds are important features in determining our weather and climate. Apart from their radiative properties they have a strong dynamic and thermodynamic effect; that is, they redistribute vertically temperature and moisture and consequently reduce the instability of the atmosphere [e.g., Emanuel, 1994]. Coupled to these convective heat and water mass fluxes is the transport of other species to be found in the air. This transport is known to be an essential factor in the redistribution of atmospheric trace components.

Numerous atmospheric pollutants have their sources (natural or anthropogenic) at Earth's surface [Seinfeld, 1986]. They are either emitted and then distributed evenly in the well-mixed boundary layer. As long-range horizontal transport in the boundary layer is rather limited and the life times of some of the species are only of the order of a few days (e.g., the lifetime of gaseous NOₓ is estimated to be in the range of 1–4 days, following Seinfeld [1986]), the species would stay close to the source in the absence of vertical transport. However, it has been argued that convection would provide an efficient means of transporting these chemical species from the boundary layer to the free troposphere. This process is called “cloud venting” [Ching, 1982; Cotton et al., 1995]. Owing to this transport of gaseous matter and aerosols from the boundary layer to the free troposphere, the pollutants can also participate in the long range transport, which takes predominantly place in these higher altitudes. There they can sometimes also experience longer residence times due to the changed temperatures and participate in the free tropospheric chemistry.

The effect of cloud venting has been shown to be important by numerous authors for different clouds and cloud systems (e.g., by Chatfield and Crutzen [1984], Ferek et al. [1986], and LeLieveld and Crutzen [1994] for dimethylsulfide and ozone). A comprehensive review of observational and modeling studies on cloud venting by a wide variety of cloud types ranging from ordinary cumuli to ordinary cumulonimbi, mesoscale convective systems, and tropical and extratropical cyclones has been given by Cotton et al. [1995].

The present paper aims to improve the understanding of cloud venting by quantifying the advective fluxes of trace gases from a detailed cloud-resolving model. The role of the convective cloud is studied by calculating the mass transport of the trace gases across all cloud boundaries and from the marine boundary layer (MBL) to the free troposphere. Furthermore, the study supports the development of a parameterization of cloud venting for larger-scale models by providing mean flux profiles of trace gases of different solubilities through the cloud and estimating the net effect of clouds on the redistribution of trace gases in the lower free atmosphere.

In order to quantify the amount of cloud venting from a detailed cloud-resolving model we have applied our detailed scavenging and microphysical (DESCAM) model [e.g., Flossmann et al., 1985; Flossmann and Pruppacher, 1988; Flossmann, 1994] embedded in the two-dimensional dynamics provided by the model of Clark and coworkers [e.g., Clark, 1977, 1979; Clark and Gall, 1982; Clark and Farley, 1984; Hall, 1980] to a moderate warm convective cloud developing on GATE day 261. The sounding is displayed in Figure 1. The Global Atmospheric Research Program Atlantic Tropical Experiment (GATE) campaign was performed in 1974 off the coast of Africa in the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ). The measurements showed that the well-mixed boundary layer had a depth of about 500 m [Warner et al., 1979; Nicholls and LeMone, 1980]. Above, there was a moist layer reaching about 2.2 km capped by a stable layer where the air was very dry. Brümmer [1978] showed that this inversion layer was typical of an environment disturbed by previous convection and that these inversions normally did not persist for more than a couple of hours. Consequently, they would not inhibit the exchange of air masses between the moist layer and the higher troposphere.

We used this dynamical situation to study the transport of...
trace gases from the well-mixed boundary layer to the free troposphere by a moderate-sized convective cloud. As we can expect the amount of trace gases present in, and transported through, the cloudy air to be strongly influenced by the solubility of the considered trace gases, we choose to study the behavior of three different gases (inert tracer, SO2, and H2O2) covering the range of Henry constants commonly found in the atmosphere. We have used SO2 also as an inert tracer by artificially suppressing any uptake by the cloud drops. Even though this is an unrealistic scenario, it is nevertheless useful in two aspects. First, as SO2 is not interacting with the cloud, it can also be considered as a generic inert tracer; the results obtained apply to any inert tracer with a similar spatial distribution. Second, the run is useful as it allows a direct comparison with the case where SO2 is scavenged by the developing and evolving cloud drops. For the three gases considered, we calculated vertical and horizontal advective fluxes, mean advective fluxes, and the mass transport across the cloud boundaries. This enabled us to quantify the venting of pollutants by a convective cloud.

Model Description

As discussed in detail by Flossmann and Pruppacher [1988], the basic framework employed in the present study is a two-dimensional slab-symmetric version of the three-dimensional model developed by Clark and coworkers [e.g., Clark, 1977, 1979; Clark and Gall, 1982; Clark and Farley, 1984; Hall, 1980]. DESCAM (i.e., the detailed scavenging and microphysical model) is discussed by Flossmann et al. [1985, 1987]. They treat the aerosol particles in a spectral form. Apart from dynamical processes, the number of particles of a certain size changes due to activation to drops, due to size changes resulting from humidity changes, and due to impaction scavenging by drops. The nucleated drops then grow by condensation or evaporate, collide and coalesce, and eventually break up. During their lifetime they further scavenge particles, and the scavenged pollutant mass is redistributed through the microphysical processes. The extension of this microphysical and scavenging model to the scavenging of two different types of aerosol particles (e.g., (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NaCl for marine air masses) is described by Flossmann [1991] and the inclusion of effects of gaseous H₂O₂ and O₃ on the uptake and oxidation of SO₂ was presented by Flossmann [1994]. Currently, the model contains prognostic equations for 11 distribution functions: the drop number density distribution function $f_d(m)$, the mass density distribution function $g_{APd,(NH₄)₂SO₄}(m)$ and $g_{APd,NaCl}(m)$ for (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NaCl particles in the drops, respectively. In addition the mass density distribution functions $g_{APd,H₂O₂}(m)$, $g_{APd,O₃}(m)$, $g_{APd,H₂O₂}(m)$, and $g_{APd,O₃}(m)$, for the sulfur species S(4) and S(6), and H₂O₂ and O₃ gas in the drops, respectively, are predicted with $m$ being the drop mass discretized in 57 radius size bins. The aerosol particle number density distribution functions $f_{APn,(NH₄)₂SO₄}(m_{AP})$ and $f_{APn,NaCl}(m_{AP})$ for (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NaCl particles in the air and the mass density distribution functions $g_{APn,(NH₄)₂SO₄}(m_{AP})$ and $g_{APn,NaCl}(m_{AP})$ for (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NaCl particles in the air, with $m_{AP}$ being the aerosol particle mass discretized in 81 radius size bins are also predicted. For further details on the terms changing the density distribution functions, see Flossmann et al. [1985] and Flossmann [1994].

The time change of the gas $g_{oa}$ (in grams per cubic meter) which stands for the mass density of SO₂, H₂O₂, and O₃ in the air, i.e., $g_{oa,SO₂}$, $g_{oa,H₂O₂}$, and $g_{oa,O₃}$ is described by

$$\frac{\partial g_{oa}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\nu g_{oa}) + \nabla \cdot (K_m \nabla g_{oa}) - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial g_{oa}(m)}{\partial t} \text{uptake} dm.$$

The first term on the right-hand side describes the advective transport and the second one the turbulent mixing using first-order K theory with a turbulent eddy mixing coefficient from Smagorinsky [1963] and Lilly [1962] as described by Flossmann and Pruppacher [1988]. The last term represents the scavenging of the gases into the liquid phase where chemical reactions can occur. The treatment of this term is explained in detail by Flossmann [1994].

The model covered a domain of 10 km in the vertical and 20 km in the horizontal. The grid spacings were $\Delta z = 200$ m and $\Delta x = 400$ m resulting in $52 \times 52$ grid points. The time step was $\Delta t = 5$ s.

Analysis of the Data

In the present paper we are interested in investigating the transport fluxes of gases into the cloud. Here, we confine ourselves to the study of SO₂, H₂O₂, and O₃ which is simultaneously present at all times. To minimize the amount of data to process, we only consider average fluxes over a time period of 100 s for the two remaining gases SO₂ and H₂O₂. Consequently, the horizontal fluxes $F_h$ and the vertical fluxes $F_v$ were calculated as

$$F_h = \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^a \nu g_{oa},$$

$$F_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{a'} \nu g_{oa'}.$$
in Figures 3 and 4 which will be discussed in the section on model results.

To obtain from the fluxes the actual masses transported through the boundaries, we had to identify the location of the cloud boundary. This was done by checking if \( q_c \), i.e., the amount of liquid water in the cloud drops smaller than 30 \( \mu \)m, is smaller than \( 10^{-4} \) g/kg at one grid point and larger at the neighboring grid point. Then the common boundary between these two grid boxes is taken as the cloud boundary. (The value at the grid point represents the mean of its surrounding grid box.) For this calculation we did not choose the total liquid water \( w_L \) as this would mean a cloud base at Earth's surface in cases of rainfall.

Consequently, we checked the fluxes four times for the bottom, top, left, and right boundary of the cloud and calculated the total transport across the corresponding boundary

\[
T_{\text{boundary}} = \sum_{0}^{L} (+/\text{-}) F_{\text{flux(boundary)}} A_l.
\]

Here, \( L A_l \) is the length of the boundary with \( A_l \) being \( \Delta x = 400 \) m or \( \Delta z = 200 \) m. The transport has the dimension of g m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and the signs \((+/\text{-})\) are adjusted such that a positive transport value always signifies a gain for the cloud and a negative transport value is a loss for the cloud. These quantities are given in Figure 2.

In order to obtain the total transport across each boundary the quantities are summarized over the entire 60 min of simulation time. These quantities are summarized in Table 2 as well as the total transport across the cloud boundaries, which is calculated as

\[
T_{\text{total}} = T_{\text{base}}^{\text{total}} + T_{\text{top}}^{\text{total}} + T_{\text{left}}^{\text{total}} + T_{\text{right}}^{\text{total}}.
\]

All these total transport values have a dimension of g/m as they pertain to a 2-D cloud, i.e., a slice of cloud having unit depth.

We have, however, attempted to crudely estimate the total transport through cloud base of a 3-D cloud as we think that these quantities are easier to use as the previously calculated values per unit depth. This requires giving the cloud a third dimension. For this purpose, we chose 5 km, the approximate average length of cloud base during the time of the strongest transport. Consequently,

\[
T_{\text{base}}^{3-D, \text{total}} = T_{\text{base}}^{\text{total}} \cdot 5 \text{ km}
\]

which gives the total estimated 3-D transport through cloud base in grams.

The summation of these fluxes through the cloud boundaries, however, includes some simplifications. One is that the stored values of the fluxes represent averages over 100 s, implying that during this time the location of the cloud boundaries does not change dramatically. Typically, this is a valid assumption. A further simplification in our simulations of the transport across the cloud boundaries is the neglect of two processes which may also contribute to a net transport. The first one is the transport through turbulent mixing which is treated separately from the simple advection and is not included in the mass transfer calculations made. We argue here that the transport through turbulent eddy mixing into a convective cloud may contribute to the total transport; this process is dominated, however, by advection. Another process not considered is a complete evaporation of the liquid water at a given point on the cloud margin. This would put the values at the grid point immediately outside the cloud without this transfer being captured in the mass transfer calculations. However, considering the fact that this process works in both ways (i.e., for evaporation as well as condensation), we can expect the net effect to be small for our study.

**Initial Conditions**

The initialization of the present model is the same as that of Flossmann [1991, 1994] with the exception of the initial concentrations of SO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The sounding was taken at day 261 (September 18, 1974) of the GATE campaign at 1200 UT and is given in Figure 1. Our 2-D model domain was oriented north-south, as this was the main wind direction. In the lowest 2 km, and above 6 km the wind was southerly, while in between the wind was northerly. The initial aerosol particle spectrum was assumed to be of maritime type consisting of a superposition of three lognormal distributions. The two small modes were assumed to consist of (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) particles, and the large mode was set to hold only NaCl particles. The particle spectra were assumed to decrease exponentially with height as practically no NaCl exists above 2.5 km (scale height of 1 km [cf. Flossmann, 1991]). The (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) particles were assumed to decrease with a scale height of 3 km as described by Flossmann [1991].

The SO\(_2\), H\(_2\)O\(_2\), and O\(_3\) initial concentrations were assumed to 0.5 ppb, 0.5 ppb, and 30 ppb, respectively, in agreement with marine observations [e.g., Cuong et al., 1974; Kok, 1980; Wolff et al. 1986; Winkler, 1988]. In order to calculate the transport of SO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) produced at the surface we prescribed constant values for SO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in the first 400 m above ground (two grid levels), above which the concentrations were set to zero. The prescribed concentration of O\(_3\) was constant with height. In the first simulation (case 1) the uptake of SO\(_2\) and its oxidants into the liquid phase was purposely inhibited, thus considering SO\(_2\) as an inert tracer. In the second simulation (case 2), all three gases were scavenged by the cloud drops with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and O\(_3\) serving as oxidizing agents converting S(4) to S(6). This was done to be able to identify the role of cloud gas uptake for the vertical redistribution of atmospheric pollutants by convection.

The cloud was driven by a surface sensible and latent heat flux as a percentage of the incoming solar radiation. The method is described by Flossmann [1991].

**Model Results**

As mentioned above in the section on model initialization, we have used almost the same input parameters for the present model as those used for the investigation on aerosol particle and gas scavenging [Flossmann, 1991, 1994]. Thus the evolution of the dynamical and microphysical features is the same, and we shall only briefly summarize them and concentrate on the results of the flux calculations.

The simulations started at 1200 UT. After 26 min of model time a cloud had formed. After 14 min of cloud life time the first rain fell from cloud base, and after 19 min of cloud life time the first rain reached the ground. The rain lasted for about 25 min, the last 10 min being only an insignificant drizzle (precipitation rate below 1 mm/h). Therefore we terminated the simulation after 60 min. The dynamical and microphysical results compared quite well with observations made during that day [Flossmann, 1991, Table 2].
Figure 2. Transport of mass in $\text{mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ through (a) cloud base, (b) cloud top, (c) left and (d) right boundary of the 2-D cloud. Positive values signify a gain for the cloud, and negative values mean a loss. The long-dashed line gives the length of the cloud boundary through which the transport occurred.
The results concerning the aerosol particle scavenging were the same as those of Flossmann [1991, 1993]. The results of the gas scavenging were slightly different from those presented by Flossmann [1994] due to the different initial conditions. They will, however, not be discussed here as our interest is the transport of pollutants from the marine boundary layer (MBL) to the free troposphere by a medium-sized convective cloud. Here we will focus on the major cell developing in the computational domain and neglect the secondary cells forming in the rightmost 7 km (see Figures 5 and 6).

The transport through the developing main cloud is illustrated in Figures 2-9 for the two cases considered. Figure 2 displays the transport through the four cloud boundaries which can be identified by a 2-D model: cloud base (Figure 2a), cloud top (Figure 2b), left (Figure 2c) and right (Figure 2d) boundary. In addition to the net transport of the gases through the cloud boundary the "length" of the associated cloud boundary is given by the long-dashed line in Figures 2a-2d. Here we have to point out that only in a 2-D simulation the cloud has an artificial left and right boundary which pertains to the lateral entrainment and detrainment in Figures 3 and 4. The time evolution of the height of cloud base and cloud top is given in Table 1 together with some characteristic features of the cloud. In general, we can see from Figure 2 that at all times the time evolution of the three gaseous concentrations displayed shows the same qualitative behavior. Quantifying the mass transport across the four different boundaries considered in a 2-D simulation, we can see that case 1 (solid line) shows the strongest transport, and the weakest transports are found for H$_2$O$_2$ (dash-dotted line). In the middle, we find SO$_2$ with uptake into the liquid phase and subsequent oxidation processes considered. The difference between the curves for SO$_2$ as a scavenged and oxidized gas and H$_2$O$_2$ results from two facts. The first is that 0.5 ppb(v) SO$_2$ and 0.5 ppb(v) H$_2$O$_2$ give a higher mass concentration (about a factor of 2) for SO$_2$ than for H$_2$O$_2$ (initial boundary layer mass concentrations are 1.4 g/m$^3$ for SO$_2$ and 0.76 g/m$^3$ for H$_2$O$_2$). The second difference results from the fact that H$_2$O$_2$ is much more soluble than SO$_2$ (the Henry's constants, dissociation constants and oxidation rates were taken from Seinfeld, 1986).

In Figure 2a we see that the transport through cloud base has its maximum around 35 min (i.e., 9 min of cloud life time) corresponding to the maximum in vertical wind speed (see Table 1). A second maximum appears at 45 min due to the fact that next to the original cloud a secondary cloud appears with its own updraft (see the vertical velocities in Figure 3a and Table 2 of Flossmann [1991] and in Figure 3c of this paper). This secondary cloud is contiguous with the first one, and we consider them as one large cell. As time proceeds, the total influx through cloud base decreases. This is caused by precipitation which develops between 35 and 40 min, leaving the cloud base at 40 min and reaching the ground at 45 min of model time. This rain is coupled to a downdraft causing an outflow at cloud base, resulting in a net reduced inflow (com-

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Vertical flux of SO$_2$, (b) horizontal flux of SO$_2$ considered as an inert tracer in $\mu$g/m$^2$/s, (c) vertical velocity, and (d) horizontal velocity in meters per second after 45 min of model time (19 min of cloud life time). In Figure 3a, solid lines signify mean upgoing fluxes, dashed lines signify downgoing fluxes, and contour spacing is 0.5 $\mu$g m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. In Figure 3b, solid lines signify fluxes going to the right, dashed lines signify fluxes going to the left, and contour spacing is 1 $\mu$g m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. In Figure 3c, solid lines signify updrafts, dashed lines signify downdrafts, and contour spacing is 1 m/s. In Figure 3d, solid lines represent southerly flows (from left to right), dashed lines represent northerly flows (from right to left), and contour spacing is 2 m/s; the shaded area is the visible cloud ($q_c > 10^{-4}$ g/kg).
Figure 4. (a) Vertical flux of SO₂, (b) horizontal flux of SO₂ considered as an inert tracer in μg m⁻²s⁻¹, (c) vertical velocity, and (d) horizontal velocity in meters per second after 55 min of model time (29 min of cloud life time). In Figure 4a, solid lines signify mean upgoing fluxes, dashed lines signify downgoing fluxes, and contour spacing is 0.25 μg m⁻²s⁻¹. In Figure 4b, solid lines signify fluxes going to the right, dashed lines signify fluxes going to the left, and contour spacing is 0.5 μg m⁻²s⁻¹. In Figure 4c, solid lines signify updrafts, dashed lines signify downdrafts, and contour spacing is 0.5 m/s. In Figure 4d, solid lines represent southerly flows (from left to right), dashed lines represent northerly flows (from right to left), and contour spacing is 2 m/s; the shaded area is the visible cloud ($q_c > 10^{-4}$ g/kg).

pare the field of vertical velocity in Figure 4c). This can also be seen from Figures 3a and 4a which show the fields of the vertical fluxes of SO₂ as an inert tracer for 45 and 55 min. (The total maxima and minima of the flows encountered are summarized in Table 1.) The inflow and outflow at cloud base compensate around 60 min, when the calculation was terminated. At that time the cloud has grown very big (length of cloud base ~8 km), but total liquid water content has decreased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Time, min</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cloud life time, min</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of cloud top, km</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of cloud base, km</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{max/min}$ m/s</td>
<td>7.0/-5.1</td>
<td>12.0/-5.2</td>
<td>12.1/-7.6</td>
<td>10.7/-6.0</td>
<td>10.0/-6.6</td>
<td>8.0/-6.1</td>
<td>7.5/-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{max/min}$ m/s</td>
<td>1.4/-0.5</td>
<td>11.6/-5.9</td>
<td>10.5/-4.4</td>
<td>5.8/-3.5</td>
<td>4/-2.5</td>
<td>4.4/-3.0</td>
<td>2.1/-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{SO_2}$ g/kg</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{L}$ g/kg</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{SO_2, max/min}$ (inert tracer), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>11.0/0</td>
<td>16.3/-7.8</td>
<td>16.1/-8.2</td>
<td>9.4/-4.6</td>
<td>16.4/-3.3</td>
<td>14.5/-3.1</td>
<td>14.0/-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{SO_2, max/min}$ (inert tracer), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>2.9/-0.4</td>
<td>14.9/-1.4</td>
<td>7.6/-2.3</td>
<td>5.6/-1.7</td>
<td>4.5/-4.6</td>
<td>4.2/-2.1</td>
<td>3.4/-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{KSO_2, max/min}$ (oxidation), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>11.0/0</td>
<td>16.3/-7.8</td>
<td>16.1/-3.7</td>
<td>9.4/-2.5</td>
<td>16.4/-1.6</td>
<td>14.5/-1.5</td>
<td>14.0/-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{KSO_2, max/min}$ (oxidation), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>2.9/-0.4</td>
<td>14.1/-1.3</td>
<td>6.0/-1.5</td>
<td>4.7/-1.7</td>
<td>4.4/-4.6</td>
<td>4.1/-1.5</td>
<td>3.3/-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{KSO_2, max/min}$ (oxidation), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>5.8/0</td>
<td>8.6/-4.1</td>
<td>8.6/-1.4</td>
<td>5.0/-1.0</td>
<td>8.7/-0.6</td>
<td>8.1/-0.5</td>
<td>7.5/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{KSO_2, max/min}$ (oxidation), μg m⁻²s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.6/-0.2</td>
<td>7.5/-0.7</td>
<td>3.2/-0.5</td>
<td>2.3/0.8</td>
<td>2.2/-2.6</td>
<td>2.0/-0.9</td>
<td>1.1/-0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the maxima and minima pertain to the total model domain and not necessarily to a location in the studied cloud.
creased (see Table 1) and the precipitation has almost ceased [Flossmann, 1991].

Figure 2b shows the transport through cloud top where we can see that the mass transport of the gases through cloud top is a factor of 5–10 smaller than the transport through cloud base. This is caused by the fact that the vertical velocity at cloud top is generally small (see Figures 3c and 4c). Until 42 min, this transport is zero. Then we note a small net loss due to an updraft at cloud top. After this, we have a net gain of mass due to a coexistence of weak updraft and downdraft regions at cloud top (Figures 3c and 4c) whereby the downdrafts compensate the updrafts and reentrain previously detrained gas. We also note the presence of gas above cloud top in Figures 5 and 6 which give the gas concentrations at 45 and 55 min. There we also see the strong influence of the uptake of
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Figure 5. Gas concentration fields in the air in ppb(v) after 45 min of model time (19 min of cloud life time). (a) SO2 concentration from case 1, (b) SO2 concentration from case 2, (c) H2O2 concentration from case 2; contour spacing is 0.1 ppb(v); the shaded area is the visible cloud (q_c > 10^{-4} g/kg).

Figure 6. Gas concentration fields in the air in ppb(v) after 55 min of model time (29 min of cloud life time). (a) SO2 concentration from case 1, (b) SO2 concentration from case 2, (c) H2O2 concentration from case 2; contour spacing is 0.1 ppb(v); the shaded area is the visible cloud (q_c > 10^{-4} g/kg).

the gas into the cloud and the subsequent reactions (note the changing aspect ratio and displayed domain as compared to Figures 3 and 4). Comparing Figures 5a and 5b and 6a and 6b we see the transport and uptake of SO2 by the cloud. Note the reduction in the boundary layer and the accumulation in the cloud regions. However, the resulting SO2 concentrations in the cloud are smaller in case 2 (scavenged gas) than case 1 (inert tracer) due to the scavenging of part of the SO2 into the liquid phase. This becomes even more prominent for H2O2. The high Henry's law constant is responsible for the fact that we see almost no H2O2 (concentrations below 0.01 ppb(v) in the center of the cloud and concentrations below 0.1 ppb(v) at the edges) above 1 km. We find this effect also in the curves for the net mass transport (Figure 7). For the transport through cloud base (Figure 2a) we still see roughly a factor of 2–3 between H2O2 and SO2 maintained. The transport through
cloud top (Figure 2b), however, differs by roughly a factor of 8 as almost no H$_2$O$_2$ is found at cloud top.

Figures 2c and 2d give the transport through the two lateral boundaries of the cloud which can be artificially identified in a 2-D simulation. In a simulation with no mesoscale horizontal wind, these two transports would be identical. In the present simulation, however, we have initialized the model with an observed southerly flow for the horizontal wind (from left to right in Figures 3–6 displayed by a solid line in Figures 3d and 4d) in the lower 2 km and a northerly flow in the upper part of the atmosphere. These flows were identified with some larger-scale clusters around the small cell under consideration [Warner et al., 1979]. The flow is disturbed by circulations associated with this cloud which tends to develop two “rotors” (see, e.g., Figures 3c and 3d). The strength of the resulting horizontal fluxes depends on whether the horizontal flow of the cloud itself is in the same direction as the mesoscale flow or opposing it. Figure 2c shows the transport through the left boundary of the cloud. We see here in general an inflow, i.e., a positive contribution until 42 min, resulting from the advection with the mesoscale flow. From 42 to 47 min the outflow part of the “cloud rotor” (see Figure 3b) dominates the transport as the cloud now extends well into the inversion with the northerly flow aloft (Figure 3d). After 45 min, the circulation of the cell decreases (see Table 1) due to its decay. Furthermore, after 50 min, the cloud top begins to subside, and consequently, that part of the cloud which extends into the northerly flow region decreases. Thus the inflow transport again dominates the outflow, and we have a net gain of mass. The transport through the right cloud boundary is smaller and always represents a net loss for the cloud as the horizontal flow field developed by the cloud at this side is acting all the time counteracting the large-scale flow (Figures 3d and 4d). As seen in Figures 3b and 4b, almost no gain results from the inflow in the lowest kilometer as the horizontal inflow against the large-scale flow is not sufficiently developed. We only see an outflow portion which is sufficiently strong because it is mainly located in the region between 1 and 2 km and thus goes in the same direction as the large-scale flow. It is responsible for the net loss of mass through the right cloud boundary. Above, the transport again is negligible. Combining the mass transport through all four boundaries, we can calculate a net budget for the cloud, displayed in Figure 7. We see here that the budget is mainly determined by the mass transport through cloud base (compare Figure 2a) as the transports through the sides and the top are generally much smaller and often compensate each other.

Figures 8 and 9 present a summary of the mass fluxes for 45 and 55 min as a function of height. Figures 8 and 9 are given to support later developments of parameterizations of the process of cloud venting for larger-scale models. Thus the positive and negative components of the fluxes were averaged separately and are displayed as separate curves. Again, we see that the qualitative behavior of all three gases is similar and only determined by their different solubility.

Looking at Figure 8a we see that the mean vertical fluxes for SO$_2$ show a maximum shortly above cloud base, coupled to the maximum in vertical velocity (Figure 3c). The maximum for H$_2$O$_2$ is much smaller and shifted toward cloud base due to its high solubility. The maximum mean downward flux for SO$_2$ is found near cloud top, while for H$_2$O$_2$ the maximum mean...
downward flux is located below cloud base, again due to the high solubility of H₂O₂ in the cloud; 10 min later, at 55 min we see (Figure 9a) that the pattern for the vertical distribution of the mean fluxes has changed. In addition to a maximum below cloud base the upgoing mean vertical flux also shows a distinct maximum above cloud top, again correlated with the field of the vertical velocity (Figure 4c). In contrast to that, the down-going mean flux shows its maximum inside the cloud.

The vertical distributions of the mean horizontal fluxes displayed in Figures 8b and 9b restate the observations made in Figures 2–4. We see that the strongest positive mean fluxes, representing a southerly flow, are found near the surface below cloud base as they are embedded into the southerly large-scale flow. The same applies to the negative mean fluxes, representing a northerly flow which is strongest between 2 km altitude and cloud top.

The total masses associated with the transport through the four different cloud boundaries and the net transport are given in Table 2. These variables pertain to a 2-D cloud with unit depth. Considering an average cloud base length of 5 km as explained in the section on analysis of the data (dimensions during the most active period, compare to Figure 2a), we can estimate from Table 2 the total mass transfer of a 3-D cloud from the boundary layer to the free troposphere to be 37 kg for SO₂ as an inert tracer, 34 kg for SO₂ as a scavenged species, and 12 kg for H₂O₂. This corresponds to roughly 60% of the pollutant mass initially present in the boundary layer (this number depends on the size of the computational domain). However, not all this material will be found at a given time in the atmosphere. This will only apply to the case of the inert tracer. SO₂ will be taken up into the cloud where it will be oxidized and transported to the surface with precipitation. Consequently, the fraction remaining in the atmosphere will vary but generally ranges around 75%. As H₂O₂ has a much higher solubility, only about 30% of the material transported upward will actually be found in the atmosphere. The rest is scavenged rather efficiently by the cloud drops, whereby the scavenging is almost complete in the center of the cloud. Gaseous H₂O₂ can only be found at the cloud edges where the liquid water content is rather small.

Summary and Conclusions

We have used the DESCAM model in a 2-D dynamic framework. Assuming a local pollution layer of SO₂ and H₂O₂ due to emission at the ground and an even mixture in a marine boundary layer of 400 m, we have calculated the vertical and horizontal redistribution of these gases caused by a warm convective cloud. In order to cover the different solubilities of atmospheric gases we have performed two case studies. In the first case we have used SO₂ as an inert tracer by artificially suppressing any uptake by the cloud drops. Even though this is an unrealistic scenario, it is nevertheless useful. First, as SO₂ is not interacting with the cloud, it can be considered as a generic inert tracer so the results obtained would apply to any inert gas with similar distribution, taking into account the differences in molecular weight. Second, case 1 serves as a control for a comparison with the second case study where SO₂ and H₂O₂ are scavenged by the cloud drops. In the cloud, S(4) is oxidized to S(6) with the help of H₂O₂ and O₃ which are simultaneously present. The comparison between SO₂ from case 1 (inert tracer) and case 2 (scavenged gas) gives information on the contribution of cloud chemistry to the process of cloud venting.

Studying the vertical fluxes of the gases in the two cases, as well as the mass transport and the development of the vertical distribution of the gases, we can draw the following conclusions:

1. An inert tracer is depleted from the boundary layer and transported upward by a convective cloud. For the medium-sized warm cumulus cloud considered, 37 kg were transported...
through cloud base (initial MBL concentration of 1.4 µg/m³) corresponding to 60% of the initial pollutant mass in the MBL surrounding the cloud. Both the upward and downward fluxes at cloud top are at least a factor of 5 smaller than the inflow at cloud base. The transport through the sides is strongly influenced by the ambient mesoscale flow influencing cloud circulation.

2. The results showed little sensitivity to either using SO₂ as an inert tracer or as a gas scavenged and oxidized by the cloud drops. The mass of SO₂ transported through the cloud boundaries differs only marginally at cloud base. However, at cloud top the two cases differ by a factor of 2, which reflects the integrated history of the SO₂ uptake by the cloud. The total mass transport across cloud base introduces 34 kg of SO₂ into the free troposphere which corresponds again to 60% of the SO₂ mass initially present in the surrounding MBL. Owing to the uptake into the cloud drops, however, after half an hour of cloud life time only about 75% of the gas is actually in the air ready to participate in long range transport if the cloud would suddenly disappear. Part of the scavenged SO₂ is present as S(6) in the cloud drops and part as S(4) which could eventually desorb again. Of this scavenged SO₂ a certain fraction has already left the atmosphere because it has been redistributed through collision and coalescence into the large drops which have dropped to the surface as precipitation [cf. Flossmann, 1994].

3. As an example of a highly soluble gas, H₂O₂ was considered. Taking into account the fact that 0.5 ppb H₂O₂ results in a factor of 2 lower mass concentration than SO₂ (MBL concentration 0.76 µg/m³), the mass transport of H₂O₂ across cloud base has the same magnitude as for SO₂. Actually, about 12 kg (60% of the mass initially present in the surrounding MBL) were transported out of the boundary layer through a cloud base of roughly 25 km² as compared to 34 kg for SO₂. The fluxes through the other boundaries are rather small as almost all H₂O₂ (70%) was scavenged by the cloud drops and partly used up during the sulfur oxidation process.

4. The vertical distribution of the mean horizontal and vertical fluxes was studied. Here it was found that in general the vertical fluxes are strongest near cloud base and cloud top displaying a clear minimum in the middle of the cloud. The mean horizontal fluxes, however, are mainly determined by the ambient mesoscale flow.

A major shortcoming of this work is that the model in its present configuration is two-dimensional. Consequently, the estimates for 3-D clouds are simply extrapolations giving just the order of magnitude of the transport. We are presently working on an extension of the model to three dimensions.

These results presented pertain to a medium-sized cumulus cloud in the ITCZ. However, similar profiles of temperature and moisture are also found in larger areas of the subtropical and tropical oceans [Garret, 1992; Cotton and Anthes, 1989]. They also show a well-mixed layer at the surface of 400 to 600 m in depth and a weakly mixed layer above where the cloud forms. The cloud layer is then topped by the very dry and free troposphere which corresponds again to 60% of the MBL. Owing to the mean horizontal and vertical fluxes, the cloud venting even by rather small clouds.
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