

MeMoVolc consensual document: a review of cross-disciplinary approaches to characterizing small explosive magmatic eruptions

Lucia Gurioli, D. Andronico, Patrick Bachèlery, Hélène Balcone-Boissard, Jean Battaglia, G. Boudon, Alain Burgisser, M. Burton, Katharine Cashman, Sarah B. Cichy, et al.

To cite this version:

Lucia Gurioli, D. Andronico, Patrick Bachèlery, Hélène Balcone-Boissard, Jean Battaglia, et al.. MeMoVolc consensual document: a review of cross-disciplinary approaches to characterizing small explosive magmatic eruptions. Bulletin of Volcanology, 2015 , 77 , pp.49. $10.1007/s00445-015-0935-x$. hal-01170133

HAL Id: hal-01170133 <https://uca.hal.science/hal-01170133v1>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

MeMoVolc consensual document: a review of cross-disciplinary approaches to characterizing small explosive magmatic eruptions

4 L. Gurioli¹, D. Andronico², P. Bachelery¹, H. Balcone-Boissard³, J. Battaglia¹, G. 5 Boudon⁴, A. Burgisser⁵, S.B. M.R. Burton⁶, K. Cashman⁷, S. Cichy¹, R. Cioni⁸, 6 A. Di Muro⁹, L. Dominguez¹⁰, C. D'Oriano⁶, T. Druitt¹, A.J.L Harris¹, M. Hort¹¹,

7 K. Kelfoun¹, J.C. Komorowski⁴, U. Kueppers¹², J.L. Le Pennec¹, T. Menand¹, R.

8 Paris¹, L. Pioli¹⁰, M. Pistolesi¹³, M. Polacci⁶, M. Pompilio⁶, M. Ripepe⁸, O.

9 Roche¹, E. Rose-Koga¹, A. Rust⁷, F. Schiavi¹, L. Sharff¹¹, R. Sulpizio¹⁴, J.

- 10 Taddeucci¹⁵, T. Thordarson¹⁶
-
- 1 Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Université Blaise Pascal CNRS IRD, OPGC, 5 rue Kessler, 63038
- Clermont Ferrand, France
- 2 INGV, Osservatorio Etneo, Sezione di Catania, 95125 Catania, Italy
- 3 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7193, Institut des Sciences de la Terre
- Paris (iSTeP) and CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France
- 4 Institut de Physique du Globe (IPGP), Sorbonne Paris-Cité, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS
- UMR-7154, 1 rue Jussieu, 75238 Paris Cedex 05, France
- 5 ISTerre Université de Savoie CNRS, 73376 Le Bourget du lac, France
- 6 INGV, Sezione di Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- 21 7 School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, United Kingdom
- 8 Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Firenze, 50121 Florence, Italy
- 9 Institut de Physique du Globe (IPGP), Sorbonne Paris-Cité, CNRS UMR-7154, Université
- Paris Diderot, Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise (OVPF), Bourg Murat, France
- 10 Département de Minéralogie, Université de Genève, Switzerland
- 11 Klimacampus, CEN, University of Hamburg, Germany
- 12 Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitaet (LMU), Munich, Germany
- 13 Dipartimento Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Pisa, Italy
- 14 Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Geo-Ambientali, Università degli Studi di Bari, Italy
- 15 INGV, Sezione di Roma, 00143 Roma, Italy
- 16 Institute of Earth Sciences (IES), University of Iceland, Reykjavík, Iceland
-
- **Abstract** A workshop entitled "*Tracking and understanding volcanic emissions through*
- *cross-disciplinary integration: A textural working group*." was held at the Université Blaise
- Pascal (Clermont-Ferrand, France) on the 6-7 November 2012. This workshop was supported
- by the European Science Foundation (ESF). The main objective of the workshop was to

 establish an initial advisory group to begin to define measurements, methods, formats and standards to be applied in the integration of geophysical, physical and textural data collected during volcanic eruptions. This would homogenize procedures to be applied and integrated during both past and ongoing events. The workshop comprised a total of 35 scientists from six countries (France, Italy, Great Britain, Germany, Switzerland and Iceland). The four main aims were to discuss and define:

Standards, precision and measurement protocols for textural analysis

- Identification of textural, field deposit, chemistry and geophysical parameters that can best be measured and combined
- The best delivery formats so that data can be shared between, and easily used by different groups;
- Multi-disciplinary sampling and measurement routines currently used, and measurement standards applied, by each community

 The group agreed that community-wide, cross-disciplinary integration, centered on defining those measurements and formats that can be best combined, is an attainable and key global focus. Consequently, we prepared this paper to present our initial conclusions and recommendations, along with a review of the current state of the art in this field that supported our discussions.

Introduction

 A major goal of modern volcanology is to relate conditions of magma ascent to the resulting eruption style using information preserved in volcanic deposits. Because it is impossible to directly observe magma ascent, vesiculation and fragmentation, one way to obtain quantitative information on magma-ascent dynamics is through textural quantification of the sampled particles. Textural quantification involves full description of the vesicle and crystal properties of erupted products (e.g., Sparks 1978; Sparks and Brazier 1982; Whitham and Sparks 1986; Houghton and Wilson 1989; Marsh 1988, 1998; Cashman and Marsh 1988, Toramaru 1989, 1990; Cashman and Mangan 1994; Higgins 2000; 2006; Blower et al. 2002; Burgisser and Gardner 2005; Shea et al. 2010a; Rust and Cashman 2011; Baker et al. 2012 and references therein). Magma viscosity, ascent rate, vesiculation processes, fragmentation style and explosion dynamics all imprint characteristic and measurable properties on the textures of volcanic particles, as shown by theoretical and experimental studies (e.g., Rust and Cashman 2011; Gonnerman and Houghton 2012; Degruyter et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2013 and references therein). The main assumption is that most of the pyroclast properties are acquired during ascent in the conduit, with few changes occurring after fragmentation or in the atmosphere, if the pyroclasts are lapilli size or smaller (e.g., Houghton and Wilson 1989; Nguyen et al. 2013). Specifically, the textural parameters of the pyroclastic components can yield insights into the dynamics of explosive eruptions, as reviewed in Table 1.

 However, the physical characteristics of individual pyroclasts must not be considered in isolation from detailed studies of (i) the deposits from which they were collected, (ii) their chemical properties, (iii) geophysical signatures of the related explosive event, or (iv) petrological and/or analogue experiments. Indeed, attempts to understand eruption dynamics have been increasingly coupled to traditional fieldwork and geophysical measurements made synchronously with sample collection. In 2004, a special issue of the *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* (Volume 137) focused on multidisciplinary approaches, proposing "*simultaneous collection of multiple geophysical data sets, such as seismic, infrasonic, thermal and deformation data, as well as sampling of ejecta and detailed mapping*". The argument was that "*complete constraint of a volcanic system is not possible using one data set, so that an integrated multiparametric approach involving simultaneous collection of multiple geophysical and petrological data sets will increase our ability to reach tightly constrained and confident conclusions regarding the mechanics and dynamics of volcanic systems and eruptions*" (Harris et al. 2004). Since 2004, numerous studies have borne these predictions out, combining textural data with:

- i. Field deposits (e.g., Polacci et al. 2006a; Rust and Cashman 2007; 2011; Mattsson 2010);
- ii. Petrological data (e.g., Larsen 2008; Shea et al. 2009; 2010b; Burgisser et al. 2010; Bai et al. 2011);
- iii. Chemical analyses (e.g., Piochi et al. 2005, 2008; Shimano and Nakada 2006; Noguchi et al. 2006; Costantini et al. 2010; Schipper et al. 2010a; b; c; 2011; 2012; 2013; Balcone-Boissard et al. 2010, 2011, 2012; Shea et al. 2012; 2014)
- iv. Geophysical measurements (e.g., Burton et al. 2007; Gurioli et al. 2008, 2013; 2014; Polacci et al. 2009b; Andronico et al. 2008; 2009a; 2009b; 2013a, 2013b; Miwa et al. 2009; Miwa and Toramaru 2013; Colò et al. 2010; Landi et al. 2011; Pistolesi et al. 2011; Leduc et al. 2015)

 Together, these studies have delivered complete pictures of explosive eruptions and their dynamics (Fig. 1).

 Despite this progress, we remain far from establishing the best protocols for sampling pyroclasts and for correlating and comparing the many parameters that can be measured using individual clasts and field deposits. Only a few papers address some of these issues (e.g., Bonadonna et al 2013; Engwell et al. 2013; Klawon et al. 2014). In addition, no study has yet attempted to correlate all derivable textural parameters with the full range of multidisciplinary data available. To partially resolve these issues, a working group funded by the European Science Foundation, through the MeMoVolc program (http://www.memovolc.fr/), was set up. The group was composed of experts actively working on integration of textural, deposit and geophysical data, equally balanced between four theme areas: (i) particle-texture studies, (ii) deposit analysis, (iii) chemistry and (iv) geophysics. The priorities of the meeting were discussion and definition of:

- Improved standards, precision and measurement protocols needed by the particle-texture studies.
- 118 Best practices for particle-texture studies in order to have comparable datasets from different types of eruptions.
- 120 Parameters obtained from particle-texture, deposit, geochemical and geophysical data that need to be measured, and the best delivery format if each discipline's output is to be of use to all workers.
- 123 Multi-disciplinary sampling and measurement routines, as well as measurement standards.
- The core communal issues to be explored were agreed on:
- 1. What are the best sampling and measurement strategies for the quantification of pyroclast textural features, and what are their precision and uncertainty?
- 2. What are the best sampling and measurement strategies for pyroclastic deposits to allow textural characterization of their particles?
- 3. How can we link chemistry and particle-texture properties?
- 4. How can we link geophysical data and the particle-texture quantification?
- 5. What is the best multi-disciplinary strategy for combining output from each field in a meaningful way?

 The paper reviews these topics in the light of a workshop consensus. Because of the time constraints and the complexity of the arguments, the paper focuses only on the study of explosive subaerial magmatic eruptions that generate sustained columns or fountains, and the associated fallout deposits (Fig. 1). Further workshop or working groups should be organized to synthesise and integrate all work in progress, and already completed, in the areas of phreatic, phreatomagmatic and submarine explosions, as well as pyroclastic density current 140 and lava flow deposits (Table 1).

 The final objective of this paper is to ensure that data collected in the field and laboratory can be shared effectively and ingested in a multi-disciplinary sense into experiments, modeling and monitoring. In the longer term, the objective is to publish and update standards, as well as to propose, support and organize field meetings to test integrated collection methodologies. The ultimate aim is to increase the number of open-access data-bases of standard and community-accepted quality, thereby increasing resources available for cross-disciplinary correlations.

Sampling of pyroclasts and quantification of their textural features

(i) Representative samples

 Pyroclasts reflect degassing of the parent magma, from the conduit to the plume. Part of the textural signature is assumed to reflect the fragmentation (or explosion) zone. Consequently, texture can be used as an indicator of magma properties (composition, porosity, connectivity, permeability, vesicle and crystal content, size, shape and distribution) at that time (Table 1). This assumption has two requirements:

 i. The textural signature that was quenched immediately at the fragmentation level can be distinguished from the textural effects of post-fragmentation processes, including microlite formation and bubble nucleation, expansion, collapse, coalescence and Ostwald ripening that will change clast vesicularity or vesicle size and shapes once the pyroclast has been formed (e.g., Thomas et al. 1994; Cashman et al. 1994; Herd and Pinkerton 1997; Larsen and Gardner 2000; Gurioli et al. 2008; Costantini et al. 2010; Stovall et al. 2011, 2012). The time window for post-fragmentation changes depends on magma composition, viscosity and fragmentation depth.

 ii. Because clast density is also a function of clast size (Houghton and Wilson 1989), only clasts of similar sizes must be used in order to avoid non-uniform grain-size effects on textural parameters.

 We thus recommend choosing samples that are representative of the studied explosion, or unit, in terms of:

- i. Timing: This requires sampling of narrow stratigraphic intervals (Houghton and Wilson 1989) in which juvenile clasts of similar dimensions can be assumed to represent those parts of the magma fragmented at a particular time (n.b. conduit processes can change over short timescales);
- ii. Distribution: This requires selection of more than one outcrop for each event;
- iii. Degree of fragmentation: This requires selection of a sampling methods that is appropriate for the the full range of grain sizes in the deposit;
- iv. Componentry: If the juvenile fraction is heterogeneous, then sampling should be done based on preliminary componentry analysis of the clasts analyzed (e.g., Wright et al. 2011, Eychenne et al 2015)

 In previous studies, only clast sizes of 16-32 mm, i.e. coarse lapilli (White and Houghton 2006) have been considered for textural purposes. Such clasts were considered to be large enough to be easily sampled and studied, while being fully representative of the density variation of the majority of erupted pyroclasts and unaffected by significant post- fragmentation phenomena (Houghton and Wilson 1989). These requirements are not always met. In basaltic magma, post-fragmentation effects can be a complication even for these sizes (e.g., Cashman et al. 1994; Szramek et al. 2006; Costantini et al. 2010; Gurioli et al. 2008; Pioli et al. 2014; Pistolesi et al. 2008; 2011; Stovall et al. 2011; 2012). In these cases, the challenge is to identify, quantify and remove post-fragmentation effects in order to isolate textures preserved across the fragmentation zone. For example, the original shapes of vesicles may be reconstructed by de-coalescencing large vesicles using the presence of broken, or partially retracted, glassy septa.

 However, if we study an ash-dominated or a bomb-dominated event, particle-texture analyses must be performed on the fine or coarse juvenile fragments, respectively. Ash size particles (<2 mm) have been investigated recently in terms of vesicle and crystal size distributions (Taddeucci et al. 2002, 2004; Cioni et al. 2008; D'Oriano et al. 2011a, b; Miwa et al. 2009; 2013; Miwa and Toramaro 2013; Proussevitch et al. 2011; Genareau et al. 2012; 2013; Colucci et al. 2013, Schipper et al. 2013), and an extensive work has been done in the last 40 years in characterizing ash morphology and deposit componentry (Table 1). For the ash fraction, post-fragmentation expansion can be excluded (e.g., Proussevitch et al. 2011; Genareau et al. 2012; 2013; Colucci et al. 2013). Consequently, analyses allow comparison between morphological and textural features of clasts sampled in proximal and distal areas. Ash particles can record most of the information related to magma ascent dynamics (e.g., decompression-driven microlite crystallization) and fragmentation (Cioni et al. 2008; D'Oriano et al. 2005; D'Oriano et al. 2011a, b; Proussevitch et al. 2011; Genareau et al. 2012; 2013; Colucci et al. 2013). Advantages of studying ash are that it can also be statistically more representative of the variability of the magma properties and is less affected by density-driven settling within the plume. However, ash fragments record only small-scale vesicularity. The integration of observations made on the external shapes of clasts may give information about the presence and importance of a coarser vesicularity which drives magma fragmentation (e.g., Proussevitch et al. 2011; Genareau et al. 2012; 2013; Colucci et al. 2013). However, they cannot provide complete information about the abundance and size of the full vesicle population, if the magma included bubbles larger than the ash particles. Furthermore, ash particles are not suitable for permeability studies, as they are often smaller than the bubbles forming the permeability network. However, the presence of coalesced vesicles in a preferred direction, and an abundance of ash clasts with an elongate shape, have been interpreted as an indication of the development of a permeable bubble network (D'Oriano et al. 2011a).

 Bombs may provide a plethora of information regarding pre-eruptive degassing and ascent rate (e.g. Hoblitt and Harmon 1993; Wright et al. 2007), timing and degree of thermal interaction of magma with wall-rock material prior to ejection (Rosseel et al. 2006; Sottili et al. 2009; 2010), post-fragmentation changes due to bubble growth, coalescence or shape changes (e.g. Herd and Pinkerton 1997, Shin et al. 2005) and mingling between stagnant and fresh magma (Gurioli et al. 2014; Leduc et al. 2015).

(ii) Bulk measurements of particle characteristics

 The fastest and most straightforward textural measurement of individual pyroclasts is density (vesicularity), which provides basic information on processes related to gas exsolution and escape (Houghton and Wilson 1989). The densities of lapilli and small bombs can be determined by comparing their weights in water and air following the Archimedes principle. Clasts can be made impermeable with silicone waterproofing spray, by immersion in cellulose acetate, or by using ParafilmTM wax. This technique is fairly rapid and yields large arrays of 233 data with a reproducibility within 10-30 kg $m⁻³$ and accuracy within 30 kg $m⁻³$ (Barker et al. 2012). Quicker, more timely and precise, density measurements can now be performed using a commercial envelope-density measurement device (http://www.micromeritics.com/Product- Showcase/GeoPyc-1360-Envelope-Density-Analyzer.aspx). Following the same principles, a battery-powered device has been used to vacuum-seal pumice or scoria in plastic bags in the field (Kueppers et al. 2005).

 For pyroclasts characterized by fine vesiculation (with largest vesicles smaller than 2-3 mm), the density can be measured with the glass-bead method (Nakamura et al. 2008) that allows the calculation of the density as well as the volume of an object of irregular size. For large bombs (from 15 to 40 cm in diameter), a "natural waterproofing" effect was exploited (Gurioli et al. 2013). Extensive tests showed that decimetric size bombs collected at Stromboli acquired a "natural waterproofing" from their quenched margins and thus could be weighed in water without waterproofing. This represents an easy, precise and fast strategy for large bombs.

 The derived density distributions, coupled with external morphology variation, can be used as filters to select a few clasts, representative of the low, modal and high density values, from each subpopulation observed (e.g., Shea et al. 2010a). Selected clasts are then used for textural quantification.

 Other bulk particle-texture measurements include vesicle connectivity, permeability (Klug and Cashman 1996; Klug et al. 2002; Formenti and Druitt 2003; Rust and Cashman 2004; and references in Table 1) and electrical conductivity (Le Pennec et al. 2001; Bernard et al. 2007; Wright et al. 2009; Wright and Cashman 2014). The connectivity measurements are mostly performed using gas-displacement helium pycnometers, and they deliver first-order information on the outgassing capacity (i.e., potential for gas loss) of the magma near fragmentation (Klug et al. 2002; Formenti and Druitt 2003; Giachetti et al. 2010; Shea et al. 2011; 2012). Permeability controls the rate at which magma outgases during decompression. Several methods exist for permeability measurements in volcanology. Rust and Cashman (2004) used a commercial permeameter to perform systematic steady-state gas-flow experiments using porous samples, and the relationship between flow rate and pressure gradient was determined. They also introduced the Forchheimer equation into volcanology, which is a modified form of Darcy's law that includes the inertial effect of gas flow, and specified the importance of this effect in volcanic degassing processes. Mueller et al. (2005) used gas-pressure decay with time after sudden decompression in a fragmentation bomb for the permeability measurements, without measuring gas-flow rate. A falling head permeameter developed by Burbié and Zinszner (1985) has also been used to measure the permeability of volcanic porous materials (Jouniaux et al. 2000; Bernard et al. 2007). Recently, a low-cost gas permeameter was developed (Takeuchi and Nakashima, 2005) and improved (Takeuchi et al., 2008), to measure permeability of natural samples and experimental products. Finally, electrical conductivity measures how well a material transports electric charge. Rocks, in general, are poor conductors, whereas ionic fluids are good conductors. Therefore a

 measurement of conduction through fluid-saturated rocks provides information about the connected pore pathway through the sample. Although the influence of pathway tortuosity and pore shape on permeability is useful for numerical simulations on gas percolation, it has been the object of only a few studies (Table 1).

(iii) Comparison between 2D and 3D particle-texture measurements

 Two different methods are currently available for extracting vesicle and crystal sizes, shapes and distributions in pyroclasts. The first is by conversion of 2D data from a planar surface (such as a thin section or photograph) to 3D data through stereology. The second method derives 3D data directly from X-ray tomographic reconstructions and visualization of clast textures without the need of stereological conversions (Song et al. 2001; Shin et al. 2005; Polacci et al. 2006b; 2008; 2009a, b; 2010; Degruyter et al. 2010b; Gualda et al. 2010; Giachetti et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2012), using computer software especially developed for geo-textural purposes (e.g. Ketcham and Carlson 2001; Ketcham 2005; Friese et al. 2013). Other 3D methods include serial sectioning (e.g. Bryon et al. 1995), serial focusing with optical microscope (Manga 1998), serial grinding (e.g., Marschallinger 1998a, b, c; Mock and Jerram 2005), and constructing digital elevation models of individual ash grains to calculate vesicle volume (Proussevitch et al. 2011). Two-D and 3D observations have different limitations and potential, and the two methods are becoming complementary, not competitive (e.g., Giachetti et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2011).

2D method

 Standard procedures for the 2D method have been recently published for vesicles (Shea et al. 2010a) and crystals (Higgins 2000; 2006). Two-D techniques can yield high-quality data and account for both vesicle and crystal sizes in the sample and can be applied to particles ranging in size from bombs (e.g., Gurioli et al. 2014, Leduc et al. 2015) to ash (Miwa et al. 2009; 2013; Miwa and Toramaru 2013). These measurements are best used when there is a broad size distribution to be measured. The main limitation of the method is that is based on the assumption of spherical shape of the textural objects, following Sahagian and Proussevitch (1998). When this conversion is simply obtained by dividing the number of vesicles per unit area by the median value of diameter of each size class (Cheng and Lemlich 1983), no shape assumption is made. However, the 3D conversion is more precise when a shape is defined. Empirical corrections are commonly used for crystal analyses (Higgins 2000 and 2006), but for vesicles, whose shapes are less uniform, they risk introducing systematic, uncontrolled errors in the data (Sahagian and Proussevitch 1998; Proussevitch et al. 2007a; 2007b).

3D method

 X-ray-computed microtomography is the only available high-resolution, non-invasive 3D technique that allows reconstruction, visualization and processing of samples. Data acquisition is generally relatively straightforward, and several scales can be examined and combined, ranging from centimeters to <1 micron, depending on the resolution (Giachetti et al. 2011). In addition, the so-called 'local area' tomography technique (e.g., Lak et al. 2008) enables high resolutions to be attained, even with samples larger than the field of view of the camera. However, 3D quantification of textures can also be labor intensive, depending on the size of the volume that needs to be analyzed and on the textural parameters required. The results show the internal structures of samples, highlighting how objects and apertures are linked together. This information provides an excellent suite of data for studies of vesicle size, shape and distribution, collapse, deformation, coalescence, permeability, and tortuosity, as well as for determining crystal volume, size and distribution and visualizing crystal aggregates in 3D (Polacci et al. 2009a, b; 2012; Bai et al. 2010, 2011; Degruyter et al. 2010a, b; Zandomeneghi et al. 2010; Gualda 2010a, b; Baker et al. 2012; Castro et al. 2012; Okumura et al. 2013). Vesicles with complex shapes are easily identified, while in a 2D section they might be interpreted as two or more vesicles, thus biasing vesicle size distribution 327 (VSD) and vesicle number density (N_v) (e.g. Giachetti et al. 2011). The 3D method is 328 particularly effective for determining N_v if the study is focused on a specific size range; vesicle number densities over a wide range of sizes is achieved with nested studies in which a series of scans are done at different sizes and resolutions (e.g. Giachetti et al. 2011; Pardo et al. 2014b). However, the resolution of the reconstruction is still critical. Klug et al. (2002) showed that vesicle walls may be as thin as 0.1µm. To achieve this sort of spatial resolution using tomography requires very small samples. When the attained resolution is 5-15 µm, thin vesicle walls are not resolved.

 There is currently no unique protocol for 3D measurements of different types of pyroclastic (or lava) samples; however the SYRMEP group of the Elettra Synchrotron Light Source (Trieste, Italy), together with researchers at McGill University of Montreal and INGV Pisa (M. Polacci), are developing protocols for volcanic samples of different vesicularities and crystallinities.

(iv) Crystal size distribution

 Crystal size distribution (CSD) is a well-established tool for interpreting the physical processes and environmental variables that drive differentiation and crystallization in magma chambers and conduits (e.g., Marsh 1988; Cashman and Marsh 1988; Cashman 1992; Hammer et al. 1999; Cashman and McConnell 2005, Armienti 2008; also see references in Table 1). CSD, coupled with vesicle distribution data, yield deeper insights into the physical processes operating in the conduit (e.g., Gurioli et al. 2005; D'Oriano et al. 2005; Piochi et al. 2005; 2008; Noguchi et al. 2006; Giachetti et al. 2010; D'Oriano et al. 2011a; Vinkler et al. 2012). The CSD method has been well tested and widely applied (Table 1), so that it is now quite straightforward to quantify CSD (Higgins 2000; 2006; and references in Table 1).

 However, we must keep in mind that crystals are commonly anisotropic, and therefore shape cannot be ignored. Most studies use the Higgins technique to account for shape. However, the Higgins method assumes that all crystals are the same shape. This is clearly not true, as small crystals are often more anisotropic than large crystals. Treating all crystals in the same way can introduce artifacts (see Castro et al. 2003). In addition, there are still resolution issues for microlites, as well as problems in both back-scattered electron (BSE) and cathode ray tube (CRT) analyses when the crystals have a density (Z number) near that of the glass. Several methods can be used to facilitate the extraction and quantification of crystals. CSDs of larger crystals (phenocrysts, antecrysts, etc.) can be measured from transmitted light microscopy images of thin sections and analyzed with digital image analysis to automate and hence speed up the quantification process (e.g., Armienti et al. 1994; Launeau et al. 1994; Lumbreras and Serrat 1996; Goodchild and Fueten 1998; Launeau and Cruden 1998; De Keyser 1999; Heilbronner 2000; Armienti and Tarquini 2002; Boorman et al. 2004). Tarquini and Favalli (2010) used a slide scanner to acquire input imagery in transmitted light from thin sections and GIS software to analyze the data.

 Crystals can also be identified using a scanner and a polarizing filter placed at different angles (Pioli et al. 2014). Three pictures are then combined, and their correlation allows the individual grains to be classified by their characteristic orientation. To measure smaller crystals (microphenocrysts and microlites), a scanning electron microscope is commonly used in backscattered electron (BSE) mode (Cashman 1992; Hammer et al. 1999; Cashman and McConnell 2005; Nakamura 2006; Ishibashi and Sato 2007; Salisbury et al. 2008; Blundy and Cashman 2008; Wright et al. 2012). Development of rapid x-ray mapping techniques now allows CSD analysis of x-ray element maps, which provide information on crystal compositions, textures (crystal size, orientation, shape) and modes of minerals (e.g., Muir et al. 2012; Leduc et al 2015). Another new technique uses an electron backscatter diffraction detector (EBSD) attached to the SEM to obtain crystal orientations, which can provide insights into shearing, accumulation and degassing processes (Prior 1999; Prior et al. 1999; Hammer et al. 2010). Chemical mapping is now routinely and widely used (e.g., Leduc et al. 2015). In contrast, EBSD is more difficult to use and interpreting the data is harder than the chemical maps. As described in the references cited, it produces a wealth of information on various minerals, although the monocline structure of the feldspar can be problematic.

 Crystal size distribution can also be obtained directly in 3D via X-ray computed microtomography. Using this approach, it is possible to obtain the total crystal volume, as well as the crystal volume of each mineral phase present: crystallinity, crystal size and crystal shape (e.g., Zandomeneghi et al. 2010; Voltolini et al. 2011). Again, resolution can be a problem. First, crystals may span a large size range, which requires imaging at several different resolutions (e.g., Pamukcu et al. 2010; 2012). Additionally, as in BSE analysis, the compositional similarity between some crystal phases, such as alkali feldspars, and silicic matrix glass can make automated analysis challenging (e.g., Baker et al. 2012). However, excellent results can be obtained by working in phase-contrast tomographic mode (Polacci et al. 2010), and applying a procedure known as phase retrieval to the reconstructed sample volumes (Arzilli et al. 2013).

-
-

(v) Errors in particle-texture analyses

 Uncertainties in textural analysis are due to several factors. Any textural parameter, such as porosity or crystal size, has intrinsic measurement errors. These are linked to the apparatus used and are generally easy to quantify using standards. A good practice, when a new method is introduced, is to assess its intrinsic error with synthetic samples of well-known particles, having textural components (e.g. crystals, vesicles/voids) with known size and distribution (e.g., see review of Rust and Cashman 2004 for permeability, and Baker et al. 2011 for 3D data from X-ray microtomography). Another type of uncertainty is linked to natural variability, which is generally approached by using the concept of Representative Elementary Volume (REV, Bear 1972). Parameters measured in small, neighboring, regions within a sample have a large variability. As the analyzed regions become larger, this variability decreases until a steady value is reached at the REV size. One complication is that the REV should be significantly smaller than the sample (not guaranteed for ash, or even lapilli, particles), and that some parameters have an REV at the deposit scale, which means that many clasts have to be analyzed. If the sample location is such that eruptive parameters were steady during deposition, application of REV at the deposit scale allows analysis of magma at the point of fragmentation in the conduit. Taking porosity as an example, one 2D SEM image will yield one porosity measurement with a typically small (~1 %) intrinsic error due to thresholding of the grayscale values that represent vesicles. Several 2D images of the same sample taken at different locations and/or different resolutions (larger than the REV) typically 416 yield larger $(\sim 10\%)$ uncertainties that are caused by small-scale spatial heterogeneity. Finally, 417 if we assume that – or if we have a – very well sorted deposit, then the density distribution of all clasts at that location indicates the variability of porosity at the conduit scale, which can be quite large (e.g., Houghton and Wilson 1998). The situation is more complex with poorly sorted deposits in which particles range from bombs through lapilli to ash.

 Raw data in terms of size (area, long axis, short axis, perimeter) and orientation of crystals and vesicles yield negligible intrinsic errors, because they are computed with programs on 2D 423 binary images with high resolution $(>10^6$ pixels). In this phase, the uncertainty is due to the image clean-up process, which is generally unquantified (because it takes too long to have four people complete the task independently and then take the average for every image).

 The greatest source of intrinsic error here is thresholding, which is set by the operator (Baker et al. 2011). When converting 2D data to a 3D projection, however, the error depends on the stereological model used (i.e. particle shapes have to be assumed, Cashman 1988) and is thus harder to estimate.

 Most 2D textural parameters have well-established techniques and protocols to quantify intrinsic errors, including:

 VSD (Toramaru 1990; Mangan et al. 1993; Klug and Cashman 1994; 1996; Klug et al. 2002; Adams et al. 2006b; Shea et al. 2010a),

CSD (Higgins 2006), fabric indicators (Launeau et al. 1990),

- 435 vesicle shape (Moitra et al. 2013).
- clast shape (Marshall 1987; Capaccioni and Sarocchi 1996; Dellino and Liotino 2002; Riley et al. 2003; Ersoy et al. 2006).

 However, conversion from 2D to 3D distributions introduces errors linked to stereological assumptions. The Cheng and Lemlich (1983) method does not involve assumptions of object shape, but it does not take into account a truncation effect (e.g. Pickering et al. 1995). Truncation is related to the sensitivity of the measurement process; smaller objects are increasingly difficult to detect. On the other hand, large-scale truncation occurs under several circumstances related to sample-size (volume and area) limitations. The Sahagian and Proussevitch (1998) conversion assumes spherical shapes and corrects for the cut effect (this being the effect induced by rarely cutting a spherical object through its exact center). 446 Giachetti et al. (2011) found that N_v obtained by 2D and 3D methods for the same lapilli agreed within 15 %, and that VSD were also very similar. They recommended the Cheng and Lemlich (1983) method for 2D vesicle analysis, as the Sahagian and Proussevitch (1998) method may generate negative values for some size classes.

 In terms of parameters that we can derive from textural analyses, decompression rate is probably one of the most important to quantify due to its implications for eruption dynamics. 452 To achieve this, microlite shape, N_v and size distribution have been used in combination with experimental data for low-mass flux and effusive eruptions (Couch et al. 2003; Cashman and McConnell 2005; Szramek et al. 2006; Clarke et al. 2007; Martel 2012; Wright et al. 2012). Martel et al. (2006) consider this approach to be highly reliable, because different generations of microlites (nucleated pre-eruptively in the reservoir or syn-eruptively in the conduit) can be 457 distinguished on the basis of chemical composition. Decompression rates deduced from N_v (e.g., Toramaru 2006), however, tend to be maximum estimates, because there could be more nucleation events during ascent that add to the signature left by decompression. Maximum decompression rates associated with the final, rapid, stages of ascent could be calculated directly from the smallest bubbles formed during the final fragmentation event (Giachetti et al. 2010; Shea et al. 2011; 2012). Another developing method is to use chemical gradients of volatiles in melt inclusions in crystal embayments to infer rise rates (Ferguson et al. 2013).

 However, the relationships between bubble shape, nucleation, coalescence, deformation and/or fragmentation are not well established yet.

Quantification and sampling of pyroclastic deposits for the textural characterization of their components

(i) Preliminary field studies and sampling strategy

 Field-based studies of pyroclastic deposits aim to relate both the whole-deposit characteristics (thickness and grain size) and the physical properties of the constituent particles to the eruption conditions. Particle-texture studies are time consuming, especially when they provide complete size distributions of the vesicle and crystal population. For these measurements, the choice of a limited number of "representative" clasts selected for the analysis is critical, particularly when using these data to model eruption processes and their variability in time and space. Obtaining such clasts requires a cautious sampling strategy with well-defined scientific goals during field work. These studies are best performed only on well-documented deposits, supported by a robust stratigraphic reconstruction and correlation, as well as an accurate compositional stratigraphic framework. When not familiar with the deposit, a preliminary survey at different locations is useful to evaluate the significance of the outcrops used for detailed analysis. Well-defined sublayers (or units) should be identified in the deposit on the basis of clear, unequivocal lithologic and sedimentologic features and cross-correlated over the whole dispersal area of the deposit. Stratigraphic data are critical for placing each studied layer within an appropriate temporal framework within the stratigraphic sequence.

 Pyroclasts can be collected after the eruption, from fall deposits of old (unobserved) or recent (observed) eruptions, for which sampling is done preferably within hours to days of the event (e.g., Gurioli et al. 2008; 2013). Sampling may also take place during eruptive activity, with samples collected using sampling device placed inside the fallout field. Three simple collection methods that can be applied to active fallout, as currently used, are: (1) the hand collection method involves collecting (and quenching) bombs or lapilli as they fall out of the plume by people standing in the active fallout field (e.g., Lautze and Houghton, 2007, 2008; Gurioli et al. 2014); (2) the "clean surface" strategy, whereby plastic sheets are laid out close to the vent, or a preexisting surface is cleaned before the eruption. In both cases the pyroclasts falling in a known area are collected (e.g., Rose et al. 2008; Andronico et al. 2009a; 2013a and b; Eychenne et al. 2012; Houghton et al. 2013, Harris et al. 2013b, Schipper et al. 2013); (3) the bucket strategy, in which a large number of buckets are distributed across a discrete area of fallout for a certain period of time (e.g., Yoshimoto et al. 2005; Swanson et al. 2009; Bustillos and Mothes 2010). When possible, the aims are to collect a sufficient number of samples to estimate the magnitude of the event through the mass load per unit area; and to obtain a sufficient number of clasts for chemical and textural characterization. Other promising methods are just coming on-line, such as automatic ash sampling collectors (e.g., Bernard 2013; Shimano et al. 2013, Marchetti et al. 2013).

(ii) Definition of essential, basic physical properties of the deposit to the study

 Most particle and deposit texture studies aim at characterizing magma heterogeneity and ascent dynamics, and at understanding the fragmentation process, beginning with the size, morphology and componentry of the particles (Table 1). Clasts selected for particle-texture analysisare usually sampled in a deposit at a single location (reference section). Lateral variability across the deposit is filtered by transport and sedimentation processes, which primarily depend on eruption intensity, along with related plume dynamics and other dynamic effects such as wind direction and velocity and rainfall. Therefore, clast properties can differ both in time (from the base to the top of a vertical sequence) and in space (from the main axis of dispersal to lateral outcrops at the edge of the fallout zone across the cloud, and from proximal to distal sites). Volcanic plumes (and clouds) are thus complex systems, the properties of which do not vary linearly with the main eruption parameters. They are also affected by external variables, such as wind direction and velocity. The external variables add additional complexity to the clast-type distribution. For this reason, the deposit should be preliminarily characterized at least in terms of stratigraphy, dispersal, thickness variation and volume before more detailed study is initiated (e.g., Fisher and Schmincke 1984; Cas and Wright 1987; Thordarson et al. 2009; Cioni et al. 2011). Estimation of plume height, eruption duration, volume and magma eruption rate can then also be derived for past eruptions from such analyses (e.g., Carey and Sparks 1986; Pyle 1989; Fierstein and Nathenson 1992; Sparks et al. 1997; Bonadonna et al. 1998; Freundt and Rosi 1998; Bonadonna and Costa 2012; Fagents et al. 2013).

(iii) Selecting the outcrop

 There are three basic criteria for sample outcrop selection. First: minimize the effect of wind dispersal. Outcrops located along the main dispersal axis are preferred to lateral exposures, unless the effect of wind is the target of study. If wind direction or eruption intensity changes during different phases of the same eruption, it is more appropriate to sample each tephra layer at different 'equivalent' locations rather than to collect all samples at a single type outcrop. If sampling is restricted to a single location, the inferred dispersal pattern and distance from the main dispersal axis of each layer should be noted and taken into account when analyzing clast variability among different layers.

 A second criterion for selecting the outcrop is that clear textural variations among the juvenile clasts, in terms of color, general morphology, vesicularity, vesicle shape, and crystallinity should be evaluated in the preliminary field survey, so that any lateral and vertical variability within the deposit is already defined following field reconnaissance. This ensures that, when clast types are chosen in the laboratory, the main textural types are easily identified and separated.

 The third criterion for outcrop selection, if one of the goals of the study is quantification of the proportion of distinct textural clast types, is to remember that sedimentation from the volcanic plume is affected by clast density, shape and size (Bonadonna et al. 1998; Pfeiffer et al. 2005; Barsotti et al. 2008; Eychenne et al. 2013, and references therein). This is especially relevant when a single explosion produces a juvenile population with a wide range of textural and other physical features: their relative proportions within the deposit can vary laterally in the deposit as well as with distance from the vent. Thus, at any single site, the sample is not necessarily representative of the abundance within the eruption mixture. This is especially true in the case of small plumes and mid-intensity eruptions (e.g., Rose et al. 2008; Cioni et al. 2008; 2011; D'Oriano et al. 2011a; Andronico et al. 2013a and references therein). While the textural features of the different clast types can be studied at a single outcrop, the relative proportions between clast types need to be determined across the whole deposit by integrating componentry data on samples collected at outcrops at differing azimuths and distances from the source.

(iv) Sampling

 After identification of the outcrops where the deposit shows the best and most complete exposure, a suitable approach is random collection of a statistically relevant number of clasts from a single layer. Several techniques can be used, ranging from sieving in the field to find the dominant clast size (for coarse clasts), or sampling the bulk deposit for later clast selection in the laboratory (for small clasts). In the case of fine-grained deposits, it can be useful to apply sampling techniques that preserve structural and textural characteristics of the whole deposit. Samples can be retrieved using tubes or boxes manually pressed into the deposits, or carfully carved out and surround-wrapped deposit blocks. In situ and/or laboratory impregnation techniques of deposits exist for a broad range of grain sizes and compositions (Bouma 1969), some of which are applicable to fragile or loose volcanic deposits (e.g. Fiske et al 2009). The applicability of such techniques to fine-to-medium grained volcanic deposits should be tested, since they would allow both 2D (e.g., X-ray radiography and thin section analysis) and 3D analysis (X-ray tomography and anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility) to be applied to deposits, rather than single pyroclasts; these, techniques are frequently used for hard rocks (e.g., Lanza and Meloni 2006).

 The number of samples should be defined depending on the purpose of the study. Fixing the number of samples per stratigraphic layer based on the layer characteristics (e.g., extent of zoning/fluctuations in grain-size, componentry, etc.) for characterizing eruption dynamics, or focusing on the layer thickness for conduit dynamic characterizations, are two examples of such pre-selection decisions. Before selecting clasts, basic grain-size studies (when the bulk deposit is collected) on each sampled layer (median and sorting of grain-size distribution) and componentry analysis should be carried out to ensure effective sub-sampling for textural studies. Following White and Houghton (2006) componentry analysis is the subdivision of the sample into three broad components: juvenile, non-juvenile particles and composite clasts. The juvenile components are vesicular or dense fragments, as well as crystals, that represent the primary magma involved in the eruption; non-juvenile material includes accessory and accidental fragments, as well as crystals, that predate the eruption from which they are deposited. Finally, the composite clasts are mechanical mixtures of juvenile and non-juvenile (and/or recycled juvenile) clasts. More-detailed componentry can subdivide the juvenile and non-juvenile materials into subpopulations that have important dynamic meanings (e.g., Eychenne et al. 2015).

 Finally, after choosing the size intervals of the clasts for physical and textural measurements (i.e., bulk and solid density, vesicularity, microtextures, permeability), it is useful to compare the grain-size distribution of each interval with the total grain-size distribution of the sampled layers, especially when the grain-size distribution is highly variable within the sampled stratigraphy. This strategy allows checking of sample representativeness. For example, sampling may be from (i) bimodal or complex multimodal distributions, or (ii) anomalous, poorly sorted deposits. In the second case, sampling should avoid features that can be indicative of contamination from other sources, such as ballistic components, elutriated ash from pyroclastic density currents or from reworking (e.g., Fierstein et al. 1997, Eychenne et al. 2012). It is useful, whenever possible, to show variance, or invariance, of the textural features by comparing data collected in the selected size class with textural data for different size classes. This should, at least, be carried out for a few selected samples.

How to link petrological, geochemistry and textural quantifications

(1) Initial parameters and conduit processes

 Geochemical and petrological analysis of pyroclastic products can constrain the initial conditions in the shallow crustal holding chamber through to the surface via the conduit system (Fig. 1). In transit through this system, the textural features are imprinted on the pyroclasts quenched upon eruption. The geochemical and petrologic analysis can help:

- Define pre-eruptive P-T storage conditions from mineral-melt equilibria or disequilibria (e.g., Rutherford et al. 1985; Scaillet and Evans 1999; Pichavant et al. 2002; Blundy and Cashman 2008; Schipper et al. 2010b);
- Assess initial viscosity, temperature, melt composition and volatile budget, including input of gases from deeper sources (e.g., Wallace 2001; Blundy and Cashman 2008; Métrich et al. 2010);
- 621 Define the evolution of volatile contents (specifically CI, F, S, H₂O, CO₂) using electron probe, ion probe (SIMS), Raman and FTIR in melt inclusions and host minerals, while combining results with vesiculation studies and gas release measurements (e.g., Wallace 2005; Métrich and Wallace 2008, Schipper et al. 2010c). In such a way we can determine whether the magma was saturated, over-saturated or under-saturated at a certain depth, and how these conditions affect vesiculation in the conduit (e.g., Anderson 1991; Hurwitz and Navon 1994; Dixon 1997; Roggensack et al. 1997; Schipper et al. 2012);
- Measure residual volatiles in glasses and bulk-rock samples to reveal how degassed the magma is (Newman et al. 1988; Villemant and Boudon 1998; Shea et al. 2014);
- 631 Provide variable diffusion of stable elements $({}^{6}Li, {}^{7}Li, H/D, {}^{10}B, {}^{11}B)$ or radiogenic 632 isotopes $(^{210}Pb-^{226}Ra)$, which are used as tracers for melt degassing and interaction with hydrothermal fluids (e.g., Berlo et al. 2004; Kent et al. 2007; Humphreys et al. 2008b; Schiavi et al. 2010; Berlo and Turner 2010; Vlastélic et al. 2011);
- Measure mineral diffusion profiles and derive pre-eruptive residence times, ascent rates and cooling rates (e.g., Kahl et al. 2011);
- Provide crystal shapes, zoning schemes, and dissolution stages, while determining which magmatic process and physical parameters control crystal shape/zoning (e.g., Hammer and Rutherford 2002; Rutherford and Devine 2003; Blundy et al. 2006; Costa et al. 2008; Streck 2008);
- In addition, laboratory petrological investigations can provide:

- Experimental observations on phase equilibria (mineral-melt-vapor), crystallization paths and liquid line of descent (e.g., Hammer and Rutherford 2002; Couch et al. 2003; Blundy et al. 2006; Hammer 2008);
- Calibration of decompression rates. While this has been carried out for rhyolitic systems (e.g., Mourtada-Bonnefoi and Laporte 2002, 2004; Mangan and Sisson 2005; Gardner 2007; Cichy et al. 2011; Cluzel et al. 2008) and phonolitic systems (e.g., Larsen 2008; Shea et al. 2010b), there are ongoing studies on basaltic systems (Bai et al. 2008; Lesne et al. 2011; Pichavant et al. 2013);
- Diffusion coefficients of relevant chemical elements, including volatiles, to improve kinetic modeling (Dohmen et al. 2007; Chakraborty 2008);
- Relationships between crystal morphologies, cooling rates and degree of undercooling (e.g., growth of crystals with hopper and swallow tail shapes experiencing rapid late-stage crystallization; Faure et al. 2003, 2007);
- Surface flux of volatiles (i.e. what leaves the system; see reviews by Fischer 2008; Pyle and Mather 2009) compared with melt inclusion data (i.e. what is in the system initially; e.g., Le Voyer et al. 2010; Rose-Koga et al. 2012; Schiavi et al. 2012).
-
- 2) Where geochemistry can help textural study
-

 Measurements of volatile contents in quenched, phenocryst-hosted melt inclusions provide estimates of initial (shallow crustal) values (e.g., Kent 2008). These are minimum estimates, because H2O can leak from melt inclusions during ascent by intracrystalline diffusion as the far-field environment of the crystal evolves (Chen et al. 2011, 2013). Melt inclusion volatile 665 contents can be inverted to equivalent saturation pressures using multi-species (e.g., H_2O - CO_2 ; H₂O-Cl) solubility laws (using, for example, VOLATILCALC, Newman and Lowenstern 2002; MELTS, Ghiorso and Sack 1995; Asimow and Ghiorso 1998). These, in turn, can be used to calculate total pressures (and hence depth) by assuming volatile saturation, or minimum pressures if the sample is under-saturated in volatiles. Progressive closure of melt inclusion networks in growing phenocrysts can result in zone-dependent melt inclusion volatile contents that record the evolution of pressure conditions as magmas migrate from depth (Blundy and Cashman 2008, and references therein). Combining major element and volatile compositions of the melt with phenocryst contents allows calculation of initial magma physical properties (viscosity, density, surface tension, and others). Derivations of such parameters are necessary for modeling magma ascent, vesiculation and groundmass crystallization.

 Pre-ascent storage conditions can also be inferred from phase-equilibria studies of natural compositions. Comparison of natural and experimental phase abundances and compositions, combined with constraints of volatile content (from melt inclusions) and temperature (from e.g., Fe-Ti oxides) allows estimation of total pressure if the degree of volatile saturation is established through use of mixed-volatile experiments (Pichavant et al. 2007; Cadoux et al. 2014).

683 Residual volatile content (e.g., H_2O , CO_2 , SO_2 , Cl , F) measured in the glass or directly from gases emitted at the vent can be correlated with textures (e.g., Piochi et al. 2005; 2008; Schipper et al. 2010a; Balcone-Boissard et al. 2011, 2012; Shea et al. 2012, 2014; Burton et al. 2007; Polacci et al. 2009b; Miwa and Toramaru 2013). The residual volatile contents can also be compared with pre-eruptive volatile contents obtained from melt inclusion to evaluate both the extent and efficiency of syn-eruptive degassing (e.g., Shimano and Nakada 2006; Noguchi et al. 2006, Métrich et al. 2001; 2010). Residual water content or Cl content (when 690 Cl partitions into a H₂O vapor phase, so that it can thus be used as an indicator of degassing 691 processes; Balcone-Boissard et al. 2010) is typically plotted against V_g/V_l , where V_g is the 692 volume of vesicles corrected for phenocrysts and V_1 is the volume of melt and microlites (Villemant and Boudon 1998, Balcone-Boissard et al. 2011, 2012). An important issue is to assess the extent of post-eruption hydration. Recently, thermal gravimetric studies have proved to be quite effective in allowing this correction based on oxygen or hydrogen isotopic compositions (e.g., Giachetti and Gonnerman 2013; Shea et al. 2014). Studies of hydrogen isotopes, correlated with SEM glass textures, permit distinction of magmatic water from meteoric water generated by re-hydratation (Kyser and O'Neil 1984). Hydration can also be 699 assessed from the ratio of water species (molecular H_2O vs. OH) in residual glass, as determined by FTIR data or Raman analyses (Hammer et al. 1999; Le Losq et al. 2012).

 Ascent and decompression in the conduit can result in chemical changes that can be quantified by a range of microbeam analytical techniques (e.g., EPMA, LA-ICPMS, FTIR, µ- Raman). As the pressure drops, H2O will migrate out of melt inclusions and crystals (Le Voyer et al 2010; Hamada et al 2010), and light elements (Li, B) will try to re-establish equilibrium between crystals, host melt and any vapor or brine phase present (Berlo et al. 706 2004). At the same time, H_2O and CO_2 migrating out of melt inclusions will become apparent as re-entrant tubes at the edges of crystals (Liu et al. 2007; Humphreys et al. 2008a). Each of these processes will establish diffusive gradients frozen into the pyroclast that can be measured and modelled using experimentally determined kinetic laws to infer decompression rates during ascent (e.g. Gonnermann and Manga 2013). These decompression rates can then be compared with values derived from other approaches, including those based on analyses of microlite sizes and shapes, vesicle number densities, and hornblende-breakdown reactions (e.g., Martel 2012; Cluzel et al. 2008; Giachetti et al 2010; Shea et al. 2011).

3) Contentious points

 Care needs to be taken when converting decompression rate to magma ascent rate, and especially when comparing decompression rates obtained using different methods. Pressure 719 gradients in conduits are highly nonlinear due to the strong effect of dissolved H_2O on magma 720 viscosity, particularly at low H₂O contents (Gonnermann and Manga 2013). Moreover, different processes will likely record different decompression rates, according to the time available for the process to take place. For example, microlite growth is relatively slow, so that microlite size and shape distributions are likely to record an average decompression rate during ascent (Martel 2012). Bubble nucleation and growth, on the other hand, can occur very 725 rapidly, so that N_v may record just the peak decompression rate immediately beneath the fragmentation zone (Cluzel et al. 2008; Giachetti et al 2010). Comparison of rate calculations from different methods therefore requires caution. However, integration of decompression rates as obtained from different textural and chemical characterizations, when combined with mass eruption rate estimation from deposit analysis or direct observations, can provide quantitative insights into the processes involved in magma ascent from the deep source to the surface.

 Another outstanding issue is the role of dense clasts. That is, did they originate (i) from magma quenched at depth prior vesiculation, (ii) by vesicle collapse in an originally vesicular clast, (iii) from volatile-poor magma or from reciclying? It is important to provide a correct interpretation, because the three conclusions relate to very different mechanisms. In several eruptions it has been found that the densest clasts were depleted in water through syneruptive bubble collapse and coalescence (Rust and Cashman 2007; Piochi et al. 2008, Shea et al. 2014). In Plinian eruptions at Vesuvius (Pompeii and Avellino), the densest clasts have been interpreted as magma that lost water during transition from closed-to open-system degassing (Balcone-Boissard et al. 2011; 2012). Water depletion can also result from syn-eruptive processes, such as clast recycling at magmatic temperature (Gurioli et al. 2014) and intrinsic magmatic redox conditions, as shown by the experiments of D'Oriano et al. (2012). No study has yet have demonstrated that dense clasts retain all of their original gas and were quenched at great pressure.

 Another key question is whether the measured compositions (including volatile content) of bulk rock, glass, or minerals represent equilibrium or disequilibrium processes, and if equilibrium or disequilibrium conditions pertain to local subsystems or to the whole magmatic body under investigation (see for example Pichavant et al. 2007). Chemical species with different diffusivities, for example, record equilibrium or non-equilibrium conditions in the same sample (e.g. De Campos et al. 2008; Schipper et al. 2012). Equilibrium kinetics is also composition-dependent, because it is dictated in part by melt viscosity which is itself related to viscosity. This issue will generally affect silicic to intermediate magmas more than basaltic magmas. However, we note that even for basaltic systems crystal-fluid-bubble magma mixtures can achieve apparent viscosities that range over six orders of magnitude, up to $10⁶$ Pa s (e.g., Gurioli et al. 2014), depending on the degree of cooling, degassing and crystallization. Such rheological variation even within a single composition, and its effect on eruption mechanisms, deserves increased attention.

How to link the geophysical data with pyroclast textural quantification

 A wide array of remote sensing and geophysical approaches can be used to parameterize an explosive event, both within and outside the conduit (Fig. 1). Geophysical signals are generated by fluid and gas flow in the magma-filled part of the conduit and during fragmentation. Magma-gas ascent dynamics and conduit conditions extracted from geophysical data for this part of the system are particularly difficult to validate because the system cannot be directly observed. They are thus effectively "invisible" to direct observation. Measurements outside the conduit can be made of the emitted mixture of gas and particles as it (i) exits the vent, (ii) ascends above the vent as a plume, and then (iii) drifts away from the vent as the cloud. Models and dynamic parameters extracted for geophysical and remote sensing data outside the conduit are a little easier to validate because they can be directly observed.

 The invisible part of the system is the realm of studies using seismic, pressure (infrasonic), and deformation data. All three data sets have long been shown capable of detecting the geophysical signature of explosive events spanning weakly explosive Hawaiian- to-Strombolian through Plinian events. Seismic data sets are available, for example, for gas-pistoning events, puffing, fountains, and strombolian eruptions at mafic systems (e.g.,

 Goldstein and Chouet 1994; Ripepe et al. 1996; Sciotto et al. 2011; Ripepe and Braun 1994), as well as for events that generate somewhat larger plumes during silicic eruptions, as at Santiaguito, Soufriere Hills, and Redoubt. Associated pressure impulses (typically recorded by infrasound and barometers) have long been recorded for such energetic events, famous examples including the pressure response to the 1883 eruption of Krakatoa and the 1967 caldera-forming eruption of Fernandina (Simkin and Howard 1970). Magma-gas ascent has also been shown to generate rapid, but recordable, deformation signals detected by tiltmeters (Aoyama and Oshima 2008; Genco and Ripepe 2010; Iguchi et al. 2008; Zobin et al 2007).

 Velocities, masses and size distributions of particles leaving the vent have typically been measured by visible and thermal video (e.g., Chouet et al. 1974; Ripepe et al. 1993; Harris et al. 2012; Delle Donne and Ripepe 2012; Taddeucci et al. 2012; Bombrun et al. 2014; Gaudin et al., 2014a, b) and Doppler radar (e.g., Dubosclard et al 1999; Hort and Seyfried 1998; Vöge et al 2005; Gouhier and Donnadieu 2008; 2011; Gerst et al 2013). Infrasonic array methods are also available to locate the emission in x,y space (Ripepe and Marchetti 2002). Plume front velocities, density and entrainment rates have also been successfully tracked using visible and thermal cameras, as well as radiometers, for a few stronger, ash-rich, buoyant plumes at Stromboli, Santiaguito and Eyjafjallajökull (Patrick 2007; Sahetapy-Engel and Harris 2009; Bjornsson et al. 2013; Valade et al. 2014); See Chapter 9 of Harris (2013) for review.

 Satellite remote sensing has long been used to track and measure properties of the eruption cloud as it drifts and disperses. These data are available for all cloud sizes, from those associated with small Strombolian and fountaining events (e.g., Heiken and Pitts 1975; Dehn et al. 2000; 2002) to sub-Plinian and Plinian events (e.g., Holasek and Self 1995; Koyaguchi and Tokuno 1993; Holasek et al. 1996). Cloud dispersion dynamics are especially well revealed by geostationary satellite data with nominal imaging of one image every 15 minutes and higher. Basic cloud properties that can be measured by satellite data include cloud dimensions, drift velocity and height (e.g., Robock and Matson 1982; Denniss et al. 1998; Aloisi et al. 2002; Zakšek et al 2013). Prata (1989) and Wen and Rose (1994) introduced a method to potentially extract particle size distribution and mass from "split window" (10-12 µm) thermal data. While specially modified ground-based thermal cameras were adapted to extract ash particle size and plume mass (Prata and Bernardo 2009), newly available technology such as LiDAR and PLUDIX were shown of value in detecting, tracking and measuring fine particles in the Eyjafjallajökull cloud (e.g., Bonadonna et al. 2011). Disdrometers and ash collectors, however, currently show greater potential for measuring particle size and terminal velocity (Marchetti et al. 2013; Shimano et al. 2013) than PLUDIX, which was designed more for meteorological applications (Caracciolo et al. 2006; Prodi et al. 2011).

 For the gas content of the cloud, satellite-based sensors such as TOMS, AIRS, OMI, 815 MODIS, GOME and IASI have been used to obtain the $SO₂$ content in the far field, once the gas cloud has decoupled from the ash cloud (e.g., Krueger et al. 1990; Carn et al. 2003; 2005; Watson et al. 2004; Yang et al. 2007; Thomas et al. 2011; Rix et al. 2012; Walker et al. 2012). Ground-based sensors, such as COSPEC, FLYSPEC and DOAS (e.g., Caltabiano et al. 1994; 819 Horton et al. 2005; Oppenheimer et al. 2011), have been used to measure SO_2 fluxes relatively close to the source; see Williams-Jones et al (2008) for full review. These approaches have 821 been recently supplemented by SO_2 camera systems, which allow 2D images of SO_2 822 concentrations to be collected at \sim 1 Hz rates (Mori and Burton 2006). Such studies have, though, tended to focus on passive degassing and gas-puffing systems, because the presence of ash interferes with UV-light transmission on which the technique relies, making 825 measurements problematic. Recently, $SO₂$ cameras have been used to measure the gas masses and fluxes involved in discrete explosive events (Mori and Burton 2009; Holland et al 2011; Barnie et al. 2014).

 However, none of these remote sensing techniques directly collects or makes contact with the magma or particles they measure. Thus the need exists for quality ground-truth data to validate particle velocities and sizes extracted from what is, basically, an electronic response, as well as to test the assumptions and models used to convert received "power" to a more meaningful and useful parameter (such as mass). At the same time, any single data set can be inverted to support a conduit or plume dynamic model; but results need to fall within constraints provided by ground-truth data. In this case, ground truth is provided by analyses of the magma and particles themselves to extract parameters such as magma temperature, chemistry, density, crystallinity and vesicle content, as well as vesicle shape and size and particle density, size, shape and roughness. Magma ascent, explosion-source and fragmentation models based on geophysical data likewise need to be consistent with independent measurements made for physical volcanology for the same processes if they are to be valid. We explore below these needs, mostly focusing on weakly explosive, basaltic cases, the usual targets because they provide a reliable and easy-to-measure source for testing new technology, methods and algorithms for ground-based geophysical enquiry.

The basic need: Realistic assumptions and validation

 The basic response of a remote sensing instrument is a voltage which, through calibration, can be converted at higher level physical value, such as spectral radiant intensity or power. The conversion of this value to higher level and more volcanologically useful parameters (such as particle-size distribution, mass flux or plume density) requires an increasingly complex system of assumption stacking. Thus, to adequately reduce geophysical data, a number of input parameters are required and many assumptions need to be made, all of which can be provided by the physical volcanological community. Data sets from this community, especially if provided simultaneously with geophysical data collection during an active event, or provided as a library typical of that event, can also be used to "ground truth" or check the precision and reality of the geophysically applied input or generated output.

 Seismic signals that accompany explosions are primarily short period (SP; high frequency > 1 Hz) signals which are typically termed "explosion quakes". These usually have high amplitudes and mostly include frequencies up to a few hertz, with a possible higher frequency acoustic phase (McNutt 1986, Mori et al. 1989, Braun and Ripepe, 1993). Below these frequencies, short period (SP) signals are often hidden by very-long period (VLP) components with much lower amplitudes (Neuberg et al. 1994; Kaneshima et al. 1996). In-spite of an enormous amount of work, it remains unclear as to how we can explain the VLP seismic component, which itself is only one part of the seismic signal. It also remains unclear as to whether, and/or how, SP and VLP components are related to the magnitude and intensity of an explosion, although attempts have been made using tremor (Brodsky et al. 1999; Nishimura and McNutt 2008; Prejean and Brodsky 2011). Clearly, better coupling with the physical volcanology community could help narrow down much uncertainty and allow progress towards better models to untangle the seismic signal associated with discrete explosive events.

 Delay times in the arrival of seismic, infrasonic and thermal signals have been commonly used to assess the depth at which various physical processes occur in explosive basaltic systems (e.g., Ripepe and Braun 1994; Ripepe et al. 2001; 2002; Harris and Ripepe 2007). However, the sound speed in the conduit needs to be assumed if, for example, the thermal- infrasound delay is to be used to obtain the fragmentation depth. This will vary strongly with conditions in the empty portion of the conduit, including mixture density, gas-to-particle ratio, and temperature of the mixture through which the sound is propagating. Thus we need to know these variables if we are to provide a realistic sound speed value and hence infer a plausible depth. We thus need to constrain two fundamental parameters to strengthen geophysical modelling of the shallow explosion mechanism and depth. First, the magma crystal and bubble content (as well as size, shape and distribution), plus fluid chemistry and temperature, are needed to define magma rheology properties and bubble ascent dynamics. Second, the exact proportions and character of the mixture of gas and particles that ascends the final section of the conduit to exit the vent and feed the emission must be known.

 Velocities, mass fluxes and particle size distributions (PSDs) for lapilli through bomb-size particles have been derived from high spatial and temporal resolution video data obtained using both near-infrared and thermal cameras (Chouet et al. 1974; Ripepe et al. 1993; Harris et al. 2012; Delle Donne and Ripepe 2012; Bombrun et al. 2014).

 Generally, these studies have focused on Stromboli. For such camera data, the lower limit of a particle size that can be extracted is limited by pixel size. This is typically about one centimeter in dimension, depending on the detector's instantaneous field of view and distance to the target (Harris 2013). A pixel-mixture model can be applied to obtain the size of a sub- pixel particle, but it needs to assume a temperature for the particle and then uses the pixel- integrated temperature to solve for the pixel portion occupied by that particle (Harris et al. 2013a). Symmetry then needs to be assumed to convert from particle area to particle volume, and a density needs to be assumed to derive particle mass (Bombrun et al. 2014). For ash-rich plumes, methods have been applied to extract total plume mass and air entrainment properties from ascent dynamics of buoyant thermals (Wilson and Self 1980; Patrick 2007; Valade et al. 2014). However, all methods need particle shape, particle density, plume density and/or size distribution data to: (i) determine whether the input assumptions are valid; and (ii) ground truth the remote-sensing-data-derived size and mass data (Harris et al. 2013a and b). The advantage is, if a validated method can be developed, particle size distribution, mass and mass flux data for the plume leaving the vent can potentially be provided multiple times per second using camera data (e.g., Taddeucci et al. 2012; Bombrun et al. 2014).

 Deducing the erupted mass from Doppler radar data requires the assumption of a particle size distribution for the eruption. Because this distribution is unknown, an average particle size can be constrained from the Doppler radar measurement, typically using the eruption velocities themselves deduced from either terminal fall velocities (Hort et al 2003) or by discriminating between lapilli (larger than a few millimeters or 1 cm, depending on the radar wavelength) and fine ash particles (<1 mm) using their temporal velocity evolution (Valade and Donnadieu 2011). Both methods can be used to obtain an estimate for the erupted mass of ballistics. We thus need to know whether the constrained average particle size can be used for mass calculation, whether the assumption is a good approximation, and what the difference between the derived value and true value is.

 The radar is able to measure particles of all sizes, provided enough particles are available to return a signal. The relationship between particle size and number of particles required for a signal that exceeds the noise level is not linear, however. It also depends on the radar wavelength and the distance between the radar and target. The smaller the radar wavelength, and/or the smaller the distance between the radar and target, the smaller the number of fine particles needed for a return signal. For particles <1 mm, halving the particle size increases the number of required particles by a factor of 64. Doubling the size of particles to >1 cm means that only ¼ of the number of particles is needed to return the same signal amplitude. In addition, radar can measure at points (gates) across the entire plume thickness. Currently, radar's best role is to provide radial velocity measurements, with well-stated limits as to the particle size to which these data relate, through the entire plume thickness.

Questions, points and issues

 The main question from the geophysical community to the textural community is: "*What does the magma look like at the point of fragmentation?*" Geophysical analysts need to know everything possible about the fragments physically in order to reduce and model the data correctly. To help with this, we concluded that:

- Measurements of basic geophysical parameters (such as seismic energy, acoustic energy, energy partitioning, spectral radiance, and radar power) are the most straightforward to consider for correlations with parameters derived from physical volcanology.
- Multi-disciplinary correlations lead to improved understanding of explosion dynamics, and only a complete set of measurements can enable a complete and well-constrained understanding of the system (e.g., Gurioli et al. 2013; 2014; Leduc et al 2015).
- A wealth of textural and geophysical data exist for Strombolian events, and some data for larger events. They have been used to define the characteristic geophysical and textural signatures that allow distinguishing each event type (e.g. Patrick et al. 2007; Leduc et al 2015). Focus on such relatively low energy events is appropriate, because they are frequent and approachable (Harris and Ripepe 2007).
- 941 There is an unfortunate, but understandable, lack of multi-disciplinary data for larger (Vulcanian-to-Plinian) events; because they are rare. With multi-disciplinary approaches becoming more routine, this situation is improving.

944 Thermal and SO_2 sensor arrays are becoming increasingly common components of permanent monitoring arrays at many persistently active sites (Harris 2013). However, such technology will probably never be installed on every potentially active volcano, all of which give seismic and pressure signals detectable by distant stations. From a practical point of view, it is more realistic to push forward with operational correlations between seismic-infrasonic metrics and deposit and particle textural deliverables to understand the ongoing progression of global volcanic events. In doing so, we must remember that many geophysical signals tend to be time-averages (e.g., tremor amplitude). We need to consider, however, geophysical measurements that describe single, discrete explosions if we are to reasonably compare the data with textural variations between many individual emission events, or emission phases, that characterize the total eruption total energy is one prime example (e.g., Marchetti et al 2009).

 We are at an exciting point in our ability to track and understand explosive volcanic emissions through true cross-disciplinary integration of deposit, geochemical, textural and geophysical data. Studies are increasingly bringing together multiple approaches in the field (e.g., Rosi et al. 2006), in the laboratory (Clarke et al. 2009), at large-scale experiments (Sonder et al. 2013) and during field deployments (Harris et al. 2013b). As a community, we appear to be converging on the correct, multi-disciplinary approach. We are at the beginning of a new age, one which links particle texture to seismology (Miwa et al. 2009; Miwa and Toramaru 2013; Gurioli et al. 2014; Leduc et al. 2015) and infrasound (Colò et al. 2010, Landi et al. 2011); as well as petrology to geophysics (Saunders et al. 2012; Martí et al. 2013). Continuation of this trajectory can be aided by further support for pan-disciplinary workshops, meetings and working groups, the objectives of which are to totally understand the system and to constrain measurements with the least uncertainty.

Questions, needs and recommendations

 Tables 2–4 summarize the main results from the previous discussions. Table 2 is the summary of major conclusions to date from cross-disciplinary approaches. Table 3 suggests improvements to methods to facilitate cross-disciplinary approaches. Finally, Table 4 groups outstanding questions that might be addressed if the recommended methods are used.

 The list of key issues and questions defined allows us to distil the following community-wide points and initiatives as priorities:

 1. We need to define, and adhere to, standard sampling, data collection, experimental and methodological procedures to allow full integration of the four disciplines;

- 2. In doing this, we need to understand each other's needs, and then follow each other's well-recognized sampling etiquette in order to work together as a truly integrated team;
- 3. We should aim to collate all data and measurements that can be provided by each discipline at some central host site and evaluate whether we need more from each field;
- 4. Quantification and statement of the precision of the measurements must always be made, and a set of standards must be produced to allow data quality control has to be followed;
- 5. The community needs to explore and discuss the best means to improve the quality of the measurements and the amount of data available;
- 6. Guidelines should be agreed on regarding essential key parameters that need to be extracted, versus those that are less important. Common standards need to be established that allow these key parameters to be shared by all groups;
- 7. Central to this is creation of an open access data bank to support essential geophysical, deposit, textural and geochemical data integration and sharing. This means creation of a repository of data grouped by eruptive style and/or geographic location into which members can make deposits and withdrawals;
- 8. All of this should ideally be integrated into a GIS platform to allow for easy cross-correlation and comparison of different types of parameters.
-
- **DynVolc: an integrated database**
-

 Inspired by this effort, a database – DynVolc (Dynamics of Volcanoes) – is now operative at http://wwwobs.univ-bpclermont.fr/SO/televolc/dynvolc/index.php. This database is part of an observation system within the services provided by Observatoire de Physique du Globe de Clermont-Ferrand (OPGC). It is an attempt to provide an integrated and accessible library for all multi-disciplinary data sets for explosive eruptive events. This database is an integrated collection of data from physical and geophysical observations of dynamic volcanic processes.

 DynVolc database spans the full range of explosive and effusive activity. Its intent is to provide a library of standards for eruptive styles, for each of which the data base provides:

- 1010 field data (i.e., results of field mapping, outcrop and sample descriptions)
- 1011 key deposit features (thickness, areal dispersion, sedimentary structure, grain size)
- 1012 clast characterization (componentry, morphology, density, porosity, permeability)
- 1013 clast texture (connectivity, vesicle and crystal size and size distributions)
- 1014 chemical analyses of samples (bulk and glass chemistry
- 1015 associated geophysical measurements (e.g., fragmentation depth, ejection and ascent velocity, fragment and gas mass, seismic and acoustic energies)

 Integration of these data allows improved, better constrained, insights into the dynamics driving each eruptive style. It also allows improved definition of the rheological and degassing conditions associated with each activity style. At the same time it provides a library of key physical parameters that need to be assumed by geophysical data reduction methods, as well as during model-based enquiry.

 Central to this initiative will be the transformation of this database into a communal databank, involving a web-based GIS platform to allow huge amounts of cross-correlationand comparison between parameters relating to different processes and cross-correlation of different datasets obtained for the same eruption. It is intended as an open database into which anyone can input, and withdraw, citable cross-disciplinary information for scientific analysis. At the same time, through this library, we can provide cross-community time series, baseline and monitoring data for the full range volcanic activity.

Acknowledgements

 L Gurioli thanks the MeMoVolc, European Science Foundation grant (ref. n. 4253). G Valentine D Swanson and the editor, J White are thanked for their constructive reviews. This research was financed by the French Government Laboratory of Excellence initiative no ANR-10-LABX-0006, the Région Auvergne, and the European Regional Development Fund. This is Laboratory of Excellence ClerVolc contribution number XXX

References

 Adams NK, Houghton BF, Hildreth W (2006a) Abrupt transitions during sustained explosive eruptions: examples from the 1912 eruption of Novarupta, Alaska. Bull Volcanol 69:189–206

 Adams NK, Houghton BF, Fagents S, Hildreth W (2006b) The transition from explosive to effusive eruptive regime: The example of the 1912 Novarupta eruption, Alaska. GSA Bulletin 118 (5/6):620–634. doi: 10.1130/B25768.1

 Alfano F, Bonadonna C, Volentik ACM, Connor CB, Watt SFL, Pyle DM, Connor LJ (2011) Tephra stratigraphy and eruptive volume of the May, 2008, Chaiten eruption, Chile. Bull Volcanol 73 (5):613–630

 Alfano F, Bonadonna C, Gurioli L (2012) Insights on rhyolitic eruption dynamic from textural analysis: the example of the May Chaitén eruption (Chile). Bull Volcanol 74(9):2095-2108. doi 10.1007/s00445-012-0648-3

 Aloisi M, D'Agostino M, Dean KG, Mostaccio A and Neri G (2002). Satellite analysis and PUFF simulation of the eruptive cloud generated by the Mount Etna paroxysm of 22 July 1998. J Geophys Res 107(B12): 2373. doi: 10.1029/2001JB000630

 Anderson AT (1991) Hourglass inclusions: theory and application to the Bishop Rhyolitic Tuff. Am Min 76:530-547

 Andronico D, Corsaro RA, Cristaldi A, Polacci M (2008) Characterizing high energy explosive eruptions at Stromboli volcano using multidisciplinary data: an example from the 9 January 2005 explosion. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 176:541–550. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.05.011

 Andronico D, Scollo S, Cristaldi A, Ferrari F (2009a), Monitoring ash emission episodes at Mt. Etna: The 16 November 2006 case study. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 180 (2–4):123–134. doi:10.1016/j. jvolgeores.2008.10.019

 Andronico D, Cristaldi A, Del Carlo P, Taddeucci J (2009b) Shifting styles of basaltic explosive activity during the 2002-03 eruption of Mt Etna, Italy. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 180(2-4):110-122. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.07.026

 Andronico D, Lo Castro MD, Sciotto M, Spina L (2013a) The 2010 ash emissions at the summit craters of Mt Etna: Relationship with seismo-acoustic signals. J Geophys Res 118:51– 70. doi:10.1029/2012JB009895

- Bear J (1972) Dynamics of fluids in porous media. Dover, New York Belien IB, Cashman KV, Rempel AW (2010), Gas accumulation in particle-rich suspensions and implications for bubble populations in crystal-rich magma. Earth Planet Sci Lett 297(1- 2):133-140. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.014 1151 Berlo K, Turner S (2010) ²¹⁰Pb-²²⁶Ra disequilibria in volcanic rocks. Earth Planet Sci Lett (Frontiers) 296:155-164 Berlo K, Blundy J, Turner S, Cashman K, Hawkesworth C, Black S (2004) Geochemical precursors to volcanic activity at Mount St. Helens, USA. Science 306:1167-1169
-
- Bernard B (2013) Home-made ashmeter: a low-cost, high-efficiency solution to improve tephra field-data collection for contemporary explosive eruptions. J Appl Volcanol 2:1
-
- Bernard ML, Zamora M, Geraud Y, Boudon G (2007) Transport properties of pyroclastic rocks from Montagne Pele´e volcano (Martinique, Lesser Antilles). J Geophys Res 112:B05205.doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004385
-
- Bindeman IN (2003) Crystal sizes in evolving silicic magma chambers. Geology 31:367-370
- Bjornsson H, Magnusson S, Arason P and Petersen GN (2013) Velocities in the plume of the
- 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption. J Geophys Res Atmos 118:698–711 doi:10.1002/jgrd.50876
-
- Blower JD (2001a) Factors controlling permeability-porosity relationships in magma. Bull Volcanol 63:497–504
-
- Blower JD (2001b) A three-dimensional network model of permeability in vesicular material.
- Comp Geosci 27:115–119
-
- Blower JD, Keating JP, Mader HM, Phillips JC (2001) Inferring volcanic degassing processes
- from bubble size distributions. Geophys Res Lett 28(2):347–350
-

- Büttner R, Dellino P, Zimanowski B (1999) Identifying magma–water interaction from the surface features of ash particles. Nature 401:688– 690
-

 Büttner R, Dellino P, La Volpe L, Lorenz V, Zimanowsky B (2002) Thermohydraulic explosions in phreatomagmatic eruptions as evidenced by the comparison between pyroclasts and products from Molten Fuel Interaction experiments. J Geophys Res 107 (B11):2277. doi:10.1029/2001JB000511.

 Cadoux A, Scaillet B, Druitt TH, Deloule E (2014). Magma storage conditions of large Plinian eruptions of SantoriniVolcano (Greece). J Petrology 55(6):1129-1171. doi:10.1093/petrology/egu021

 Caltabiano T, Roman R and Budetta G (1994) SO2 flux measurements at Mount Etna (Sicily). J Geophys Res 99:12 809 – 12 819

 Capaccioni B, Sarocchi D (1996) Computer-assisted image analysis on clast shape fabric from the Orvieto-Bagnoregio ignimbrite (Vulsini District, central Italy): implications on the emplacement mechanisms. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 70(1–2):75-90. doi: 10.1016/0377- 0273(95)00049-6

 Caracciolo C, Prodia F, Uijlenhoetc R (2006) Comparison between Pludix and impact/optical disdrometers during rainfall measurement campaigns. Atmos Res 82(1-2):137–163

 Carey RJ, Houghton BF, Thordarson T (2009) Abrupt shifts between wet and dry phases of the 1875 eruption of Askja Volcano: microscopic evidence for macroscopic dynamics. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 184:256–270

 Carey RJ, Manga M, Degruyter W, Swanson D, Houghton B, Orr T, Patrick M (2012) Externally triggered renewed bubble nucleation in basaltic magma: The 12 October 2008 eruption at Halema'uma'u Overlook vent, Kīlauea, Hawai'i, USA. J Geophys Res 117:B11202. doi:10.1029/2012JB009496

 Carey RJ, Manga M, Degruyter W, Gonnermann H, Swanson D, Houghton B, Orr T, Patrick M (2013) Convection in a volcanic conduit recorded by bubbles. Geology 41(4):395–398

 volcanic eruption columns. Bull Volcanol 48:109–125. doi:10.1007/BF01046546 Carey S, Maria A, Sigurdsson H (2000) Use of fractal analysis for discrimination of particles from primary and reworked jökulhlaup deposits in SE Iceland. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 104:65–80 Carn SA, Krueger AJ, Bluth GJS, Schaefer SJ, Krotkov NA, Watson IM, Datta S (2003) Volcanic eruption detection by the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) instruments: a 22-year record of sulfur dioxide and ash emissions. In: Volcanic Degassing (eds. C Oppenheimer, DM Pyle and J Barclay), Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 213, pp.177-202. Carn SA, Strow LL, de Souza-Machado S, Edmonds Y, Hannon S (2005) Quantifying tropospheric volcanic emissions with AIRS: the 2002 eruption of Mt. Etna (Italy). Geophys Res Lett 32(2):L02301. doi:10.1029/2004GL021034 Cas RAF, Wright JV (1987) Volcanic successions: modern and ancient. Allen & Unwin, London, 528p Cashman KV (1988) Crystallization of Mount St. Helens 1980–1986 dacite: A quantitative textural approach. Bull Volcanol 50(3):194-209. doi:10.1007/BF01079682 Cashman KV (1992) Groundmass crystallization of Mount St. Helens dacite, 1980-1986: A tool for interpreting shallow magmatic processes. Contrib Mineral Petrol 109:431-449 Cashman KV (1993). Relationship between plagioclase crystallization and cooling rate in basaltic melts. Contrib Mineral Petr 113:126-142 Cashman KV, Mangan MT (1994) Physical aspects of magmatic degassing II. Constraints on

Carey S, Sparks RSJ (1986) Quantitative models of the fallout and dispersal of tephra from

 vesiculation processes from textural studies of eruptive products. In: M. Carroll (Editor), Volatiles in Magmas. Mineral Sot Am Washington, DC, pp. 447-478

 Colò L, Ripepe M, Baker DR, Polacci M (2010), Magma vesiculation and infrasonic activity at Stromboli open conduit volcano. Earth Planet Sc Lett 292 (3–4) Colucci S, Palladino DM, Mulukutla GK, Proussevitch AA (2013) 3-D reconstruction of ash vesicularity: Insight into the origin of ash-rich explosive eruptions. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 255:98-107 Costa F, Cohmen R, Chakraborty S (2008) Time scales of magmatic processes from modeling the zoning patterns of crystals. In Putirka KD, Tepley FJ (Eds) Minerals, inclusions and volcanic processes. Rev Mineral Geochem 69:545-594 Costantini L, Houghton BF, Bonadonna C (2010) Constraints on eruption dynamics of basaltic explosive activity derived from chemical and microtextural study: the example of the Fontana Lapilli Plinian eruption, Nicaragua. J Volcanol Geother Res 189 (3–4):207–224. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.11.008 Couch S, Sparks RSJ, Carroll MR (2003) The kinetics of degassing-induced crystallization at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. J Petrol 44(8):1477–1502 De Campos CP, Dingwell DB, Perugini D, et al. (2008) Heterogeneities in magma chambers: Insights from the behavior of major and minor elements during mixing experiments with natural alkaline melts. Chem Geol 256:131–145. doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.034 De Keyser TL (1999) Digital scanning of thin sections and peels. J Sedim Res 69:962–964 Degruyter W, Bachmann O, Burgisser A (2010a) Controls on magma permeability in the volcanic conduit during the climactic phase of the Kos Plateau Tuff eruption (Aegean Arc). Bull Volcanol 72:63–74. doi: 10.1007/s00445-009-0302-x Degruyter W, Burgisser A, Bachmann O, Malaspina O (2010b) Synchrotron X-ray microtomography and lattice Boltzmann simulations of gas flow through volcanic pumices. Geosphere 6:470–481

- Eichelberger JC, Carrigan CR, Westrich HR, Price RH (1986) Non-explosive silicic volcanism. Nature 323:598–602 Engwell SL, Sparks RSJ, Aspinall WP (2013) Quantifying uncertainties in the measurement of tephra fall thickness. J Applied Volcanol 2:5. doi:10.1186/2191-5040-2-5. Ersoy O, Chinga G, Aydar E, Gourgaud A, Cubuku HE, Ulusoy I (2006) Texture discimination of volcanic ashes from different fragmenation mechanisms: A case study, Mount Nemrut stratovolcano, eastern Turkey. Comput Geosci 32:936-946 Eychenne J, Le Pennec JL, Troncoso L, Gouhier M, Nedelec JM (2012) Causes and consequences of bimodal grainsize distribution of tephra fall deposited during the August 2006 Tungurahua eruption (Ecuador). Bull Volcanol 74:187–205. doi: 10.1007/s00445-011- 0517-5 Eychenne J, Le Pennec JL, Ramón P, Yepes H (2013) Dynamics of explosive paroxysms at open-vent andesitic systems: High-resolution mass distribution analyses of the 2006 Tungurahua fall deposit (Ecuador). Earth Planet Sci Lett 361:343-355. doi: 10.10- 16/j.epsl.2012.11.002 Eychenne J, Houghton BF, Swanson DA, Carey RJ, Swavely L (2015) Dynamics of an open basaltic magma system: The 2008 activity of the Halema'uma'u Overlook vent, Kīlauea Caldera. Earth Planet Sci Lett 409:49-60 Fagents SA, Gregg TKP, Lopes RMC (2013) Modeling Volcanic Processes. The Physics and Mathematics of Volcanism. Cambridge University Press Faure F, Trolliard G, Nicollet C, Montel J-M (2003) A developmental model of olivine morphology as a function of the cooling rate and the degree of undercooling. Contrib Mineral Petrol 145 (2):251–263. doi: 10.1007/s00410-003-0449-y Faure F, Schiano P, Trolliard G, Nicollet C, Soulestin B (2007) Textural evolution of polyhedral olivine experiencing rapid cooling rates. Contrib Mineral Petrol 153:405-416
	-

 Geophys Res Lett 30. doi:10.1029/2002GL0116022 Gaonac'h H, Lovejoy S, Schertzer D (2005) Scaling vesicle distributions and volcanic eruptions. Bull Volcanol 67(4):350–357 Gardner JE (2007) Heterogeneous bubble nucleation in highly viscous silicate melts during instantaneous decompression from high pressure. Chem Geol 236:1-12 Gaudin D, Moroni M, Taddeucci J, Scarlato P Shindler L. (2014a) Pyroclast Tracking Velocimetry: A particle tracking velocimetry-based tool for the study of Strombolian explosive eruptions. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 119:5369–5383. Doi:10.1002/2014JB011095 Gaudin D, Taddeucci J, Scarlato P, Moroni M, Freda C, Gaeta M, Palladino DM (2014b) Pyroclast Tracking Velocimetry illuminates bomb ejection and explosion dynamics at Stromboli (Italy) and Yasur (Vanuatu) volcanoes. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 119:5384–5397. doi:10.1002/2014JB011096 Genareau K, Proussevitch AA, Durant AJ, Mulukutla GK, Sahagian DL (2012) Sizing up the bubbles that produce very fine ash during explosive volcanic eruptions. Geophys Res Lett 39:(LI5306). Genareau K, Mulukutla GK, Proussevitch AA, Durant AJ, Rose WI, Sahagian DL (2013) The size range of bubbles that produce ash during explosive volcanic eruptions. J Appl Volcanol 2:4. doi:10.1186/2191-5040-2-4 Genco R, Ripepe M (2010) Inflation-deflation cycles revealed by tilt and seismic records at Stromboli volcano. Geophys Res Lett 37. doi: 10.1029/2010GL042925 Gerst A, Hort M, Aster RC, Johnson JB, Kyle PR (2013) The first second of volcanic eruptions from the Erebus volcano lava lake, Antarctica—Energies, pressures, seismology, and infrasound. J Geophys Res 118:3318–3340. doi:10.1002/jgrb.50234

Gaonac'h H, Lovejoy S, Schertzer D (2003) Percolating magmas and explosive volcanism.

- Ghiorso MS, Sack RO (1995) Chemical mass transfer in magmatic processes. IV. A revised and internally consistent thermodynamic model for the interpolation and extrapolation of liquid-solid equilibria in magmatic systems at elevated temperatures and pressures. Contrib Mineral Petrol 119:197–212
-

 Giachetti T, Gonnermann HM (2013).Water in pumices: rehydration or incomplete degassing? Earth Planet Sci Lett 369-370:317-332

-
- Giachetti T, Druitt TH, Burgisser A, Arbaret L, Galven C (2010) Bubble nucleation and growth during the 1997 Vulcanian explosions of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 193 (3–4):215–231. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.04.001
-
- Giachetti T, Burgisser A, Arbaret L, Druitt TH, Kelfoun K (2011) Quantitative textural analysis of Vulcanian pyroclasts (Montserrat) using multi-scale X-ray computed microtomography: comparison with results from 2D image analysis. Bull Volcanol 73 (9):1295-1309. doi:10.1007/s00445-011-0472-1
-
- Goldstein P, Chouet B (1994) Array measurements and modeling of sources of shallow volcanic tremor at Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. J Geophys Res 99(B2):2637–2652
-
- Gonnermann HM, Houghton BF (2012) Magma degassing and fragmentation during the Plinian eruption of Novarupta, Alaska, 1912. Geochem Geophys Geosyst13:Q10009. doi: 10 .1029 /2012GC004273
-
- Gonnermann HM, Manga M (2013) Dynamics of magma ascent in the volcanic conduit. In Modelling volcanic processes: the physics and mathematics of volcanism, ed. By S. Fagents, T.K.P. Gregg, R.M.C. Lopes. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 55-84
-
- Goodchild JS, Fueten F (1998) Edge detection in petrographic images using the rotating polarizer stage. Comput Geosci 24:745–751
-
- Gouhier M, Donnadieu F (2008) Mass estimations of ejecta from Strombolian explosions by inversion of Doppler radar measurements. J Geophys Res 113:B10202. doi:10.1029/2007JB005383

 Gouhier M, Donnadieu F (2011) Systematic retrieval of ejecta velocities and gas fluxes at Etna volcano using L-Band Doppler radar. Bull Volcanol 73(9):1139-1145. doi:10.1007/s00445-011-0500-1

-
- Gualda GAR (2006) Crystal size distributions derived from 3D datasets: sample size versus uncertainties. J Petrol 47(6):1245-1254
-
- Gualda GAR, Rivers M (2006) Quantitative 3D petrography using X- ray tomography: application to Bishop Tuff pumice clasts. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 154(1–2):48–62
-
- Gualda GAR, Baker DR, Polacci M (2010a) Introduction: Advances in 3D imaging and analysis of Geomaterials, Geosphere, special issue "Advances in 3D Imaging and Analysis of Geomaterials" 6-5:468-469. doi:10.1130/GES00639.1
-
- Gualda GAR, Pamukcu AS, Claiborne LL, Rivers ML (2010b) Quantitative 3D petrography using X-ray tomography 3: documenting accessory phases with differential absorption tomography. Geosphere 6(6):782–792
-
- Gurioli L, Houghton B, Cashman K, Cioni R (2005) Complex changes in eruption dynamics and the transition between Plinian and phreatomagmatic activity during the 79AD eruption of
- Vesuvius. Bull Volcanol 67:144-159 doi: 10.1007/s00445-004-0368-4
-
- Gurioli L, Harris AJL, Houghton BF, Polacci M, Ripepe M (2008) Textural and geophysical characterization of explosive basaltic activity at Villarrica volcano. J Geophys Res 113:B08206. doi:10.1029/2007JB005328
-
- Gurioli L, Harris AJL, Colò L, Bernard J, Favalli M, Ripepe M, Andronico D (2013) Classification, landing distribution and associated flight parameters for a bomb field emplaced during a single major explosion at Stromboli, Italy. Geology 41 (5):559–562. doi 10.1130/G33967.1
-
- Gurioli L, Colò L, Bollasina AJ, Harris AJL, Whittington A, Ripepe M (2014) Dynamics of strombolian explosions: inferences from inferences from field and laboratory studies of erupted bombs from Stromboli volcano. J Geophys Res 119. doi:10.1002/2013JB010355
- Hamada M, Laporte D, Cluzel N, Koga KT (2010) Simulating bubble number density of rhyolitic pumices from Plinian eruptions: constraints from fast decompression experiments. Bull Volcanol 72:735-746
-
- Hammer JE (2008). Experimental studies of the kinetics and energetics of magma crystallization. Rev Mineral Geochem 69:9-59
-
- Hammer JE, Rutherford MJ (2002) An experimental study of the kinetics of decompression-
- induced crystallization in silicic melt. J Geophys Res 107(B1). doi:10.1029/2001JB000281
-
- Hammer JE, Cashman KV, Hoblitt RP, Newman S (1999) Degassing and microlite crystallization during pre-climactic events of the 1991 eruption of Mt. Pinatubo, Philippines. Bull Volcanol 60:355–380
-
- Hammer JE, Sharp TG, Wessel P (2010) Heterogeneous nucleation and epitaxial crystal growth of magmatic minerals. Geology 38: 367-370.
-
- Harris A (2013) Thermal Remote Sensing of Active Volcanoes: A User's Manual, Cambridge University Press: 728 p.
-
- Harris AJL, Ripepe M (2007) Synergy of multiple geophysical approaches to unravel explosive eruption conduit and source dynamics – A case study from Stromboli. Chemie der Erde 67: 1-35.
-
- Harris AJL, Ripepe M, Hort M (2004) Foreward. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 137(1-3):vii– viii. doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(04)00276-8
-
- Harris AJL, Ripepe M, Hughes EE (2012) Detailed analysis of particle launch velocities, size distributions and gas densities during normal explosions at Stromboli. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 231-232:109-131

- Koyaguchi T, Tokuno M (1993) Origin of the giant eruption cloud of Pinatubo, June 15, 1991. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 55:85-96 Krueger AJ, Walter LS, Doiron SD (1990) TOMS measurement of sulfur dioxide emitted during the 1985 Nevado del Ruiz eruptions. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 41:7-15 Kueppers U, Scheu B, Spieler O, Dingwell DB (2005) Field-based density measurements as tool to identify pre-eruption dome structure: set-up and first results from Unzen volcano, Japan. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 141:65–75 Kueppers U, Scheu B, Spieler O, Dingwell DB (2006) Fragmentation efficiency of explosive volcanic eruptions: A study of experimentally generated pyroclasts. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 153(1–2):125-135 Kyser TK, O'Neil JR (1984) Hydrogen isotope systematic of submarine basalts. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 48:2123–2133 Lak M, Néraudeau D, Nel A, Cloetens P, Perrichot V, Tafforeau P (2008) Phase contrast X- ray synchrotron imaging: opening access to fossil inclusions in opaque amber. Microsc Microanal 14(3):251–259 Landi P, Marchetti E, La Felice S, Ripepe M, Rosi M (2011) Integrated petrochemical and geophysical data reveals thermal distribution of the feeding conduits at Stromboli volcano, Italy. Geophys Res Lett 38:L08305 Lanza R, Meloni A (2006) The Earth's magnetism: an introduction for geologists. 278 pp. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag Larsen JF (2008) Heterogeneous bubble nucleation and disequilibrium H2O exsolution in Vesuvius K-phonolite melts. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 275:278–288 Larsen JF, Gardner JE (2000) Experimental constraints on bubble interactions in rhyolite melts: implications for vesicle size distributions. Earth Planet Sci Lett 180:201–214
	-
- LaRue A, Baker DR, Polacci M, Allard P, Sodini N (2013), Can vesicle size distributions assess eruption intensity during volcanic activity? J Geophys Res-Solid Earth 4:373–80. doi:10.5194/se-4-373-2013
-

 Laumonier M, Arbaret L, Burgisser A, Champallier R (2011) Porosity redistribution enhanced by strain localization in crystal-rich magmas. Geology 39:715–718. http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G31803.1

 Launeau P, Cruden AR (1998) Magmatic fabric acquisition mechanisms in a syenite: results of a combined anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility and image analysis study. J Geophys Res 103:5067–5089

 Launeau P, Bouchez JL, Benn K (1990) Shape preferred orientation of object populations: Automatic analysis of digitized images. Tectonophysics 180:201-211

 Launeau P, Cruden AR, Bouchez JL (1994) Mineral recognition in digital images of rocks: a new approach using multichannel classification. Can Mineral 32:919–933

 Lautze NC, Houghton BF (2005) Physical mingling of magma and complex eruption dynamics in the shallow conduit at Stromboli volcano, Italy. Geology 33:425–428.

 Lautze NC, Houghton BF (2007) Linking variable explosion style and magma textures during 2002 at Stromboli volcano, Italy. Bull Volcanol 69:445–460

 Lautze NC, Houghton BF (2008) Single explosions at Stromboli in 2002: use of clast microtextures to map physical diversity across a fragmentation zone. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 170:262–268

 Lautze N, Taddeucci J, Andronico D, Cannata C, Tornetta L, Scarlato P, Houghton B, Lo Castro D (2012) SEM-based methods for the analysis of basaltic ash from weak explosive activity at Etna in 2006 and the 2007 eruptive crisis at Stromboli. Phys Chem Earth 45– 46:113–127. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2011.02.001

- Lautze N, Taddeucci J, Andronico D, Houghton B, Niemeijer A, Scarlato P (2013) Insights into explosion dynamics and the production of ash at Stromboli from samples collected in real time, October 2009. Geol S Am S 498:125-139
-
- Lavallée Y, Varley N, Alatorre-Ibargüengoitia MA, Hess KU, Mueller S, Richard D, Scheu B, Spieler O, Dingwell DB (2012) Magmatic architecture of dome-building eruptions at
- Volcán de Colima, Mexico. Bull Volcanol 74:249-260
-
- Leduc L, Gurioli L, Harris AJL, Colò L, Rose-Koga E Dynamics of a gas-dominated strombolian explosion. Bull Volcanol 77:8. doi: 10.1007/s00445-014-0888-5.
-
- Le Losq C, Neuville DR, Moretti R, Roux J (2012) Determination of water content in silicate glasses using Raman spectrometry: Implications for the study of explosive volcanism. Am Mineral 97:779-790
-
- Le Pennec JL, Hermitte D, Isya D, Pezard P, Coulon C, Cochemé J-J, Mulyadi E, Ollagnier F,Revest C (2001). Electrical conductivityand pore-space topology of Merapi lavas: implication for the degassing of porphyritic andesite magmas. Geophys Res Lett 28(22):4283–4286
-
- 1895 Le Voyer M, Rose-Koga EF, Shimizu N, Grove TL, Schiano P (2010) Two contrasting H₂O- rich components in primary melt inclusions from Mount Shasta. J Petrol 5(7):1571–1595. doi: 10.1093/petrology/egq030
-
- Lesne P, Kohn SC, Blundy J, Witham F, Botcharnikov RE, Behrens H. (2011). Experimental 1900 simulation of closed-system degassing in the system basalt- H_2O -CO₂-S-Cl. J Petrol 52:1737-1762
-
- Liu Y, Anderson AT, Wilson CJN (2007) Melt pockets in phenocrysts and decompression rates of silicic magmas before fragmentation. J Geophys Res 112. doi:10.1029/2006JB004500
- Lovejoy S, Gaonac'h H, Schertzer D (2004) Bubble distributions, and dynamics: the expansion-coalescence equation. J Geophys Res 109:B11203. doi:10.1029/2003JB002823
-

 Lumbreras F, Serrat J (1996) Segmentation of petrographical images of marbles. Comput Geosci 22:547–558

 Magee C, O'Driscoll B, Chambers AD (2010) Crystallization and textural evolution of a closed-system magma chamber: insights from a crystal size distribution study of the Lilloise layered intrusion, east Greenland. Geol Mag 147:363–379

 Manga M. (1998) Orientation distribution of microlites in obsidian. J Volcanol Geother Res 86:107–115

 Mangan M (1990) Crystal size distribution systematics and the determination of magma storage times: The 1959 eruption of Kilauea volcano, Hawaii. J Volcanol Geother Res 44:295–302

 Mangan MT, Cashman, KV (1996) The structure of basaltic scoria and reticulite and inferences for vesiculation, foam formation, and fragmentation in lava fountains. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 73:1-18

 Mangan M, Sisson T (2000) Delayed, disequilibrium degassing in rhyolite magma: decompression experiments and implications for explosive volcanism. Earth Planet Sci Lett 183:441–55

 Mangan M, Sisson T (2005). Evolution of melt-vapor surface tension in silicic volcanic systems: Experiments with hydrous melts. J Geophys Res 110:B01202. doi: 10.1029/2004JB003215

 Mangan MT, Cashman KV, Newman S (1993) Vesiculation of basaltic magma during eruption. Geology 21:157–160

 Marchetti E, Ripepe M, Harris AJL, Delle Donne D (2009) Tracing the differences between Vulcanian and Strombolian explosions using infrasonic and thermal radiation energy. Earth Planet Sci Lett 279:273–281

- Martel C (2012) Eruption Dynamics inferred from microlite crystallization experiments: application to Plinian and dome-forming eruptions of Mt. Pelée (Martinique, Lesser Antilles). J Petrol 53:699-725
-

 Martel C, Radadi Ali A, Poussineau S, Gourgaud A, Pichavant M (2006) Basalt-inherited microlites in silicic magmas: evidence from Mt. Pelée (Martinique, F.W.I.). Geology 34:905– 908

-
- Marti J, Soriano C, Dingwell DB (1999) Tube pumices as strain markers of the ductile-brittle transition during magma fragmentation. Nature 402(6762):650–653
-

 Martí J, Castro A, Rodríguez C, Costa F, Carrasquilla S, Pedreira R, Bolos X (2013) Correlation of magma evolution and geophysical monitoring during the 2011–2012 El Hierro (Canary Islands) submarine eruption. J Petrology 54(7):1349-1373. doi:10.1093/petrology/egt014.

-
- Mattsson HB (2010) Textural variation in juvenile pyroclasts from an emergent, Surteyan- type, volcanic eruption: The Capelas tuff cone, São Miguel (Azores). J Volcanol Geoth Res 189:81–91
-
- McNutt SR (1986) Observations and analysis of B-type earthquakes, explosions, and volcanic tremor at Pavlof Volcano, Alaska. Bull Seis Soc Amer 76:153-175
-

 Mele D, Dellino P, Sulpizio R, Braia G (2011) A systematic investigation on the aerodynamics of ash particles, J Volcanol Geotherm Res 203:1–11. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2011.04.004

 Melnik O, Sparks RSJ (2002) Dynamics of magma ascent and lava extrusion at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. In: Druitt, T., Kokelaar, B. (Eds.), The Eruption of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, from 1995 to 1999. The Geological Society of London, pp. 153–171.

 Métrich N, Bertagnini A, Landi P, Rosi M (2001), Crystallization driven by decompression and water loss at Stromboli volcano (Aeolian Islands, Italy). J Petrol 42:1471–1490. doi:10.1093/petrology/42.8.1471

- Métrich N, Wallace PJ (2008) Volatile abundances in basaltic magmas and their degassing paths tracked by melt inclusions. In Putirka KD, Tepley FJ (Eds) Minerals, inclusions and volcanic processes Rev Mineral Geochem 69:363-402
-
- Métrich N, Bertagnini A, Di Muro A (2010) Conditions of magma storage, degassing and ascent at Stromboli: new insights into the volcano plumbing system with inferences on the eruptive dynamics. J Petrol 51(3):603-626
-
- Miwa T, Toramaru A (2013) Conduit process in vulcanian eruptions at Sakurajima volcano, Japan: Inference from comparison of volcanich ash with pressure wave and seismic data. Bull Volcanol 75:685
-
- Miwa T, Toramaru A, Iguchi M (2009) Correlations of volcanic ash texture with explosion earthquakes from vulcanian eruptions at Sakurajima volcano, Japan. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 184(3–4):473–486
-
- Miwa T, Geshi N, Shinohara H (2013) Temporal variation in volcanic ash texture during a vulcanian eruption at the Sakurajima volcano, Japan. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 260:80-89
- Mock A, Jerram DA (2005) Crystal size distributions (CSD) in three dimensions: Insights from the 3D reconstruction of a highly porphyritic rhyolite: J Petrol 46:1525–1541. doi: 10.1093/petrology/egi024
-
- Mock A, Jerram DA, Breitkreuz C (2003) Using quantitative textural analysis to understand the emplacement of shallow-level rhyolitic laccoliths a case study from the Halle volcanic complex, Germany. J Petrol 44:833-849
-
- Moitra P, Gonnermann HM, Houghton BF, Giachetti T (2013) Relating vesicle shapes in pyroclasts to eruption styles. Bull Volcanol 75:691. doi 10.1007/s00445-013-0691-8
- Morgan DJ, Jerram DA, Chertkoff DG, Davidson JP, Pearson DG, Kronz A, Nowell GM
- (2007) Combining CSD and isotopic microanalysis: magma supply and mixing processes at
- Stromboli volcano, Aeolian islands, Italy. Earth Planet Sci Lett 260(3–4):419–431

 Mori T, Burton M (2006) The SO2 camera: a simple, fast and cheap method for groundbased imaging of SO2 in volcanic plumes. Geophys Res Lett 33(L24804): doi:10.1029/2006GL027916 Mori T, Burton M (2009) Quantification of the gas mass emitted during single explosions on Stromboli with the SO2 imaging camera. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 188:395–400. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.10.005 Mori J, Patia H, McKee C, Itikarai I, Lowenstein P, De Saint Ours P, Talai B (1989) Seismicity associated with eruptive activity at Langila volcano, Papua New Guinea. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 38(3–4):243-255 Mourtada-Bonnefoi CC, Laporte D (2002) Homogenous bubble nucleation in rhyolitic 2056 magmas: An experimental study on the effect of H_2O and CO_2 . J Geophys Res 107:B4. doi: 10.1029/2001JB000290 Mourtada-Bonnefoi CC, Laporte D (2004) Kinetics of bubble nucleation in a rhyolitic melt: an experimental study of the effect of ascent rate. Earth Planet Sci Lett 218:521-537 Mueller S, Melnik O, Spieler O, Scheu B, Dingwell DB (2005) Permeability and degassing of dome lavas undergoing rapid decompression: An experimental determination Bull Volcanol 67(6):526–538. doi:10.1007/s00445-004-0392-4 Mueller S, Scheu B, Spieler O, Dingwell DB (2008) Permeability control on magma fragmentation. Geology 36(5):399–402. doi:10.1130/G24605A.1 Mueller S, Scheu B, Kueppers U, Spieker O, Richard D, Dingwell DB (2011) The porosity of pyroclasts as an indicator of volcanic explosivity. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 203:168-174 Muir DD, Blundy JD, Rust AC (2012) Multiphase petrography of volcanic rocks using element maps: a method applied to Mount St. Helens, 1980–2005 Bull Volcanol 74:1101– 1120

 Murtagh RM, White JDL (2013) Pyroclast characteristics of a subaqueous to emergent Surtseyan eruption, Black Point volcano, California. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 267:75:91 Murtagh RM, White JDL, Sohn YK (2011) Pyroclast textures of the Ilchulbong 'wet' tuff

cone, Jeju Island, South Korea. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 201:385-396.

-
- Nakamura K (2006) Textures of plagioclase microlite and vesicles within volcanic products of the 1914–1915 eruptions of Sakurajima Volcano, Kyushu, Japan. J Mineral Petrol Sci 101:178–198
-
- Nakamura M, Otaki K, Takeuchi S (2008) Permeability and pore-connectivity variation of pumices from a single pyroclastic flow eruption: Implications for partial fragmentation. J Vocanol Geotherm Res 176:302–314
-
- Németh K (2010) Volcanic glass textures, shape characteristics and compositions of phreatomagmatic rock units from the Western Hungarian monogenetic volcanic fields and their implications for magma fragmentation. Cent Eur J Geosci 2:399–419
-
- Neuberg J, Luckett R, Ripepe M, Braun T (1994) Highlights from a seismic broadband array on Stromboli volcano. Geophys Res Lett 21:749–752
-
- Newman S, Lowenstern JB (2002) VolatileCalc: a silicate melt-H2O-CO2 solution model written in Visual Basic for Excel: Computers and Geosciences 28(5):597-604
-
- Newman S, Epstein S, Stolper E (1988) Water, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen isotopes in glasses from the CA. 1340 A.D. eruption of the Mono Craters, California: constraints on degassing phenomena and initial volatile content. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 35:75-96
-
- Nguyen CT, Gonnermann HM, Chen Y, Huber C, Maiorano AA, Gouldstone A, Dufek J (2013) Film drainage and the lifetime of bubbles. Geochem Geophys Geosyst 14:3616–3631
- Nishimura T, McNutt SR (2008) Volcanic tremor during eruptions: temporal characteristics, scaling and estimates of vent radius. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 178:10-18
-
- Noguchi S, Toramaru A, Shimano T (2006) Crystallization of microlites and degassing during magma ascent: Constraints on the fluid mechanical behavior of magma during the Tenjo Eruption on Kozu Island, Japan. Bull Volcanol 68:432–449. doi 10.1007/s00445-005-0019-4 Noguchi S, Toramaru A, Nakada S (2008) Relation between microlite textures and discharge rate during the 1991–1995 eruptions at Unzen, Japan. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 175(1– 2):141–155 O'Driscoll B, Donaldson CH, Troll VR, Jerram DA, Emeleus CH (2007) An origin for harrisitic and granular olivine in the rum layered suite, NW Scotland: a crystal size distribution study. J Petrol 48(2):253–270 Okumura S, Nakamura M, Tsuchiyama A (2006) Shear-induced bubble coalescence in rhyolitic melts with low vesicularity. Geophys Res Lett 33:L20316. doi:10.1029/2006GL027347 Okumura S, Nakamura M, Tsuchiyama A, Nakano T, Uesugi K (2008) Evolution of bubble microstructure in sheared rhyolite: Formation of a channel-like bubble network: J Geophys Res 113:B07208. doi: 10.1029/2007JB005362 Okumura S, Nakamura M, Uesugi K, Nakano T, Fujioka T (2013) Coupled effect of magma degassing and rheology on silicic volcanism. Earth Planet Sci Lett 362:163–170 Oppenheimer C, Scaillet B, Martin RS (2011) Sulfur degassing from volcanoes: source conditions, surveillance, plume chemistry and impacts, Rev Mineral Geochem 73:363-421. doi:10.2138/rmg.2011.73.13 Palladino DM, Taddeucci J (1998) The basal ash deposit of the Sovana Eruption (Vulsini Volcanoes, central Italy): the product of a dilute pyroclastic density current. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 87:233–254 Pamukcu AS, Gualda GAR (2010) Quantitative 3D petrography using X-ray tomography 2: combining information at various resolutions. Geosphere 6:775–781. doi.org/10.1130/GES00565.1
	-

 Pichavant M, Martel C, Bourdier JL, Scaillet B (2002) Physical conditions, structure, and dynamics of a zoned magma chamber: Mount Pelée (Martinique, Lesser Antilles Arc). J Geophys Res 107. doi:10.1029/2001JB000315 Pichavant M, Costa F, Burgisser A, et al. (2007) Equilibration scales in silicic to intermediate magmas: implications for experimental studies. J Petrol 48:1955–1972. doi: 10.1093/petrology/egm045 Pichavant M, Carlo I, Rotolo SG, et al. (2013) Generation of CO2-rich melts during basalt magma ascent and degassing. Contrib Mineral Petr. doi: 10.1007/s00410-013-0890-5 Piochi M, Mastrolorenzo G, Pappalardo L (2005) Magma ascent and eruptive processes from textural and compositional features of Monte Nuovo pyroclastic products, Campi Flegrei, Italy. Bull Volcanol 67:663–678 Piochi M, Polacci M, De Astis G, Zanetti A, Mangiacapra A, Vannucci R, Giordano D (2008) Texture and composition from pumices and scoriae of the Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy): Implications on the dynamics of explosive eruptions. Geochem Geophys Geosyst 9:Q03013. doi:10.1029/2007GC001746 Pioli L, Erlund E, Johnson E, Cashman K, Wallace P, Rosi M, Delgado Granados H (2008) Explosive dynamics of violent strombolian eruptions: the eruption of Parícutin volcano 1943– 1952 (Mexico). Earth Planet Sci Lett 271:359–368 Pioli L, Pistolesi M, Rosi M (2014) Transient explosions at open-vent volcanoes: the case of Stromboli (Italy). Geology 42:863-866 Pistolesi M, Rosi M, Pioli L, Renzulli A, Bertagnini A, Andronico D (2008), The paroxysmal explosion and its deposits, in The Stromboli Volcano: An Integrated Study of the 2002–2003 Eruption, Geophys. Monogr. Ser. vol. 182, edited by S. Calvari et al. pp. 317–329, AGU, Washington, D. C. doi:10.1029/182GM26

- Polacci M, Mancini L, Baker DR (2010) The contribution of synchrotron X-ray computed microtomography to understanding volcanic processes. J Synchrotron Radiation 17:215–221
- Polacci M, Baker DR, La Rue A, Mancini L (2012) Degassing behaviour of vesiculated basaltic magmas: an example from Ambrym volcano, Vanuatu Arc, and comparison to Stromboli, Aeolian Islands, Italy. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 233-234:55-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.04.019
-

 Polacci M, Bouvet de Maisonneuve C, Giordano D, Piochi M, Mancini L, Degruyter W, Bachmanng O (2014) Permeability measurements of Campi Flegrei pyroclastic products: An example from the Campanian Ignimbrite and Monte Nuovo eruptions. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 272:16-22

 Prata AJ (1989) Infrared radiative transfer calculations for volcanic ash clouds. Geophys Res Lett 15(11):1293–1296

 Prata AJ, Bernardo C (2009) Retrieval of volcanic ash particle size, mass and optical depth from a ground-based thermal infrared camera. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 186:91–107

 Prejean SG, Brodsky EE (2011) Volcanic plume height measured by seismic waves based on a mechanical model. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 116(B1. doi:10.1029/2010JB007620

 Prior DJ (1999) Problems in determining the orientations of crystal misorientation axes, for small angular misorientations, using electron backscatter diffraction in the SEM. J Microsc 195:217–225

 Prior DJ, Boyle AP, Brenker F, Cheadle MC, Day A, Lopez G, Peruzzo L, Potts GJ, Reddy S, Spiess R, Timms NE, Trimby P, Wheeler J, Zetterström L (1999) The application of electron backscatter diffraction and orientation contrast imaging in the SEM to textural problems in rocks. Am Mineral 84:1741–1759

Rutherford MJ, Sigurdsson H, Carey S, Davis A (1985) The May 18, 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens, 1. Melt compositions and experimental phase equilibria. J Geophys Res 90:2929- 2947

- Saar MO, Manga M (1999) Permeability-porosity relationship in vesicular basalts. Geophys Res Lett 26(1):111–114
-
- Sable JE, Houghton BF, Del Carlo P, Coltelli M (2006) Changing conditions of magma ascent and fragmentation during the Etna 122 BC basaltic Plinian eruption: evidence from clast microtextures. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 158:333–354
-
- Sable JE, Houghton BF, Wilson CJN, Carey RJ (2009) Eruption mechanisms during the climax of the Tarawera 1886 basaltic Plinian eruption inferred from microtextural characteristics of the deposits, in Studies in Volcanology: The Legacy of George Walker, Spec. Publ. IAVCEI, vol. 2, pp. 129–154, Geol. Soc., London
-
- Sahagian DL, Proussevitch AA (1998) 3D particle size distributions from 2D observations: stereology for natural applications. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 84:173–196
-
- Sahetapy-Engel ST, Harris AJL. (2009) Thermal-image-derived dynamics of vertical ash plumes at Santiaguito volcano, Guatemala. Bull Volcanol 71:827-830. doi:10.1007/s00445- 009-0284-8

 Salisbury MJ, Bohrson WA, Clynne MA, Ramos FC, Hoskin P (2008) Multiple plagioclase crystal populations identified by crystal size distribution and in situ chemical data: implications for timescales of magma chamber processes associated with the 1915 eruption of Lassen Peak, CA. J Petrol 49:1755–1780

 Saunders K, Blundy J, Dohmen R, Cashman (2012) Linking petrology and seismology at an active volcano. Science 336(6084):1023-1027. doi:10.1126/science.1220066

- Sciotto M, Cannata A, Di Grazia G, Gresta S, Privitera E, Spina L (2011) Seismoacoustic investigations of paroxysmal activity at Mt. Etna volcano: New insights into the 16 November 2006 eruption. J Geophys Res 116. doi: 10.1029/2010JB008138
-

 Shea T, Larsen JF, Gurioli L, Hammer JE, Houghton BF, Cioni R (2009) Leucite crystals: surviving witnesses of magmatic processes preceding the 79 AD eruption at Vesuvius, Italy. Earth Planet Sci Lett 281:88–98

 Shea T, Houghton BF, Gurioli L, Cashman KV, Hammer JE, Hobden B (2010a) Textural studies of vesicles in volcanic rocks: an integrated methodology. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 190:271–289

 Shea T, Gurioli L, Larsen JF, Houghton BF, Hammer JE, Cashman KV (2010b) Linking experimental and natural vesicle textures in Vesuvius 79 AD white pumice. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 192:69–84

 Shea T, Gurioli L, Houghton BF, Cashman KV, Cioni R (2011) Column collapse and generation of pyroclastic density currents during the A.D. 79 eruption of Vesuvius: the role of pyroclast density. Geology 39:695–698

 Shea T, Gurioli L, Houghton BF (2012) Transitions between fall phases and pyroclastic density currents during the AD 79 eruption at Vesuvius: building a transient conduit model from the textural and volatile record. Bull Volcanol 74:2363–2381. doi 10.1007/s00445-012- 0668-z

 Shea T, Hellebrand E, Gurioli L, Hugh T (2014) Conduit- to localized-scale degassing during 2466 Plinian eruptions: Insights from major element and volatile (Cl and H_2O) analysis within Vesuvius AD79 pumice. J Petrol. doi:10.1093/petrology/egt069

 Sheridan MF, Marshall JR (1983) Interpretation of pyroclast surface features using SEM images. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 16:153–159

 Sheridan MF, Marshall JR (1987) Comparative charts for quantitative analysis of grain-textural elements on pyroclasts, in Clastic Particles: Scanning Electron Microscopy And

- Sottili G, Taddeucci J, Palladino DM (2010) Constraints on magma–wall rock thermal interaction during explosive eruptions from textural analysis of cored bombs. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 192:27-34
-
- Sparks RSJ (1978) The dynamics of bubble formation and growth in magmas. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 3:1–37. doi:10.1016/0377-0273(78)90002-1
-
- Sparks RSJ, Brazier S (1982) New evidence for degassing processes during explosive eruptions. Nature 295:218–220
-
- Sparks RSJ, Burski MI, Carey SN, Gilbert JS, Glaze LS, Sigurdsson H, Woods AW (1997) Volcanic Plume. John Wiley & Sons, New York
-
- Spillar V, Dolejs D (2013) Calculation of time-dependent nucleation and growth rates from quantitative textural data: inversion of crystal size distribution. J Petrol. doi:10.1093/petrology/egs091
-
- Stovall WK, Houghton BF, Gonnermann HM, Fagents S.A, Swanson DA (2011) Eruption dynamics of Hawaiian-style fountains: The case study of episode 1 of the Kīlauea Iki 1959 eruption. Bull Volcanol 73:511–529. doi:10.1007/s00445-010-0426-z
-
- Stovall WK, Houghton BF, Hammer JE, Fagents SA, Swanson DA (2012) Vesiculation of high fountaining Hawaiian eruptions: Episodes 15 and 16 of 1959 Kīlauea Iki. Bull Volcanol 74:441–455. doi:10.1007/s00445-011-0531-7
-
- Streck MJ (2008) Mineral textures and zoning as evidence for open system processes. In Putirka KD, Tepley FJ (Eds) Minerals, inclusions and volcanic processes. Rev Mineral Geochem 69:595-622
-
- Suzuki Y, Nakada S (2001) Timing of vesiculation and crystallization during magma ascent Example of the phreatomagmatic phase in Usu 2000 eruption. Bull Earthq Res Inst 76:253- 268
-
- Suzuki Y, Nakada S (2002) Vesiculation and magma ascent process in the Usu 2000 eruption,
- inferred from texture and size distribution of bubbles. Bull Volcanol Soc Japan 47:675-688
- Swanson DA, Wooten K, Orr T (2009) Buckets of ash track tephra flux from Halema'uma'u crater, Hawaii. Eos Trans AGU 90:427–428. doi:10.1029/2009EO460003
-
- Szramek L, Gardner JE, Larsen J. (2006) Degassing and microlite crystallization of basaltic andesite magma erupting at Arenal Volcano, Costa Rica. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 157:182- 201
-
- Taddeucci J, Pompilio M, Scarlato P (2002) Monitoring the explosive activity of the July– August 2001 eruption of Mt. Etna (Italy) by ash characterization. Geophys Res Lett 29(8):1029–1032. doi:10.1029/2001GL014372
-
- Taddeucci J, Pompilio M, Scarlato P (2004) Conduit processes during the July–August 2001 explosive activity of Mt. Etna (Italy): inferences from glass chemistry and crystal size distribution of ash particles. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 137:33–54
-
- Taddeucci J, Scarlato P, Capponi A, Del Bello E, Cimarelli C, Palladino D, Kueppers U (2012) High-speed imaging of Strombolian explosions: The ejection velocity of pyroclasts. Geophys Res Lett 39(2)
-
- Takeuchi S, Nakashima S (2005) A new simple gas permeameter for permeability measurement of small samples of volcanic eruptive material and experimental run products (in Japanese with English abstract). Bull Volcanol Soc Jpn 50:1–8
-
- Takeuchi S, Nakashima S, Akihiko Tomiya A (2008) Permeability measurements of natural and experimental volcanic materials with a simple permeameter: toward an understanding of magmatic degassing processes. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 177:329–339. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.05.010.
-
- Tarquini S, Favalli M (2010) A microscopic information system (MIS) for petrographic analysis. Comput Geosci 36:665–674
-

- Villemant B, Boudon G (1998) Transition between dome-forming and plinian eruptive styles: H20 and CL degassing behaviour. Nature 392:65-69
-

 Vinkler AP, Cashman K, Giordano G, Groppelli G (2012) Evolution of the mafic Villa Senni caldera-forming eruption at Colli Albani volcano, Italy, indicated by textural analysis of juvenile fragments. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 235-236:37–54

-
- Vlastélic I, Staudacher T, Bachèlery P, Télouk P, Neuville D, Benbakkar M (2011) lithium isotope fractionation during magma degassing: constraints from silicic differentiates and natural gas condensates from Piton de la Fournaise volcano (Réunion Island). Chem Geol 284:26-34
-

 Voltolini M, Zandomeneghi D, Mancini L, Polacci M (2011) Texture analysis of volcanic rock samples: Quantitative study of crystals and vesicles shape preferred orientation from X-ray microtomography data. J Volcanol Geot Res 202:83–95

 Vöge M, Hort M, Seyfried R (2005) Monitoring volcano eruptions and lava domes with Doppler radar. EOS Trans AGU 86:537-541

Walker JC, Carboni E, Dudhia A, Grainger RG (2012) Improved detection of sulphur dioxide

- in volcanic plumes using satellite-based hyperspectral infrared measurements: Application to the Eyjafjallajökull 2010 eruption. J Geophys Res 117:D00U16. doi:10.1029/2011JD016810
-
- 2630 Wallace PJ (2001) Volcanic $SO₂$ emissions and the abundance and distribution of exsolved gas in magma bodies. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 108:85-106
-
- Wallace PJ (2005) Volatiles in subduction zone magmas: concentrations and fluxes based on melt inclusion and volcanic gas data. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 140:217-240
-

 Watson IM, Realmuto VJ, Rose WI, Prata AJ, Bluth GJS, Gu Y, Bader CE, Yu T (2004) Thermal infrared remote sensing of volcanic emissions using the moderate resolution imaging

spectroradiometer. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 135:75–89

- Wen S, Rose WI (1994) Retrieval of sizes and total masses of particles in volcanic clouds using AVHRR bands 4 and 5. J Geophys Res: Atmospheres 99(D3):5421–5431
-
- White JDL, Houghton BF (2006) Primary volcaniclastic rocks. Geology 34:677-680. doi:10.1130/G22346.1
-
- Whitham AG, Sparks RSJ (1986) Pumice. Bull Volcanol 48:209–223
-
- Wilhelm S, Worner, G (1996) Crystal size distribution in Jurassic Ferrar flows and sills (Victoria Land, Antarctica): Evidence for processes of cooling, nucleation, and crystallisation. Contrib Mineral Petr 125:1-15
-
- Williams-Jones G, Stix J, Hickson C (2008) The COSPEC cookbook. IAVCEI: Methods in Volcanology I: 233 p.
-
- Wilson L, Huang TC (1979) The influence of shape on the atmospheric settling velocity of volcanic ash particles. Earth Planet Sci Lett 44:311–324
-
- Wilson L, Self S (1980) Volcanic explosion clouds: density, temperature and particle content estimates from cloud motion. J Geophys Res 85:2567-2572
-
- Wright HMN, Weinberg R (2009) Strain localization in vesicular magma: Implications for rheology and fragmentation. Geology 37:1023–1026. doi:10.1130/G30199A.1
-
- Wright HMN, Cashman KV (2014) Compaction and gas loss in welded pyroclastic deposits as revealed by porosity, permeability, and electrical conductivity measurements of the Shevlin Park Tuff. GSA Bulletin 126(1/2):234–247. doi: 10.1130/B30668.1
-
- Wright HMN, Roberts JJ, Cashman KV (2006) Permeability of anisotropic tube pumice: model calculations and measurements. Geophys Res Lett 33:L17316. doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.01.023
-

 Wright HMN, Cashman KV, Rosi M, Cioni R (2007) Breadcrust bombs as indicators of Vulcanian eruptiondynamics at Guagua Pichincha volcano. Ecuador. Bull Volcanol 69: 281– 300

- Wright HMN, Cashman KV, Gottesfeld EH, Roberts JJ (2009) Pore structure of volcanic clasts: Measurements of permeability and electrical conductivity. Earth Planet Sci Lett 280:93–104. doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.01.023
-
- Wright HMN, Folkes CB, Cas RAF, Cashman KV (2011) Heterogeneous pumice populations in the 2.08-Ma Cerro Galán Ignimbrite: implications for magma recharge and ascent preceding a large-volume silicic eruption. Bull Volcanol 73:1513–1533
-

 Wright HMN, Cashman KV, Mothes PA, Hall ML, Ruiz AG, Le Pennec J-L (2012) Estimating rates of decompression from textures of erupted ash particles produced by 1999-2006 eruptions of Tungurahua Volcano, Ecuador. Geology 40:619-622. doi:10.1130/G32948

-
- Wohletz K (1983) Mechanisms of hydrovolcanic pyroclast formation: grainsize, scanning electron microscopy, and experimental studies. J Volcanol Geother Res 17:31–63
-
- Wohletz K (1986) Explosive magma–water interactions: thermodynamics, explosion mechanisms, and field studies. Bull Volcanol 48:245–264
-

 Wohletz K (1987) Chemical and textural surface features of pyroclasts from hydrovolcanic eruption sequences. In: Marsall, J.R. (Ed.), Clastic Particles. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co, New York, N.Y., pp. 79–97

 Yang K, Krotkov NA, Krueger AJ, Carn SA, Bhartia PK, Levelt PF (2007) Retrieval of large volcanic SO2 columns from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI): comparison and limitations. J Geophys Res 112:D24S43. doi:10.1029/2007JD008825

- Yamada K, Emori H, Nakazawa K (2008) Time-evolution of bubble formation in a viscous liquid. Earth Planets Space 60:1–19
-

 Yokoyama T, Takeuchi S (2009) Porosimetry of vesicular volcanic products by a water- expulsion method and the relationship of pore characteristics to permeability. J Geophys Res 114:B02201. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005758.

 Yoshimoto M, Shimano T, Nakada S, Koyama E, Tsuji H, Iida A, Kurokawa M, Okayama Y, Nonaka M, Kaneko T, Hoshizumi H, Ishizuka Y, Furukawa R, Nogami K, Onizawa S, Niihori K, Sugimoto T, Nagai M (2005) Mass estimation and characteristics of ejecta from the 2004 eruption of Asama volcano. Bull Volcanol, Soc Jpn 50:519–533 (In Japanese with English abstract)

 Zandomeneghi D, Voltolini M, Mancini L, F. Brun, D. Dreossi, M. Polacci (2010) Quantitative analysis of X-ray microtomography images of geomaterials: Application to volcanic rocks, Geosphere, special issue "Advances in 3D Imaging and Analysis of Geomaterials" 6:793-804. doi:10.1130/GES00561.1.

 Zakšek K, Hort M, Zaletelj J, Langmann B (2013) Monitoring volcanic ash cloud top height through simultaneous retrieval of optical data from polar orbiting and geostationary satellites. Atmos Chem Phys 13(5):2589-2606

 Zimanowski B, Wohletz K, Dellino P, Buttner R (2003) The volcanic ash problem J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 122:1-5

 Zobin VM, Santiago-Jiménez H, Ramírez-Ruiz JJ, Reyes-Dávila GA, Bretón-González M, Navarro-Ochoa C (2007) Quantification of volcanic explosions from tilt records: Volcán de

Colima, México. J Volcanol Geotherm Res 166(2):117-124

Figure captions

 Figure 1 Diagrammatic illustration showing a volcanic strombolian conduit (modified from Harris and Ripepe 2007), and the list of a few parameters that can be measuremed trought the 2735 deposit (D), the texture of the pyroclasts (T), the geochemistry (G) and the geophysics (G) methods for small, magmatic explosions.

